Emergency department overcrowding: a survey among European neurotrauma centres

Kimberley Bernadette Velt, Maryse Cnossen, Pleunie P M Rood, Ewout W Steyerberg, Suzanne Polinder, Hester F Lingsma, the CENTER-TBI investigators

1Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
2Department of Emergency Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Maryse Cnossen, Department of public health, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, NL 2015, The Netherlands; m.c.cnossen@erasmusmc.nl

ABSTRACT
Background ED overcrowding is an increasing problem worldwide that may negatively affect quality of care and patient outcomes. We aimed to study ED overcrowding across European centres.

Methods Questionnaires on structure and process of care, including crowding, were distributed to 68 centres participating in a large European study on traumatic brain injury (Collaborative European NeuroTrauma Effectiveness Research in Traumatic Brain Injury).

Results Of the 65 centres included in the analysis, 32 (49%) indicated that overcrowding was a frequent problem and 28 (43%) reported that patients were placed in hallways ‘multiple times a day’. 27 (41%) stated that multiple times a day, there was no bed available when a patient needed to be admitted. Ambulance diversion rarely occurred in the participating centres.

Conclusion Similar to reports from other parts of the world, ED crowding appears to be a considerable problem in Europe. More research is needed to determine effective ways to reduce overcrowding.

INTRODUCTION
ED overcrowding has been reported in many countries including the USA, Canada, the UK and Australia. However, knowledge about how often overcrowding occurs in European EDs is limited. We aimed to study the frequency of crowding in European EDs in 68 centres participating in a large multicentre study on traumatic brain injury (TBI).

METHODS
This study was part of the provider profiling study of the Collaborative European NeuroTrauma Effectiveness Research in Traumatic Brain Injury (CENTER-TBI). Ethical approval was obtained for CENTER-TBI.

Questionnaires on structure and process of TBI care were distributed among all 68 centres participating in this study. Questionnaires were developed through a comprehensive literature search and expert opinion meetings, and were pilot-tested in 16 of the participating centres. Reliability of the set of questionnaires was assessed by calculating the concordance rate among 5% duplicate questions and was adequate (median: 0.85). The relevant questions are reproduced in table 1.

The principal investigators (PIs) for each site of the CENTER-TBI study were informed about the questionnaires by presentations, workshops and emails. It was repeatedly emphasised that answers provided by respondents would be handled confidentially. The set of questionnaires was subsequently distributed online and the PIs were asked to coordinate the completion by involving experts from their centre. Experts were mainly ED physicians, but some questions were completed by neurosurgeons, neurologists, intensivists and administrative staff members. Additional information regarding the development, validation and distribution of the provider profiling questionnaires can be found in a previous publication.

A total of four questions addressed ED overcrowding and ambulance diversion. ED overcrowding was defined in the survey as ‘a situation in which there are more patients in the ED than the ED can handle (due to lack of beds, access blocks etc.).’ Access block refers to a situation where no hospital bed is available within a reasonable amount of time (8 hours). Ambulance diversion was defined as a situation where ambulance services are requested to bypass this hospital and deliver patients to other hospitals.

RESULTS
Of the 68 centres participating in the CENTER-TBI study, 3 were excluded since no data were available on ED overcrowding, resulting in 65 participating centres (response rate: 96%). The question on ambulance diversion was completed by 63 respondents.

Approximately half of the respondents indicated that overcrowding was considered a frequent problem in their ED (n=32, 49%; table 1).

Respondents indicated that patients were placed in the hall ‘multiple times a day’ in 28 centres (43%). Another seven centres (11%) indicated that this happens approximately once a day. The remainder indicated that this occurs on a weekly basis (n=11, 17%) or less frequent.

Twenty-seven centres (41%) reported that ‘multiple times a day’ there is no bed available when a patient needs to be admitted to the hospital (access block). In the remainder of the centres, this happens approximately once a day (n=9, 14%), on a weekly basis (n=11, 17%) or less frequent.

Ambulance diversion, which might be a strategy to reduce ED overcrowding, is never used in more than half of the centres (n=43, 66%). For 12 EDs (19%) it was used less than six times a year (n=12, 19%) and the remaining 6 EDs used it more
frequently. There were no trends based on geographical location or income of the country.

**DISCUSSION**

This survey study showed that crowding is a frequent problem among EDs in European Neurotrauma centres. Our findings are similar to previous investigations; in a US study, 525 (91%) of the investigated hospitals experienced overcrowding as a serious problem and related this problem to a shortage of hospital beds. In a Canadian survey study among 243 ED directors, more than half of the participants reported that overcrowding was a major problem. In our study, access blocks were also frequently reported. This is in line with research by Richardson and Mountain, who indicate that overcrowding is not just an ED problem, but involves the transition of patients to other departments. Our study adds to this knowledge by providing high-quality data on ED overcrowding in a large number of European EDs.

A strength of our study is its high response rate (96%) and the inclusion of multiple hospitals. A limitation of our study is the survey design. Although we asked centres for their general policy, we cannot exclude that the answers were based on individual opinions. Moreover, our sample includes mainly level 1 trauma centres specialised in the treatment of neurotrauma. Consequently, current results might not be generalisable to all European EDs or EDs outside Europe. In addition, the definition of overcrowding that we used is subjective, and therefore respondents might have interpreted the definition differently. To prevent this problem, however, we mainly asked specific questions (e.g., how often does it occur that patients are placed in the hallway?). In one of the questions, however, we used the word ‘overcrowding’ explicitly and the results of this question should therefore be interpreted with caution.

ED overcrowding appears to be a frequent problem in European EDs. Since overcrowding may influence quality of care and is also associated with patient outcomes, research on potential solutions for overcrowding should be prioritised.
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