Putative Late Ordovician land plants

The colonization of early terrestrial ecosystems by embryophytes (i.e. land plants) irreversibly changed global biogeochemical cycles (Berner & Kothavala, 2001; Berner et al., 2007; Song et al., 2012). However, when and how the process of plant terrestrialization took place is still intensely debated (Kenrick & Crane, 1997; Kenrick et al., 2012; Edwards et al., 2014; Edwards & Kenrick, 2015). Current knowledge suggests that the earliest land plants evolved from charophycean green algae (Karol et al., 2001) most probably during Early-Middle Ordovician times (Rubinstein et al., 2010; and references cited therein). They were represented by small nonvascular bryophyte-like organisms (Edwards & Wellman, 2001; Wellman et al., 2003; Kenrick et al., 2012). The oldest fossil evidence from dispersed spores of presumable bryophytic nature is known from a Middle Ordovician locality (c. 470 million years ago (Ma), Rubinstein et al., 2010; Fig. 1) from Argentina (Gondwana palaeocontinent). The dispersed spore fossil record also suggests that the first radiation of vascular plants probably occurred during Late Ordovician times (c. 450 Ma, Steemans et al., 2009). However, unequivocal macrofossils of vascular plants appear much later, during mid-Silurian (c. 430 Ma, Edwards et al., 1992). This macrofossil evidence comes from the fossil-genus *Cooksonia*, an early polysporangiophyte (i.e. a plant with bifurcating axes and more than one sporangium), which is considered the earliest vascular land plant (Edwards et al., 1992; Fig. 1). Further advances in knowledge about the origin and early dispersion of polysporangiophytes are needed for a better understanding of the initial plant diversification. Unfortunately, unravelling the initial steps of polysporangiophyte evolution is hindered by gaps in the fossil record of the earliest plants as well as by limitations of inference based on molecular clocks (Kenrick et al., 2012; Edwards & Kenrick, 2015).

Assessing the affinities of fragmentary fossils is frequently only tentative. Most often, only partial evidence for land plant nature is visible on fossils of Silurian–Devonian age. Nevertheless, there are numerous examples in the deep-time fossil record of organisms that have been interpreted as early embryophytes even though unambiguous land plant characters were not demonstrated. For instance, Edwards & Feehan (1980) reported on some Silurian terminal sporangia and dichotomous axes interpreted as *Cooksonia*-type plants with no evidence for *in situ* spores nor for trichaeids. Wellman et al. (2003) described the first plant mesofossils with *in situ* spores from the Ordovician (Katian) fossil record, but the morphology of the parent plants remains unknown. More recently, Morris et al. (2011) reported on numerous fragments of Lower Devonian plants with terminal sporangia and dichotomous axes, some of them lacking preserved unambiguous land plant characters. Interestingly, some of the plants illustrated by Morris et al. (2011, pl. VI) appear closely similar to those reported in Fig. 2 (see later).

Here, we document an Ordovician (Hirnantian, c. 445 Ma) putative plant macrofossil assemblage. The specimens come from an Upper Ordovician locality at Zbrza in the southern Holy Cross Mountains (HCM, central Poland, SW peri-Baltica; Supporting Information Figs S1, S2, see also Notes S1). The fossils occur in mudstones of the uppermost Ordovician (Hirnantian) Zalesie Formation dated by trilobites, brachiopods and pelycnomorphs (Kielan, 1959; Temple, 1965; Masiak et al., 2003; Trela & Szczepanik, 2009). The age of the plant-bearing sediments is confirmed by acritarchs and chitinozoans (Notes S1). Reported evidence consists of dichotomously branched slender axes, some with terminal discoid or ovoid structures interpreted as sporangia, which could represent the earliest macrofossil occurrence of polysporangiophytes (Fig. 1).

The plant fossils described herein are scattered among various fragments of coalified material. Two branching axes broken at both ends (3 mm long × 0.1 mm wide and 2 mm long × 0.3 mm wide, respectively; Fig. 2a,b) are attributable to the fossil genus *Hostinella* that includes vegetative isomorphically branched axes. Another specimen shows a trichotomous axis division (3.2 mm long × 0.3 mm wide; Fig. 2c), a feature known to occur in some Late Ordovician–early Devonian plants (Gonez & Gerrienne, 2010a, b). The studied samples also yielded several probably fertile axes. A small, dichotomously branched, slender and leafless stem (1.5 mm long × 0.2 mm wide; Fig. 2d) bears terminal structures interpreted as sporangia (0.4 mm long × 0.3 mm wide; Fig. 2d). The two other fertile specimens are not branched. They consist of a short axis (1.1 mm long × 0.3 mm wide; Fig. 2e) ending either in a horizontally stretched, presumably cup-shaped, structure interpreted as sporangium (0.8 mm long × 1.1 mm wide; Fig. 2e) or in an ovoid/hemispherical sporangium-like body (1.3 mm long × 1 mm wide; Fig. 2f). Their form, size and structure seem to be close to those observed from *Cooksonia pertoni* (Fig. 2e; Lang, 1937; Edwards & Feehan, 1980) and *C. hemisphaerica* (Fig. 2f; Edwards & Rogerson, 1979; Edwards & Feehan, 1980), respectively. Moreover, the specimen illustrated in Fig. 2(d) looks quite similar to the bifurcating axis showing the basal part of a sporangium described by Edwards et al. (2014, Fig. 3f). Within a macerated residue, we found rare trilete spores resembling the *Ambitisporites avitus-dilutus* (Steemans et al., 1996; Fig. 2g,h), a morphon interpreted as indicative of vascular plants (Fanning et al., 1988; Steemans et al., 2009); however the trilete marks of our specimens are not regularly formed, which casts doubts on their trilete spor nature. Interestingly, there are a variety of Ordovician spores with irregular trilete-like folds, such as *Beselia nunaatica* (Nøhr-Hansen & Koppelhus, 1988) that are well known from mosses and hornworts. The last important feature shown by our
**Fig. 1** Stratigraphic occurrences of the oldest fossils of land plants and spores. Bold text identifies the fossil assemblage described in this paper. Ages (million years ago, Ma) from the *International Chronostratigraphic Chart of the International Commission on Stratigraphy* v2016/12. References: 1Bodzioch et al. (2003), 2Kotyk et al. (2002), 3Strother et al. (1996). Redrawn after Edwards & Kenrick (2015, Fig. 1).

**Fig. 2** Plant remains and spores from Zbrza (Poland). (a, b) Dichotomously branched axes attributed to *Hostinella* sp. (c) Trichotomous axis. Daughter axes are indicated by an arrow and numbered 1–3. (d) Dichotomously branched, slender and leafless stem bearing terminal structures interpreted as sporangia. (e) Short axis ending in a horizontally stretched, presumably cup-shaped, sporangium. (f) Short axis ending in an ovoid/ hemisphaerical sporangium. Sporangium is approximately as high as wide, and the subtending axis widens just below it. (g, h) Compressed spores of cf. *Ambitisporites avitus-dilutus* (slide code: ZbrzAA). Bars: (a–f) 0.5 mm; (g, h) 20 μm. Light microscope photographs (a–f), interpretative line drawings (d–f). GIUS numbers 2-3675/1-8.
specimens has been found on an indeterminate dispersed axis. It is a small structure that we interpret as a stoma (Fig. 3a). This probable stomatal complex (29 μm long × 21 μm wide; Fig. 3b) fits with the structure and morphology of those described from Silurian–early Devonian plant fossils by Edwards et al. (1986 (Fig. 3b), 1998 (figs 2b,c, 3e–h, 10b), 2014 (Fig. 3b)), which again suggests a land plant status for our specimens.

The sporangia figured remind those of the sphaerocarpalean liverwort *Naiadita lanceolata*, as described in Hemsley (1989). Although *Naiadita* is Triassic in age, it is generally considered that liverworts were abundant in the Ordovician as inferred from the presence of their dispersed spores (i.e. cryptospores; Gray, 1985; Wellman et al., 2003). We also note that the illustrated stomatal apparatus (Fig. 3) is similar to that of *Akdalophyton caradockii* from the Sandbian (Late Ordovician) of Kazakhstan (Snigirevskaya et al., 1992, pl. II.4). *Akdalophyton* has no tracheids, but does have what appear to be hydroids, and has been interpreted as a moss, with helically arranged leaves, distinct but reminiscent of the axis shown here in Fig. 2(b). This evidence suggests that the macrofossil remains presented herein, like the Late Ordovician *Akdalophyton*, are indeed land plants, but probably nonvascular specimens, which is consistent with their occurrence in the early Eoembryophytic phase of plant evolution (Gerrienne et al., 2016, Fig. 3).

Distinguishing the earliest land plants, which are characterized by a very simple morphology, from other phyla occasionally showing superficial resemblances, is challenging. This is because (1) several types of organism (e.g. some invertebrates and hemichor-dates) produce axial fragments resembling land plant axes (see Kenrick et al., 1998; for further discussion), and (2) the characters that confirm the land plant status (e.g. sporangia with *in situ* spores and/or stomata) are usually missing, difficult to discern or ambiguous. Importantly, our specimens neither show appendage-bearing (‘spiny’) axes nor laminated collagenous substance, which may be indicative of graptolite or octocoral affinities (Cairns et al., 1986; Kenrick et al., 1998), respectively. Instead, they are smooth and heavily coalified (which excludes conodont affinity; Rayner, 1986; Theron et al., 1990), and one of them possesses a possible stoma, which is an unambiguous character of land plants.

The three fertile specimens illustrated in Fig. 2(d–f) show three different sporangialmorphologies. This lack of morphological consistency may seem odd because assemblages of the earliest land plants have long been considered to show rather uniform fertile morphologies, with only cryptic variations (see e.g. Fanning et al., 1988). This morphological uniformity is however only apparent: in recent years, a great variety of sporangial shapes, sizes and organizations has been reported (among others: Edwards & Wellman, 2001; Edwards & Richardson, 2004; Edwards et al., 2014). Additionally, it should be noted that Ordovician–Silurian cryptospores, which are considered the earliest evidence of land plants, are reported from throughout the globe and display already a wide range of morphologies (Steemans, 1999; Wellman et al., 2003, 2013). This is further consistent with the variety of cryptospore producers documented to date (see Edwards et al., 2014, and references cited therein). In this context, the disparity on the reproductive structures illustrated here appears less surprising.

From an evolutionary viewpoint, the early land plant flora reported herein suggests that polysporangiophytes had already evolved by Late Ordovician times (Fig. S2; Notes S1). This pushes back the first occurrence of polysporangiophytes for c. 15 million years (Fig. 1), which is consistent with the age of the clade recently estimated through molecular clock analysis (Clarke et al., 2011; Zhong et al., 2014). In addition, some of these Late Ordovician putative plants (Fig. 2e,f) are very closely comparable, in size and morphology, to the earliest macrofossil floras described elsewhere in the world from younger (mid-upper Silurian to lowermost Devonian) localities (Edwards & Richardson, 2004; Raymond et al., 2006; Edwards et al., 2014). This fact suggests a very low evolutionary rate for the earliest polysporangiophytes. Then again, the presence of early polysporangiophytes in worldwide-distributed Silurian–Devonian localities suggests a dispersal of the earliest Gondwanan floras quicker than expected.

Current available data show a rather conflicting and incomplete picture of early plant terrestrialization (Kenrick et al., 2012), leaving many key questions unanswered. A major discrepancy in time of appearance of spores and plant macrofossils is particularly intriguing. Importantly, a major change in spore types in the Late Ordovician–early Silurian, leading to the decrease of cryptospores and increase in diversity of trilete spores, was attributed to the initial radiation of vascular or pre-vascular plants (Steemans et al., 2009). However, it has to be noted that, although the fossilization potential and the evolutionary value of palyynomorphs are clearly

![Fig. 3 Probable stomatal complex from Zbrza (Poland). (a) Scanning electron microscope image of a stoma from an indeterminate axis, with elongated stomatal pore and traces of guard cells. (b) Line drawing showing the main structure (guard cells and stomatal pore) of stoma illustrated in (a). Bars: 10 μm. GIUS number 2-3675/9.](image-url)
assessed, their attribution to source plants is most often problematic. For instance, trilete spores, commonly interpreted as indicative of vascular plants, are also linked to some living bryophytes (see e.g. Kenrick et al., 2012, and references cited therein). The plant remains presented herein most probably document the oldest macrofossils of polysporangiophytes in the Upper Ordovician sedimentary record. This new evidence strongly supports that the major floral turnover seen in the microfossil record might indeed also be interpreted in terms of the evolution of macrofossil floras.
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