



Results of genericity concerning ultradifferentiable classes

Céline ESSER Celine.Esser@uliege.be

University of Liège - Institute of Mathematics

Valladolid – March 6, 2018



Introduction

A \mathcal{C}^∞ function f is analytic at $x_0\in\Omega$ if its Taylor series at x_0 converges to f on an open neighbourhood of x_0 . Using Cauchy's estimates, it is equivalent to have the existence of a compact neighborhood $K\subseteq\Omega$ of x_0 and of two constants C,h>0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} \left| D^k f(x) \right| \leq C h^k k! \,, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We say that a function is nowhere analytic if it is not analytic at any point.

Many examples of \mathcal{C}^{∞} nowhere analytic functions exist. An example was given by Cellérier (1890) with the function defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(a^n x)}{n!}$$

where a is a positive integer larger than 1.



Questions:

- How large is the set of nowhere analytic functions in the Fréchet space $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$?
- Is it possible to construct large structures of nowhere analytic functions?

The first result in this direction has been obtained using the notion of Baire genericity.

Definition

Let X be a Baire space. A subset M of X is residual (or comeager) in X if M contains a countable intersection of dense open sets in X.

Theorem (Morgenstern, 1954)

The set of nowhere analytic functions in residual in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Other proofs and related results:

 Salzmann and Zeller 1955, Cater 1984, Sarst 1973, Bernal-González 1987, Darji and Swanson 2016.



Large set from a measure point of view

The prevalence is a notion introduced to generalize the concept of "Lebesgue almost everywhere" to infinite dimensional spaces keeping some properties:

- A measure zero set has empty interior (ie. "almost every" implies density).
- Every subset of a measure zero set has measure zero.
- A countable union of measure zero sets has measure zero.
- · Every translate of a measure zero set has measure zero.

→ impossible to define this notion in terms of a specific measure!

Definition (Christensen 1974 / Hunt, Sauer, Yorke, 1992)

Let X be a complete metrizable linear space. A Borel subset B of X is shy (or Haar-null) if there exists a Borel measure μ on X with compact support such that

$$\mu(B+x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{1}$$

More generally, a subset M is called shy if it is contained in a shy Borel set. The complement of a shy set is called a prevalent set.

Useful measure to try:

The Lebesgue measure on the unit ball of a finite dimensional subspace ${\cal V}.$ Condition (1) becomes

$$\forall x \in X, (x+B) \cap V$$
 is of Lebesgue measure zero.

In this case, V is called a probe for the complement of B.



Large algebraic structure

Definition (Aron, Gurariy, Seoane-Sepúlveda 2005)

Let X be a vector space. A subset M of X is lineable if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector subspace.

Genericity

The set of nowhere analytic functions in prevalent and lineable in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proofs and related results:

- Bernal-González 2008
- Bastin, E., Nicolay 2012
- · Conejero, Jiménez-Rodríguez, Muñoz-Fernández and Seoane-Sepúlveda 2012
- · Bartoszewicz, Bienias, Filipczak and Głąb 2014
- · Bastin, Conejero, E. and Seoane 2014



Nowhere Gevrey functions

Gevrey classes

For a real number s>0, a function $f\in C^\infty(\Omega)$ is said to be Gevrey differentiable of order s at $x_0\in\Omega$ if there exist a compact neighborhood $K\subseteq\Omega$ of x_0 and two constants C,h>0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D^k f(x)| \le Ch^k (k!)^s, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

A nowhere Gevrey differentiable function on \mathbb{R} is a function that is not Gevrey differentiable of order s at x_0 , for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $s \geq 1$.

We denote by NG the set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable function.



Existence of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions (Bastin, E., Nicolay 2012)

Let $(\lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $(0,+\infty)$ such that $\lambda_k\geq (k+1)^{(k+1)^2}$ and $\lambda_{k+1}\geq 2\sum_{j=1}^k\lambda_j^{2+k-j}, \forall k\in\mathbb{N}$. The function f defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k^{1-k} e^{i\lambda_k x}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and is nowhere Gevrey differentiable.

Proof. Note that

$$\left| f^{(n)}(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} e^{i\lambda_k x} + \lambda_n e^{i\lambda_n x} + \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} e^{i\lambda_k x} \right|$$

$$\geq \lambda_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} - \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} - \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^{n+1-k}$$

$$\geq \lambda_{n-1}^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^j} \geq n^{2n^2} - e \geq \frac{1}{2} n^{2n^2} \geq Ch^n (n!)^s.$$

Genericity (Bastin, E., Nicolay 2012; Bastin, Conejero, E., Seoane 2014)

The set NG of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions is residual, prevalent and lineable (with maximal dimension) in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of the prevalence. We have

$$C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus NG = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{I \subset \mathbb{R}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \underbrace{\left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \leq m^n (n!)^s \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}}_{:=A(s,I,m) \text{ closed subset}}$$

where I denotes all rational subintervals. Hence NG is a Borel set. Let us consider $f\notin\bigcup_m A(s,I,m)$. Then, there is at most one element in the set $\left\{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}:\alpha f+g\in\bigcup_m A(s,I,m)\right\}$; Otherwise, if $\alpha\neq\beta$ belongs to this set, then

$$(\alpha-\beta)f=(\alpha f+g)-(\beta f+g)\in\bigcup_m A(s,I,m)$$

hence $f \in \bigcup_m A(s, I, m)$ (linear subspace). Consequently, the linear hull of f is a probe for the complement of $\bigcup_m A(s, I, m)$.

Actually, there is an older result which deals with the same kind of questions.

Proposition (Schmets, Valdivia 1991)

The Gevrey class of order $\alpha>1$ contains a vector subspace which, for every $\beta\in(1,\alpha)$, contains an infinite dimensional vector subspace, non-zero elements of which are nowhere Gevrey differentiable of order β .

In particular, for every $\beta \in (1, \alpha)$, the set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable function of order β is lineable in the Gevrey class of order α .

Questions:

- · Other notions of genericity?
- Similar results in the context of classes of ultradifferentiable functions?

Denjoy-Carleman classes

Definition

Let M be an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open set. The M-ultradifferentiable Roumieu type class is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega) := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega): \ \forall \ K \subseteq U \ \text{compact} \ \exists \ h > 0: \ \|f\|_{K,h}^{M} < + \infty \},$$

and the M-ultradifferentiable Beurling type class by

$$\mathcal{E}_{(M)}(\Omega) := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega): \ \forall \ K \subseteq U \ \text{compact} \ \forall \ h > 0: \ \|f\|_{K,h}^{M} < +\infty \},$$

where

$$||f||_{K,h}^M := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, x \in K} \frac{|f^{(j)}(x)|}{h^j M_j}.$$

Particular case: The weight sequences $(k!)_k$ and $((k!)^{\alpha})_k$.



Questions:

- When do we have $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\Omega)$?
- In that case, "how small" is $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\Omega)$?

General assumptions:

ullet We assume that any weight sequence M is logarithmically convex, i.e.

$$M_k^2 \le M_{k-1} M_{k+1}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- We assume that any weight sequence M is such that $M_0 = 1$.
- We will often work with non-quasianalytic weight sequences M, i.e. such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (M_k)^{-1/k} < +\infty.$$

By Denjoy-Carleman theorem, it is equivalent to the fact that there exists non-zero functions with compact support in $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R})$.



Inclusions between Denjoy-Carleman classes

Notation: $M \triangleleft N \iff \lim_{k \to +\infty} \left(\frac{M_k}{N_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0.$

Proposition

Let M,N be two weight sequences and let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb R$. Then

$$M \triangleleft N \iff \mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega) \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\Omega).$$

Keys for the strict inclusion:

- (1) If $M \triangleleft N$, then there exists a weight sequence L such that $M \triangleleft L \triangleleft N$.
- (2) The function

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{L_k}{2^k} \left(\frac{L_{k-1}}{L_k}\right)^k \exp\left(2i\frac{L_k}{L_{k-1}}x\right)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\{L\}}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $|f^{(k)}(0)| \geq L_k \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, so that $f \notin \mathcal{E}_{(L)}(\mathbb{R})$.



Construction

Definition

We say that a function is nowhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}$ if its restriction to any non-empty open subset Ω of \mathbb{R} does not belong to $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega)$.

Proposition (E. 2014)

Assume that M and N are two weight sequences such that $M \lhd N$. If M is non-quasianalytic, there exists a function of $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$ which is nowhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}$.

Proof. We know by (1) that there is N^{\star} such that $M \lhd N^{\star} \lhd N$. By induction, we get a sequence $(L^{(p)})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ of weight sequences such that

$$M \triangleleft L^{(1)} \triangleleft L^{(2)} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft L^{(p)} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N^{\star} \triangleleft N.$$

For every $p\in\mathbb{N}$, (2) allows us to consider a function $f_p\in\mathcal{E}_{\{L^{(p)}\}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$|f_p^{(j)}(0)| \ge L_j^{(p)}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$



Since M is non-quasianalytic,

 $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support, $\phi \equiv 1$ in a nbh of 0.

Let $\{x_p:p\geq 1\}$ be a dense subset of $\mathbb R$. For every $p\geq 2$, we can find $k_p>0$ such that the function

$$\phi_p := \phi(k_p(\cdot - x_p))$$

has its support disjoint from $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}\}$. We define g_p

$$g_p(x) := \underbrace{f_p(x-x_p)}_{\in \mathcal{E}_{\{L^(p)}\}} (\mathbb{R}) \underbrace{\phi_p(x)}_{\in \mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R})} \in \mathcal{E}_{(N^\star)}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let $\gamma_p > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g_p^{(j)}(x)| \le \gamma_p N_j^*, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

and define the function g by

$$g := \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_p 2^p} g_p.$$



1. $g \in \mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$: For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_p 2^p} |g_p^{(j)}(x)| \le \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^p} N_j^{\star} \le N_j^{\star}$$

which implies that g belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\{N^{\star}\}}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$.

2. \underline{g} is nowhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}$: By contradiction, assume that there exists an open subset Ω of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $g\in\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega)$. Let $p_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{p_0}\in\Omega$. Remark that

$$\sum_{p=p_0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_p 2^p} g_p = \underbrace{g}_{\in \mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{(L^{(p_0)})}(\Omega)} - \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{p_0-1} \frac{1}{\gamma_p 2^p} g_p}_{\in \mathcal{E}_{(L^{(p_0)})}(\Omega)} \in \mathcal{E}_{(L^{(p_0)})}(\Omega).$$

But, since the support of g_p is disjoint of x_{p_0} for every $p>p_0$, we also have

$$\left| \sum_{p=p_0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_p 2^p} g_p^{(j)}(x_{p_0}) \right| = \frac{1}{\gamma_{p_0} 2^{p_0}} \left| g_{p_0}^{(j)}(x_{p_0}) \right| = \frac{1}{\gamma_{p_0} 2^{p_0}} \left| f_{p_0}^{(j)}(0) \right| \ge \frac{1}{\gamma_{p_0} 2^{p_0}} L_j^{(p_0)}$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, hence a contradiction.



Remark. Given a sequence $(L^{(p)})_{p\geq 1}$ such that

$$M \lhd L^{(1)} \lhd L^{(2)} \lhd \cdots \lhd L^{(p)} \lhd \cdots \lhd N^* \lhd N$$

and a dense subset $\{x_p:p\in\mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{R} , we have constructed a function g such that

- $g \in \mathcal{E}_{\{N^*\}}(\mathbb{R})$
- $\notin \mathcal{E}_{(L^{(p)})}(\Omega)$ for every neighbourhood Ω of x_p .
 - → Main tool for the lineability!



Lineability

Proposition (E. 2014)

Assume that $M \triangleleft N$. If M is non quasianalytic, the set of functions of $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$ which are nowhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}$ is lineable (with maximal dimension).

Idea of the proof. For every $t \in (0,1)$, we set

$$L_k^{(t)} := (M_k)^{1-t} (N_k)^t \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then $M \lhd L^{(t)} \lhd N$ for all $t \in (0,1)$ and $L^{(t)} \lhd L^{(s)}$ if t < s. Remark that

$$M \lhd L^{(\frac{t}{2})} \lhd L^{(\frac{2t}{3})} \lhd L^{(\frac{3t}{4})} \lhd \cdots \lhd L^{(t)} \lhd N, \quad \forall t \in (0,1).$$

and we can consider $g_t \in \mathcal{E}_{\{L^{(t)}\}}(\mathbb{R})$ which is not in $\mathcal{E}_{\left(L^{\left((1-\frac{1}{p})t\right)}\right)}(\Omega)$, for any open neighbourhood Ω of x_p and for any $p \geq 2$. Then take

$$\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{span}\{g_t : t \in (0,1)\}.$$



Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha_N \neq 0$ and $t_1 < \cdots < t_N$ in (0,1). Assume that there exists an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R} such that

$$G = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n g_{t_n} \in \mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}(\Omega).$$

Let $p_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{p_0}\in\Omega$ and $t_{N-1}<\left(1-\frac{1}{p_0}\right)t_N.$ Hence,

$$g_{t_N} = \frac{1}{\alpha_N} \left(G - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \alpha_n g_{t_n} \right) \in \mathcal{E}_{\{L^{(t_{N-1})}\}}(\Omega).$$

From the choice of p_0 , we have $\mathcal{E}_{\{L^{(t_{N-1})}\}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}_{\left(L^{\left((1-\frac{1}{p_0})t_N\right)}\right)}(\Omega)$ and this leads to a contradiction with the construction of g_{t_N} .

Dense-lineability

Note that we can modify the above construction of $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{span}\{g_t : t \in (0,1)\}$ to get the density of the linear subspace.

Let us consider

- $(P_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ a dense sequence of polynomials in $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R}),$
- $(t_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of different elements of (0,1),
- $\{U_m: m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a 0-nbh basis in $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$,
- for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_m > 0$ such that $k_m g_{t_m} \in U_m$.

Then, the linear subspace

$$\mathcal{D}_{d} = \operatorname{span}\left(\left\{P_{m} + k_{m}g_{t_{m}} : m \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cap \left\{g_{t} : t \in (0, 1), t \neq t_{m}\right\}\right)$$

has the desired property.



More results:

• Same results using the notion of Baire residuality and of prevalence : The set of functions of $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$ which are somewhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{M\}}$ is given by

$$\bigcup_{I\subset\mathbb{R}}\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{N}}E(I,m,s),$$

where I denotes all rational subintervals of $\mathbb R$ and

$$E(I, m, s) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R}) : \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(j)}(x)| \le s \, m^j M_j \, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

 Generalization using weight functions (without exhibiting a particular function) and using weight matrices.

Case of countable unions

Let N be a weight sequence and let $(M^{(n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of weight sequences such that $M^{(n)}\lhd N$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Question.

What about the set of functions of $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$ which are nowhere in $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{E}_{\{M^{(n)}\}}$?

Proposition E. 2014

If there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the weight sequence $M^{(n_0)}$ is non quasianalytic, the set of functions of $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$ which are nowhere in $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{\{M^{(n)}\}}$ is (dense) lineable (with maximal dimension), prevalent and residual in $\mathcal{E}_{(N)}(\mathbb{R})$.

Idea. Construct a weight sequence P such that

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{\{M^{(n)}\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\{P\}} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_{(N)}.$$



Particular case

Recall that Gevrey classes correspond to Roumieu classes given by the weight sequence

$$M_k := (k!)^{\alpha}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Corollary

Let $\alpha>1$. The set of functions of $\mathcal{E}_{((k!)^{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R})$ which are nowhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{(k!)^{\beta}\}}$ for every $\beta\in(1,\alpha)$, is (dense) lineable (with maximal dimension), prevalent and residual in $\mathcal{E}_{((k!)^{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. It suffices to apply the previous result with $M_k^{(n)} := (k!)^{\beta_n}$, with $1 < \beta_n \nearrow \alpha$.

Proposition (Schmets, Valdivia 1991)

Let $\alpha>1$. The set of functions of $\mathcal{E}_{((k!)^{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R})$ which are nowhere in $\mathcal{E}_{\{(k!)^{\beta}\}}$ for every $\beta\in(1,\alpha)$ is residual in $\mathcal{E}_{((k!)^{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R})$.



Non-surjectivity of the Borel map

Definition

The spaces of germs at 0 of the M-ultradifferentiable functions of Roumieu and Beurling types are defined respectively by

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}_{\{M\}} := \varinjlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \mathcal{E}_{\{M\}} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k} \right) \right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{(M)}^{0} := \varinjlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \mathcal{E}_{(M)} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k} \right) \right).$$

Particular case : The sequence $M_p=p!$ in the Roumieu case gives \mathcal{O}^0 , the germs of analytic function at 0.

Definition

We define the sequence spaces $\Lambda^r_{\{M\}}$ and $\Lambda^r_{(M)}$ by

$$\Lambda_{\{M\}} = \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \exists h > 0, |\mathbf{b}|_h^M < +\infty \right\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_{(M)} = \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \forall h > 0, |\mathbf{b}|_h^M < +\infty \right\},$$

where for any h > 0,

$$|\mathbf{b}|_h^M := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|b_j| \, j!}{h^j M_j}.$$

The Borel map j^{∞} is defined by

$$j^{\infty}: \mathcal{E}^0_{[M]} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{[M]}, \quad j^{\infty}(f) = \left(\frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Theorem (Carleman 1920, Thilliez 2008, Rainer and Schindl 2017)

Let M be a quasianalytic weight sequence. Assume that $\mathcal{O}^0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^0_{[M]}$. Then the Borel map $j^\infty:\mathcal{E}^0_{[M]}\longrightarrow \Lambda_{[M]}$ is not surjective.

 \longrightarrow In this case, "how far away" is j^{∞} to be surjective?

- Together with Gerhard, in the quasianalytic setting, we have studied the size of $\Lambda_{(M)}\setminus j^\infty(\mathcal{E}^0_{(M)})$ using the different notions of genericity.
- · Our approach is based on the proof of the previous theorem due to Thilliez.

Representation formula (Thilliez 2008)

Let M be a quasianalytic weight sequence. There exist numbers $(\omega_{j,k}^M)_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \omega_{j,k}^M = 1, \quad \forall j \in$$

and such that, given any function $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{\{M\}}$, one has

$$f(x) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^{M} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^{j}$$

for every x > 0 small enough.

 \longrightarrow If $\mathbf{F}=(F_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence for which there exists $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\searrow 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{k \to +\infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M F_j x_n^j \right| = +\infty \,, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $\mathbf{F} \notin j^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}^0_{\{M\}})$.



Theorem (E., Schindl 2018)

Let M be a quasianalytic weight sequence. Let us assume that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{M_k}{k!}\right)^{1/k}=+\infty\,,\quad \text{i.e.}\quad \mathcal{O}^0\subsetneq\mathcal{E}^0_{(M)}.$$

Then, the set $\Lambda_{(M)}\setminus j^\infty(\mathcal{E}^0_{\{M\}})$ is residual, i.e. it contains a countable intersection of dense open sets (hence $\Lambda_{(M)}\setminus j^\infty(\mathcal{E}^0_{(M)})$ also).

Proof.

1. Construction of $\mathbf{F} \in \Lambda_{(M)}$ such that there exists $a_0 \in (0,1]$ with

$$\lim\sup_{k\to+\infty}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\omega_{j,k}^MF_ja^j\right|=+\infty$$

for any $0 < a \le a_0$ (using the assumptions on M).



2. Fix a sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \setminus 0$ smaller than a_0 . If we define

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_{(M)} : \limsup_{k \to +\infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M b_j a_n^j \right| = +\infty \right\},$$

we know that

$$\mathcal{G} \subseteq \Lambda_{(M)} \setminus j^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}^{0}_{\{M\}})$$
.

It suffices then to prove that $\mathcal G$ can be written as a countable intersection of dense open sets of $\Lambda_{(M)}$. One has

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{K \in \mathbb{N}_0} \bigcup_{k \geq K} \underbrace{\left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_{(M)} : \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M b_j a_n^j \right| > N \right\}}_{:=G(n,N,k)}$$

• G(n, N, k) is open :

Let $(\mathbf{b}^{(l)})_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $\Lambda_{(M)}$ which does not belong to G(n,N,k) and which converges to \mathbf{b} in $\Lambda_{(M)}$. Let $\delta>0$ and fix $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$\varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\omega_{j,k}^M| \frac{M_j}{j!} a_n^j}.$$

Let $L \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\left|\mathbf{b}^{(l)} - \mathbf{b}\right|_1^M \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l \geq L$. Then, for all $l \geq L$, one has

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^{M} b_{j} a_{n}^{j} \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^{M} (b_{j}^{(l)} - b_{j}) a_{n}^{j} \right| + \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^{M} b_{j}^{(l)} a_{n}^{j} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\omega_{j,k}^{M}| |b_{j}^{(l)} - b_{j}| a_{n}^{j} + N \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\omega_{j,k}^{M}| \frac{M_{j}}{j!} a_{n}^{j} + N \leq \delta + N, \end{split}$$

hence $\mathbf{b} \notin G(n, N, k)$ since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary.



• $\bigcup_{k>K} G(n,N,k)$ is dense :

Let $\mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_{(M)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. From the construction of \mathbf{F} , there is $k \geq K$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M F_j a_n^j \right| \ge \frac{N}{\varepsilon}.$$

Then, either $\mathbf{b} + \varepsilon \mathbf{F}$ or $\mathbf{b} - \varepsilon \mathbf{F}$ belongs to G(n, N, k): Otherwise, one would have

$$2\varepsilon \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M F_j a_n^j \right| \le \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M (b_j - \varepsilon F_j) a_n^j \right| + \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega_{j,k}^M (b_j + \varepsilon F_j) a_n^j \right| \le 2N.$$

Moreover, for any h > 0, one has

$$|\mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{b} \pm \varepsilon \mathbf{F})|_h^M = \varepsilon |\mathbf{F}|_h^M,$$

and the density of $\bigcup_{k>K} G(n,N,k)$ in $\Lambda_{(M)}$ follows.



Valladolid, March 2018

Other results:

- · Equivalent results with the notions of prevalence and lineability
- Mixed setting $\Lambda_{[M^2]} \setminus j^\infty(\mathcal{E}^0_{\{M^1\}})$
- · Higher dimensions
- · Generalization using weight matrices
- · Corollary for classes defined via weight functions



References I



R.M. Aron, V.I. Gurariy and J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on R, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **133** (3), 795–803, 2005.



F. Bastin, J.A. Coneiero, C. Esser and J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Algebrability and nowhere Gevrev differentiability, Israel J. Math., 205, 127-143, 2015.



F. Bastin and C. Esser and S. Nicolay. Prevalence of "nowhere analyticity". Studia Math.. 210. 239-246, 2012,



L. Bernal-González, Funciones con derivadas sucesivas grandes y pequeñas por doquier, Collect. Math.. 38. 117-122. 1987.



L. Bernal-González, Lineability of sets of nowhere analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 340, 1284-1295, 2008.



Carleman, T., Sur le calcul effectif d'une fonction quasi analytique dont on donne les dérivées en un point, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 176, 59-68, 1923.



F.S. Cater, Differentiable, nowhere analytic functions, Amer. Math. Monthly, 291, 618-624, 1984



J.A. Coneiero, P. Jiménez-Rodríguez, G.A. Muñoz-Fernández and J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, When the Identity Theorem "seems" to fail, Amer. Math. Monthly, 121, 2014.



A. Bartoszewicz, M. Bienias, M. Filipczak and S. Głab, Strong c-algebrability of strong Sierpinski-Zygmund, smooth nowhere analytic and other sets of functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 412, 620-630, 2014.

References II



U.B. Darji and D. Swanson, *A note on the generic nature of Pringsheim functions*, Adv. Pure Appl. Math., **7**, 231–236, 2016.



C. Esser, Generic results in classes of ultradifferentiable functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 413, 378–391, 2014.



C. Esser and G. Schindl, How far is the Borel map from being surjective in the quasianalytic setting?



Morgenstern, D., *Unendlich oft differenzierbare nicht-analytische Funktionen*,Math. Nachr., **12**, 74, 1954.



H. Salzmann and K. Zeller, Singularitäten unendlich oft differenzierbarer Funktionen, Math. Z., 62, 354–367, 1955.



J. Schmets and M. Valdivia, On the extent of the (non) quasi-analytic classes, Arch. Math., 56, 593–600. 1991.



H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization*, J.Fac. Sc. Tokyo, Ser. I A, **20**, 25–105, 1973.



A. Rainer and G. Schindl, Composition in ultradifferentiable classes, Studia Math., 224, 97–131, 2014.



A. Rainer and G. Schindl, *On the Borel mapping in the quasianalytic setting*, Math. Scand., **121**, 293–310, 2017.



V. Thilliez, *On quasianalytic local rings*, Expo. Math., **26**, 1–23, 2008.

