

# COMPARISON OF ULTRASOUND-GUIDED VS. LANDMARK TECHNIQUES FOR TRAINING NOVICES IN PLACING NEEDLES INTO THE LUMBAR SUBARACHNOID SPACE OF CANINE CADAVERS



Anne-Laure Etienne<sup>1</sup>, Catherine Delguste<sup>2</sup>, Valeria Busoni<sup>1</sup>.




1 - Diagnostic Imaging Section, Department of clinical sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium.  
2 - General Services of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium.



## Introduction


The standard technique for placing a needle into the canine lumbar subarachnoid space is primarily based on palpation of anatomic landmarks and use of probing movements of the needle, however, this technique can be challenging for novice operators. The aim of the current observational, prospective, ex vivo, feasibility study was to compare ultrasound-guided vs. standard anatomic landmark approaches for novices performing needle placement into the lumbar subarachnoid space using dog cadavers.



**Figure 1.** Positions of operator's hands to achieve a blind landmark (A) and a direct ultrasound-guided (B) needle placement into the subarachnoid space on a canine cadaver. The spinal needle bevel is directed to the dog's head.

## Materials and Methods

With informed consent, 67 final year veterinary students were prospectively enrolled in the study. Students had no prior experience in needle placement into the lumbar subarachnoid space or use of ultrasound. Each student received a short theoretical training about each technique before the trial and then attempted blind landmark-guided and ultrasound-guided techniques on randomized canine cadavers (Figure 1.). After having performed both procedures, the operators completed a self-evaluation questionnaire about their performance and self-confidence.



**Figure 2.** Significant difference (\*) between success rates of blind (green) and ultrasound-guided (purple, US-guided) techniques applied by students.

## Results

Total success rates for students were 48% and 77% for the landmark- and ultrasound-guided technique, respectively (Figures 1 and 2.). Ultrasound guidance significantly increased total success rate when compared to the landmark-guided technique (Figure 2.) and significantly reduced the number of attempts. With ultrasound guidance self-confidence was improved, without bringing any significant change in duration of the needle placement procedure (Table 1.).

## Discussion/Conclusion

Findings indicated that use of ultrasound guidance and cadavers are feasible methods for training novice operators in needle placement into the canine lumbar subarachnoid space after a short theoretical training.

SD : Standard deviation. P-value of the Wilcoxon test comparing the same variable between the 2 methods. \*Following the significant p-value (<0.05).

	Blind	US	
Variable	mean ± SD	mean ± SD	P-value
Number of attempts	3.04 ± 1.18	2.26 ± 1.11	<0.001*
Time (min)	6.01 ± 3.71	5.93 ± 3.57	0.94
Self-confidence	0.8 ± 0.64	1.28 ± 0.63	<0.0001*

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics for the lumbar puncture with the Blind and Ultrasound-Guided (US) techniques performed on novice operators.