1		
2	1. Туре:	Research Paper
3		
4 5	2. Running Title:	Genetic structure of the Turkish hamster (Mesocricetus brandti)
6 7 8	3. Authors:	K. Neumann ¹ , N. Yiğit ² , P. Fritzsche ¹ , E. Çolak ² , N. Feoktistova ³ , A. Surov ³ , J. Michaux ⁴
9 10	4. Addresses:	¹ Institute of Pathology, City Hospital Dessau, Auenweg 38, D-06847 Dessau- Roßlau, Germany
11 12		² Section of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Ankara, 06100 Beşevler, Ankara, Turkey
13 14		³ Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution RAS Leninsky pr.33 119071 Moscow, Russia
15 16		⁴ Conservation Genetics Unit, Botany Institute (Bat. 22), University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium
17		
18 19 20	5. Corr. Author:	Karsten Neumann, Institute of Pathology, City Hospital Dessau, Auenweg 38, D-06847 Dessau-Rosslau, Germany Tel.:+49-(0)340-501405
21		Email: karsten.neumann@klinikum-dessau.de
22		
23		

ABSTRACT 1

2

We examined the natural population structure of the Turkish hamster (Mesocricetus 3 brandti) by analysing partial mitochondrial sequences of the control region and the 4 cytochrome b gene. Evolutionary lineages were defined on haplotype clusters in 5 genetic trees and a median-joining network. Most significant divergence events in M. 6 brandti nested in the lower Pleistocene. Gene flow prevented spatial genetic 7 differentiation among most populations contrasting previous ideas about potential 8 subspeciation in Anatolia. None of the mitochondrial lineages showed significant signs 9 of recent expansion indicating relatively stable ecological condition during recent 10 11 population history. Furthermore, we discussed aspects of the evolution of *M. brandti* and the genus Mesocricetus in the context of available fossils. 12 13 14

15 **Keywords**

16

17 Turkish hamster, Mesocricetus brandti, mitochondrial DNA, genetic diversity, population structure, haplotype lineages, separation times 18

1 INTRODUCTION

2

The Eastern Mediterranean region harbours an exceptionally high number of mammalian species and subspecies (Kryštufek et al. 2009). Unravelling the origins of this diversity may therefore significantly contribute to a better understanding of the processes governing speciation and population differentiation within this animal class as a whole.

Several reasons were put forward to explain the species richness in this region. 8 Moderate climatic oscillations during the Quaternary did not cause extensive species 9 or population losses. Hence, long lasting persistence and relatively stable population 10 sizes allowed the accumulation of many allelic variants as well as deep genetic 11 differentiation within and among populations (Bilgin et al. 2008, Krystufek et al. 2009). 12 Additionally, the area provided an important refuge for several mammalian groups, 13 which led to the immigration of new elements during glacial heights (Michaux et al. 14 15 2004, Sert et al. 2005, Dubey et al. 2006, 2007). Furthermore, due to its geographic location, areas like Asia Minor function as a gateway for migration among neighbouring 16 faunal complexes enabling a frequent species exchange between Europe, Arabia, 17 northern Africa and Iran (Koufos et al. 2003, Ansell et al. 2011, Bilgin 2011). 18

The hamster genus *Mesocricetus* (order: Rodentia, subfamily: Cricetinae) comprises an autochthonous and characteristic element of the Eastern Mediterranean steppe fauna. It may therefore provide a useful model to study the mechanisms of population differentiation in the region, working over a relatively long period.

Mesocricetus originated ~ 8 - 11 million years (my) ago according to genetic data and 23 forms a distinct phylogenetic lineage inside the subfamily Cricetinae (Neumann et al. 24 2006). To date, the oldest fossils identified as *M. primitivus* or *M. aff. primitivus* were 25 excavated from the late Miocene and Pliocene layers in Greece (De Bruijn et. al. 1970, 26 Vasileiadou et al. 2003, Vasileiadou et al. 2012), Turkey (Sen et al. 1998, Üney and 27 28 Brujin 1998, Seyrek et al. 2008, Van den Hoek Ostende et al. 2015) and Israel (Tchernov 1986). Modern Mesocricetus replaced the species eventually during the 29 upper Pliocene and early Pleistocene (Vereshchagin 1959, Storch 1975, Tchernov 30 1975, Gülec 1999). All four contemporary species (M. auratus, M. raddei, M. brandti 31 and *M. newtoni*) are exclusively distributed in south-eastern Europe (Balkan), the 32 Caucasus area and the Near East (Hamar and Shutowa 1966, Neumann et al. 2006). 33 34 Although the historic species count and its distribution was slightly larger than today, it appears that *Mesocricetus* evolved mainly in its current geographic area. There is no
evidence from fossils that the genus experienced any dramatic range shifts during the
past. Based on DNA sequences, recent *Mesocricetus* fall into two main lineages. One
is formed by *M. auratus* and *M. raddei* and a second contains *M. brandti* and *M. newtoni*. Molecular clock calculations date their separation at about 2.5 - 2.7 my ago
(Neumann et al. 2006).

The Turkish or Brandt's hamster (*M. brandti*) occupies by far the widest geographic 7 range of all Mesocricetus species. Its distribution covers Turkish Anatolia, the 8 Transcaucasus (Armenia, Georgia, Azerbaijan) as well as northwestern Iran 9 (Doğramacı et al 1994, Yiğit et al. 2006). Noteworthy, an isolated Ciscaucasian 10 population exists in Dagestan/Russia (Pavlinov et al. 2002). In this region, M. brandti 11 meets the range of another the Mesocricetus species M. raddei. Mesocricetus brandti 12 inhabits arid and semi-arid steppe habitats in lowlands and in mountainous areas up 13 to 2500 metres. It also occurs in cultivated land but the species is much less dependent 14 on agricultural fields than the golden hamster (Mesocricetus auratus). Information 15 concerning the Turkish hamster's biology and population structure is limited (Lyman et 16 al. 1981, Pohl 1985, Yiğit et al. 1997, Pavlinov et al. 2002). Yiğit et al. (2000, 2006) 17 reported substantial morphological and chromosomal variation among populations in 18 Turkey and Iran and suspected a high degree of subspeciation. They concluded that 19 animals from eastern Turkey and Iran might represent an ancestral line. Chromosomal 20 polymorphisms were documented from various populations. The diploid chromosome 21 number of *M. brandti* totals 2n = 42 but there are reports of an additional rare *M. brandti* 22 karyotype of 2n = 44 near Ankara in inner Turkey (Lyman and O'Brien 1977, Popescu 23 and Di Paolo 1980). Differences in the fundamental number of arms of chromosomes 24 (FN) were also specifically found in eastern animals (Todd et al. 1972, Yiğit et al. 2007, 25 O'Brien et al. 2006). 26

Here we provide a first study on the genetic population structure of the Turkish 27 28 hamster. Molecular and fossil data were aligned to reconstruct the species' population history. Since *Mesocricetus brandti* is a typical member of the widespread Anatolian 29 steppe habitats, its genetic and spatial structure may provide a key model for 30 diversification and speciation in many other Turkish rodents. Furthermore, our 31 research will enhance the general knowledge of the various phylogeographic patterns 32 existing in mammals from this still underexplored region (Michaux et al. 2004, 2005, 33 34 Gündüz et al. 2007).

1 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2

3 Animal sampling

Altogether, we sampled tissues of 47 *M. brandti* at different localities in Turkey, western
Iran, Armenia and Dagestan/Russia. Table 1 summarizes the information about
sampling localities and the corresponding numbers of collected animals. Figure 1
provides geographic details about the species' current distribution range and sampling
sites.

9

10 **DNA extraction and processing**

Genomic DNA isolation from fresh or ethanol fixed materials such as ear, liver and muscle followed a protocol supplied with the E.Z.N.A. Tissue DNA Kit II (PEQLAB Biotechnologie).

For DNA analyses, we used sequence information of two partial mitochondrial segments, the control region (*ctr*, 380bp) and the cytochrome b gene (*cytb*; 925bp). PCR-amplification, fragment purification and sequencing followed largely as described in Neumann *et al.* (2004, 2005).

18

19 **DNA sequence statistics**

Sequences were aligned and edited in PROSEQ 3.5 (Filatov 2002). Haplotype 20 diversities (Hd) with corresponding standard deviations (SD) of single and 21 concatenated sequences as well as nucleotide diversity values (π) were calculated in 22 DNASP 5 (Rozas and Rozas 1999). Coalescent simulations (10 000 replicates) 23 implemented in the same program were used to define the 95% confidence limits of π . 24 25 Population statistics as well as phylogenetic analyses were exclusively performed on concatenated DNA sequences to enhance statistical power. DNA sequences of M. 26 newtoni (KY404082, AJ97338), M. auratus (AM904616, EU660218) and two 27 subspecies of *M. raddei* (*M. r. avaricus*: AJ973383, KX023777; *M. r. nigriculus*: 28 AJ973382, KX024778) served as outgroups. 29

30

Haplotype group structure was deduced from phylogenies obtained with gene trees and a sequence network. Tree building was carried out by distance using the maximum likelihood (ML) and neighbour joining (NJ) methods, as implemented in MEGA 6.06

(Tamura et al. 2013). For the construction of the ML tree we used the HKY+F+I 1 algorithm which proved among the most appropriate substitution models. Model tests 2 were carried out with MEGA 6.06 using the AIC criteria (Tamura et al. 2013) and 3 FINDMODEL (Weighbor method based on Jukes-Cantor distances). The web 4 FINDMODEL (http://hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/findmodel/ 5 application findmodel.html) was developed from MODELTEST (Posada and Crandall, 1998). A NJ 6 7 tree was built using the popular Kimura-2 parameter (K2P) distance. Robustness of 8 nodes was confirmed by bootstrapping (1000 replicates). Additionally, a Bayesian tree was constructed in MrBayes v. 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with the HKY+F+I model. 9 Sequence data were used in a single partition. A Markov chain was run for one million 10 generations with sampling every 500 generations until convergence was achieved 11 (standard deviation close to 0.01). A median-joining network based on concatenated 12 sequences was computed in NETWORK 4.1.0.1 (Röhl 2000). The network associates 13 haplotypes according to the number of dividing mutational steps creating a 1-step 14 haplotype topology. The method produces rather robust networks from non-15 recombinant sequences (Bandelt et al. 1999, Wooley et al. 2008). An analysis of 16 molecular variance (AMOVA, Excoffier et al. 1992) was conducted to verify haplotype 17 structuring. The test was performed in ARLEQUIN version 3.10 (Excoffier et al. 2005). 18

A global clock test (Hasegawa et al. 1985) was applied to detect potential rate variation 19 between phylogenetic groups (MEGA 6.06). The method compares the ML values of 20 a given tree topology under the presumptions of a strict (SC) and a relaxed clock (RC). 21 Divergence dates were estimated using Bayesian inferences implemented in BEAST 22 23 1.8.2 (Drummond et al. 2012). We used two calibration constraints based on relaxed clock data of our recent hamster phylogeny (Neumann et al 2006). The first one 24 25 corresponds to the divergence time between *M. brandti+M. newtoni/M. auratus+M.* raddei at 2.7 \pm 0.8 my. The second calibration was the split between *M. newtoni/M.* 26 27 brandti, which is estimated at 1.7 ± 0.6 my. One M. auratus and one M. newtoni sequences were added to our dataset to calibrate the tree. We applied an exponential 28 29 prior on the tmrca (time of the most recent ancestor) of all taxa, which required specification of only the offset and mean. The model of nucleotide substitution that best 30 31 fitted the dataset was estimated with FINDMODEL. Analyses were performed under the GTR+G+I, an uncorrelated lognormal molecular clock, and a Bayesian skyline 32 coalescent tree model. These priors were selected because they better fitted the data 33 than any other molecular clock and population models according to the Bayes factor 34

calculated to compare the models. Two independent runs with MCMC length of 50.10⁶ 1 were performed with sampling every 5000 generations. Convergence of the chains to 2 the stationary distribution was checked using TRACER 1.5 (Rambaut et al. 2009). 3 Additionally, we estimated mean genetic differences among haplotype groups 4 assuming a strict clock using the Kimura-2 parameter (K2P)-model. Calculations were 5 carried out using MEGA 6.06. Corresponding variance was estimated by bootstrapping 6 (1000 replicates). Separation time estimates were based on strict clock data (Neumann 7 et al. 2006). The following references were used: M. brandti+M. newtoni - M. 8 auratus+M. raddei = 2.5 - 2.7 ± 0.2 my, M. newtoni/M. brandti = 1.7 - 1.8 ± 0.1 my. R2-9 statistics was calculated to detect potential signs of recent population expansion in 10 haplotype groups because it proved well suited for small sample sizes (Ramos-Onsins 11 and Rozas 2002). The test was run in DNASP 5 and *p*-values were obtained by 12 coalescence simulations over 1000 replicates as implemented in the same software. 13 14

- 1 **RESULTS**
- 2

3 Sequence diversity in M. brandti

4 *Ctr* sequences showed 37 mutations (15 singletons, 7 transversions) at 35 variable 5 sites resulting in 31 haplotypes. *Hd* measured 0.963 \pm 0.017 with π = 0.016 \pm 0.001. 6 Accession numbers were AM904643 - AM904663, KF149996 - KF150003, and 7 KX023779 - KX0237784.

8 Thirty-seven *cytb* haplotypes (AM904620 - AM904642, KF149989 - KF149995, 9 KX023785 - KX023791) were detected comprising 155 mutations at 149 sites (12 10 singletons, 11 transversions). Fifteen substitutions led to amino acid changes. 11 Haplotype diversity (*Hd*) measured 0.979 ± 0.012 and nucleotide diversity (π) equaled 12 0.046 ± 0.005.

Our data set revealed a slightly lower variation in *ctr* than in *cytb*. Differences cannot be explained by high levels of saturation because of the low numbers of transversions (n = 3) and the lack of sites with multiple substitutions observed in the control region. However, similar reduced rates of sequence evolution in the *ctr* region were already reported in other species (Koh et al. 2000, Ingman et al. 2000).

- 18 Concatenation of *mt* sequences assigned to 40 different haplotypes with $Hd = 0.984 \pm$ 19 0.012 and $\pi = 0.037 \pm 0.003$. All diversity values are summarized in Table 2.
- 20

21 **Population structure and divergence times**

ML-, NJ- and Bayesian trees (Figure 2) performed on the concatenated dataset 22 exhibited almost identical topologies with four main haplotype lineages (LI-LIV) in M. 23 brandti. Lineage LI forms a mix of individuals from south and southeast of the Konya 24 basin (Konya, Yesilköy, Nigde, Meydan) in Central Anatolia as well as hamsters from 25 Eastern Anatolia (Ardahan, Eleşkirt-Ağrı, Erzurum-Çat Yolu, Muş-Malazgirt, Erzurum-26 Horasan) in Turkey. A second main lineage LII is formed by animals from Central 27 Anatolia north/northwest of the Konya basin (Corum, Kırşehir, Kayseri), Eastern 28 Anatolia (Van, Ardahan, Erzurum-Çat Yolu, Erzurum, Erzurum-Horasan) and Armenia. 29 Lineages LIII and LIV contain animals from Iran and Dagestan/Russia, respectively. 30 Lineages LI and LII displayed also further subdivision. 31

The haplotype network of the Turkish hamsters clearly defined four different clades divided by 36 to 74 mutational steps. In this respect, network as well as gene trees gave consistent results. However, the positions of lineages LIII and LIV were even more distinguished in the network than in trees. Altogether, all applied clustering methods revealed deep genetic structuring and defined haplotypes to at least four main monophyletic lineages. Their distinctiveness in trees was supported by high bootstrap values (70 - 100%). Haplotype structuring is also proven by AMOVA results (based on subdivision in four lineages, *Fst* = 0.789, p < 0.001). About 79% of all mtDNA variation was found between lineages and only 21% within.

7 A global clock test revealed that not all concatenated sequences mutated in a 8 clockwise manner (p<0.05). Therefore, we calculated divergence times among lineages according to a strict clock (K2P distance) and a relaxed clock model. All 9 distance and divergence time values were listed in Table 3. K2P distances measured 10 from $0.016 (\pm 0.003) - 0.081 (\pm 0.008)$ and the corresponding divergence times were as 11 follows: LI ~1.2 - 2.6 my, LII ~0.6 - 1.3 my, LIII and LIV split ~0.6 - 1.2 my. Absolute 12 values of an uncorrelated lognormal clock based splits appeared slightly younger ~0.2 13 - 2.3 my. Unfortunately, the use of two rather short mitochondrial fragments and only 14 15 two time calibration points lead to very large confidence intervals and hence not very reliable time estimates with the BEAST program. However, all estimates suggested 16 that divergence in *M. brandti* largely occurred in the middle and older parts of the 17 Pleistocene. Most recent glacial and interglacial events did not significantly influence 18 the main genetic structure of the Turkish hamster. 19

Except for the four main genetic groups, further diversification was particularly observed in LII, which harbors the largest number of individuals and sites. Noteworthy was the separation of an eastern subgroup formed by individuals from Eastern Anatolia and Armenia from Central Anatolian hamsters. Animals from the Lake Van form also a single subclade. The potential divergence times of the two subclades inside LII were placed in the middle Pleistocene (SC: 0.3 - 0.5 my).

26

27 Demographic parameter R2

The analyses of concatenated sequences delivered no significant signs of expansion in any of the *M. brandti* lineages. Only LI edged significance (R2 = 0.166, p = 0.053). The remaining values were as follows: LII (R2 = 0.120, p = 0.209), LIII (R2 = 0.241, p = 0.720) and LIV (R2 = 0.245, p = 0.313). However, in particular the results of LIII and LIV must be considered with care because the low number of individuals (n = 5) surely inflates the power of the statistics. Further analysis on a much larger sample is required in the future.

1 DISCUSSION

2

3

5

4 Genetic diversity and haplotype structure

Although the overall sample size in this study is relatively small, the data set still covers 6 most of the species known range (except Georgia and Azerbaijan) and may therefore 7 8 provide a representative overview with regards to the genetic and spatial diversification in Turkish hamsters. Mitochondrial haplotype and sequence diversities proved very 9 high in contemporary *M. brandti* and in this respect corroborate previous reports on 10 significant protein polymorphisms seen in hamsters from Turkey and Iran (Yiğit et al. 11 2007). The high number of observed haplotypes is associated by deep genetic 12 divergence, where K2P-distances of combined haplotypes measured up to 9.3% 13 (Eleşkirt-Ağrı/Van). Such distance values are higher than the inter-species divergence 14 found in some other steppe rodents (Spermophilus, Microtus) described from the 15 region but match well with mitochondrial divergence data in cytotypes of Anatolian 16 mole rats (Jaarola et al. 2004, Gündüz et al. 2007, Arslan et al. 2010, Kankılıç and 17 Gürpınar 2014). However, high genetic diversity in *M. brandti* is not surprising since 18 the species not only evolved but also persisted in its current distribution range without 19 suffering dramatic population declines, a phenomenon so typical for many central and 20 northern European species. Based on this relatively stable population system, M. 21 brandti developed a highly complex and differentiated population structure over the 22 23 last 2.5 my.

24

25 Current mitochondrial haplotype structure

Our data revealed that modern *M. brandti* populations fall into four deeply diverged mitochondrial lineages, which probably arose from different diversification events. Two haplotype lineages LI and LII are characteristic of Turkey and Transcaucasia, the main distribution area of the species, but they form no obvious spatial pattern. Especially Eastern Anatolia represents a true genetic mix where several localities (e.g. Ardahan, Erzurum region) contain both LI and LII haplotypes.

The absence of a clear haplotype separation between Eastern and Central Anatolian hamsters was unexpected. Previous morphological studies predicted high levels of subspeciation in *M. brandti* across Anatolia and discussed in particular differences between eastern and western populations (Yiğit et al. 2000, 2006). Yiğit et al. (2006, personal communication) found that animals from Eastern Turkey exhibit more locally

distributed color morphs and chromosomal variation than Central Anatolian hamsters. 1 However, pelage color variation proved already a poor indicator of genetic 2 differentiation in other Turkish mammals e.g. the Anatolian brown hare (Sert et al. 3 2005). The expression of different pelage morphs in Eastern Anatolia and 4 Transcaucasia potentially reflects an environment, which differs from the Central 5 Anatolian plains. Altogether, today's LI and LII pattern in *M. brandti* underlines the only 6 7 temporarily effectiveness of geographical and other migration barriers such as the 8 eastern "Anatolian Diagonal" mountains (Dubey et al. 2006, Ansell et al. 2011).

9 The deep haplotype divergence distinguishes *M. brandti* from another widely 10 distributed Turkish steppe rodent, the Anatolian ground squirrel (*Spermophilus* 11 *xanthoprymnus*). Ground squirrels fall in several mitochondrial lineages from west to 12 east but exhibit a much shallower genetic divergence (Gündüz et al. 2007).

However, we found also some evidence for substructuring of Central and Eastern 13 Anatolian populations inside lineage LII. This could be due to limited sampling but may 14 equally imply that ecological and geographic conditions led to moderate population 15 fragmentation. There is also evidence for further structuring in Eastern Anatolia as 16 indicated by the distinctive position of animals from the Lake Van area in gene trees. 17 However, a pronounced genetic fine structure is expected in a rodent with a great 18 population dynamics such as *M. brandti* and should be further explored using other 19 genetic markers. 20

The situation in Central Anatolia appears slightly different from Eastern Turkey. 21 Haplotypes of lineage LI were found specifically in south and west of the Konya basin 22 whereas lineage LII is present more easterly of the basin. A similar horizontal structure 23 was also observed in other Turkish rodents e.g. the Anatolian ground squirrel 24 (Spermophilus xanthoprymnus) which shows two horizontally located and partially 25 overlapping lineages in Central Turkey (Gündüz et al. 2007). The lack of shared 26 haplotypes in our samples could be related to small sample size (n= 4 from the 27 28 southern part of Central Anatolia). However, another example of horizontal population zonation in this part of Turkey represents the broad-toothed field mouse (Apodemus 29 mystacinus) (Michaux et al. 2005). An enzyme study on the grey hamster (Cricetulus 30 *migratorius*) populations in Turkey identified animals from the southern Konya-region 31 as the most diverged group according to an UPGMA-tree (bis et al. 2011). Despite 32 limited sampling, the genetic pattern observed in Central Anatolian M. brandti and 33 34 probably on other Turkish steppe animals is very likely associated with the historic

formation, current geography and climate peculiarities of the large Konya basin, a
drainage basin covering a total of 55 000 km² (Erol 1978, Kuzucuoğlu et al. 1999).
Today, the Konya basin represents a mix of highly xeric steppe and marshy areas. Its
demanding environmental conditions may still impede extensive gene flow among
hamster populations in Central Anatolia.

Animals from Zanjan in Iran and Dagestan/Russia form two other highly distinguished 6 haplotype lineages in Turkish hamsters. Although both groups appear to be well 7 differentiated, they belong to a superior haplotype cluster. It is well possible that they 8 split during a major species expansion event. At this time, one group could have moved 9 northwards, bypassing or crossing the Caucasus Mountains and the other westwards, 10 settling in the western Iranian plains. Today, the Caucasus population from Dagestan 11 represents the most geographically isolated *M. brandti* population so far. It may even 12 be considered to form a distinct subspecies. This could also apply to the Turkish 13 hamsters in northwestern Iran at the eastern distribution edge (Karami et al. 2008). 14 Our data point towards a geographic and genetic distinctiveness of Iranian hamsters. 15 However, a more extensive sampling is required to investigate a potential genetic 16 overlap between Anatolian and Iranian haplotypes in eastern Turkey. 17

18

19 Timing of lineage separation and the evolution of *M. brandti*

Estimating separation times of haplotype groups in *M. brandti* appeared difficult due to 20 some uncertainties concerning the variances in the evolutionary mode, crude mutation 21 rates and the lack of fossil based calibration points. However, since we consider 22 differences on an intraspecific level we still think that a strict clock is acceptable for 23 divergence time calculations. This view is supported by the fact that most absolute 24 relaxed clock estimates are not too far outside the time range of the strict clock based 25 values in our study. All calculations congruently point out that the four main haplotype 26 lineages in *M. brandti* formed around 0.3 - 2.6 my ago during the early and middle 27 28 Pleistocene. None of the major separation events occurred during the last period of glaciation. 29

The oldest phylogenetic split inside *M. brandti* dates to ~1.2 - 2.6 my (SC) before present (BP) and coincides with the dissociation of *M. brandti* and *M. newtoni* ~1.7 -1.8 my ago (Neumann et al. 2006). It seems that the lower Pleistocene marks some of the most fundamental population reorganization events inside the *M. brandti* complex. The timing includes the so-called Early Pleistocene Migration period (1.8 - 2.0 my BP),

a process of extensive species renewal and exchanges in the Eastern Mediterranean 1 region (Koufos et al. 2005). It is possible, that during this diversification of *M. brandti*-2 type populations one of the most western Anatolian populations eventually moved to 3 the Balkans and after physical isolation, evolved in today's *M. newtoni*. In contrast, 4 frequent gene flow prevented from further speciation inside Anatolia. This scenario 5 does not necessarily support the idea of a sole evolutionary centre in eastern Anatolia 6 7 as postulated by Yiğit (2006). It could equally be that at least some diversification in M. brandti occurred in western Turkey. There is more evidence in favour of such a 8 hypothesis. A survey on protein polymorphisms identified Turkish hamsters from 9 central Anatolia as the most basal group compared to eastern Anatolian and Iranian 10 specimen (Yiğit et al. 2007). Studies on morphological and karyological characteristics 11 revealed no striking variation in central Anatolia compared to eastern Anatolia (Yiğit et 12 al. 2000, Yiğit et al. 2006) but there are reports of a single rare karyotype variant (2n = 13 44) near Ankara (Lyman and O'Brian 1977, Popescu and Di Paolo 1980). 14

Although, the fossil record is far from conclusive; there is additional support for a hot 15 spot of Mesocricetus/M. brandti evolution in a Western/Central Anatolian centre. At 16 first, *M. primitivus*, the ancestor of modern *Mesocricetus*, existed during the Pliocene 17 in Greece (De Bruijn et al. 1970) and is known as *M. cf. primitivus* from the Pliocene 18 and Early Pleistocene layers at several fossil sites in Anatolia including western Turkey 19 (Sen et al. 1998, Ünay and de Bruijn H. 1998, Suata-Alpaslan 2009, van den Hoek 20 Ostende et al. 2015). It is well plausible, that *M. brandtlnewtoni* descended from a 21 western and/or central Anatolian population whereas a more eastern *M. primitivus* 22 population was the ancestor of the *M. auratus/raddei* lineage. Unfortunately, reports 23 on Pleistocene M. brandti fossils from its current range are scanty and faithful 24 discrimination from *M. auratus* on the bases of bone fragments and teeth is often 25 impossible (Yiğit et al. 2003, 2006). Despite these confinements, hamsters of the 26 auratus/brandti-type occurred throughout the Quaternary in Anatolia (Storch et al. 27 1988, Sen et al. 1991, Güleç et al. 1999, Suata-Alpaslan 2011a, 2011b). An early 28 record stems from the lower Pleistocene at Dursunlu in the Konya region/Central 29 Anatolia (Güleç et al. 1999). There is no fossil record of *M. brandti* in eastern Anatolia 30 dating from a comparable period. Another argument in favour of a western/central 31 Anatolian evolutionary centre comes from M. newtoni. Fossils catalogued as M. 32 newtoni and M. cf. newtoni were excavated in the Balkan area and Turkish Thrace 33 34 (Santel and Königswald 1998, Munteanu et al. 2008). Oldest fossils date to the middle

Pleistocene implying that genetic differentiation between *M. brandti* and *M. newtoni* 1 very likely occurred in western Turkey. The process of divergence itself could be 2 associated with a fundamentally changing environment following the desiccation of the 3 extensive Pliocene lake system in central Anatolia. Alternating periods of dryness and 4 spreading lakes with marshy steppe caused dramatic oscillations in living conditions in 5 inner and western Anatolia during the Pleistocene including the Konya basin (Erol 6 1978, Kuzucuoğlu et al. 1999, Özsayin et al. 2013). Spreading steppe corridors could 7 also have allowed frequent emigration to eastern Anatolia. Differentiation between 8 Central and typical Eastern Anatolian haplotypes as well substructuring inside Eastern 9 Anatolia happened ca. 0.3 - 0.5 my (RC: ~0.2 my) ago. This middle Pleistocene period 10 included several switches between warmer and colder periods, which may have had 11 some significant effects on hamsters in Eastern Anatolia, and Transcaucasia (Cukur 12 et al. 2014). The fossil record from the Caucasus is too limited to allow a reliable 13 reconstruction of its colonization history by *M. brandti*. Specimens of *M. brandti/auratus* 14 type were probably present in Transcaucasia since the Palaeolithic (Vereshchagin 15 1959, Pinhasi et al. 2011). Mesocricetus fossils were already found in the Galerian 16 layers (>0.5 my BP) in the Transcaucasus but they mainly assemble *M. raddei* 17 (Baryshnikov 2002). According to that, hamsters from the Transcaucasus may have 18 experienced repeated species replacement and most likely retreated frequently from 19 the mountains during very cold climate spells. They recolonized them from eastern 20 Anatolian refugia. Fossils representing *M. brandti* in Armenia are not older than 50 000 21 years BP (Pinhasi et al. 2011, Kandel et al. 2011). 22

We estimated the split of the most eastern populations from Iran and Dagestan/Russia 23 from Anatolian populations to approximately ~0.6 - 1.3 my ago (RC: ~0.6 my). 24 Hamsters from Iran and Dagestan probably split soon afterwards $\sim 0.5 - 1.2$ my ago, 25 (RC: ~0.3 my) perhaps in the course of further range extensions. The published fossil 26 record does not allow any validation of the dating. The few Mesocricetus fossils from 27 28 western Iran date to younger epochs of the Pleistocene e.g. the younger Mousterian period (Turnbull 1974, 1975) and the late Pleistocene (Hashemi et al. 2006). However, 29 it is very likely that the Turkish hamster reached that area much earlier. M. brandti from 30 31 Dagestan emerged from a northern advance probably along the shores of the Caspian Sea passing the Caucasus during a major drop of the sea level. Several significant sea 32 level fluctuations of the Caspian Sea (e.g. the Bakunian Transgression ~ 0.85 - 0.88 33 34 my BP) occurred during the Pleistocene caused by plate tectonics and climate change

(Avdeev and Niemi 2011, Badertscher et al. 2011, Van Baak et al. 2013). During these 1 periods land passages with xeric steppe conditions opened and allowed an exchange 2 of terrestrial animals between the Iranian plateau and eastern Ciscaucasia 3 (Vereshchagin 1959, Koufus et al. 2005). A water level rise may have finally sealed 4 the isolation of the Dagestan population. Alternatively, migration across the high 5 mountains during suitable climatic conditions appears also possible and may have 6 happened alongside dry riverbeds. Although fossils provide proof of the presence of 7 8 Mesocricetus in the Caucasus during the Pleistocene, findings around the Caspian Sea e.g. the Apsheron peninsula belong to younger Pleistocene layers (Vereshagin 9 1959). 10

11 12

14

13 Conclusions

The Turkish hamster displays considerable mitochondrial haplotype divergence in its 15 contemporary geographic range. All main lineages probably evolved before the late 16 Pleistocene and originated from major events of the species' evolutionary process. In 17 Turkey and Transcaucasia, we found two main maternal lineages without a significant 18 spatial structure. A finding, which contradicts morphological studies, predicted a high 19 degree of differentiation between central and eastern Anatolian hamsters. The finding 20 proves that mountain barriers in Turkey were no insurmountable obstacles for this 21 22 particular steppe rodent and gene flow was frequent over time and across Anatolia. 23 However, there is evidence that the complex basin structure in central Anatolia played an important role for population fragmentation and population diversification. Hamsters 24 25 from Iran and Dagestan form another two main genetic lineages, which originated from east and northwards population expansions. Altogether, we postulate that complex 26 27 climatic and tectonic events formed the phylogenetic shape of the Turkish hamster. There is also evidence that western/central Anatolia provided a major evolutionary 28 29 hotspot for *M. brandti*. The analysis of more samples and the use of additional markers like nuclear microsatellites in the future could provide further clarification of the 30 31 phylogenetic history of this interesting rodent.

- 32
- 33

34 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the General Zoology laboratory at the Martin Luther University for their technical support. We would like to thank all scientists and students who carried

Biological Resources, RAS) for providing tissue samples from Dagestan. Field 2 expeditions were also sponsored by TÜBİTAK TÜBİTAK (TBAG-1574) as part of an 3 academic exchange programme between TÜBİTAK (TBAG-U/17), Turkey, and 4 JULICH (42.6.K0A.6.A), Germany 5 6 7 8 References Ansell, S.W., Stenøien, H.K., Grundmann, M., Russell, S.J., Koch, M.A., Schneider, 9 H., Vogel, J.C., 2011. The importance of Anatolian mountains as the cradle of global 10 diversity in Arabis alpina, a key arctic-alpine species. Ann. Bot. 108, 241-252. 11 12 Arslan, E., Gülbahçe, E., Arıkoğlu, Arslan, V., Bužan, E.V.H., Kryśtufek, B., 2010. 13 Mitochondrial divergence between three cytotypes of the Anatolian Mole Rat, 14 15 Nannospalax xanthodon (Nordmann, 1840). Zool. Middle East 50, 27-34. 16 17 Avdeev, B., Niemi, N.A., 2011. Rapid Pliocene exhumation of the central Greater Caucasus constrained by low-temperature thermochronometry. Tectonics 30, 1-16. 18 19 Badertscher, S., Fleitmann, D., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Gokturk, O.M., Zumbuhl, A., 20 Leuenberger, M., Tuysuz, O., 2011. Pleistocene water intrusions from the 21 Mediterranean and CSs into the Black Sea. Nat. Geosci. 4, 236–239. 22 23 Bandelt, H.J., Forster, P., Röhl, A., 1999. Median-Joining Networks for Inferring 24 Intraspecific Phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 37-48. 25 26 Baryshnikov, G.F., 2002. Local biochronology of Middle and Late Pleistocene 27 28 mammals from the Caucasus. Russ. J. Theriol. 1, 61-67. 29 Bilgin, R., Karatas, A., Coraman, E., Disotell, T., Morales, J.C., 2008. Regionally and 30 climatically restricted patterns of distribution of genetic diversity in a migratory bat 31 species, Miniopterus schreibersii (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). BMC Evol. Biol. 8, 32 209. 33

out field work related to this study especially Dr. K. Omarov (Precaspian Institute of

34

1

- Bilgin, R., 2011. Back to the Suture: The distribution of intraspecific genetic diversity in
 and around Anatolia. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 12, 4080-4103.
- 3

Cukur, D., Krastel, S., Schmincke, H.U., Sumita, M., Tomonaga, Y., Namik Çagatay,
M., 2014. Water level changes in Lake Van, Turkey, during the past ca. 600 ka:
Climatic, volcanic and tectonic controls. J. Paleolimnol. 52, 201-214.

7

⁸ De Bruijn, H., Dawson, M.R., Mein, P., 1970. Upper Pliocene rodentia, Lagomorpha
⁹ and Insectivora (Mammalia) from the Isla of Rhodes (Greece) I-III. Proc. koninkl.
¹⁰ Nederl. Akad. Wet. B 73, 314-338.

- 11
- Doğramacı, S., Kefelioğlu, H., Gündüz, İ., 1994. Karyological analysis of the species
 of *Mesocricetus* (Mammalia, Rodentia) in Anatolia. Turk. J. Zool. 18, 41-45.
- 14

Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A., 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics
with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29, 1969-1973.

17

Dubey, S., Zaitsev, M., Cosson, J.-F., Abdukadier, A., Vogel, P., 2006. Pliocene and
 Pleistocene diversification and multiple refugia in a Eurasian shrew (*Crocidura suaveolens* group). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 38, 635-647.

21

Dubey, S., Cosson, J.-F., Magnanou, E., Vohrálik, V., Benda, P., Frynta, D., Hutterer,
R., Vogel, V., Vogel, P., 2007. Mediterranean populations of the lesser white-toothed
shrew (*Crocidura suaveolens* group): an unexpected puzzle of Pleistocene survivors
and prehistoric introductions. Mol. Ecol. 16, 3438-3452.

26

Erol, O., 1978. The Quaternary history of the lake basins of central and southern
Anatolia, in: Brice, W.E., (Ed.), The environmental history of the Near and Middle East
since the Last Ice Age. London, pp.111-139.

30

Excoffier, L., Smouse, P., Quattro, J., 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131, 479-491.

Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S., 2005. Arlequin (version 3.0): An integrated 1 software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol. Bioinform. Online 1, 47-2 50. 3 4 Filatov, D.A., 2002. PROSEQ: A software for preparation and evolutionary analysis of 5 DNA sequence data sets. Mol. Ecol. Notes. 2, 621-624. 6 7 Güleç, E., Howell, C., White, T.D., 1999. Dursunlu-A new lower Pleistocene faunal and 8 artifact-bearing locality in southern Anatolia, in: Ullrich, H. (Ed.), Hominid Evolution: 9 Lifestyles and survival strategies. Edition Archea: Berlin, pp. 349-364. 10 11 Gündüz, I., Jaarola, M., Tez, C., Yeniyurt, C., Polly, P.D., Searle, J.B., 2007. Multigenic 12 and morphometric differentiation of ground squirrels (Spermophilus, Scuiridae, 13 Rodentia) in Turkey, with a description of a new species. Mol. Phylogenet. and Evol. 14 15 43, 916–935. 16 Hamar, M., Schutowa, M., 1966. Neue Daten über die geographische Veränderlichkeit 17 und die Entwicklung der Gattung Mesocricetus Nehring, 1898 (Glires, Mammalia). Z. 18 Säugetierk. 31, 237-251. 19 20 Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H., Yano, T., 1985. Dating the human-ape splitting by a 21 molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J. Mol. Evol. 22, 160 - 174. 22 23 Hashemi, N., Darvish, A., Mashkour, M., Biglari, F., 2006. Rodents and lagomorphs 24 remains from late Pleistocene and early Holocene Caves and Rochshelter sites in the 25 Zagros region, Iran. Iran. J. Anim. Biosystem. (IJAB) 2, 25-33. 26 27 İbiş, O., Tez, C., Özcan, S., Kiliç, M., Telcioğlu, M., 2011. A preliminary study of the 28 allozyme variation in the grey hamster *Cricetulus migratorius* (Mammalia: Rodentia), 29 from the Asian part of Turkey. Arch. Biol. Sci., Belgrade 63, 381-383. 30 31 Ingman, M., Kaessmann, H., Pääbo, S., Gyllensten, U., 2000. Mitochondrial genome 32 variation and the origin of modern humans. Nature 408, 708-713. 33 34

Jaarola, M., Martínková, N., Gündüz, İ., Brunhoff, C., Zima, J., Nadachowski, A., Amori, 1 G., Bulatova, N.S., Chondropoulos, B., Fraguedakis-Tsolis, S., González-Esteban, J., 2 José López-Fuster, M., Kandaurov, A.S., Kefelioğlu, H., da Luz Mathias, M., et al., 3 2004. Molecular phylogeny of the speciose vole genus Microtus (Arvicolinae, 4 Rodentia) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 33, 647-5 63. 6 7 8 Kandel, A.W., Gasparyan, B., Bruch, A.A., Weissbrod, L., Zardaryan, D., 2011. Introducing Aghitu-3, the First Upper Paleolithic Cave Site in Armenia. ARAMAZD 9 Armen. J. Near East. Stud. 6, 7-23. 10 11 Kankılıç, T., Gürpınar C., 2014. Revised classification design of the Anatolian species 12 of Nannospalax (Rodentia: Spalacidae) using RFLP analysis. Turk. J. Zool. 38, 68-78. 13 14 Karami, M., Hutterer, R., Benda, P., Siahsarvie, R., Kryśtufek, B., 2008. Annotated 15 checklist of the mammals of Iran. Lynx (Praha) 39, 63-102. 16 17 Koh, H.S., Lee, W.-J., Kocher, T.D., 2000. The genetic relationship of two subspecies 18 of striped field mice, Apodemus agrarius coreae and Apodemus agrarius chejuensis. 19 Heredity 85, 30-36. 20 21 Koufos, G.D., 2003. Late Miocene mammal events and biostratigraphy in the Eastern 22 Mediterranean. In: Reumer, J.W.F, Wessels, W. (eds.), Distribution and migration of 23 tertiary mammals in Eurasia. Deinsea 10, 343-371. 24 25 Koufos, G.D., Kostopoulos, D.S., Vlachou, T.D., 2005. Neogene/Quaternary 26 mammalian migrations in Eastern Mediterranean. Belg. J. Zool. 135, 181-190. 27 28 Kryśtufek, B., Vohrálik, V., Obuch J., 2009. Endemism, vulnerability and conservation 29 issues for small terrestrial mammals from the Balkans and Anatolia. Folia Zool. 58, 30 291-302. 31 32 Kuzucuoğlu, C., Bertaux, J., Black, S., Denefle, M., Fontugne, M., Karabıyıkoğlu, M., 33 34 Kashima, K., Limondin-Lozouet, N., Mouralis, D., Orth, P., 1999. Reconstruction of

1	climatic changes during the Late Pleistocene, based on sediment records from the
2	Konya Basin (Central Anatolia, Turkey). Geol. J., Special Issue: Advances in Turkish
3	Geology, Part I 34, 175–198.
4	
5	Lyman, C., O'Brian, R.A., 1977. Laboratory study of the Turkish hamster Mesocricetus
6	<i>brandti</i> . Breviora 442, 1-27.
7	
8	Lyman, C.P., O'Brien, R.C., Greene, G.C., Papafrangos, E.D., 1981. Hibernation and
9	longevity in the Turkish hamster Mesocricetus brandti. Science 212, 668-670.
10	
11	Michaux, J.R., Libois, R., Paradis, E., Filipucci, M.G., 2004. Phylogeographic history
12	of the yellow-necked fieldmouse (Apodemus flavicollis) in Europe and in the Near and
13	Middle East. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 32, 788-798.
14	
15	Michaux, J.R., Bellinvia, E., Lymberakis, P., 2005. Taxonomy, evolutionary history and
16	biogeography of the broad-toothed field mouse (Apodemus mystacinus) in the eastern
17	Mediterranean are based on mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 85,
18	53-63.
19	
20	Munteanu, M.T., Munteanu, E., Ştiucă, E., Macalet, R., Dumitraşcu, G., 2008. Some
21	aspects concerning the Quarternary deposits in south Dobrogea. Acta Palaeontol.
22	Rom. v6, 229-236.
23	
24	Neumann, K., Jansman, H., Kayser, A., Maak, S., Gattermann, R., 2004. Multiple
25	bottlenecks in threatened western European populations of the common hamster
26	Cricetus cricetus (L.). Conserv. Genet. 5, 181-193.
27	
28	Neumann, K., Michaux, J.R., Maak, S., Jansman, H.A.H., Kayser, A., Mundt, G.,
29	Gattermann, R., 2005. Genetic spatial structure of European common hamsters
30	(Cricetus cricetus)-a result of repeated range expansion and demographic bottlenecks.
31	Mol. Ecol. 14, 1473-1483.
32	
33	Neumann, K., Michaux, J., Lebedev, V., Yiğit., N., Colak, E., Ivanova, N., Poltoraus,
34	A., Surov, A., Markov, G., Maak, S., Neumann, S., Gattermann, R., 2006. Molecular

phylogeny of the Cricetinae subfamily based on the mitochondrial cytochrome b and 1 12S rRNA genes and the nuclear VWF gene. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 39, 135-148. 2 3 O'Brien, S.J., Menninger, J.C., Nash, W.G., 2006. Atlas of Mammalian Chromosomes, 4 John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, N.J., USA. 5 6 Özsayin, E., Ciner, A., Rojay, B., Dirik, K., Melnick, D., Fernandez-Blanco, D., Bertotti, 7 G., Schildgen, T.F., Garcin, Y., Strecker, M.R., Sudo, M., 2013. Plio-Quaternary 8 9 extensional tectonics of the Central Anatolian Plateau: A case study from the Tuz Gölü Basin, Turkey. Turk. J. Earth Sci. 22, 1-24. 10 11 Pavlinov, I.Y., Kruskop, S.V., Varshavskii, A.A., Borisenko, A.V., 2002. Land beasts of 12 Russia. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, Russia. 13 14 15 Pinhasi, R., Gasparian, B., Nahapetyan, S., Bar-Oz, G., Weissbrod, L., Bruch, A.A., Hovsepyan, R., Wilkinson, K., 2011. Middle Palaeolithic human occupation of the high 16 altitude region of Hovk-1, Armenia. Quat. Sci. Rev. 30, 3846–3857. 17 18 Pohl, H., 1985. The circadian system of the Turkish hamster, Mesocricetus brandti, 19 responses to light. Comp. Biochem. Phys. A 81, 613-618. 20 21 Popescu, N.C., Di Paolo, J.A., 1980. Chromosomal interrelationship of hamster 22 species of the genus Mesocricetus. Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 28, 10-23. 23 24 Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. 25 Bioinformatics 14, 817-818. 26 27 Rambaut, A., Ho, S.Y., Drummond, A.J., Shapiro, B., 2009. Accommodating the effect 28 of ancient DNA damage on inferences of demographic histories. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26, 29 30 245-248. 31 Ramos-Onsins, S.E., Rozas, J., 2002. Statistical properties of new neutrality tests 32 against population growth. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19, 2092-2100. 33

1 Röhl, A., 2000. Network: Fluxus Technology Ltd., Clare, Uk.

2

- Ronguist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, 3 B., Liu, L., Suchard, M.A., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian 4 phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61, 5 539-542. 6 7 Rozas, J., Rozas, R., 1999. DnaSP version 3: an integrated program for molecular 8 population genetics and molecular evolution analysis. Bioinformatics 15, 174-175. 9 Santel, W., Koenigswald, W., 1998. Preliminary report on the middle Pleistocene small 10 mammalian fauna from Yarimburgaz Cave in Turkish Thrace.- Eiszeitalter und 11 Gegenwart 48, 162-169. 12 13 Sert, H., Suchentrunk, F., Erdoğan, A., 2005. Genetic diversity within Anatolian brown 14 15 hares (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) and differentiation among Anatolian and European populations. Mamm. Biol. 70, 171-186. 16 17 Sen, S., de Bonis, L., Dalfes, N., Geraads, D., Jaeger, J.J., Mazin, J.M., 1991. 18 Première découverte d'un site à mammifères pléistocènes dans une fissure karstique 19 en Anatolie centrale. CR Acad Sci II 313, 127–132. 20 21 Sen, S., Bouvrain, G., Geraads, D., 1998. Paleoecology, biogeography and 22 biochronology. In: Sen, S. (ed), Pliocene vertebrate locality of Calta, Ankara, Turkey. 23 12. Paleoecology, biogeography and biochronology. Geodiversitas 20, 497-510. 24 25 Seyrek, A., Westaway, R., Pringle, M., Yurtmen, S., Tuncer, D., Rowbotham, G., 2008. 26 Timing of the Quaternary Elazığ volcanism, Eastern Turkey, and its significance for 27 28 constraining landscape evolution and surface uplift. Turk. J. Earth Sci. 17, 497–541. 29 Storch, G., 1975. Eine mittelpleistozäne Nager-Fauna von der Insel Chios, Agäis 30 (Mammalia: Rodentia). Senckenbergiana Biol. 56, 165-189. 31 32 Storch, G., 1988. Eine jungpleistozäne/altholozäne Kleinsäuger-Abfolge von Antalya, 33
- 34 SW-Anatolien (Mammalia, Rodentia). Z. Säugetierkd. 53, 76–82.

1	
2	Suata-Alpaslan, F., 2009. Occitanomys (Rhodomys) vandami nov. sp. (Muridae,
3	Mammalia) from the Early Pliocene/Early Ruscinian Fauna of Iğdeli (Turkey).
4	The Open Geology Journal 3, 64-69.
5	
6	Suata-Alpaslan, F., 2011a. Paleoenvironment and age of the Middle Pleistocene site
7	of Gölbaşı (near Adıyaman, southeastern Turkey): a reconstruction based on rodents.
8	Eurasian J. Anthropol. 2, 48–53.
9	
10	Suata-Alpaslan, F., 2011b. Some small mammal fossils of Üçağızlı Cave (Hatay,
11	Turkey). Turk. J. Zool. 35, 755-768.
12	
13	Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6: Molecular
14	Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol. 30, 2725–2729.
15	
16	Tchernov, E., 1975. Rodent faunas and environmental changes in the Pleistocene of
17	Israel. In: Prakash I., Ghosh P.K. (eds.), Rodents in desert environments.
18	Monographiae Biologicae 28, The Hague, 331-362.
19	
20	Tchernov, E., 1986. The Rodents and lagomorphs from 'Ubeidiya' formation:
21	Systematics, Paleoecology and Biogeography. Department of Zoology, The Hebrew
22	University of Jerusalem, 5.
23	
24	Todd, N.B., Nixon, C.W., Mulvaney, D.A., Conelly, M.E., 1972. Karyotypes of
25	Mesocricetus brandti and hybridization within the genus. J. Hered. 63, 73-77.
26	
27	Turnbull, P.F., 1975. Prehistoric Rodents of the Middle East. In: Prakash I., Ghosh P.K.
28	(eds.), Rodents in Desert environments. Monographiae Biologicae 28, The Hague, 363
29	- 378.
30	
31	Turnbull, P.F., Reed, C.A., 1974. The Fauna from the Terminal Pleistocene of
32	Palegawra Cave. Fieldiana Anthropol. 63, 81-146.
33	
34	Ünay, E., de Bruijn, H., 1998. Plio – Pleistocene rodents and lagomorphs from Anatolia.

```
Mededelingen Nederlands Instituut voor toegepaste Geoweten Schappen TNO 60,
 1
     431-466.
 2
 3
    Van Baak, C.G.C., Vasiliev, I., Stoica, M., Kuiper, K.F., Forte, A.M., Aliyeva, E.,
 4
    Krijgsman, W., 2013. A magnetostratigraphic time frame for Plio-Pleistocene
 5
    transgressions in the South Caspian Basin, Azerbaijan. Global Planet. Change 103,
 6
 7
     119-134.
 8
     Kryštufek, B., Vohralík, V., Obuch, J., 2009. Endemism, vulnerability and conservation
 9
    issues for small terrestrial mammals from the Balkans and Anatolia. Folia Zool. 58,
10
11
     291-302.
12
     Van den Hoek Ostende, L.W., Diepeveen, F., Tesakow, A., Saraç, G., Mayhew, D.,
13
     Alçiçek, A.C., 2015. On the brinck: micromammals from the latest Villanyian from
14
15
     Bıçakçı (Anatolia). Geol. J. 50, 230-245.
16
17
     Vasileiadou, K.V., Syrides, G.E., Koufos, G.D., 2003. Silata, a new locality with
     micromammals from the Miocene/Pliocene bound of the Chalkidiki peninsula,
18
     Macedonia, Greece. Deinsea 10, 549-562.
19
20
     Vasileiadou, K.V., Konidaris, G., Koufos, G.D., 2012. New data on the
21
     micromammalian locality of Kessani (Thrace, Greece) at the Mio-Pliocene boundary.
22
     Palaeobiodiversity Palaeoenvironments 92, 211-237.
23
24
     Vereshchagin, N.K., 1959. The mammals of the Caucasus. A history of the evolution
25
     of the fauna. Moskau, Leningrad, (English translation, Jerusalem 1969).
26
27
    Woolley, S.M., Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 2008. A Comparison of Phylogenetic
28
     Network Methods Using Computer Simulation. PLoS ONE 3.
29
30
     Yiğit, N., Çolak, E., Kıvanç, E., Sözen, M., 1997. A study on reproduction biology of
31
32
     Mesocricetus brandti (Nehring, 1898) (Mammalia: Rodentia) in Turkey. Turk. J. Zool.
     21, 343-348.
33
34
```

Yiğit, N., Çolak, E., Sözen, M., Özkurt, Ş., Verimli, R., 2000. The distribution, 1 morphology, and karyology of the genus Mesocricetus (Mammalia: Rodentia) in 2 Turkey. Folia Zool. 49, 167-174. 3 4 Yiğit, N., 2003. Age-dependent cranial variations in *Mesocricetus brandti* (Mammalia: 5 Rodentia) distributed in Turkey. Turk. J. Zool. 27, 65-71. 6 7 Yiğit, N., Çolak, E., Gattermann, R., Neumann, K., Özkurt, Ş., Gharkheloo, M.M., 8 Fritzsche, P., Colak, R., 2006. Morphological and Biometrical Comparisons of 9 Mesocricetus Nehring, 1898 (Mammalia: Rodentia) species distributed in the 10 Palaearctic Region. Turk. J. Zool. 30, 291-299. 11 12 Yiğit, N., Kankılıç, T., Çolak, R., Çolak, E., Gattermann, R., Neumann, K., Özkurt, Ş., 13 Gharkheloo, M.M., 2007. Allozyme Variations and Genetic Differentiation in 14 15 Mesocricetus brandti Nehring, 1898 and Mesocricetus auratus (Waterhouse, 1839) (Mammalia: Rodentia). Turk. J. Zool. 31, 219-227. 16

1 Tables

Table 1 Geographic origins and numbers of the *M. brandti* specimens sampled for mtDNA analyses.

Country	Geographic location	No of animals tested
Turkey	Konya (Central Turkey)	1
•	Nigde (Central Turkey)	1
	Yesilköy (Central Turkey)	1
	Meydan (Central Turkey)	1
	Kırşehir Central Turkey)	4
	Kayseri (Central Turkey)	4
	Çorum (Central Turkey)	1
	Erzurum (Eastern Turkey)	1
	Erzurum-Çat Yolu (Eastern Turkey)	2
	Erzurum-Horasan (Eastern Turkey)	3
	Ardahan (Eastern Turkey)	10
	Van (Eastern Turkey)	4
	Muş-Malazgirt (Eastern Turkey)	1
	Eleşkirt-Ağrı (Eastern Turkey)	1
Iran	Zanjan	5
Armenia	Gyumri (Transcaucasus)	2
Russia	Levashi (Dagestan-Ciscaucasus)	5
	All	47

Table 2 Diversity measures of mitochondrial sequences (haplotype number N_H , nucleotide diversity π , 7 haplotype diversity *Hd*) obtained from the entire *M. brandti* sample.

Mitochondrial sequences	N _н (n)	$\pi \pm SD$	Hd ± SD
ctr	31 (47)	0.016 ± 0.001	0.963 ± 0.017
cyt b	37 (47)	0.046 ± 0.005	0.979 ± 0.012
ctr + cytb	40 (47)́	0.037 ± 0.003	0.984 ± 0.012

Table 3 Genetic distance measures and divergence time estimates of mitochondrial haplotype lineages in M. brandti based on strict (SC) and relaxed clock (RC) calculations

Separation events between and	Mean <i>K2P</i> distance/ Time of divergence in my (SC)	Bayes Test/ Time of divergence in my (RC)
LI - LII, LIII, LIV	0.081 (0.008) ~1.4 - 2.6 ¹ ~1.2 - 2.1 ²	~2.3 ^{1,2}
LII - LIII, LIV	0.040 (0.005) ~0.7 - 1.3 ¹ ~0.6 - 1.1 ²	~0.6 ^{1,2}
LIII -LIV	0.034 (0.005) ~0.6 - 1.2 ¹ ~0.5 - 0.9 ²	~0.3 ^{1,2}
LII (Central Anatolia - Eastern Anatolia)	0.017 (0.002) ~0.3 - 0.5 ¹ ~0.3 - 0.4 ²	~0.2 ^{1,2}
LII (Ardahan, Erzurum, Armenia - Van)	0.016 (0.003) ~0.3 - 0.5 ¹ ~0.3 - 0.4 ²	~0.18 ^{1,2}

SC and RC divergence time estimates are based on the splits of *M. auratus+M. raddei/M. brandti+M.* 5 6 7

newtoni¹⁾ and *M. brandtilM. newtoni²⁾* (Neumann et al. 2006). Variances for K2P distances were

calculated by bootstrapping (1000 replicates). Confidence values for RC estimates were too high and 8 therefore not presented.

Figure captures 1

2

3

4 Figure 1 Distribution area of *Mesocricetus brandti* (grey with solid line) with sampling sites. White circles correspond to main haplotype lineage LI and black circles to LII. 5 Circles in white and black indicate locations harbouring both lineages (LI and LII). The 6 light grey triangle marks LIII and the dark grey square symbolizes LIV. Areas in light 7 grey framed by a dotted line show distribution areas of the other three *Mesocricetus* 8 9 species.

10

Figure 2 Topology of a ML-tree based on *M. brandti* haplotypes (*ctr+cytb*). Numbers 11 on branches are bootstrap values (NJ-K2P/ML-HKY+F+I/Bayesian-HKY+F+I) based 12 13 on 1000 replicates. LI-LIV mark main haplotype lineages.

14

Figure 3 Median-joining network reconstructed from 47 cytb haplotypes obtained from 15 different Turkish hamster populations. Squares (solid lines) refer two main haplotype 16 lineages and circles (dotted lines) indicate potential subdivision. Numbers on links 17 signify mutational steps (more than one) dividing haplotypes. Sizes of circles correlate 18 with the frequency of haplotypes. LI-LIV mark main haplotype lineages. Abbreviations 19 are as follows: CT= Central Turkey, ET= Eastern Turkey. 20

1 Figure 1



1 Figure 2



- 1 Figure 3

