#### **UEE** Urban & Environmental Engineering



6<sup>th</sup> European Drying Conference

# Convective drying : experimental campaign and numerical modelling

J. Hubert<sup>1</sup> – E. Plougonven<sup>2</sup> – A. Leonard<sup>2</sup> – F. Collin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université de Liège – Dept. ArGEnCo
 <sup>2</sup> Université de Liège – Dept. Chemical Engineering

Thesis director : Frédéric Collin

Monday 19<sup>th</sup> of June



#### SUMMARY OF THE PRESENTATION

- Scope of the study
- Experimental campaign
- Experimental results
- Model
- Numerical results
- Conclusion

#### NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

- High activity long life radioactive wastes need to be isolated for a long period of time ⇒ Deep geological storage
  - Stable and low permeability rock formation required
    - $\Rightarrow$  in Belgium the studied formation is Boom Clay



19/06/2017

2

#### DEEP GEOLOGICAL STORAGE

Burial shaft and multi barrier principle:



## SUMMARY OF THE PRESENTATION

- Scope of the study
- Material and method
- Experimental results
- Model
- Numerical results
- Conclusion

#### EXPERIMENTAL CAMPAIGN

Samples preparation



Initial core

Extracted samples

Saturation

Optimization

Finished samples



#### EXPERIMENTAL CAMPAIGN

Convective drying tests





Drying conditions		
Temperature	25°C	
Humidity	3,5 %	
Air flow	0,8 m/s	



#### EXPERIMENTAL CAMPAIGN

Data acquisition



thethouse



Hole filling and binarization



Skyscan 1172



MATERIAL AND METHOD

7



## SUMMARY OF THE PRESENTATION

- Scope of the study
- Material and method
- Experimental results
- Model
- Numerical results
- Conclusion



Theory of porous media convective drying

#### Julien Hubert





Theory of porous media convective drying





Theory of porous media convective drying

#### Julien Hubert



### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Drying kinetics





#### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Shrinkage





## SUMMARY OF THE PRESENTATION

- Scope of the study
- Material and method
- Experimental results
- Model
- Numerical results
- Conclusion

Porous medium



#### Julien Hubert



Internal Water transfer



Boundary layer model



#### Julien Hubert

Thermal model



- Mechanical model
  - Expressed in effective stress

$$\sigma_{ij}' = \sigma_{ij} - p_g \delta_{ij} + S_{r,w} (p_g - p_w) \delta_{ij}$$

• 3D orthotropic elastic model



• Non linear elasticity :

$$E = E_0 + E_{ref} \left(\frac{p'}{p_{ref}}\right)^b$$

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{e}}_{\mathsf{i}\mathsf{j}\mathsf{k}\mathsf{l}}\sigma'_{ij}$$

$$\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{e}}_{\mathsf{l}\mathsf{k}\mathsf{l}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{E_{\parallel}} & -\frac{\nu_{\perp,\parallel}}{E_{\perp}} & -\frac{\nu_{z,\parallel}}{E_{\perp}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{\nu_{\parallel,\perp}}{E_{\parallel}} & \frac{1}{E_{\perp}} & -\frac{\nu_{z,\perp}}{E_{z}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{\nu_{\parallel,z}}{E_{\parallel}} & -\frac{\nu_{\perp,z}}{E_{\perp}} & \frac{1}{E_{z}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2G_{\parallel,\perp}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2G_{\parallel,z}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2G_{\parallel,z}} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### NUMERICAL MODELING

Meshing and parameters



PARAMETERS	VALUES	Units
	Hydraulic Parameters	
$k_{sat,\perp}$	6.10 <sup>-12</sup>	[m/s]
$k_{sat,\parallel}$	3.10 <sup>-12</sup>	[m/s]
n	0.39	[-]
	Mechanical Parameters	
$E_{\parallel,ref}$	350	[MPa]
$E_{\perp,ref}$	175	[MPa]
$E_{z,ref}$	300	[MPa]
$ u_{\parallel\perp}$	0.125	[-]
$ u_{\parallel z}$	0.0625	[-]
$ u_{\perp z}$	0.0625	[-]
$G_{\parallel\perp}$	140	[MPa]
$G_{\perp z}$	140	[MPa]
$\rho_s$	2670	$[kg/m^3]$
	THERMAL PARAMETERS	
C <sub>p,s</sub>	2080	[J/kg/K]
$ ho_s$	2670	$[kg/m^3]$
$c_{\mathrm{p,w}}$	4185	[J/kg/K]
$ ho_w$	1000	$[kg/m^3]$
C <sub>p,a</sub>	1004	[J/kg/K]
$ ho_a$	1.2	$[kg/m^3]$
$c_{p,v}$	1864	[J/kg/K]
$ ho_{v}$	0.59	$[kg/m^3]$

19/06/2017

## SUMMARY OF THE PRESENTATION

- Scope of the study
- Material and method
- Experimental results
- Model
- Numerical results
- Conclusion

## NUMERICAL RESULTS

Drying kinetics



#### NUMERICAL RESULTS

Shrinkage



19

## NUMERICAL RESULTS



20

NUMERICAL RESULTS



#### CONCLUSION

Dessication cracking







#### References

Andra (2005a). Dossier 2005 Argile. Synthesis: Evaluation of the feasibility of a geological repository in an argillaceous formation, Meuse/Haute Marne site. Technical report, Paris, France.

Bastiens W., Demarche M., 2003. The extension of the URF HADES: realization and observation. Proceedings of the WN'03 Conference, Tucson, USA.

Craeye B., De Schutter G., Van Humbeeck H., Van Cotthem, 2009. *Early age behaviour of concrete supercontainers for radioactive waste disposal.* Nuclear Engineering and Design, 239, 23-35.

Gerard P., Charlier, R, Chambon, R, & Collin, F. 2008. Influence of evaporation and seepage on the convergence of a ventilated cavity. Water resources research, 44(5), W00C02.

Léonard A., Étude du séchage convectif de boues de station d'épuration. Suivi de la texture par microtomographie à rayons X. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Liège, Faculté des Sciences appliquées, 2003.

SCK-CEN. R and D for the geological disposal of medium and high level waste in the Boom clay, 2009. URLence.sckcen.be/en/Projects/Project/RD\_waste\_disposal/Geological\_disposal

Lehmann, P., Assouline, S., & Or, D. (2008). Characteristic lengths affecting evaporative drying of porous media. *Physical Review E*, *77*(5), 056309.

#### $Sensitivity \ study$



QUESTIONS

#### NUMERICAL MODELING

Boundary layer model in FEM code:



- Water pressure at the environmental node  $n_4$ :  $p_c = -\frac{\rho RT}{M} ln(HR)$
- Temperature at the environmental node  $n_4 : T = 25^{\circ}C$
- Transfer coeffcients:

<i>α</i> [ <i>m</i> / <i>s</i> ]	$\beta [W/m^2/K]$
0.048	53



#### WATER RETENTION CURVE

- Samples put into chamber with controlled suction (saline solution)
- Water content measured ⇒ saturation degree deduced



Van Genuchten formulation :

$$S_{r,w} = S_{res} + (S_{sat} - S_{res}) \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{p_c}{\alpha} \right)^{n_{vG}} \right]^{-m_{vG}}$$

VAN GENUCHTEN FORMULATION			
S <sub>res</sub>	0	[-]	
S <sub>sat</sub>	1	[-]	
$\alpha_{vg}$	15	[MPa]	
$m_{vg}$	0.449	[-]	
$n_{vg}$	1.70	[-]	

24

#### BOOM CLAY COMPOSITION

Composition	Al-Mukhtar et	Wouters et	Decleer et al., 1983	Horseman et al.,
minéralogique en [%]	al., 1996	Vandenberghe, 1994		1986
Quartz	20-25	20	23.8-58.3	30
Interstratifié illite- smectite	33	40-50		
Illite	16	25-35	3-23	19
Smectite			19-42	22
Kaolinite	13	15-25	1-9	29
Feldspaths:		5-10		
Microcline	4-5		6.5-11.3	
Plagioclase	4-5		3.2-6.2	
Chlorite		5-10		
Pyrite	4-5	1-5	0.7-2.5	
Carbonates	traces	1-5	0.0-4.3	
Matières organiques		1-5		

 Tableau 3 : Revue bibliographique de la composition minéralogique de l'Argile de Boom

#### $MATERIALS \ AND \ METHODS$

- X-Ray tomography characteristics
  - Cross section acquisition using a X-Ray microtomography



Skyscan 1172

Source Voltage = 100 kV	Filter = Al 0.5 mm	4x4 binning = 900x666 pixel radiograms
Pixel size = 27.27 μm	Exposure time = 510 ms	Rotation Step (deg)= 0.65
180° rotation	2 vertically-connected scans	Scan duration = 8 minutes

#### EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Numerical filter



QUESTIONS