Relevance of adding a triangular dynamic cushion on a traditional chair: A 3D-analysis of seated schoolchildren
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ABSTRACT

Background: Low back pain is highly prevalent in the general population and is even reported as early as at primary school. A poor sitting position has been suggested as an etiologic factor. This study analysed, in primary schoolchildren, the influence of a triangular dynamic cushion that aims to help children maintain their physiological lumbar lordosis and to induce movement to reduce the static effect of the sitting position.

Methods: Thirty 8-year-old children took part in this study. A 3D analysis combined with electromyography was used to evaluate the biomechanics and the related muscle activation in two sitting positions (with and without a triangular cushion on a horizontal stool) during a 15-minute working task. In addition, the force of the feet on the ground was assessed with a force plate.

Findings: The cushion improved the trunk-thighs angle, lumbar lordosis, anterior pelvis tilt, and feet support on the ground \((p < 0.0001)\). In addition, sitting on the cushion appeared to be more dynamic \((p < 0.05)\) and induced a decrease of the lumbar paravertebral muscle activity \((p < 0.01)\).

Interpretation: Sitting on a dynamic triangular cushion tends to favour the “ideal” sitting position usually described in the literature and to decrease the level of paravertebral muscle recruitment. Seeing that sitting position is a risk factor to develop low back pain, the cushion could be a solution to prevent it.

1. Introduction

From as early as the first year of elementary school, pupils spend about a thousand hours a year sitting, most of the time, upon unsuitable furniture (Cardon et al., 2004; Troussier, 1999).

Since 1884, European standards for furniture have been based on the recommendations of the orthopaedic surgeon Staffel, according to whom the best sitting position (SP) is one with ankles, knees, and hips flexed at 90° (Hamimi, 2008). Although they remain controversial (Davis et al., 2009; Macedo et al., 2015), several studies since the 1950s have reported the influence of SP on lumbopelvic posture and back complaints (Burton and Eriksen, 2004): traditional furniture increases the posterior tilt of the pelvis and results in an angle between trunk and thighs \((\text{trunk-thighs angle})\) of 90° or less (Lord et al., 1997). This posterior tilt tends to increase the intra-discal pressure even more when the feet do not touch the ground (Claus et al., 2009). Unfortunately, actively maintaining the physiological curves of the spine oneself on traditional furniture is difficult and requires a high level of muscular activity (Caneiro et al., 2010; Waongenngarm et al., 2016). In 1981, Mandal recommended a position with a 135°-trunk–thighs angle in order to favour the physiological lumbar lordosis (Mandal, 1981). Since then, it is recommended that furniture be adapted by raising the seat and inclining it forward in order to reach this reference angle (Bejia et al., 2005; Saarni et al., 2007a, 2007b). However, this recommendation does not take into account the fact that dynamism is also essential in SP. In fact, in a purely static SP, the flow of fluid from the discs may be reduced or reversed (Claus et al., 2009). In addition, prolonged static SP is associated with muscular inactivity that could induce muscular weakening (Baumgartner et al., 2012) with an eventual impact on lumbar proprioception (Dolan and Green, 2006) and consequently more risk of injuries (Hodges and Moseley, 2003).

Nowadays, several types of ergonomic school furniture in accordance with Mandal’s criteria and dynamic criteria exist. However, due to financial issues, only a few schools have the opportunity to buy such ergonomic furniture. Therefore several authors (Fedewa and Erwin, 2011; Frères and Mairlot, 2002; Wu et al., 1998) have simply...
suggested adding a triangular pelvic support on the chair to facilitate the opening of the trunk–thighs angle and consequently the anterior tilt of the pelvis and the physiological curves of the spine. Others authors have also recommended the use of a disc inflated with air to increase dynamism in SP (Erwin et al., 2016; Fedewa and Erwin, 2011; Gregory et al., 2006). The cushion (MovinSit*) used in the present study was developed to combine the triangular form with dynamism.

Considering the scant literature on the topic, investigating the impact of this dynamic triangular cushion on schoolchildren’s SP appears particularly relevant. The first hypothesis of this study was that schoolchildren’s SP can be improved simply by using this cushion, which could facilitate the opening of the trunk–thigh angle and induce movements that would reduce the static effect of the SP. A second hypothesis was that sitting on the cushion could reduce the global activation of muscles in response to SP improvement.

2. Materials and methods

Thirty children aged eight years (mean age (SD): 7.8 (0.4) years; mean height (SD): 129.29 (6.15) cm; mean weight (SD): 28.31 (7.01) kg) were invited to participate in the present study with the informed consent of both the children and their parents. The inclusion criteria were that the children had to have been born in 2008; had to be in second elementary school and did not suffer from any known skeletal deformations or neurological problems. The experimental protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the University of Liège. It consisted of a one-hour session in the Laboratory of Human Motion Analysis (LAMH) of the University of Liège, Belgium. The children’s SP was analysed with and without a triangular dynamic cushion added on “traditional” school furniture (Fig. 1). The furniture included a table (mean height (SD): 63 (2) cm) and a stool (mean height (SD): 39 (2) cm) whose dimensions were in accordance with the European norms NF EN 1729-1 (D 60-603-1) and NF EN 1729-2 (D 60-603-2) (AFNOR, 2006). The rubber triangular dynamic cushion (MovinSit:© 7 × 25 × 30 cm) was inflated with air so as to have a correct consistency, but not overfilled (seen by a rounded surface). Therefore, every child was evaluated on the same furniture, which was not adaptable to the child’s height.

No explanation of how to use the cushion was given. Children were only asked to sit on the furniture and to fill in an entertaining exercise book for 15 min under both conditions, which took place in a random order, separated by a five-minute period of free motion. Seven 15-s recordings were made every 2 min in both conditions so that we could analyse the evolution of SP over time in a multiparametric manner: in fact we combined and synchronized 3D, electromyographic (EMG), and dynamographic analyses.

2.1. 3D analysis

We used a 3D optoelectronic system (Codamotion™, Charnwood Dynamics, Rothley, UK) to measure the SP characteristics. We tracked the 3D position of the child’s head, trunk, pelvis, and legs with 17 markers and four Codamotion CX1 units. The acquisition rate was 100 Hz. The markers were positioned on the head (n = 2: side edge of both eyes), along the spine (n = 7: occiput, top of the cervical curvature, C7/D1, top of the dorsal curvature, D12/L1, top of the lumbar curvature, L5/S1), on the pelvis (n = 4: both PSIS and ASIS), and on both legs (n = 4: trochanter, external knee joint spacing, external malleolus, metacarpal bone V) (Fig. 2). The placement of the markers enabled us to measure different angles: head inclination (a = angle between the plan of the eyes and the horizontal), trunk inclination (b = angle between the C7/D1-L5 line and plan of the pelvis), pelvis inclination (c = angle between the ASIS line and the horizontal), head tilt (d = angle between the both eyes-C7 plan and the horizontal), cervical lordosis (e = angle formed by the markers occiput, top of the cervical curvature and C7/D1), dorsal kyphosis (f = angle formed by the markers C7/D1, top of the dorsal curvature and D12/L1), lumbar kyphosis (g = angle formed by the markers D12/L1, top of the lumbar curvature and L5/S1), pelvis tilt (h: angle between the plan of the pelvic and the horizontal), and trunk–thighs angle (i = angle between the C7/D1-L5/S1 line and the trochanter-knee line) (Fig. 3). We calculated the mean angles for each period of registration of 15 s and we calculated the absolute values for the head, trunk, and pelvis inclinations. In addition, the dynamism of the SP was evaluated with the standard deviation of each parameter during each registration of 15 s: a large standard deviation indicate more movements to either side of the mean position.

2.2. EMG analysis

We measured the muscular activity of the lumbar, abdominal, and quadriceps muscles with six EMG electrodes (Trigno, Delsys, Massachusetts, USA) placed in accordance with the recommendations of Halaki and Ginn (Halaki and Ginn, 2012) (Fig. 2). EMG data were sampled at 1000 Hz. The raw data were first digitally filtered using a zero-lag fourth-order Butterworth filter with cut-off frequencies set at

Fig. 1. Illustration of one child’s sitting position in the experimental conditions with and without the dynamic triangular cushion.
10 and 500 Hz. The root mean square of the data was then computed using a time window of 100 ms. The muscle activity was expressed in terms of amplitude as a percentage of the maximal voluntary contraction (MVC), which constitutes the most reliable reference to compare the muscular activities of different people (Halaki and Ginn, 2012) and in terms of the duration of activation above a given threshold (duration of muscle activity superior to 5% of the MVC) (Okada, 1972). The MVC evaluations were performed at the beginning of the session and were preceded by a warm-up consisting of dynamic exercises involving the specific muscle and three sub-maximal isometric contractions in the same conditions as the test. The MVC evaluation consisted of three maximal isometric contractions of 5 s with 2 min of rest between each trial to avoid tiredness (Mathiassen et al., 1995). Lumbar paravertebral muscles were assessed with the child lying prone and with two manual resistances respectively placed between the scapula and on the posterior face of the thighs. For the abdominal MVC assessment, the child sat on a physiotherapist’s table with the backrest inclined at 30° and the knee flexed at 90°. The resistance was applied on the ankles and the thorax. The quadriceps force was assessed in the SP with knee and hip flexed at 90°. The resistances were placed in the middle of the tibia and on the thigh. Both legs were assessed successively. For each MVC evaluation, the children were asked to exert force as hard as they could and were encouraged verbally by the evaluator. The MVC of each muscle was defined as the highest EMG peak of the three trials.

2.3. Dynamographic analysis

The force applied by the feet on the ground was measured during the SP with one force plate of 60 cm × 40 cm (Kisler™ type 9281 EA, Kisle AG, Switzerland). The mean vertical ground reaction forces acquired during each 15-s registration were normalized with respect to the children’s body weight.
2.4. Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out using the statistical software “R” (version 3.3.0). Basic descriptive statistics and frequency tables were used to calculate the means, standard deviations, extreme values, and percentages. The normal distribution was verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test. A two-way repeated measure ANOVA was used to analyse the time effect. A student’s t-test for paired samples was used to compare the child’s SPs on both types of furniture. Significant results were determined as \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results

The SPs of 30 children were registered during 7 periods of 15 s for both types of furniture.

3.1. 3D-analysis

A first analysis constituted to analyse the time course of the different measured angles. This revealed that the time effect was not significant (\( p > 0.37 \)). So we could globalize the seven periods of registrations and calculate, for each angle, a mean value reflecting the entire registration period (105 s) (Fig. 3).

Our results indicate that the trunk-thighs angle and the anterior pelvis tilt are significantly higher when using the cushion than without using it (\( p < 0.0001 \)). While a lumbar kyphosis was observed without the cushion, lumbar lordosis was present when using it (\( p < 0.0001 \)). Our results also indicated that head tilt decreased significantly (\( p = 0.02 \)), cervical lordosis decreased significantly (\( p = 0.01 \)), and dorsal kyphosis increased significantly (\( p = 0.01 \)) when children were seated on the triangular cushion (Fig. 3).

Taking into account that the time effect was not significant between the seven registration periods, the dynamism of the SP (reflected by the standard deviation) was evaluated over the entire registration period (105 s) (Table 1). Globally, in our experimental conditions, the recruitment of the different evaluated muscles was low (< 5% of MVC). The EMG analysis also suggested a decrease of paravertebral muscle recruitment in terms of intensity (\( p = 0.002 \)) and of duration above the 5% MVC threshold (\( p = 0.006 \)) when using the cushion.

3.3. Dynamographic analysis

As there was no significant time effect, we were able to globalize the seven periods of registrations and to calculate mean values reflecting the entire registration period (105 s). Every child was able to touch the ground from both types of furniture. However, children supported the feet on the ground more when sitting on the cushion than without the cushion. Objectively, the mean ground reaction force increased significantly when children were sitting on the cushion (18% of the body weight with the cushion VS 12.3% of the body weight without the cushion - \( p < 0.0001 \)).

4. Discussion

The present study, which aimed at investigating the influence of simply adding a triangular dynamic cushion when using school furniture, indicated that this cushion could improve the quality of the SPs of schoolchildren. Other studies found the same results when children sat on other types of furniture like the Back-App® which also combines dynamism with a tilted seat (O’Sullivan et al., 2012a). However, this type of chair does not include a backrest, which is crucial for the prevention of low back pain because its use tends to reduce intra-discal pressure (Knight and Noyes, 1999; Wilke et al., 2001). In the real conditions of the classroom, simply adding the cushion on the chair makes it possible to conserve the backrest and to sit in working (without backrest contact) and listening (with backrest contact) positions. Stability balls also combine both properties of the cushion and are regularly used in classrooms (Erwin et al., 2016; Fedewa et al., 2015; Fedewa and Erwin, 2011; Gregory et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2013). However, their efficiency in improving SP is disputed and they seem to be more of a gymnastic accessory than a chair on which one can sit for a long time in the classroom (Gregory et al., 2006; Mc Gill et al., 2006).

Schoolchildren spend thousands of hours a year in SPs. Considering that SPs may be an important risk factor for low back pain for them (Burton and Eriksen, 2004; Cardon and Balagué, 2004), the quality of the SP should be taken into consideration at primary school. Previous studies related to the influence of school furniture on SP were exclusively focused on teenagers (Cardon and Balagué, 2004), while children have to get used to sitting on school furniture as soon as primary school starts (Cardon et al., 2002). Therefore, this study involved younger schoolchildren (eight years old) in order to explore the crucial period when bad habits could be acquired.

Several methods exist to evaluate SP. The first studies used video

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>With cushion</th>
<th>Without cushion</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean intensity (%MVC)</td>
<td>Lumbar paravertebral</td>
<td>4.3(0.3)</td>
<td>5.1(0.7)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>2.8(0.1)</td>
<td>2.7(0.5)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>2.5(0.7)</td>
<td>2.3(0.4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of activation &gt; 5% MVC (%time)</td>
<td>Lumbar paravertebral</td>
<td>30.2(2.4)</td>
<td>36.5(4.5)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>15.2(3.1)</td>
<td>12.6(1.9)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>7.9(0.6)</td>
<td>7.4(1.7)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( * \ p < 0.05. \)
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interscalene and infraspinatus (Annetts et al., 2012; Ellegast et al., 2012).
In fact, dynamic SP could improve the feeding of the inter-vertebral discs due to
compression/decompression movements (Claus et al., 2009). In addition,
that children move significantly more when sitting on the cushion than when sitting on “traditional” furniture. Increased mobility appeared especially at the pelvis and the lumbar spine. This result seems logical because those structures are just above the instable air-inflated cushion. Moreover, the link between pelvis and lumbar spine has already been demonstrated (Annetts et al., 2012; Ellegast et al., 2012; O’Sullivan et al., 2010a, 2010b).

Previous studies suggest that maintaining the spinal physiologic curves in a static SP on traditional furniture needs a high level of lumbar muscular activity (Caneiro et al., 2010; Waongenngarm et al., 2016), which induces fatigue and is incompatible with a long-term posture (Claus et al., 2009). As expected, our EMG analysis demonstrates a decrease of the activation of the lumbar paravertebral muscles on the cushion. This result agrees with the literature that describes a diminution of the activity of those muscles on the same tilted support (Marschall et al., 1995; O’Sullivan et al., 2010a, 2010b; O’Sullivan et al., 2012b; Sabatier, 2014), even if the cushion provides more dynamism in SP. Actually, maintaining static posture is more tiresome than maintaining dynamic posture (O’Sullivan et al., 2012b). On the other hand, as shown in the literature, sitting on the cushion does not change abdominal activity (O’Keeffe et al., 2013; O’Sullivan et al., 2012b, 2012c). Initially, we hypothesized that quadriceps activity could increase the stabilization of the pelvis on the tilted plane (Sabatier, 2014), but this assumption was not confirmed in our subjects. This insignificant result could be explained by the fact that we exclusively evaluated the rectus femoris while other studies showed increased activity in both quadriceps vastus when people sat on tilted seats (Sabatier, 2014).

Finally, few studies have evaluated the natural position of the feet on the ground in SP (Annetts et al., 2012; Ellegast et al., 2012; O’Sullivan et al., 2012a). However, an adequate position and force of the feet on the ground seem essential for the “ideal” SP: they reduce compression of the thighs and thus discomfort, induce better vascular circulation, and reduce intra-discal pressure (Claus et al., 2009). The force plate used in the present study indicated an increase of the force of the feet on the ground when using the cushion and thereby indicated a potentially more comfortable position than that achieved on a traditional chair. 4.1. Limits

The chair used in the present study had no backrest so that markers were visible from the 3D measurement units. However, considering that the participants were asked to fill in a ludic exercises book, they spent the whole duration of the study in working SP, so the backrest would probably not have been used (Cardon et al., 2004; Fettweis et al., 2013). As both working and listening SPs are a part of pupils’ postural background (Troussier, 1999), an investigation of the listening SP should also be conducted in future studies. Moreover, as this study was performed in a laboratory, we cannot affirm that the seated position always reflected the natural SPs of the schoolchildren in their classroom. Also, no significant change in SP was demonstrated over time in this study. As our investigation period lasted “only” 15 min, we cannot draw any conclusions regarding the positions of the participants after a longer period of sitting. Indeed, teachers often report that schoolchildren begin to slouch during the day. However, investigating the children’s SP on furniture during a whole lesson (2 × 45 min) would be too long for our young population. Actually, the protocol (preparation included) was already relatively long and it was difficult to keep children’s attention longer than that after a full school day. Finally, further studies will be necessary to demonstrate whether the improvement of the SP induced by the triangular dynamic cushion observed in this study has real clinical meaning and can prevent discomfort and back complaints in schoolchildren.

5. Conclusion

The present study confirms that using a cushion combining a tilted seat and dynamism has a beneficial impact on the quality of the children’s SPs and tends to decrease the level of lumbar muscular activity during sitting. Further studies are needed to confirm these findings and the long-term consequences of utilization of the cushion.
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