Nucleolin Promotes Heat Shock–Associated Translation of VEGF-D to Promote Tumor Lymphangiogenesis
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Abstract

The vascular endothelial growth factor VEGF-D promotes metastasis by inducing lymphangiogenesis and dilatation of the lymphatic vasculature, facilitating tumor cell extravasation. Here we report a novel level of control for VEGF-D expression at the level of protein translation. In human tumor cells, VEGF-D colocalized with eIF4GI and 4E-BP1, which can program increased initiation at IRES motifs on mRNA by the translational initiation complex. In murine tumors, the steady-state level of VEGF-D protein was increased despite the overexpression and dephosphorylation of 4E-BP1, which downregulates protein synthesis, suggesting the presence of an internal ribosome entry site (IRES) in the 5′ UTR of VEGF-D mRNA. We found that nucleolin, a nucleolar protein involved in ribosomal maturation, bound directly to the 5′ UTR of VEGF-D mRNA, thereby improving its translation following heat shock stress via IRES activation. Nucleolin blockade by RNAi-mediated silencing or pharmacologic inhibition reduced VEGF-D translation along with a subsequent constriction of lymphatic vessels in tumors. Our results identify nucleolin as a key regulator of VEGF-D expression, deepening understanding of lymphangiogenesis control during tumor formation.

Introduction

Lymphatic vessels encircle tumors and enhance metastasis by improving the capillary high permeability and the collecting vessels’ dilation (1). Nonetheless, very little is known regarding the molecular mechanisms governing cancer invasion into the lymphatic system.

VEGF-C and VEGF-D are the chief inducers of lymphangiogenesis (2). Their activity is regulated by protein processing that occurs mainly in the extracellular environment to generate peptides with high affinity for their receptor, VEGFR-3 (3, 4). Our group recently identified regulation of VEGF-C at the translational initiation step induced by hypoxic stress (5). The stress-induced VEGF production in pathologic conditions has been largely described in the literature (6, 7). In this study, we sought to identify whether VEGF-D activity responds to cellular stress.

VEGF-D was first described to promote tumor metastasis through the lymphatic system (8, 9). This observation was extended to many solid tumors such as pancreatic cancer and endometrial cancer (10, 11). More recently, Karnezis and colleagues have demonstrated that the prometastatic effect of VEGF-D was associated with a lymphatic collecting vessel dilatation by regulating the prostaglandin pathway (1). The effect of VEGF-D on vessel enlargement was also observed in dermal initial lymphatics (12). Here, we found an original mechanism underlying regulation of VEGF-D translation that is induced by increased temperature. Translational control plays a critical role in the regulation of gene expression during tumor development (13). In fact, the majority of cellular stresses lead to strong inhibition of mRNA translation by the classical cap-dependent scanning mechanism (5, 14). Several mRNAs, however, are translated by an eIF4E-independent mechanism, mediated by internal ribosome entry site (IRES) that are mRNA structures located in IRESs were previously described for VEGF-A and VEGF-C mRNA (15, 16). Here, we demonstrated that the 5′ UTR of VEGF-D mRNA harbors an IRES trans-acting factor, which drives VEGF-D translation under heat shock stress.

IRES-dependent translation initiation is controlled by IRES trans-acting factors (ITAF), which participate in the recruitment of the small ribosome subunit (17). ITAFs seemingly stabilize the IRES active conformation (18) to allow efficient expression of key regulators in tumor growth and spreading (16). The activity of
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ITAFs is dependent on their subcellular localization. They are nuclear proteins that are exported to the cytoplasm to participate in mRNA translation initiation (19). ITAFs bind the mRNA 5' untranslated region to recruit the ribosome, thereby promoting protein synthesis under stress conditions. Here, we found that nucleolin, a multifunctional nucleolar protein involved in ribosome maturation, is an ITAF of the VEGF-D mRNA. Specifically, our data showed that cytoplasmic accumulation of nucleolin in cells endures heat shock improved VEGF-D mRNA translation by binding of ITAF to the VEGF-D 5' UTR.

Nucleolin was first described to be an ITAF for viral 5'UTR mRNAs such as rhinovirus (20). Recently, nucleolin was shown to participate in IRES-dependent translation of cellular mRNAs. It associated with hnRNP proteins to promote translation of long interspersed element one (LINE-1; ref. 21). Moreover, recent reports confirmed the ITAF activity of nucleolin during tumorigenesis and demonstrated that it is a key regulator of specificity protein 1 (Sp1) protein accumulation via induction of its IRES-dependent translation initiation (22).

In the current study, we demonstrate a link between the translational control of VEGF-D expression and lymphatic dilatation. We show that RNA-binding protein nucleolin specifically and directly binds to the 5'UTR of VEGF-D and functions to induce VEGF-D mRNA translation in cells. Our results advocate that nucleolin contributes to the maintenance of lymphatic vessel plasticity under heat shock stress conditions by controlling VEGF-D expression.

Of interest, inhibition of nucleolin synthesis by NSAIDs specifically repressed VEGF-D translation, suggesting a putative protein synthesis control using NSAIDs that could interfere with a physiologic function such as lymphatic dilatation.

Altogether, our results suggest that nucleolin contributes to the maintenance of lymphatic functioning network by controlling the expression of VEGF-D.

Materials and Methods

Tissue specimens

In total, 15 primary human breast cancer specimens and their associated lymph nodes were collected. Samples were obtained from archival paraffin blocks of breast cancer from patients treated at the Rangueil hospital (Toulouse, France) between 2002 and 2008. Samples were selected as coded specimens under a protocol approved by the INSERM Institutional Review Board (DC-2008-463) and Research State Department (Ministère de la recherche, ARS, CPP2, authorization AC-2008-820) and included tumor specimens identified as invasive ductal carcinoma. Each series included as controls normal breast tissue from the same patient.

Tumor studies

Animal experiments were conducted in accordance with recommendations of the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for experimentation. All animal experiments were performed according to the INSERM Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines for laboratory animals' husbandry and have been approved by the local branch INSERM Rangueil-Purpan of the Midi-Pyrénées ethics committee (protocol n° 091037615).

In total, 5 × 10^5 cells of murine Lewis Lung Carcinoma (LLC1; ATCC CRL-1642; obtained in 2011) and human pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Capan-1, ATCC HTR79; obtained in 2009) cell lines were injected subcutaneously into wild type (WT, n = 8–10) mice on a C57Bl6 or NMRI Nu/Nu background, respectively (n = 8–10). Animals were sacrificed 2 or 3 weeks later when tumors and inguinal lymph nodes were excised and embedded into optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Tissue-Tek; Sakura Fine-Tek). To study the orthotopic model of breast carcinoma, 5 × 10^4 syngeneic Balb/c 4T1 and 67NR (ATCC CRL-2539, obtained in 2007) cells were injected into Balb/c the fourth mammary fat pad (n = 8–10). Animals were sacrificed 1 or 2 weeks later when tumor and inguinal lymph nodes were excised and embedded into OCT compound.

Bicistronic lentivirus construction and transduction

The CDNAs coding human VEGF-D 5'UTR and EMCV 5'UTR were subcloned between the Renilla (LucR) and firefly (LucF) genes under the control of the CMV promoter into the lentivector pTRIP-DU3-CMV-MCS as described previously (5). Bicistronic lentivectors were produced using the tri-transfection procedure using the plasmids pLVPack and pLVSVG (Sigma-Aldrich), and were evaluated for their ability to transduce the cell lines as described previously (5).

Reagents

Rat anti-mouse VEGFD (SC101585) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (TebuBio). Rabbit-anti human 4E-BP1 (9644) was from Ozyme. Rabbit anti-mouse-1 antibody (RDI-103PA50) was from Research Diagnostics Incorporated. Rat anti-mouse CD31 (MEC 13.3) was from BD Biosciences. Goat anti-PAN cytokeratin, donkey anti-rabbit, and rat IgGs conjugated with Dylights Fluors 488, 568 were from TebuBio. Anti-podoplanin was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (TebuBio). Rabbit-anti human 4E-BP1 (9644) was from Sigma-Aldrich.

Immunohistochemistry

Tumors and lymph nodes were embedded into OCT compound and 5-μm tissue sections were immunostained with specific antibodies. The mean number of lymphatic vessels (±SEM for each treatment group) were quantified in 5–10 microscopic fields per cryosection using automated pixel density determinations. The vessel diameters were quantified using 5 measures per vessel as described in Supplementary Fig. 51. In total, 3 sections/tumor were analyzed (8–10 tumors per group), 5 microscopic fields/section leading to 100–150 fields/condition. The mean number of mice with lymph node metastases was determined by immunostaining with 10 μg/mL of anti-pancytokeratin and quantified in 5–10 microscopic fields per cryosection using...
automated pixel density determination as the mean number of pixels ± SEM for each group.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Total cellular RNA was derived from 4T1, 67NR, Capan-1, and LLC tumors using a tissue lyser (Ultrathurax) in TRIzol solution as described previously (5).

Primers. The following primers were used: LucF forward (F): 5'-TCTATGATATGTCGGGATGAAA-3'; LucF reverse (R): 5'-TGTACGATCTCCCTTGTGCAA-3'; LucR (F): 5'-ATGGGCAATCAGGCAAA-3'; LucR (R): 5'-CCGAAATCTCTCTTAATACGGG-3'; VEGF-D (F): 5'-CTATTGACAGTCTGGGGAT-3'; VEGF-D (R): 5'-GTGGGTTCCCTGGAGTAAAG-3'.

siRNA and cell transfection

A pool of siRNAs synthesized by Dharmacon with the following sequences: siRNA-1: 5'-GCAAUUCUCCUUAIAACCTGGA-3', siRNA-2: 5'-UGUGACAGACUGUCCUUAIAA-3', siRNA-3: 5'-UGGGCAAACCUAAAGGGUI-3', siRNA-4: 5'-UGGGGAAAGUAAAACGGGUA-3', were used. Nontargeting siRNA (siGENOME Non-Targeting Smartpool; Dharmacon) was used as control. To examine the effect of the siRNAs on nucleolin protein expression, 4T1 cells were plated onto 6-well plates in antibiotic-free RPMI1640 medium and incubating them for 72 hours before the 30-minute heat shock. The channel (F1) was used as a reference surface for nonspecific binding measurements. The recovery wizard was used to recover selected proteins from cell protein extracts at 37°C and 42°C. This step was carried out with 0.1% SDS. Five recovery procedures were performed to get enough amounts of proteins for MS identification. LC/MS-MS analyses were performed on Bruker Amazon ETD mass spectrometer.

N6L inhibitor treatment

N6L, a synthetic ligand of nucleolin that exerts antitumor activity in mouse xenograft model (23), was provided by J. Courty’s laboratory (Laboratoire CRRET Laboratory, Université Paris EST Créteil, Créteil, France). Stock solution of N6L (2 mmol/L) was diluted in mannitol to a final concentration of 50 μmol/L. Heat shock was applied for 30 minutes at 42°C.

In vitro stress-induced IRES activity

Stress stimulation assays were performed in vitro on 4T1-transduced cells. Reticulum stress was promoted using 12 mmol/L dithiothreitol (DTT; Sigma-Aldrich) during 4 and 8 hours. Depletive stress was performed in RPMI without serum during 8 hours at 37°C. Inflammatory stress was performed in the presence of 1 μg/mL lipopolysaccharid (LPS; Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH) during 4 and 8 hours. Heat shock was applied during 5, 10, 20, and 30 minutes at 37°C.

In vivo sc-236 treatment

NSAID treatment was performed by injecting 1 μg of anti-COX-2 inhibitor sc-236 (Sigma-Aldrich Chimie GmbH) every 2 days during 12 days. Tumor-bearing mice were sacrificed after 2 weeks.

RNA structure determination in solution chemical and enzymatic probing

RNA preparation, RNA structure probing, and RNA primer extension analysis were performed as described previously (5).

**References.** The following primer sequences were used:

- **VEGF-D_3:** ATGCCCATCTCCATACATTITGATTTAAAA-TGCCTACCC
- **VEGF-D_4:** TAATAAGCTACATCTTTAGGAATATGACCACCTCC
- **VEGF-D_5:** GAATTTTAAATGCTGTAAGG

**BIA-MS assays**

Biomolecular analysis coupled to mass spectrometry (BIA-MS) was performed on a BIACore T200 optical biosensor instrument (GE Healthcare). Immobilization of biotinylated VEGF-D and EMCV IRES RNAs was performed on a streptavidin-coated (SA) sensorchip in HBS-EP buffer (10 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 3 mmol/L EDTA, 0.005% surfactant P20; GE Healthcare). All immobilization steps were performed at a flow rate of 2 μL/minute with a final concentration of 100 μg/mL. Tumor-bearing mice were sacrificed after 2 weeks. The channel (F1) was used as a reference surface for nonspecific binding measurements. The recovery wizard was used to recover selected proteins from cell protein extracts at 37°C and 42°C. This step was carried out with 0.1% SDS. Five recovery procedures were performed to get enough amounts of proteins for MS identification. LC/MS-MS analyses were performed on Bruker Amazon ETD mass spectrometer.

**Polysome profiling.** 4T1 cell line were incubated with cycloheximide (100 μg/mL) 15 minutes at 37°C before preparing extracts in hypotonic lysis buffer (5 mmol/L Tris-HCL, pH7.5, 2.5 mmol/L MgCl2, 1.5 mmol/L KCl). Cell extracts were layered onto 10%–50% sucrose gradient and sedimented via centrifugation at 39,000 rpm for 2 hours at 4°C in a Beckman ultracentrifuge. Fractions were collected (24 fractions of 12 drops each) using a Foxy JR ISC0 collector and UV optical unit type 11.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed Student t test or one-way ANOVA. All experiments were performed three times, with one exception, where the incidence of metastasis is reported as the average ± SEM of three separate animal experiments. All data presented are from a single representative experiment.

**Results**

VEGF-D synthesis is modulated by a cap-independent initiation of mRNA translation

VEGF-D level was examined in invasive ductal breast carcinoma biopsies and compared with normal breast epithelium (Fig. 1A). VEGF-D is overexpressed in breast tumors and is associated with an upregulation of eIF4GI and 4E-BP1 expressions, suggesting a cap-independent VEGF-D synthesis. To study the expression of VEGF-D, we performed RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis showing that VEGF-D is ubiquitously expressed in organs containing lymphatic vessels (lymph nodes, mammary gland, etc.), whereas no VEGF-D was found in organs containing no (brain) or low level (muscle) of lymphatic vessels (Supplementary Fig. S2).
To study the effect of the microenvironment on lymphatic vessel development in vivo, we used mouse tumor models, which we previously showed to early induce lymphangiogenesis: an orthotopic syngeneic mouse model of highly metastatic breast cancer (4T1); a syngeneic subcutaneous model of Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC); and a xenograft model of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Capan-1; Fig. 1B). We studied the expression of VEGF-D and surprisingly found that VEGF-D mRNA levels strongly decreased in tumors compared with normal tissues (Fig. 1B). Despite the decrease in VEGF-D mRNA amounts, VEGF-D protein level increased during breast tumor development, but not in lung and pancreas (Fig. 1C and D), confirming a posttranscriptional regulation of VEGF-D expression.

In parallel, we analyzed regulation of translation initiation in mice tumors by studying the phosphorylation status of 4E-BP1, which is known to inhibit cap-dependent translation by binding to eIF4E in its hypophosphorylated state (Fig. 1C–E). As expected, VEGF-D protein synthesis was not stimulated in lung and pancreatic tumors containing hypophosphorylated 4E-BP1 compared with normal tissue (Fig. 1C–E). In contrast, despite an accumulation of hypophosphorylated 4E-BP1 indicating a blockade of cap-dependent translation in breast cancer compared with normal breast, we observed an increase in VEGF-D expression in the tumors in vivo (Fig. 1C–E). These data suggest that VEGF-D expression is promoted by a posttranscriptional mechanism in breast cancer.

VEGF-D mRNA 5'UTR contains an IRES activated by increased temperature

To demonstrate the presence of an IRES activity in VEGF-D mRNA 5'UTR, we used the double luciferase bicistronic vector strategy validated in previous studies (Fig. 2A; ref. 5). Considering that the EMCV IRES is not activated in the current tumor models (5), we used bicistronic lentivectors with the EMCV IRES and hairpin as controls to transduce 4T1 and 67NR with the bicistronic lentivectors (Fig. 2A). To verify the presence of a single mRNA that generates the two different proteins, the relative amounts of LucR and LucF mRNA were measured by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 2B). Amplification values revealed an equal amount of LucF and LucR RNA sequences, indicating the absence of any cryptic promoter or splicing event that would have increased the presence of one of the cistrons compared with the other (24).

IRES elements have been discovered in several viral and cellular RNA elements and are preferentially used to initiate translation of specific mRNAs during cellular stress when overall global translation is compromised (25–27). Recent observations in tumor models show a VEGF-D–driven lymphogenous spread by...
increasing lymph flow through vessel dilation (28). Vessel dilation is mainly observed during inflammation and is promoted by an activation of the endothelial prostaglandin pathways (29). Therefore, we submitted 4T1 transduced cell lines to physiologic stresses associated with a local vasodilatation to identify which stimulus is associated with IRES activation (Fig. 2C–I). VEGF-D IRES activity was not affected by endoplasmic reticulum stress (Fig. 2C), lipopolysaccharide (LPS) inflammatory stress (Fig. 2D), nutrient deprivation (-FCS) stress (Fig. 2E), and hypoxic stresses (Fig. F and G). H and I, in vitro VEGF-D and EMCV IRES (H) activities in 4T1 cell lines submitted to heat shock stress (I). In vitro hairpin activity in 4T1 cell lines submitted to heat shock stress. n.s., nonsignificant.

VEGF-D synthesis promotes lymphatic vessel dilatation and metastasis

The role of overexpressed VEGF-D was examined in mice breast tumor models. We compared lymphatic-mediated metastasis in 4T1 tumors to orthotopic syngeneic 67NR low metastatic breast tumors. Despite similar level of VEGF-D mRNA in 4T1 and 67NR cell lines (Fig. 3A), we found that 67NR poorly expressed VEGF-D compared with 4T1 (Fig. 3B and C). Surprisingly, this difference in VEGF-D expression had no effect on tumor growth (Fig. 3D). To investigate the role of VEGF-D in breast tumors lymphatic vessels, we performed immunostaining using antibodies directed against lymphatic vessel endothelial receptor (LYVE-1; ref. 30) and podoplanin (Fig. 3E and Supplementary Fig. S3; ref. 31).

In these models, VEGF-D expression has no effect on tumor lymphangiogenesis (Fig. 3E and F). In parallel, we found that the tumor expressing a high level of VEGF-D (4T1) exhibited dilated tumor lymphatic vessels compared to tumor with low level of VEGF-D (67NR; Fig. 3G and H). As expected, the lymphatic vessel dilatation is associated with an increase of tumor metastasis (Fig. 3I and J), but has no effect on draining lymph nodes lymphangiogenesis (Supplementary Fig. S4).

To identify in vivo VEGF-D IRES activity, bicistronic lentivector-transduced 4T1 and 67NR cell lines were injected in mice mammary fat pad (Fig. 3K–N). IRES activity in tumors was observed using immunodetection of firefly luciferase and quantified by measuring luciferase activities after 7 and 14 days (Fig. 3K–N and Supplementary Fig. S4). We checked that the transduction of the reporter genes did not affect tumor growth (Supplementary Fig. S4). Interestingly, VEGF-D IRES activity strongly increased in 4T1 tumors (Fig. 3K) compared with EMCV IRES (Fig. 3L), but not in 67NR tumors (Fig. 3M), which exhibit low VEGF-D protein levels. As expected, the EMCV viral IRES, which is not involved in angiogenesis or lymphangiogenesis stimulation, was poorly (4T1) or not (67NR) activated during tumorigenesis (Fig. 3N).
Figure 3.
Tumor posttranscriptional induction of VEGF-D in vivo promotes lymphatic vessel dilatation. **A**, quantitative RT-PCR in 4T1 and 67NR mice breast cancer cell lines. **B**, immunoblot analysis of VEGF-D in 4T1 and 67NR cell lines. **C**, quantification of VEGF-D relative levels (*) \( P < 0.001 \). **D**, 4T1 and 67NR tumor growth analysis. **E** and **F**, staining for Lyve-1 (green) and DAPI (blue) demonstrated VEGF-D–independent lymphangiogenesis during breast carcinoma development (*) \( P < 0.001 \). Scale bar, 50 μm. **G** and **H**, staining for Lyve-1 (green) and DAPI (blue) demonstrated a significant decrease of lymphatic vessel dilatation in tumor lacking VEGF-D (*) \( P < 0.001 \). Scale bar, 25 μm. **I** and **J**, staining for Lyve-1 (green), cytokeratin (red), and DAPI (blue) demonstrated a significant decrease in lymph node metastasis (*) \( P < 0.001 \). Scale bar, 50 μm. **K**–**N**, in vivo VEGF-D IRES activity exhibits a significant increase in 4T1 (**K**) compared with EMCV (**L**), whereas no activity was promoted in 67NR for both IRESs (**M** and **N**). n.s., nonsignificant.
These data demonstrate that the VEGF-D mRNA contains an IRES that is activated during tumor growth in correlation with the high levels of VEGF-D protein expression.

Altogether, our data suggest a cap-independent regulation of VEGF-D translation that is not related with lymphangiogenesis, but directly correlated with lymphatic vessel dilatation and metastasis formation.

**VEGF-D IRES exhibits two alternative structures stabilized by an ITAF: nucleolin**

RNA structure plays important roles in every level of gene regulation including translation initiation. Predicting how mRNA 5'UTRs fold into secondary structures is an essential step in understanding the IRES activity. We then performed the shape analysis method for probing of VEGF-D mRNA 5'UTR structure (Fig. 4A–C and Supplementary Fig. S5). The pattern of benzoyl-cyamide modifications suggested that VEGF-D 5' UTR is highly structured. Our structure prediction revealed the presence of a pseudoknot (nucleotides 30–70) and several stable helices. Nevertheless, enzymatic probing data (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Fig. S5) showed a region (nucleotides 225–229) with double reactivity toward both double strand (V1) and single-strand probes (T2), and a native gel analysis confirmed the presence of two alternative structures (Fig. 4B). On the basis of shape analysis, we identified a predictive folding of VEGF-D IRES as shown in Fig. 4C.

The presence of alternative conformations at equilibrium has been previously observed also for the VEGF-C IRES (5) and could be an indication that the active structure might necessitate a cofactor (18), that is, an ITAF or a specific eIF, to fold correctly. IRES activity requires binding of canonical initiation factors to initiate translation, but also other proteins called IRES transacting factors (ITAF) that facilitate ribosome recruitment to the IRES (32). ITAFs are RNA-binding proteins involved in other aspects of RNA metabolism that are important in carcinogenesis such as mRNA splicing, export and stability, and represent potential targeted therapy in certain types of cancer.

To identify VEGF-D ITAFs, we used an analytic method coupling surface plasmon resonance and mass spectrometry (BIA-MS; Fig. 4D–G), a technology recently validated for ITAF identification (33). Biotinylated VEGF-D and EMCV IRES RNAs were immobilized on a BIACORE streptavidin sensorchip (Fig. 4D). Control (37°C) or heat shock (42°C) total cell protein extracts were injected into the BIACORE T200 apparatus to obtain the association phase (Fig. 4E and F). Bound proteins were recovered and identified by nano-LC/MS-MS after tryptic digestion. Interestingly, proteins bound the VEGF-D IRES with a lower affinity at 42°C compared with 37°C, whereas no difference was observed for EMCV IRES (Fig. 4E and F). Mass spectrometry analysis allowed identification of a few proteins bound to the VEGF-D IRES, including an RNA-binding protein, nucleolin (Fig. 4E and F and Supplementary Fig. S5). As nucleolin has previously been described to be an ITAF for viral IRESs (20, 21), and plays a role in RNA stability of cellular oncogenes such as Bcl2 (34), we investigated its effect on VEGF-D IRES activity.

**Nucleolin ITAF activity is mediated by its subcellular location**

To study whether nucleolin participates in VEGF-D translational initiation during heat shock stress, we first analyzed protein expression in breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, no difference in nucleolin protein synthesis was observed in cells submitted to an increased temperature (42°C, Fig. 5A). Interestingly, we found a delocalization of nucleolin from the nucleus to the cell cytoplasm under heat shock (Fig. 5B and C). The protein export is in accordance with previous studies showing that ITAF activity depends on its subcellular location (19).

To determine the role of nucleolin in VEGF-D IRES activity under heat shock stress, we designed siRNAs against nucleolin (Fig. 5D–F). Nucleolin protein synthesis is inhibited by siRNA, but is not affected by control si scramble (Fig. 5D and E). To decipher whether the nucleolin knockdown could modulate VEGFD synthesis, the VEGFD mRNA relative level and protein expression were analyzed under heat shock condition after knocking down the nucleolin. Despite a downregulation of mRNA level, VEGF-D protein level is maintained, suggesting a posttranscriptional regulation (Fig. 5F and G). In that context, the knockdown of nucleolin induces a downregulation of protein expression confirming its role as an ITAF (Fig. 5G).

To investigate the effect of nucleolin on VEGF-D translation, polysome profiling has been performed comparing VEGF-D expression under stressed condition in polysomal fraction from cells transfected with nucleolin siRNA (Supplementary Fig. S6 and Fig. 5H). We observed a strong downregulation of translated VEGFD in the absence of nucleolin at 42°C compared with 37°C, suggesting that the downregulation of the ITAF directly affects the association of the mRNA with the polysomes (Fig. 5H). As expected, in the absence of nucleolin, VEGF-D IRES activity was strongly decreased, whereas no effect was observed for EMCV IRES activity (Fig. 5I). In this context, VEGF-D IRES was affected by nucleolin knockdown, demonstrating the role of nucleolin as an ITAF under heat stress condition. To decipher whether transcription and cap-dependent translation is regulated by heat shock stress, we performed transcription and translation analysis on a gene involved in vascular biology that does not contain an IRES: platelet growth factor 4 (PF4). We show that PF4 is not regulated at the transcriptional level under heat shock condition (Supplementary Fig. S6). As expected, we observed a downregulation of PF4 mRNA under stressed condition in polysomal fraction (Supplementary Fig. S6).
To confirm the effect of nucleolin, we then used a pharmacologic inhibitor, a synthetic ligand of nucleolin: N6L (23). As expected, we observed a decrease of VEGF-D IRES activity in the presence of nucleolin inhibitor (Fig. 5J).

COX-2 inhibitors abolish VEGF-D stress response by modulating nucleolin expression

Recent studies have demonstrated that VEGF-D regulates the tumor-draining collecting lymphatic vessels dilatation through a prostaglandin (PG)-dependent mechanism, consistent with the elevated levels of inflammatory mediators, such as PGs (28, 35). VEGF-D modulates COX-2–derived PGE2 to promote tumor progression and metastasis leading to a chemoprotective effect of COX-2 inhibitors (NSAIDs) by reducing PGE2 levels. To determine the role of COX-2 inhibitors on molecular regulations of VEGF-D expression, we evaluated the effect of sc236 in vitro (Fig. 6A). As shown in Fig. 5, the increased temperature has no effect on nucleolin synthesis (Fig. 6A). Surprisingly, we observed a COX-2 inhibitor downregulation of nucleolin synthesis at both 37°C and 42°C (Fig. 6A), suggesting that the drug interferes with VEGF-D translational initiation by modulating its ITAF synthesis. Using immunocyto detection, we found that cytoplasmic nucleolin was hardly detected upon sc236 treatment in 4T1 cell lines under heat shock conditions (Fig. 6B and C). In parallel, COX-2 inhibitor abolished heat shock–induced VEGF-D IRES activity in 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature and treated with or without siRNA against nucleolin (Si) or a scrambled (Sc) siRNA control (Fig. 6D). We found a downregulation of nucleolin expression in tumors (Fig. 6F). IRES activities were studied in vivo at day 7 (1–2 mm diameter, well vascularized, nonmetastatic) and day 14 (4–8 mm diameter, necrotic, metastatic) to compare low-stressed to high-stressed condition (Fig. 6G). As expected, the EMCV viral IRES is not affected by the COX-2 inhibition. The VEGF-D IRES is activated at day 14, and this effect is abolished by COX-2 inhibitor (Fig. 6G), whereas no difference was observed in tumor growth (Supplementary Figure 5A).

Figure 5. VEGF-D IRES activity is regulated by nucleolin. A, immunoblot of nucleolin in mouse cell lines. B, staining for nucleolin (green) and DAPI (blue) in 4T1 submitted to heat shock; scale bar, 5 μm (left); scale bar, 25 μm (right). C, quantification of the percentage of 4T1 cell lines, which exhibits a cytoplasm staining of nucleolin under increased temperature (\(^{\dagger}\); \(P<0.001\)). D, immunoblot of nucleolin in lysates of 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature and treated with or without siRNA against nucleolin (Si) or a scrambled (Sc) siRNA control. E, densitometric quantification of the immunoblot (\(\#\); \(P<0.05\)). F, quantitative VEGF-D RT-PCR on total mRNA from 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature. G, immunoblot of VEGF-D in lysates of 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature and treated with or without siRNA against nucleolin (Si) or a scrambled (Sc) siRNA control. H, comparison of VEGF-D mRNA relative levels in polysomal mRNA from 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature and treated with or without siRNA against nucleolin (Si) or a scrambled (Sc) siRNA control (\(^{\dagger}\); \(P<0.05\)). I, VEGF-D IRES activity in 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature and treated with or without siRNA against nucleolin (Si) or a scrambled (Sc) siRNA control (\(^{\dagger}\); \(P<0.05\)). J, VEGF-D IRES activity in 4T1 cells incubated in physiologic (37°C) or heated (42°C) temperature and treated with adjuvant (mannitol) or nucleolin inhibitor (N6L; \(\#\); \(P<0.05\)).
Fig. S7). In parallel, we observed a strong decrease of lymphatic vessel diameter in tumors (Fig. 6H and I) associated with a vasoconstriction of the tumor-draining collecting vessels in COX-2 inhibitor–treated mice (Fig. 6J). These data demonstrated for the first time that an anti-inflammatory drug can modulate growth factor synthesis under stress condition by controlling an ITAF subcellular location and synthesis.

Discussion

Tumor metastases are a leading cause of cancer-related mortality and can be promoted by both intrinsic and extrinsic factors in tumor cells. This study has identified an original translational regulation mechanism of VEGF-D expression under stress conditions in primary tumors promoting lymphatic vessel increased diameter that can be reversed by NSAIDs acting on ITAF expression. Translational initiation is promoted by eIF4E initiation factor binding to the mRNA. Under stress condition, eIF4E is targeted by the translational inhibitor 4E-Binding Protein 1 (4E-BP1) in its hypophosphorylated form, which leads to inhibition of cap-dependent, but not cap-independent, translation (36). Also, translation reprogramming was previously reported in inflammatory breast cancer due to an overexpression of eIF4GI and overexpression/dephosphorylation of 4E-BP1 (37, 38).
We found a colocalization of these two markers with VEGF-D in human breast carcinoma, suggesting a cap-independent translation initiation of VEGF-D mRNA. Moreover, we show that VEGF-D transcript levels are reduced in mice tumors, whereas VEGF-D protein levels are increased due to a switch from cap-dependent to IRES-dependent VEGF-D translation. Notably, this regulation seems to depend on an increased temperature, but is not regulated by hypoxia as it has been previously described for other (lymph)angiogenic growth factors, such as VEGF-A and VEGF-C, two related and homologous members of the VEGF family (5). This feature distinguishes VEGF-D from the other hypoxia-induced (lymph) angiogenic growth factors. Importantly, we found two alternative

structures for VEGF-D 5'UTR, suggesting an equilibrium between basal and stressed conditions to facilitate the ITAF binding. Switching from cap-dependent to IRES-dependent translation of VEGF-D in tumor cells required adapter protein called ITAFs. The VEGF-D IRES might be regulated by distinct ITAFs, which would be activated during heat shock (and in inflammation), but not during hypoxia. Our findings reveal that nucleolin, a nucleolar protein involved in the control of transcription of ribosomal RNA, is translocated to the cytoplasm in response to heat shock and controls VEGF-D mRNA translation after binding to the IRES region. Nucleolin has been previously described as an ITAF for virus IRESs such as poliovirus (20). Recently, it has been found to enhance cellular IRES-dependent translation of specificity protein-1 (Sp1), a transcription factor involved in tumor cells prolifer-

ation (22, 39). These results are in accordance with findings showing that IRES-dependent translation initiation is regulat-
ed by ITAFs' subcellular location (19).

Tumor cells spread to distant organs via lymphatic using two mechanisms: lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic dilata-
tion. Here, we demonstrated that breast tumors lacking VEGF-D expression do not exhibit a defect in primary tumor or lymph nodes lymphangiogenesis, but have a reduced amount of dilated lymphatic vessels associated with an inhi-
bition of metastasis. Our findings suggested that this process is restricted to breast cancer, in agreement with previous studies showing a correlation between metastases and dilated lymphatic vessels in breast cancer patients (40).

We know for decades that inflammation is a major inducer of prostaglandin-induced vasodilation (29). Previous studies reported that expression of VEGF-D by cancer cells promoted tumor lymphatic vessels dilatation and metastasis by regulating prostaglandins produced by the collecting lymphatic endothelium (1). COX-2 inhibitors, the most common NSAIDs, reduce VEGF-D-driven metastasis by reversing the morphologic changes in collecting lymphatic vessels. In this study, we demonstrated that COX-2 inhibitor–induced lymphatic vessel constriction is in part due to a downregulation of VEGF-D IRES translation initiation. We observed that the VEGF-D IRES activity is abolished by NSAIDs during tumor development. Notably, vessel diameters were reduced in COX-2 inhibitor–treated mice. These data indicate that lymphatic vessels plasticity is controlled by a regulatory loop involving VEGF-D protein synthesis and prostaglandin signaling (Supplementary Fig. S8).

Our study may be relevant to provide the first evidence of a transi-
tional initiation control of the lymphatic vessels dilata-
tion, a major pathophysiologic event in tumor metastasis.

A key finding of our study is that increased temperature mediates lymphangiogenic growth factor responses, through the suppression of cap-dependent and an increase of IRES-mediated mRNA translation. Collectively, our data allow us to propose the existence of two VEGF-D translational control pathways involved in cancer dissemination that depends on stresses associated with inflammation. A nucleolar protein, the nucleolin, which can provide a novel therapeutic target for lymphatic vessel plasticity during tumor inflammation, controls this mechanism.
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