Perfusion Shift from White to Gray Matter May Account for Processing Speed Deficits in Schizophrenia
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Abstract

Reduced speed of cerebral information processing is a cognitive deficit associated with schizophrenia. Normal information processing speed (PS) requires intact white matter (WM) physiology to support information transfer. In a cohort of 107 subjects (47/60 patients/controls), we demonstrate that PS deficits in schizophrenia patients are explained by reduced WM integrity, which is measured using diffusion tensor imaging, mediated by the mismatch in WM/gray matter blood perfusion, and measured using arterial spin labeling. Our findings are specific to PS, and testing this hypothesis for patient-control differences in working memory produces no explanation. We demonstrate that PS deficits in schizophrenia can be explained by neurophysiological alterations in cerebral WM. Whether the disproportionately low WM integrity in schizophrenia is due to illness or secondary due to this disorder deserves further examination.
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INTRODUCTION

Reduced speed of information processing in schizophrenia patients is the core pathology of schizophrenia-association functional disabilities [Coyle et al., 2011; Dickinson et al., 2008; Hoyer et al., 2004; Knowles et al., 2010; Salthouse, 2000; Salthouse and Czaja, 2000]. The speed of cerebral information transfer depends on the integrity of myelinated cerebral white...
matter (WM). Patients with schizophrenia show significant deficits in WM integrity, as indexed by fractional anisotropy (FA) of water diffusion, and measured using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). Reduced speed of information processing and reduced cerebral FA values are highly replicable findings in this disorder that are likely interlinked [Alba-Ferrara and de Erausquin, 2013; Ellison-Wright and Bullmore, 2009; Friedman et al., 2008; Glahn et al., 2013; Kubicki et al., 2007; Nazeri et al., 2013; Penke et al., 2010; Perez-Iglesias et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2012]. In this study, we examined the link between processing speed (PS) and WM integrity in the context of the energy consumption. Specifically, we hypothesized that reduced WM integrity in patients may lead to alterations in the energy utilization between WM and gray matter (GM) compartments and this, in turn, may modulate functional deficits. The specificity of this effect was tested using two additional neuropsychological tests: working memory and Wechsler adult intelligence scale.

Information processing is an energy intensive process where the energy supply acts as an overall constraint [Harris and Attwell, 2012; Laughlin and Sejnowski, 2003]. Energy expended to support neurons in the cerebral GM is analogous to the energy that powers computers in a network, whereas the energy consumed by WM is analogous to the energy expended for signal transmission and network infrastructure. Using this analogy, support of the normal WM connectivity takes as much energy as the support of the “computational nodes” [Harris and Attwell, 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Vaishnavi et al., 2010]. Evolution drove the structure and physiology of cerebral WM to maximize efficiency of information processing [Hildebrand et al., 1993; Laughlin and Sejnowski, 2003; Wen and Chklovskii, 2005]. This is achieved through myelination, which increases the velocity of saltatory signal propagation (10–50 fold) [Hildebrand et al., 1993; Susuki, 2013] and reduces the metabolic burden on neuronal cells (5–10 fold) [Hildebrand et al., 1993; Miller et al., 2013; Susuki, 2013].

A reduced speed of information processing in schizophrenia patients is likely to be caused by impaired myelination [Davis et al., 2003; Mitkus et al., 2008; Susuki, 2013]. Deprived of the metabolic benefits of normal myelination, patients may exhibit a shift in the basal rate of metabolism from WM to GM. This can be tested by measuring the rate of resting metabolism or blood perfusion rate between WM and GM ($R_{wm/gm}$). Simultaneous PET-MRI imaging demonstrates a high ($r^2 > 0.7$) voxel-wise correlation between resting cerebral blood flow (CBF), measured by arterial spin labeling (ASL), and resting metabolism rate [Anazodo et al., 2015]. This replicates previous findings of the coupling between resting CBF and cerebral metabolism, albeit with some important regional variations [Bentourkia et al., 2000; Cha et al., 2013; Vaishnavi et al., 2010]. Therefore, we hypothesized that decline in WM integrity in patients may lead to the shift in basal WM and GM metabolism rates, and is reflected as a PS deficit.

To test this hypothesis, we used a digit-symbol coding task to measure cerebral signal conduction velocity [Ashe and Georgopoulos, 1994; Lancaster et al., 2005; Lutz et al., 2005]. The digit-symbol task was chosen because it shows the strongest effect size with schizophrenia of all the common cognitive tasks [Knowles et al., 2010]. We evaluated whether this effect was specific to processing by repeating analyses for working memory and Wechsler adult intelligence scale. We indexed WM integrity using DTI-FA, which is a...
sensitive marker of WM integrity in schizophrenia [Ellison-Wright and Bullmore, 2009], including first-episode patients [Yao et al., 2013]. We collected resting CBF as the proxy measurement for energy consumption, and calculated $R_{wm/gm}$ as the ratio of WM to GM CBF. This allowed us to study the shift in metabolic burden as the consequence of reduced WM integrity.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

A total of 107 (65 M, 42 F) individuals participated in this study. Sixty one were healthy controls (35 males, 26 females, $38.8 \pm 14.3$ years old) and 46 (30 males, 16 females, $37.5 \pm 13.4$ years old) were patients diagnosed with schizophrenia. Additional clinical and demographic information is included in Table I. The patient and control groups did not differ in age, sex, BMI, or smoking frequency (Table I). Patients were recruited through the Maryland Psychiatric Research Center outpatient clinics. Healthy subjects were recruited through media advertisements. All subjects were evaluated with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV [First et al., 1996]. Patients were defined as individuals with the current Axis I schizophrenia diagnosis, while controls did not have any Axis I diagnosis. All but six patients were on antipsychotic medications. Participants completed clinical and neurocognitive testing as a part of the research study that included MRI. PS was assessed with the Digit Symbol Coding subtest of the WAIS-3 [Wechsler, 1997]. Additionally, we collected working memory assessment scores for all subjects using the Digit Sequencing task from the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia [Keefe et al., 2004]. Full WAIS-3 IQ scores were available for 77 subjects, including 24 patients (14 M, 10 F, $33.5 \pm 13.7$ years old) and 53 controls (24 M, 29 F, $34.9 \pm 14.8$). Raw neuropsychological assessment scores were used, and corrections for age and gender were performed as part of the statistical modeling. Clinical symptoms in patients were measured by the 20-item Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS), using a score of 1–7 for each item. Exclusion criteria for both groups included illicit substance and alcohol abuse and/or dependence, any major neurological diagnosis or events (head trauma, seizure, stroke, transient ischemic attack, hypertension, type-2 diabetes, and MRI contraindications). All experiments were performed with IRB approval, and all subjects signed an informed consent.

**Imaging and Data Analysis Protocols**

All imaging was performed at the University of Maryland Center for Brain Imaging Research using a Siemens 3T TRIO MRI (Erlangen, Germany) and 32-channel phase array head coil.

**Diffusion tensor imaging**—High-angular resolution diffusion imaging DTI data was collected using a single-shot, echo-planar, single refocusing spin-echo, T2-weighted sequence with a spatial resolution of $1.7 \times 1.7 \times 3.0$ mm. The sequence parameters were: TE/TR = 87/8,000 ms, FOV = 200 mm, axial slice orientation with 50 slices and no gaps, five $b = 0$ images, and 64 isotropically distributed diffusion weighted directions with $b = 700$ s/mm$^2$. These parameters maximized the contrast-to-noise ratio for FA measurements [Phillips et al., 2012]. A tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) method, distributed as a part of
the FMRIB Software Library (FSL) package, was used for tract-based analysis of diffusion anisotropy [Smith et al., 2006]. First, FA images were created by fitting the diffusion tensor to the motion and eddy current diffusion data. Average head motion during the DTI scans was measured during spatial alignments of diffusion-sensitized images to the \( b = 0 \) image. The RMSDIFF program, distributed with FSL [Smith et al., 2004], was used to estimate the root mean square (RMS) movement distance between diffusion sensitized and \( b = 0 \) images. All data passed quality assurance control of <3 mm accumulated motion during the scan. There were no differences in the average motion per TR between patients and controls (0.42 ± 0.21 vs. 0.43 ± 0.20, for patients and controls, respectively). In the next step, all FA images were globally spatially normalized to the Johns Hopkins University (JHU) atlas that is distributed with the FSL package, version 5.0.1 [Wakana et al., 2004], and then nonlinearly aligned to a group-wise, minimal-deformation target (MDT) brain, as detailed elsewhere [Jahanshad et al., 2013]. The global spatial normalization was performed using a method distributed with the FSL package (FLIRT) [Smith et al., 2006], with 12 degrees of freedom. This step was performed to reduce the global intersubject variability in brain volumes prior to nonlinear alignment. The study sample group’s MDT brain was identified by warping all individual brain images in the group to each other [Kochunov et al., 2001]. The MDT was selected as the image that minimizes the amount of the required deformation from other images in the group. Next, individual FA images were averaged to produce a group-average anisotropy image. This image was used to create a group-wise skeleton of WM tracts. The skeletonization procedure is a morphological operation that extracts the central axis of an object. This procedure was used to encode the central trajectory of the WM fiber-tracts with one-voxel thin sheaths.

FA images were thresholded at the level of \( FA = 0.20 \) to eliminate likely non-WM voxels, and FA values were projected onto the group-wise skeleton of WM structures. This step accounts for residual misalignment among individual WM tracts. FA values were assigned to each point along the skeleton using the peak value found within a designated range perpendicular to the skeleton. The FA values vary rapidly perpendicular to the tract direction, but vary slowly along the tract direction. By assigning the peak value to the skeleton, this procedure effectively maps the center of individual WM tracts on the skeleton. This processing was performed under two constraints. First, a distance map was used to establish search borders for individual tracts. The borders were created by equally dividing the distance between two nearby tracts. Next, a multiplicative 20 mm full width at half-max Gaussian weighting was applied during the search to limit maximum projection distance from the skeleton [Smith et al., 2006].

Pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling imaging—The detection of WM perfusion using ASL techniques was challenging in the past due to reduced volume coverage, low spatial resolution, and low signal-to-noise ratio of pulsed ASL sequences [van Gelderen et al., 2008]. However, recent technical developments in pulse sequence design and more sensitive phase-array coils have greatly improved the usefulness of this technique in clinical research [Wang and Licht, 2006; Wang et al., 2005]. We used a state-of-the-art Pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling (pCASL) sequence that provided full brain coverage with high spatial resolution and excellent ASL signal-to-background noise ratio (SNR) (SNR >
Specifically, we used a pCASL EPI with TE/TR = 16/4,000 ms, labeling duration = 2,100 ms, 24 contiguous slices with 5 mm thickness, matrix = 64 × 64, 3.4 × 3.4 × 5 mm resolution (FOV = 220 mm) labeling gradient of 0.6 G/cm, bandwidth = 1,594 Hz/pixel, labeling offset = 90 mm, post-labeling delay of 0.93 s [Wright et al., 2014]. A total of 68 alternating labeled and unlabeled image pairs were collected. The labeling duration and postlabeling delay sequence parameters were chosen empirically, as these maximized the overall labeling efficiency (contrast between labeled and unlabeled images) in five healthy volunteers 22–55 years of age. Equilibrium magnetization (M0) images were collected using a long TR = 10 s protocol [Wright et al., 2014]. T1-weighted images were collected using a protocol optimized to resolve the cortical ribbon using isotropic spatial sampling of 0.8 mm, voxel size = 0.5 mm³. T1-weighted contrast was achieved using a magnetization prepared sequence with an adiabatic inversion contrast-forming pulse (scan parameters: TE/TR/TI = 3.04/2,100/785 ms, flip angle = 11 degrees). ASL data were processed using the pipeline described elsewhere (http://www.mccauslandcenter.sc.edu/CRNL/tools/asl). In short, labeled and unlabeled ASL images were independently motion-corrected, and a combined mean image was computed and coregistered to the spatially normalized T1-weighted anatomical image. T1-weighted images were classified by tissue to produce the gray and WM tissue maps. Perfusion-weighted images were calculated by voxel-wise subtractions of unlabeled and labeled images, resulting in a mean perfusion-weighted image. Absolute WM perfusion or CBFWM (blood flow and perfusion are interchangeable terms here) quantification was calculated in native space from the mean perfusion images. Voxel-wise perfusion, in mL per 100 g per minute, was calculated under the assumption that the postlabel delay was longer than the arterial arrival time [Wang et al., 2002].

**Average and regional FA and CBF measurements**—Average FA measurements were calculated for each subject as the average value for the entire TBSS skeleton. The CBF maps were smoothed with a 6 mm FWHM Gaussian filter. The GM CBF map was made by masking the CBF image with the subject’s GM tissue map (GM density > 50%), extracted from T1-weighted images, and then calculating the average for all non-zero voxels. The WM CBF map was calculated in two steps. First, the binary WM tissue map (WM density > 50%) was extracted. Then, it was eroded with a 10-mm spherical kernel to reduce contamination of WM CBF due to partial voxel averaging with GM and CSF [Mutsaerts et al., 2013]. Likewise, the average WM perfusion was calculated by averaging across the non-zero voxels. The whole brain $R_{wm/gm}$ value was calculated as the ratio between WM and GM CBF values. Regional WM FA and CBF values were obtained using a population-based, 3D, DTI cerebral WM tract atlas developed at JHU, and distributed with the FSL package along the spatial course of 12 major WM tracts [Glahn et al., 2011; Kochunov et al., 2012; Wakana et al., 2004]. The per-tract $R_{wm/gm}$ value was calculated by taking the ratio of per-track WM CBF to average GM CBF. We chose this approach because the JHU atlas indexes the core WM tracts that carry projections from many cortical areas at once.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analyses were performed in three steps: (1) testing overall group differences (2) association between PS and whole-brain average (3) regional neuroimaging measurements. In the first step, group differences in clinical, neuropsychological, and neuroimaging
measures were tested using a two-tailed t-test. In the second step, a mediation analysis was used to directly test the hypothesis that reduced WM integrity mediated PS via the mismatch in the WM and GM CBF. In the last step, we used general linear modeling to calculate the proportion of variance in the PS that could be attributed to the variability in WM integrity, $R_{wm/gm}$, and their interaction with the diagnosis. Finally, the specificity of our hypothesis was tested by repeating the analyses with the working memory and IQ scores.

### Mediation Effects on PS

Causal mediation analysis was used to directly test the hypothesis that reduced WM integrity mediated PS via the $R_{wm/gm}$ [Imai et al., 2010; Tingley et al., 2013]. It tested the significance of the average causal mediation effect and the average direct effect of the “treatment” on the “outcome” via the “mediator.” In the first model, FA was chosen as the “treatment,” and $R_{wm/gm}$ as the “mediator” (Fig. IS, see Supporting Information). In the second model, the roles of FA and $R_{wm/gm}$ were switched, while PS remained an outcome. This analysis was performed in the combined sample and in each of the groups separately; the age and gender were used as nuisance covariates. The causal mediation analysis was performed with the R package [R-Development-Core-Team, 2009], using the mediation library [Tingley et al., 2013]. The default suggested parameters, 1,000 permutations and a boot-strapping estimate of initial value, were used.

### Effects of FA and $R_{wm/gm}$ on PS—

We studied the direct explanatory effects of FA and CBF on PS using general linear modeling, where PS was the dependent variable and FA, $R_{w/gm}$, diagnosis, and their interactions served as predictors. This analysis aimed to directly model the impact of the two neuroimaging measurements and the diagnosis status on PS. Specifically, we tested if the group difference in the PS remained significant after accounting for variance in the whole-brain averaged FA and CBF ratios [Eqs. (1) and (2)]. This modeling yielded the degree of variance explained, and tested the significance of the contribution from predictors to the variance in the PS. It also produced standardized coefficients (b) that estimated linear associations between PS variables and predictors.

\[
PS = A + \beta_{FA}FA + \beta_{R_{wm/gm}}R_{wm/gm} + \beta_{dR_{wm/gm}dFA} dR_{wm/gm} dFA + \beta_{FA \times dR_{wm/gm}} dFA \times dR_{wm/gm} + \beta_{dR_{wm/gm}dFA \times dR_{wm/gm}} dFA \times dR_{wm/gm} \times dR_{wm/gm} \cdot dFA \tag{1}
\]

\[
R_{wm/gm} = \frac{CBF_{wm}}{CBF_{gm}} \tag{2}
\]

Next, the regional specificity of this association was probed using Eq. (3). This analysis was performed separately in each group for 10 regional WM measurements. In this ad hoc approach, regional $R_{wm/gm}$ was obtained as the ratio of the pertract average WM CBF to the whole-brain GM CBF [Eq. (4)].

\[
PS = A + \beta_{FA\text{tract}}FA_{\text{tract}} + \beta_{R_{wm/gm\text{tract}}} R_{wm/gm\text{tract}} \tag{3}
\]
All modeling was performed with the R package [R-Development-Core-Team, 2009], using the Linear Effects Model library and the maximum likelihood estimation algorithm [Pinheiro et al., 2008; Tingley et al., 2013].

RESULTS

Both groups were well-matched in age, sex, body weight, BMI, and smoking frequency (Table I). Patients had lower PS scores (two-tailed t-test, $P = 10^{-7}$), whole-brain average FA ($P = 0.02$), and $R_{w/gm}$ values ($P = 0.002$) (Table I). In addition, patients had lower working memory and IQ scores ($P = 0.02$ and 0.003, respectively). Regionally, FA values in patients were only nominally reduced (Table 1S, see Supporting Information). There were no significant differences in global or regional GM or WM CBF values (Table I and Table 2S, see Supporting Information). The regional $R_{w/gm}$ values were suggestively significant for all of the tracts, and significantly different for three tracts: the genu, body, and splenium of corpus callosum, after correction for ten comparisons (Table 3S, see Supporting Information).

Both groups showed a similar pattern of association among neuroimaging and neurocognitive measurements. Whole-brain FA and $R_{w/gm}$ values were significantly and positively correlated in both groups ($r = 0.52$, $P = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $r = 0.25$, $P = 0.04$, for patients and controls, respectively) (Fig. 1). Likewise, the PS scores were significantly correlated with the whole-brain $R_{w/gm}$ ($r = 0.44$, $P = 4.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $r = 0.41$, $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$, in patients and controls, respectively) and FA values ($r = 0.35$, $P = 0.02$ and $r = 0.36$, $P = 0.005$, in patients and controls, respectively) (Fig. 2).

The directionality of the association between PS and neuroimaging measurements was tested using a mediation model (Fig. 1S, Supporting Information). $R_{w/gm}$ was a significant mediator for the (FA $\rightarrow$ $R_{W/GM}$ $\rightarrow$ PS) model in the combined ($P = 0.01$) and patient samples ($P = 0.04$), and approached significance in controls ($P = 0.09$). The reverse mediation model ($R_{W/GM}$ $\rightarrow$ FA $\rightarrow$ PS) was not significant (Fig. 1S, Supporting Information). The age and sex were not significant covariates for the per group mediation model (all $P > 0.2$).

The general linear modeling of PS as the function of FA, $R_{w/gm}$, diagnosis, and their interactions [Eq. (1); Table II] explained 38% of the variance in the combined model ($F_{5,102} = 13.13$; $P = 10^{-9}$). $R_{w/gm}$ and FA were both significant predictors ($P = 0.01$ and $P = 0.004$, respectively). The effects of diagnosis on PS were fully absorbed by the variance in neuroimaging measurements (Table II). Testing the model of regional FA and $R_{W/GM}$ effects on PS [Eq. (3); Table III] also showed similarity among patients and controls, with genu, body of corpus callosum, and corona radiata (CR) showing significant differences in both groups (Table III).
Testing the specificity of our hypothesis was done by performing a correlation analysis between $R_{w/gm}$, working memory, and IQ scores. There was no significant association between $R_{w/gm}$ and working memory scores in the whole sample or each group separately ($r = 0.17, 0.10$ and $0.25, P > 0.05$, for the whole sample and controls and patients, respectively). Likewise, the interference analysis produced no significant association (Table II). In contrast, linear correlation between $R_{w/gm}$ and IQ scores were significant ($r = 0.42, 0.32,$ and $0.54, P < 0.05$, for the whole sample and controls and patients, respectively). However, the partial correlation coefficients, corrected by processing speed, were not significant ($Pr = 0.25, 0.03,$ and $0.32, P > 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we observed that the neuroimaging measurements of reduced WM integrity explained patient-control differences in the speed of information processing in schizophrenic patients. The WM integrity measurements captured the diagnosis-related variance, with similar trends observed in both groups. This suggests that reduced speed of information processing is a function of poor WM integrity in both groups, and was not specifically linked to schizophrenia. Directionality tests suggest that structural deficits, measured by reduced FA, led to the shift in CBF ratio ($R_{WM/GM}$), which, in turn, mediated the processing speed. The analysis of regional trends further corroborated our hypothesis by demonstrating that reduced integrity of associative, rather than motor and sensory, WM regions may explain the processing speed deficits in both groups. This is consistent with previous research showing that the integrity of associative WM fibers that carry multimodal, higher order functional information, is critical for maintaining normal speed of information processing [Borghesani et al., 2013; Genova et al., 2013; Kochunov et al., 2010; Llufriu et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2014]. $R_{WM/GM}$ appeared to be specifically associated with processing speed. We tested the specificity of this association by repeating the analyses with two other neurocognitive measurements that are reduced in schizophrenia patients, working memory and IQ. We observed no significant association between $R_{WM/GM}$ and working memory. Significant association between $R_{WM/GM}$ and IQ scores were modulated by the impact of processing speed and IQ and lost significance once effects of processing speed were accounted for. Overall, our results constitute the testing of a neurobiological model of reduced processing speed, and suggest that the processing speed deficits in schizophrenic patients are governed by the same neurobiological mechanisms as controls.

The cerebral disconnectivity in schizophrenic patients [Friston and Frith, 1995; Lillrank et al., 1995; Repovs et al., 2011; Weinberger, 1996] is often characterized by deficits in WM integrity [Alba-Ferrara and de Erausquin, 2013; White et al., 2013]. A consequence of this disconnectivity is a profound deficit in the speed of information processing in schizophrenic patients [Knowles et al., 2010] that may also underlie impairments in other cognitive functions [Brebin et al., 1998; Coyle et al., 2011; Salthouse, 2000, 2009]. Processing speed depends on the integrity of cerebral WM, and is strongly associated with measurements of conduction velocity, such as cerebral and interhemispheric conduction velocities [Bartzokis et al., 2003, 2010; Horsfield and Jones, 2002; Lancaster et al., 2003, 2005; Llufriu et al., 2012; Nowicka and Tacikowski, 2009; Waxman and Bennett, 1972].
Processing speed declines during normal aging, and findings from aging research may help us interpret the neurobiological mechanism of this deficit in schizophrenia. The decline of the processing speed in aging is driven by the reduction in the propagation speed of action potentials across cortical networks [Ashe and Georgopoulos, 1994; Lutz et al., 2005]. In parallel, structural measurements of WM integrity, such as FA values, explain ~15% of the variance in the processing speed [Borghesani et al., 2013; Kochunov et al., 2009b; Peters et al., 2014; Schiavone et al., 2009]. The link between reduced processing speed deficits and WM integrity is further strengthened by observations in schizophrenic patients. A reduction in processing speed and WM FA values occur in parallel prior to onset of schizophrenia in adolescents at high clinical risk for psychosis [Bachman et al., 2012; Bloemen et al., 2010; Carletti et al., 2012; Karlsgodt et al., 2009]. Additionally, schizophrenic patients experience an accelerated (up to two times) rate of aging in cerebral WM compared to controls [Friedman et al., 2008; Mori et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2012; Wright et al., 2014]. This accelerated decline in WM integrity may explain why adult schizophrenic patients exhibit a processing speed performance comparable to normal controls twice their age [Bonner-Jackson et al., 2011; Loewenstein et al., 2011].

Here, we proposed a novel measurement: $R_{WM/GM}$ as the ratio of WM to GM perfusion to be a proxy index for the resting energy consumption. Perfusion refers to the microcirculation of blood to supply tissues with nutrients, and when measured during resting state, is coupled with glucose utilization and metabolism [Biagi et al., 2007; Cha et al., 2013; Musiek et al., 2011]. Our use of $R_{WM/GM}$ was intended to replicate previous findings that showed significantly altered WM/GM glucose metabolism ratios in schizophrenic patients [Altamura et al., 2013]. Our findings are consistent with those reported by Altamura et al., who attributed the reduced WM/GM uptake ratio in schizophrenia to defects in energy usage and structural alterations in WM integrity [Altamura et al., 2013].

Regarding the regional pattern of associations, regional FA and $R_{W/GM}$ values from associative WM, including the corpus callosum, CR, and cingulate, explained the highest proportion of processing speed in both groups. Measurements for the WM tracts that carry motor and sensory fibers, such as the internal and external capsules (IC and EC), showed the weakest associations with processing speed in both groups. Overall, our findings are novel and may offer biological insight to the causes of deficits in processing speed in schizophrenia. It suggests that reduced structural WM integrity in patients may lead to a shift of energy consumption from WM to GM, and this in turn leads to reduced processing speed.

**LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

This article presents novel and exciting findings that posit $R_{WM/GM}$ as a prospective neuroimaging endopheno-type that may clarify the core cognitive deficit of schizophrenia. However, a replication in an independent cohort is necessary to ensure that the findings in this study were not caused by unforeseen factors. We attempted to minimize the impact of common age-related metabolic disorders such as hypertension, diabetes, heart disorders, and stroke by excluding affected subjects. We cannot rule out effects from chronic antipsychotic exposure in patients. The correlations between processing speed, whole-brain FA and...
$R_{\text{WM/GM}}$, and current antipsychotic medication dose, as calculated by chlorpromazine equivalent (CPZ), were not significant ($r < 0.1; P > 0.6$). Similar findings are observed for correlations between CPZ and regional FA and $R_{\text{WM/GM}}$ measurements (all $r \leq 0.2$, all $P > 0.3$). Additionally, there were no differences in the processing speed or imaging measurements between medicated and unmedicated patients (all $P > 0.6$). Nonetheless, the present design would not allow for a differentiation or even speculation if the findings were due to the schizophrenia etiology, or by the chronic antipsychotic medication exposure. Ascertainment of a large antipsychotic-naïve patient group across different ages to rule out medication effects would be required to answer this question.

The use of an ASL technique to measure $R_{\text{WM/GM}}$ to index the shift in metabolic burden carries its own limitations. ASL is a signal-to-background noise ratio (SNR)-limited technique leading to long acquisition times and coarse spatial resolution. Nonetheless, a study that used a similar ASL protocol for mapping CBF while simultaneously using MRI-PET imaging reported excellent overall correlation ($r^2 > 0.7$) between ASL-measured perfusion and PET-measured cerebral glucose update [Anazodo et al., 2015]. Further improvements in ASL imaging and analysis approaches, such as background suppressed pCASL, can significantly improve SNR in cerebral WM and reduce acquisition times in half versus conventional pCASL techniques, thus, making $R_{\text{WM/GM}}$ measurements practical in as little as 2–3 min [van Osch et al., 2009].

Another potential limitation is that the reduced thickness of cortical GM can produce an apparent reduction in cortical perfusion measurements, due to partial volume averaging artifact [Kochunov et al., 2009a]. In the post hoc analysis, we measured cortical GM thickness using Free-Surfer [Fischl and Dale, 2000], and observed no significant differences in the average GM thickness between groups (2.45 ± 0.13 and 2.42 ± 0.13, $P = 0.8$, for patients and controls, respectively). Consistent with that, there were no significant group-wise differences ($P = 0.5$) in the average GM CBF measurements (Table I). For future studies, the use of partial voxel averaging correction algorithms, such as one proposed for ASL by Asllani and colleagues, may help to overcome this limitation [Asllani et al., 2008].
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Figure 1.
The perfusion ratio, $R_{WM/GM}$, was significantly correlated with the whole-brain average WM FA values in both groups ($r = 0.52$, $P = 0.0004$ and $r = 0.25$, $P = 0.05$, for patients and controls, respectively).
Figure 2.
Processing speed scores were plotted versus the whole-brain average FA (left) and $R_{\text{WM/GM}}$ perfusion ratio (right) for cerebral WM. Processing speed scores were significantly correlated with FA values ($r = 0.35$, $P = 0.02$ and $r = 0.36$, $P = 0.005$, in patients/controls, respectively) and with the $R_{\text{WM/GM}}$ ratios ($r = 0.44$, $P = 4.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $r = 0.41$, $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$, in patients/controls, respectively).
Clinical and demographic information for subjects used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Average age, range (years)</th>
<th>Symptoms: BPRS</th>
<th>Processing speed</th>
<th>Age-of-onset (years)</th>
<th>Duration (years)</th>
<th>Weight (lb)</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Current smokers</th>
<th>CBF whole brain$^a$</th>
<th>CBF GM$^a$</th>
<th>CBF WM$^a$</th>
<th>GM:WM ratio</th>
<th>FA values</th>
<th>Working memory</th>
<th>WASI-IQ$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (16F/30M)</td>
<td>37.5 ± 13.4, 19-59</td>
<td>57.1 ± 16.8</td>
<td>18.6 ± 7.6</td>
<td>19.5 ± 13.5</td>
<td>188.8 ± 37.2</td>
<td>30.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>349 ± 8.4</td>
<td>369 ± 13.3</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7.8 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>17.5 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls (26F/35M)</td>
<td>38.8 ± 143, 18-62</td>
<td>75.8 ± 15.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1842 ± 41.0</td>
<td>28.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>337 ± 6.8</td>
<td>540 ± 9.9</td>
<td>7.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>20.7 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no significant group differences in age and sex distribution for subjects with IQ scores.

$^a$ Perfusion measurements are in mL/100 mg/min.

$^b$ WASI-IQ scores were available for 77 subjects, including 24 patients (14 M, 10 F, 33.5 ± 13.7 years old) and 53 controls (24 M, 29 F, 34.9 ± 14.8 years old).
TABLE II
Results of the general linear model [Eq. (1)] analysis for processing speed and working memory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Processing speed</th>
<th>Working memory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{RWMGM}$ ($P$-value)</td>
<td>219.05 ± 81.80 (0.01)</td>
<td>10.99 ± 25.91 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{FA}$ ($P$-value)</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.004 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dx$ ($P$-value)</td>
<td>79.11 ± 67.68 (0.25)</td>
<td>76.68 ± 69.53 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{RWMGM} \times dx$ ($P$-value)</td>
<td>$-81.24 \pm 107.07 (0.45)$</td>
<td>$32.35 \pm 33.80 (0.34)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{FA} \times dx$ ($P$-value)</td>
<td>$-0.02 \pm 0.02 (0.24)$</td>
<td>$-0.004 \pm 0.005 (0.46)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2$</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{5,102}$ ($P$-value)</td>
<td>$13.13 (P = 10^{-9})$</td>
<td>$4.43 (P = 0.01)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This model yielded the degree of variance explained, and tested the significance of the contribution from predictors to the variance in the PS. It also produced standardized coefficients ($\beta$) that estimated linear associations between neurocognitive variables and predictors.
**TABLE III**

Results of the general linear model [Eq. (3)] analysis, where processing speed served as the dependent variable and regional WM to average GM CBF ratios and FA values for the ten WM tracts served as predictor variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing Speed</th>
<th>Genu</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Splenium</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Cing</th>
<th>SLF</th>
<th>FO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ Ratio CBF$<em>{wm}$ to CBF$</em>{gm}$ (P-value)</td>
<td>212.83 ± 67.55 (3.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>158.94 ± 54.93 (6.2 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>159.57 ± 59.17 (0.01)</td>
<td>31.08 ± 58.25 (0.60)</td>
<td>216.09 ± 67.10 (2.6 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>68.86 ± 63.13 (0.28)</td>
<td>41.93 ± 57.27 (0.47)</td>
<td>91.20 ± 33.08 (8.8 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>91.43 ± 49.15 (0.07)</td>
<td>79.10 ± 45.35 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{FA}$ (P-value)</td>
<td>-20.80 ± 46.65 (0.66)</td>
<td>1.20 ± 40.65 (0.98)</td>
<td>-65.33 ± 52.41 (0.22)</td>
<td>101.02 ± 118.31 (0.40)</td>
<td>50.59 ± 83.15 (0.55)</td>
<td>87.39 ± 68.92 (0.21)</td>
<td>97.09 ± 117.51 (0.41)</td>
<td>-15.23 ± 58.31 (0.80)</td>
<td>79.47 ± 88.23 (0.37)</td>
<td>99.55 ± 73.64 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2$</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ (P-value)</td>
<td>5.53 (7.7 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>6.73 (3.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>3.65 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.38)</td>
<td>8.03 (1.2 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>2.62 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.34)</td>
<td>4.21 (0.02)</td>
<td>3.63 (0.04)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Controls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ Ratio CBF$<em>{wm}$ to CBF$</em>{gm}$ (P-value)</td>
<td>150.27 ± 43.11 (8.2 × 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>102.11 ± 33.39 (3.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>124.80 ± 44.24 (0.01)</td>
<td>135.99 ± 46.41 (4.5 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>124.29 ± 42.98 (0.01)</td>
<td>88.95 ± 44.78 (0.05)</td>
<td>105.69 ± 44.23 (0.02)</td>
<td>75.32 ± 20.58 (4.7 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>138.45 ± 42.32 (1.6 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>108.47 ± 31.56 (9.7 × 10⁻⁴)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{FA}$ (P-value)</td>
<td>137.10 ± 36.35 (3.2 × 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>108.46 ± 38.65 (0.01)</td>
<td>238.40 ± 70.38 (1.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>131.35 ± 72.71 (0.07)</td>
<td>256.52 ± 67.85 (3.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>190.60 ± 54.57 (8.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>232.44 ± 78.61 (4.2 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>107.96 ± 50.90 (4.2 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>167.68 ± 68.97 (0.02)</td>
<td>116.12 ± 57.64 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2$</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ (P-value)</td>
<td>14.47 (4.9 × 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>9.58 (2.0 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>10.19 (1.2 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>5.65 (0.01)</td>
<td>9.93 (1.5 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>9.52 (2.1 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>6.76 (2.0 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>9.24 (2.6 × 10⁻³)</td>
<td>8.14 (6.4 × 10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>7.69 (9.2 × 10⁻⁴)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This modeling was performed for patient and control groups.

The WM tracts were abbreviated as follows: IC, internal capsule; CR, corona radiata; TR, thalamic radiation; EC, external capsule; Cing, cingulate; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; FO, superior and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculi.