

Le Diasema Lexical Diachronic semantic maps Representing and explaining meaning extension A short introduction to the project



- Duration: December 2016 December 2018
- Main research question: how semantic maps make significant predictions about language change at the lexical level?
- Funding schemes









Specific objectives

- To incorporate the diachronic dimension into semantic maps of content words
- To extend the method so as to also include information about the cognitive and cultural factors behind the development of the various meanings
- To create an online platform for automatically plotting diachronic semantic maps based on polysemy data from the languages of the world



 Adding a diachronic dimension to semantic maps of content words



4



 Adding a diachronic dimension to semantic maps of content words





Data sources

Synchronic (polysemy) data

- Online databases containing large language samples
 - CLiCs: an online database of synchronic lexical associations (<u>http://clics.lingpy.org</u>; List et al., 2014)
 - WordNet (<u>http://wordnet.princeton.edu</u>; Princeton University, 2010) and Open Multilingual Wordnet

(<u>http://compling.hss.ntu.edu.sg/omw/index.html</u>): large lexical databases of words grouped into sets of cognitive synonyms each expressing a distinct concept

- Concepticon (<u>http://concepticon.clld.org/;</u> List et al., 2016)
- etc.





Data sources

Diachronic data

- Ancient Greek (8th 1st c. BC)
 - Perseus digital library (<u>http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/</u>)
 - Dictionaries, grammars
- Ancient Egyptian (26th c. BC 10th c. AD)
 - Thesaurus Linguae Aegyptiae (<u>http://aaew.bbaw.de/tla/</u>)
 - The Ramses corpus (<u>http://ramses.ulg.ac.be</u>),
 - Lexical resources (Coptic etymological dictionaries)
- The Catalogue of Semantic Shifts in the Languages of the World (Zalizniak, 2006; Zalizniak et al., 2012; <u>http://semshifts.iling-ran.ru/</u>)



■ Manually... not an option



- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings



A semantic map of functions associated with allative markers (based on Rice & Kabata, 2007: 54 allative grams in 44 genetically and areally diverse languages)



- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings
- Automatically, which implies the following steps



- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings
- Automatically, which implies the following steps
 - Converting synchronic polysemy data into a lexical matrix

	A	В	С
1	banana tree	tree	bul_std:dərvó
2	banana tree	wood	bul_std:dərvó
3	club	firewood	arn_std:mamily//pue_std:ipuk
4	club	post, pole	bul_std:pret//cat_std:pal//cof_std:ci?de//haw_std:la?au//ito_std:abite//qvi_std:kaspi
5	club	staff, walking stick	akv_Northern:чlyли//akv_Southern:чlyли//ava_Batlukh:тlил//ava_Karakh:ил//ava_Ka
6	club	tree	arn_std:mamily//haw_std:la?au//ito_std:abite//ket_std:oks//pbh_std:iye//pue_std:ipuk/.

Polysemy data from CLiCs (<u>http://clics.lingpy.org/download.php</u>)



- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings

Automatically, which implies the following steps

Converting synchronic polysemy data into a lexical matrix

l		A	B	C	D	E	F
ĺ	1			banana tree	tree	wood	club
ĺ	2	bul_std	dərvó	1	1	1	0
l	3	cat_std	pal	0	0	0	1
ĺ	4	cof_std	ci?de	0	0	0	1
ĺ	5	akv_Northern	чјули	0	0	0	1
I	6	akv_Southern	чјули	0	0	0	1
l	7	ava_Batlukh	тіил	0	0	0	1
ĺ	8	ava_Karakh	ли	0	0	0	1
1	9	ava Karakh	тіил	0	0	0	1

Python script α

Lexical matrix



- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings

Automatically, which implies the following steps

- Converting synchronic polysemy data into a lexical matrix
- Inferring a semantic map from a lexical matrix (Regier et al. 2013)

```
# CREATE INITIAL GRAPH
# graph G: add each term's nodes, no edges in graph yet.
G = nx.Graph() # create empty graph (undirected)
               # list of possible edges, filled below
PossE = []
for t in T:
        # add all nodes in t, if not already in graph
        for n in t:
                if (not G.has_node(n)):
                                                                           Python script \beta
                        G.add_node(n)
        # add to PossE a link between each pair of nodes in t
        # adding a link between every node in G is needless and slower
        for pair in allpairs(t):
                u = pair[0]
                v = pair[1]
                if (not (((u,v) in PossE) or ((v,u) in PossE))):
                        PossE.append((u,v))
```





- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings

Automatically, which implies the following steps

- Converting synchronic polysemy data into a lexical matrix
- Inferring a semantic map from a lexical matrix (Regier et al. 2013)
- Inferring oriented edges based on diachronic data

```
H = G.to_directed()
                        # convert the graph 'G' into a directed Gra
                        # all the possibilities as regards the rela
                        # (i.e., both A -> B and B -> A for all the
                        # not only A -> B)
nx.set_edge_attributes(H, 'type', 'undirected') # set the default \
for u,v,e in H.edges(data=True):
                                       # loop over all the edges i
        for t in T_Full:
                                       # look at the metadata and
                if t.count(u) == 1 and t.count(v) == 0: # if the me
                                                        # while the
                        LangWord = t[0:2]
                                               # store temporarily
                        Dia = t[2]
                                               # store temporarily
                        for c in T_Full:
                                                # then look at the
                                if c[0:2] == LangWord and c[2] > Di
```

Python script γ



- Manually... not an option
 - From max. 60 grammatical functions to 60.000⁺ lexical meanings
- Automatically, which implies the following steps
 - Converting synchronic polysemy data into a lexical matrix
 - Inferring a semantic map from a lexical matrix (Regier et al. 2013)
 - Inferring oriented edges based on diachronic data
- Labelling the types of polysemy, so as to identify shared cognitive motivations and to assess the potential impact of cultural factors on the evolution of various lexical domains
 - The role of Metaphor
 - The role of Metonymy
 - Areal and cultural reasons accounting for different types polysemy



Talks, workshop and Website

- Diasema talks
- Workshop
 - 'Semantic maps: where do we stand and where are we going?', Liège 2018.06.27-29
- Website: <u>http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/lediasema/</u>

-





Thanks!

athanasios.georgakopoulos@ulg.ac.be s.polis@ulg.ac.be





18