

Does multichannel-tDCS applied over the bilateral frontoparietal cortex improve the recovery of patients with disorders of consciousness?

Martens G¹, Thibaut A², Martial C¹, Laureys S¹

¹ Coma Science Group, GIGA Research, University Hospital of Liège & University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

² Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Objectives

In this randomized double blind sham controlled cross-over study, we aimed to assess the effects of frontoparietal transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS)¹ on the level of consciousness in patients with disorders of consciousness (**Fig. 1**).




Fig. 1: The electric field induced by tDCS is shown in yellow.




Fig. 2 : 4 anodes are located on F3, F4, CP5, CP6 and 4 cathodes on FPZ, FP2, O1, OZ

Methods

This study was performed on patients in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS), minimally conscious state (MCS) and emergence of MCS (EMCS). 23 patients (UWS=8, MCS=14, EMCS=1; mean age: 45±12 years; 17 men; interval since insult: 4.5±7 years; 11 traumatic etiologies) underwent two tDCS sessions, either anodal or sham in a randomized order. Frontoparietal areas were stimulated using a current of 1 mA during 20 minutes (**Fig. 2**). Consciousness was assessed by the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised² (CRS-R) before and after each stimulation (**Fig. 3**).




Fig. 3: Study protocol. CRS-R = Coma Recovery Scale-Revised, FP = Frontoparietal, tDCS = transcranial direct current stimulation

Results

We did not observe any treatment effect in the whole population ($p=0.121$) but a significant treatment effect was found for the subgroup of MCS patients ($p=0.019$) while no significant effect was observed for the UWS patients ($p=0.345$; **Fig. 4**). We found a significant difference in the total CRS-R score before and after the real session ($p=0.042$) with no significant difference for the sham session ($p=0.826$; **Fig. 5**). We did not observe any tDCS related side effect (e.g. epilepsy, sign of pain, drowsiness).




Fig. 4: Boxplot of the total score difference after real (left) and sham (right) sessions in MCS patients (n=14)




Fig. 5: Total CRS-R scores before and after the real session (left) and the sham session (right) in the whole sample (n=23)

Conclusion

Our results showed that frontoparietal anodal tDCS is safe and might improve the level of consciousness in half of MCS patients. This non-invasive brain stimulation technique could be useful to improve MCS patients' rehabilitation.



REFERENCES

- 1 Thibaut A, Bruno MA, Ledoux D, Demertzi A, Laureys S; tDCS in patients with disorders of consciousness; *Neurology* 2014;82:1-7
- 2 Giacino JT, Kalmar K and Whyte J; The JFK Coma Recovery Scale-Revised: measurement characteristics and diagnostic utility; *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2004; 85(12): p. 2020-2029