

Jidovtseff Boris¹ · Nigel Harris² · Nicolas Carpent¹ · Maxime Scott¹ · John Cronin²

¹University of Liege, Department of Sport and Rehabilitation Sciences, Liege, Belgium ; ²Sports Performance Research Institute New Zealand, AUT University, Auckland, New Zealand

Introduction

- Sprinting, jumping and change of direction involve the stretch-shorten cycle (SSC) and therefore plyometric training is used to improve these factors. The jumping strategy utilized during specific exercises has a significant influence of the biomechanical parameters (Bobbert, 1990; Jidovtseff et al. 2014). As a consequence, it is very important to know exactly what should be developed, in regards with sport characteristics and athlete needs.
- Indeed, in some cases, it is important to jump as high as possible while in other cases it is important to reduce the ground contact time. According to the principle of specificity, it is expected that the choice of plyometric exercise has to be matched to the desired biomechanical adaptation (Bobbert, 1990; Young et al, 1999).
- The aim of the present study was to investigated the influence different plyometric programs on jumping ability development in recreational athletes.

Methods

Population



• The results demonstrated that JH and JH-S programs were more effective for improving jump height performance (+7 to 9%; p<0.005) compared to insignificant jump height changes in the CT and CON groups. CT-JH was the most effective on the reactivity index (+14%, p<0.005) although significant increases (+8%, p<0.05) were also observed in CT, JH and JH-S groups. CT was the only group to significantly decrease short contact time (-5%, p<0.05). ANOVA analysis revealed significant groups*session effect for jump height (p<0.01) and reactivity (p<0.005) but not for short contact time.



Researches that have focused on the specificity of plyometric training presented contradictory results. While most studies demonstrated that changes in performance were specific to the plyometric training (Bobert, 1990; Young et al, 1999,) a few were not able to confirm such specific adaptation (Thomas et al. 2009).

By comparing the effect of four different and progressive plyometric programs, the present study revealed that in recreational athletes different plyometric programs could lead to different adaptations and change in performance. Only CT training was able to reduce CT while the most significant improvement in RI were observed in the CT-JH group as expected. JH and JH-S training protocols were the more effective to increase JH.

The overall results confirms the specificity of the plyometric training. The choice of exercises carried out during training and the instructions given should be adapted to the specific qualities that athletes have to develop.

References

1. Bobbert, MF. Drop jumping as a training method for jumping ability. Sports Med 9: 7–22, 1990.

Jidovtseff, B, Quievre, J, Harris, N, Cronin, J. Influence of jumping strategy on kinetic parameters. J Sports Med Physical Fitness, 54, 129-138, 2014.
Thomas K, French D, Hayes PR. The effect of two plyometric training techniques on muscular power and agility in youth soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 23:, 332-335, 2009

Young, WB, Wilson, GJ, and Byrne, C. A comparison of drop jump training methods: effects on leg extensor strength qualities and jumping performance. Int J Sports Med 20: 295–303, 1999.