

MODELLING CHILDREN'S MOBILITY AND SCHOOL COMMUTING: A REVIEW AND KEY CHALLENGES FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Anne-Françoise Marique, Jacques Teller, and Mario Cools

University of Liège - Liège, Belgium

PROBLEM STATEMENT

DETERMINANTS

Children's mobility and school travelling are relatively underdeveloped in transport research, especially when compared with commuting to work. Despite it is often argued that a profound analysis of school-travel patterns has the potential to generate many important insights that may impact transport-system policy and management, the modelling of children mobility, is still in an early stage of development and children are often only considered as constraints to adults' mobility. In this paper, we argue that innovative modelling frameworks that were recently developed in the field of transportation could be adapted and applied to the mobility of children in order to develop both novel scientific insights and inform the development of policies aiming at increasing active and independent mobility of children, in a broader vision of sustainability.

Research on child and youth mobility has mainly focused on:

- Declining use of active commuting (cycling and walking)
- Children's independent mobility (the opportunity for children to move freely in the environment without an accompanying adult)
- Increasing prevalence of physical inactivity and obesity among children and youth.

Likelihood of walking or cycling to school decreases as travel distance increases

OBJECTIVES

Increase the behavioral realism in the modeling of children's mobility

- * A synthesis of research about the determinants of school commuting and active/independent mobility of children to better understand the specificities of children's mobility and the factors to integrate in modelling frameworks
- *A comprehensive state-of-the art of transportation modelling frameworks with a specific emphasis on safety modelling
- *Overview of the knowledge with regard to route choice modelling.

TRANSPORT MODELS

- * Provide an adequate behavioral basis for school transport, especially in the context of evidence suggesting that children are often accompanied by caregiver(s).
- * Imply a shift from aggregate to disaggregate micro-simulation models
- * Guarantee integrity
 - The use of time as the integrating framework at both individual and household level reassures intra-person integrity.
- Literature with respect to the information provision of active transport itineraries
- Guidelines and key challenges to address in future research relating to the modelling of children's mobility

FRAMEWORK



Intra-household integrity is achieved by coordinating individual daily activity-travel patterns at the household level, considering task and resource allocation, joint activities and joint travel.

ROUTE CHOICE MODELS

- * Key determinants pedestrian route choice
 - * Physical and social environment, e.g. building design, signage, and streetscape
 - * Infrastructure, e.g. pavement, pedestrian crossover, landmarks and waypoints
 - * Safety, e.g. traffic safety, congestion, presence of school crossing guard
 - * Socio-demographic, e.g. age, gender, ethnicity
 - * Trip and route characteristics: travel distance, scenery, number of turns
- * Particular determinants cycling route choice
 - * Presence of dedicated cycling infrastructure (bicycle lanes and pathways)
 - * Physical characteristics (e.g. hilliness, pavement condition, and street configuration)
 - * Aesthetical aspects (embedment in green and aquatic areas)
 - * Safety aspects (e.g. functional class of the road)
 - * Land-use (e.g. residential density and land-use mix)

Figure 1: Hierarchical classification of pedestrian walk trips (Nasir et al., 2014, based on Hoogendoorn et al. 2002)

RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES

* Extending the scope of

- * agents envisaged by agent-based travel demand modelling frameworks.
- * variables incorporated in the modelling framework by adopting a high level of multidimensionality
- Incorporation of various route choice mechanisms in a single modelling framework

Contact information:

Anne-Françoise Marique, University of Liège – Administration des Ressources Immobilières (ARI), Quartier Urbanistes 2, Traverse des Architectes 6, Bât 3C, BE-4000 Liège, Belgium Jacques Teller, University of Liège – ArGEnCo – Local Environment Management & Analysis (LEMA), Quartier Polytech 1, Allée de la Découverte 9, Bât. B52/3, BE-4000 Liège, Belgium Mario Cools, University of Liège – ArGEnCo – Local Environment Management & Analysis (LEMA), Quartier Polytech 1, Allée de la Découverte 9, Bât. B52/3, BE-4000 Liège, Belgium Mario Cools, University of Liège – ArGEnCo – Local Environment Management & Analysis (LEMA), Quartier Polytech 1, Allée de la Découverte 9, Bât. B52/3, BE-4000 Liège, Belgium

Email: afmarique@ulg.ac.be Email: jacques.teller@ulg.ac.be Email: mario.cools@ulg.ac.be