Solo vs. duet in different virtual rooms: On the consistency of singing quality across conditions
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What we know so far...

...about solo singing:
• Occasional singers: Two main criteria of pitch accuracy
• Operatic singers: Many criteria in interaction

...about ensemble singing:
• Intonation is barely affected by simulated room acoustics
• Tempo and timing precision gets affected when singing in comparatively reverberant virtual rooms

METHODS

Participants & Procedure
• Three duets with female singers (N = 6)
• Three different melodies
• Separate recordings using Headset microphones
• All trials under three different simulated room acoustical conditions

Material
• Three different simulated acoustical spaces: 1. No manipulation 2. Small room (RT = 1.47 s) 3. Church (RT = 4.21 s)

RESULTS

Pitch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Melody</th>
<th>Mean IOI deviation (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solo</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unisono</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Melody</th>
<th>Mean IOI deviation (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solo</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unisono</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions

1. Are there any differences between singing “solo” vs. “canon” vs. “unisono” with regard to pitch and timing accuracy?
2. How do different simulated room acoustical conditions influence singing performances?
3. Are there any interactions between singing condition and acoustical feedback?

SUMMARY

1. Pitch and synchronization differ between singing conditions (Solo, Unisono, Canon):
   ➔ YES
   Pitch accuracy was better in Solo and Unisono compared to Canon (Figure 1), while tonal adjustments between singers were better in Unisono compared to Canon (Figure 3). Synchronization was more accurate when singing Unisono compared to Canon (Figure 5).

2. Acoustical feedback has an influence on pitch and timing:
   ➔ NO
   No influence of room acoustics on pitch (Figure 1, 2, 3) and timing (Figure 4, 5).

3. There is an interaction between singing conditions and room acoustical simulations:
   ➔ NO
   No interaction between the different singing conditions and room acoustical simulations in highly trained singers.
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