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Abstract

Inflammation associated oxidative stress leads to peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids thereby generating volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The integrative analysis of the total amount of VOCs released by eosinophils and neutrophils in vitro enables the search for those compounds that discriminate between various inflammatory conditions.

The approach comprises isolating eosinophils and neutrophils from 30 ml of blood of healthy non-smoking volunteers by gradient centrifugation, using lymphoprep. Eosinophils are separated from neutrophils by immunomagnetic cell separation using anti-CD16. Cells are activated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate and VOCs from the headspace are collected at time 0', 30', 60' and 90' by introduction of ultra-pure nitrogen in the closed flasks at a flow rate of 200 ml min⁻¹ during 10 min. The gases are trapped onto a sorption tube and analyzed by gas chromatography—time-of-flight—mass spectometry (GC-TOF-MS) in order to identify VOCs released in the headspace by activated neutrophils and eosinophils.

Eosinophils and neutrophils were isolated from 26 healthy non-smoking volunteers. The average absolute number of eosinophils and neutrophils upon isolation was 3.5 × 10⁶ and 19.4 × 10⁶, respectively. The volatome in headspace consisted of 2116 compounds and those compounds present in at least 8% of the samples (1123 compounds) were used for further discriminant analysis. Discriminant analysis showed that two VOCs were able to distinguish between eosinophilic and neutrophilic cultures in the unactivated state with 100% correct classification of the entire data set and upon cross validation while five VOCs were able to discriminate between activated eosinophils and neutrophils with 96% correct classification in the original set and upon cross-validation.

Analysis of VOCs seems to be a very promising approach in identifying eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation but it needs further development and in vivo confirmation.

Introduction

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease of the airways. There is increasing evidence that phenotyping asthma according to airway inflammation allows the identification of subgroups of patients that are more likely to respond to targeted therapy. The importance of these inflammatory phenotypes is that the underlying molecular mechanisms are different. While the eosinophilic phenotype is likely to reflect ongoing adaptive immunity in response to allergen with Th2 cytokine IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 playing a key role, the neutrophilic is thought to reflect innate immune system activation in response to pollutants or infectious agents [1, 2]. Those phenotypes actually require different therapeutic treatments. Importantly, studies have confirmed that eosinophilic airway inflammation most reliably predicts the response to anti-inflammatory treatment such as inhaled corticosteroid [3, 4] and anti-IL5 [5, 6]. Studies have demonstrated the usefulness of induced sputum to guide asthma treatment [7, 8]. These studies showed that normalizing airway
eosinophilic inflammation allowed better control of asthma with reduced exacerbations and hospital admissions. There is, however, no evidence that inhaled corticosteroids may improve asthma control in the absence of uncontrolled eosinophilic inflammation as encountered in pauci-granulocytic asthma [9] and data suggest that severe neutrophilic asthma could be best targeted by using clarithromycin [10, 11].

The technique of induced sputum that allows collection of airway cells is considered as the gold standard to identify asthma inflammatory phenotypes [12]. It is, however, technically demanding and time-consuming. Alternative biomarkers such as FENO have already been identified [13, 14] but thresholds predicting eosinophilic inflammation varies according to inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and smoking habit. Inflammation associated oxidative stress leads to peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids thereby generating volatile organic compounds (VOCs). VOC profiles have been shown to be able to discriminate between various pulmonary diseases conditions [15–17]. Analysis of VOCs derived from inhaled eosinophils and neutrophils may offer the possibility of noninvasive monitoring of the associated type of inflammation. Therefore we measured VOCs released by eosinophils and neutrophils in vitro, either activated or non-activated and cultured in closed flasks and then searched for VOC profiles that could discriminate between eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation. The integrative analysis of the total amount of volatiles released by eosinophils and neutrophils in vitro enables identification of compounds that should be further tested in vivo to discriminate between inflammatory phenotypes in asthmatics and have therapeutic implications.

Methods

**In vitro experiments**
The approach used comprised freshly isolating eosinophils and neutrophils from 30 ml of blood of healthy non-smoking volunteers. Non atopic untreated blood donors having no respiratory symptoms were recruited from the University Hospital of Liege by advertisement. Eosinophils and neutrophils were isolated by gradient centrifugation, using lymphoprep (Axis-Shields, Oslo, Norway). Lymphocytes were removed and the remaining erythrocytes were lysed using cold (4 °C) lysis buffer (155 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, and 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.4). Polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs) were then washed and resuspended in Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS; Life technologies, Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands). Eosinophils were separated from neutrophils by immunomagnetic cell separation (MACS; Miltenyi Biotec; Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) using anti-CD16. Three million cells were incubated in an RPMI culture medium supplemented with 2% fetal calf serum (FCS) and cultivated under standard conditions in 75 cm² closed culture flasks at 37 °C with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Activation of cells was realised with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (100 ng ml⁻¹, PMA, Sigma). The viability of the cells evaluated using trypan blue exclusion at the end of the incubation period (90 min) was 95% ± 4%. VOCs from the headspace were collected at time 0, 30', and 60' by introduction of ultra-pure nitrogen (99.999% N₂) in closed flasks at a flow rate of 200 ml min⁻¹ during 10 min using a recently developed system [18]. To trap VOCs, the headspace was pushed out onto a stainless steel two-bed sorption tube, filled with carbograph 1TD/Carbopack X (Markes International Limited, Llantrisant, UK).

VOC analysis by GC-TOF-MS
The analytical procedure to measure VOCs by gas chromatography—time-of-flight—mass spectrometry (GC-TOF-MS) was described in detail earlier [19]. Analysis of the samples started with the release of the VOC trapped on the sorption tubes using thermal desorption by heating the tubes to 270 °C. The gaseous mixture of released compounds was then divided; 90% of the sample was recollected on a second identical sample tube and stored for an optional second analysis. 10% of the sample was loaded onto a cold (5 °C) sorption trap, from which it was injected onto the gas chromatography capillary column (RTX-5 ms, 30 m × 0.25 mm 5% diphenyl, 95% dimethylsiloxane capillary, film thickness 1 μm). VOC are separated by GC (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Austin, Texas, USA) and subsequently detected by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOF-MS) (Thermo Electron Tempus Plus time-of-flight mass spectrometer, ThermoFisher Scientific, Austin, Texas, USA). The temperature of the gas chromatograph was programmed as follows: 40 °C during 5 min, then raised with 10 °C/min until the final temperature of 270 °C, this temperature was maintained for 5 min. The mass spectrometer was set at a scan range of 35–350 amu and scan rate of 5 times/s. The complete analytical procedure, including sampling, storage and instrumental analysis, was tested for reproducibility [15].

Data-acquisition and mining
Analysis of the data output files from the GC-TOF-MS was performed in successive steps as previously described in detail [20, 21]. In summary, the first step was to perform peak detection and baseline corrections on all output files. Parts of the chromatograms that occurred at a retention index <0.15 and >2.8 were removed, because of unreliable data from these parts. Retention times were normalized by calculating retention indices, relative to toluene and lining up corresponding peak. The remaining data were realized with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (100 ng ml⁻¹, PMA, Sigma). The viability of the cells evaluated using trypan blue exclusion at the end of the incubation period (90 min) was 95% ± 4%. VOCs from the headspace were collected at time 0, 30', and 60' by introduction of ultra-pure nitrogen (99.999% N₂) in closed flasks at a flow rate of 200 ml min⁻¹ during 10 min using a recently developed system [18]. To trap VOCs, the headspace was pushed out onto a stainless steel two-bed sorption tube, filled with carbograph 1TD/Carbopack X (Markes International Limited, Llantrisant, UK).
Component selection
To determine which compounds in the database were of interest regarding the classification of eosinophilic versus neutrophilic inflammation, we applied a stepwise discriminant analysis using SPSS (SPSS 19 for Windows, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). The discriminant analyses were performed using a 20-fold cross-over approach. In this method, all but 5% of the chromatograms are used to construct the discriminant function, which is subsequently used to predict to which group the ones left out belong. This is repeated 20 times, until all samples have been classified once. The discriminant functions obtained in this way are based on many components and are optimal in terms of differentiation between subgroups, but are not necessary the best predictors for unknown samples, because of potential overfitting. Therefore, the number of VOCs is gradually diminished, one by one, until a low number of components remains with sufficient discriminating power. The reduction in components is accomplished by starting with the original large set of components from the first discriminant analysis and then repeating the analysis with the least informative component of the results left out.

The chemical identification of the VOCs was done by means of spectrum recognition using the NIST chemical library in combination with spectrum interpretation by an experienced mass-spectrometrist and identification based on retention times of compounds.

To estimate VOC abundance, chromatograms were normalized to total intensity of all peaks, so for every peak there is a relative abundance. Comparisons of VOC abundance between subgroups were performed with a Kruskal–Wallis test. The results were considered to be significant at the 5% critical level (p < 0.05).

This study was conducted with the approval of the ethics committee of CHU Liege (2005/181).

Results
Eosinophils and neutrophils were isolated from 26 healthy non-smoking volunteers. The average number of eosinophils and neutrophils upon isolation was $3.5 \times 10^6$ and $19.4 \times 10^6$, respectively. For both groups, 16 samples of 3 million cells were activated with PMA while 10 samples were not activated.

The resulting analysis of the headspace air demonstrated that the collected air was rich in a wide variety of VOC. For all samples, a total of 2116 compounds were found. Compounds detected in less than 8% of the samples were discarded resulting in a dataset of 1123 compounds.

For the discriminant analysis, seven groups were defined, as shown in Table 1. As we anticipate that VOCs may be released from the sampling system and from medium and PMA, we used three additional control groups to limit the risk that discriminant VOCs originate from a non-cellular source. Results of the discriminant analyses are shown in Table 1.

We looked at VOCs released after 30, 60 and 90 min of incubation. No significant differences between the results per time point were detected. We used samples collected after 60 min for further analysis. The discriminant analysis for unactivated eosinophils versus unactivated neutrophils resulted in a discriminant function comprising 8 components that classified all samples correctly (100% sensitivity and 100% specificity). We gradually diminished the number of components to build the model, and registered the sensitivity and specificity of the analyses as a function of the number of components involved. In this way, using three components (component 858, 854 and 843), a 100% correct classification was still obtained. With two components (peak 843 and 854) a 100% correct classification was still achieved whereas one component (peak 843) gave 85% correct classification. Within this data set, validation was performed using 20-fold cross validation with 100% of correctly classified data in cross-validated set using 3 components, 100% using two components and 85% using one component. Both peak 843 and 854 displayed higher relative abundance in the unactivated neutrophilic culture compared to unactivated eosinophilic culture (p < 0.01) and were not detected in the flasks not containing inflammatory cells (group 5, 6 and 7). Looking at the NIST library, we identified peak 843 as benzylalcohol and peak 854 as 3-methylfuran. VOC 858 was found in higher abundance in the unactivated eosinophilic culture as compared to unactivated neutrophils and was absent in flasks containing medium without cells.

The same approach was used to discriminate between activated cell types. Five VOCs distinguish between activated eosinophils versus activated neutrophils with 96% correct classification in original and cross-validated set. With three components (VOC 528, 486 and 156), we kept 83.3% correctly classified data in original dataset and 79.2% in cross-validated set. Chemical identification of peak 528 and 486 gave 1-H-indenol and 2-butoxyethanol. Peak 156 could not be identified. 1-H-indenol and 2-butoxyethanol were found in higher amounts in the neutrophilic culture (p = 0.0029 (figure 1) and p = 0.012 respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Description of the 7 groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of flasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For eosinophils and neutrophils upon isolation was $3.5 \times 10^6$ and $19.4 \times 10^6$, respectively. For both groups, 16 samples of 3 million cells were activated with PMA while 10 samples were not activated. The resulting analysis of the headspace air demonstrated that the collected air was rich in a wide variety of VOC. For all samples, a total of 2116 compounds were found. Compounds detected in less than 8% of the samples were discarded resulting in a dataset of 1123 compounds.

For the discriminant analysis, seven groups were defined, as shown in Table 1. As we anticipate that VOCs may be released from the sampling system and from medium and PMA, we used three additional control groups to limit the risk that discriminant VOCs originate from a non-cellular source. Results of the discriminant analyses are shown in Table 1.

We looked at VOCs released after 30, 60 and 90 min of incubation. No significant differences between the results per time point were detected. We used samples collected after 60 min for further analysis. The discriminant analysis for unactivated eosinophils versus unactivated neutrophils resulted in a discriminant function comprising 8 components that classified all samples correctly (100% sensitivity and 100% specificity). We gradually diminished the number of components to build the model, and registered the sensitivity and specificity of the analyses as a function of the number of components involved. In this way, using three components (component 858, 854 and 843), a 100% correct classification was still obtained. With two components (peak 843 and 854) a 100% correct classification was still achieved whereas one component (peak 843) gave 85% correct classification. Within this data set, validation was performed using 20-fold cross validation with 100% of correctly classified data in cross-validated set using 3 components, 100% using two components and 85% using one component. Both peak 843 and 854 displayed higher relative abundance in the unactivated neutrophilic when compared to unactivated eosinophilic culture (p < 0.01) and were not detected in the flasks not containing inflammatory cells (group 5, 6 and 7). Looking at the NIST library, we identified peak 843 as benzylalcohol and peak 854 as 3-methylfuran. VOC 858 was found in higher abundance in the unactivated eosinophilic culture as compared to unactivated neutrophils and was absent in flasks containing medium without cells.

The same approach was used to discriminate between activated cell types. Five VOCs distinguish between activated eosinophils versus activated neutrophils with 96% correct classification in original and cross-validated set. With three components (VOC 528, 486 and 156), we kept 83.3% correctly classified data in original dataset and 79.2% in cross-validated set. Chemical identification of peak 528 and 486 gave 1-H-indenol and 2-butoxyethanol. Peak 156 could not be identified. 1-H-indenol and 2-butoxyethanol were found in higher amounts in the neutrophilic culture (p = 0.0029 (figure 1) and p = 0.012 respectively). Moreover, the relative abundance of those VOCs was higher in activated neutrophils as compared to unactivated neutrophils and both VOCs were not detected in the
absence of inflammatory cells in the flask. Higher levels of VOC 156 were found in eosinophilic cultures, with the highest amount of this VOC in unactivated eosinophils ($p = 0.0055$). VOC 156 was not present in the headspace of flasks not containing inflammatory cells.

We also looked at VOCs released by activated versus unactivated eosinophils and activated versus unactivated neutrophils. Peak 254 was able to discriminate between activated and unactivated eosinophils and was found in higher concentration in the activated state ($p < 0.0001$). This VOC was also found in lower amount in the neutrophilic cultures. The chemical identification of this compound was para-dichloro-benzene.

As VOC 634 was also detected in empty flask, this compound was considered not linked to inflammatory cells metabolism. VOC 156 was found in higher amounts in the unactivated cell cultures ($p = 0.0001$ respectively).

Three peaks (peak 842, 839 and 784) were able to discriminate between activated versus unactivated neutrophils with 100% accuracy. VOC 842, VOC 839 and VOC 784 were detected in higher amounts in unactivated neutrophils as compared to activated neutrophils ($p < 0.0001$). These VOCs were also found in lower concentrations in the headspace of unactivated eosino-

### Table 2. Percentage of correct classification in the original and in cross-validated dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>‘Cumulative’ % correct classification in original dataset</th>
<th>‘Cumulative’ % correct classification in cross-validated dataset</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UE versus UN</td>
<td>Benzylalcohol</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-methylfuran</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOC 858</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE versus AN</td>
<td>1-H-indenol</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-butoxyethanol</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOC 156</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE versus UE</td>
<td>Para-dichloro-benzene</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOC 156</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN versus UN</td>
<td>VOC 842</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benzylalcohol</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,10-dimethyl-5,9-dodecadien-2-one</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Example of error bars for 1-H-indenol showing the higher concentration of this VOC in the activated neutrophilic culture (3) as compared to activated eosinophils (2), unactivated eosinophils (1), unactivated neutrophils (4), medium (5), medium and PMA (6), empty flask (7). Results are expressed as mean $+/-$ SEM. Flasks from group 1, 2, 3 and 4 contained approximately 3 millions of cells.
eosinophils (AEs) and unactivated neutrophils (ANs).

Percentages are presented for the compounds classified according to their importance. The percentages are cumulative so for the most important compound, % are presenting for the use of the sole compound 1 (first listed). For the second compound of the list, % are calculated for the use of both first and second compound. For third compound, the three compounds are used together for the prediction. Results are presented with sensitivity and specificity. Unactivated eosinophils (UEs), unactivated neutrophils (UNs), activated eosinophils (AEs) and unactivated neutrophils (ANs).

Discussion

VOCs are carbon-based compounds that are volatile at ambient temperature and may result from endogenous biochemical pathways including inflammatory processes [22]. We were able to identify in vitro VOCs discriminating between eosinophil and neutrophil cultures, whatever the activation status. When taking into account three VOCs or even less to discriminate between both cellular types, we observed correct classification in the original set and upon cross-validation with a high accuracy.

A combination of two VOCs was able to discriminate between unactivated eosinophils and neutrophils cell cultures. These VOCs were identified as benzylalcohol and 3-methylfuran and seem to reflect neutrophilic inflammation. Benzylalcohol was previously identified in vivo by Ibrahim et al [23] in a model predicting asthma and in this study, a higher concentration of this compound in the breath of asthmatic patients was found so this could be a good marker in asthmatics exhaled breath to discriminate between eosinophilic versus neutrophilic asthma phenotype. Rudnicka previously found significantly different concentrations of 3-methylfuran between healthy controls and patients with lung cancer [24]. It is interesting as it was previously shown in the literature that patients with lung cancer exhibit higher levels of neutrophils in induced sputum [25]. Moreover, 3-methylfuran was also found in higher amounts in the breath of smoking and passive smoking healthy volunteers [26], and smokers have been shown to exhibit higher bronchial neutrophilic inflammation. VOC 858 remained unidentified and seems to be a marker of eosinophils.

Concerning the activated cell cultures, 1-H-indenol and 2-butoxyethanol were found to be able to discriminate between eosinophils and neutrophils. 1-H-indenol and 2-butoxyethanol were found in higher amounts in the neutrophil culture and the abundance of those VOC was higher in activated neutrophils as compared to unactivated neutrophils. This suggests that both VOCs could be products of the neutrophilic metabolism while VOC156 seems to be a marker of eosinophils.

We also looked at activated versus unactivated eosinophils to try to identify markers released by activated eosinophils. A potential source for generation of microbicidal superoxide is the NADPH oxidase found in neutrophils and eosinophils. Most of superoxide generated in vivo undergoes a nonenzymatic or SOD catalysed reaction resulting in its dismutation into hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). Once formed, the oxidizing potential of H₂O₂ may be amplified by eosinophil peroxidase (EPO) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) that catalyze conversion of reduced oxygen species (superoxide) into the more reactive OH. When activated, eosinophils release eosinophil peroxidase which is responsible for brominated or chlorinated agents production. Indeed, para-dichloro-benzene was found in higher concentrations in activated than in unactivated eosinophil culture and thus showed to be a promising biomarker able to discriminate the activated from the unactivated state. The two VOCs able to discriminate between activated and unactivated neutrophils with 100% accuracy were identified as Benzylalcohol and 6,10-dimethyl-5,9 dodecadien-2-one. It seems that both VOC are products of neutrophilic metabolism as they were not detected in unactivated neutrophilic culture.

The identification of VOCs related to eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation give the possibility to develop a device such as eNose that could non-invasively and potentially quickly identify bronchial inflammation. This is important as eosinophilic inflammation is more reflective of allergens exposure while neutrophils may reflect underlying infectious process. Patients presenting with respiratory symptoms in whom the clinician identify exhaled markers of eosinophilic inflammation could benefit from corticosteroids while those with markers of neutrophilic inflammation would be better improved with antibiotics.

In conclusion, analysis of VOCs seems very promising in identifying eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation but needs further development and in vivo confirmation.
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