

## Activity of Ceftaroline against Enterococcus faecium PBP5

Xavier Henry,\* Oliver Verlaine, Ana Amoroso, Jacques Coyette, Jean-Marie Frère, Bernard Joris

Bacterial Physiology and Genetics Unit, Center for Protein Engineering, Life Science Department, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

The opportunistic human pathogen *Enterococcus faecium* overproduces the low-affinity PBP5. In clinical strains, mutations in PBP5 further reduce its acylation rate by  $\beta$ -lactams. Previous studies have reported that ceftaroline had poor inhibitory activity against  $\beta$ -lactam-resistant *E. faecium* strains. In this study, we show that ceftaroline exhibits killing activity against our laboratory-derived ampicillin-resistant *E. faecium* mutant that overproduces a wild-type PBP5 and that ceftaroline inactivates PBP5 much faster than benzylpenicillin and faster than ceftobiprole.

-Lactam antibiotics (penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapen-Jems, and monobactams) represent the most important group of drugs prescribed to treat bacterial infections. They form stable acyl-enzymes with their targets, the membrane-bound D, D-transpeptidases, which are essential enzymes in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. These proteins are usually referred to as penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (1-3). The presence or overproduction of loweraffinity PBPs is responsible for the resistance of Gram-positive cocci against  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics. It is known that the opportunistic human pathogen Enterococcus faecium overproduces the low-affinity PBP5 and that mutations further reduce the acylation rate of PBP5 by altering its amino acid sequence (4, 5). Ceftaroline is a cephalosporin with broad-spectrum activity against Grampositive organisms, including methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), and many common Gram-negative bacteria except those producing extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL) or AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase (6–8). The *Staphylococcus aureus* low-affinity PBP2a is closely related to PBP5 (9). It is inhibited by ceftaroline, the active metabolite of the prodrug ceftaroline fosamil, which was recently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in adult patients with acute bacterial skin and skinstructure infections and community-acquired bacterial pneumonia and more recently approved by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for similar indications (10, 11). Previous studies have reported that ceftaroline had poor inhibitory activity against β-lactam-resistant E. faecium strains, all of which were expected to possess a PBP5 protein (6, 12). To better understand these differences, we have characterized the interaction between a wild-type form of PBP5 and ceftaroline.

Ceftaroline MIC values determined by the microdilution method (13) for E. faecium D63r and D63 strains (5) were 2 and  $0.25 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{liter}^{-1}$ , respectively. These values contrasted with those reported by others (10-12), who have found that most ampicillinresistant E. faecium clinical isolates were resistant to ceftaroline and concluded that ceftaroline had little activity against most isolates of E. faecium. The killing effects (14) of ceftaroline on E. faecium D63 and D63r strains were studied by exposing exponentially growing cultures of both strains to increasing concentrations of antibiotics corresponding to 1 and 4 times their respective MICs (Fig. 1). Ceftaroline showed killing effects (1 log drop after 24 h) for concentrations higher than the MICs. We determined the 50% inhibitory concentration  $(IC_{50})$  values of ceftaroline, benzylpenicillin, cefepime, and ceftazidime on the various PBPs of E. faecium D63 and D63r by using purified membrane preparations and fluorescent ampicillin (5, 15). Titration of the PBPs by



FIG 1 Drug binding studies for ceftaroline with D63 and D63r membrane fractions. MICs of D63 (A) and D63r (B) for ceftaroline were 0.25 mg/liter and 2 mg/liter, respectively. The surviving bacteria were counted after 0, 4, and 24 h of incubation at 37°C by subculturing serial dilutions (at least 10-fold, to minimize drug carryover). For all data, the standard deviations were below 10% of the mean.

Received 1 May 2013 Returned for modification 2 June 2013 Accepted 14 September 2013

Published ahead of print 23 September 2013

Address correspondence to Bernard Joris, bjoris@ulg.ac.be.

\* Present address: Xavier Henry, Institut de Biologie Structurale Jean-Pierre Ebel, CEA-DSV, UMR 5075 CNRS, Université des Alpes, Grenoble, France.

Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.00923-13



FIG 2 PBP binding studies for ceftaroline with membrane fractions from D63 and D63r. The concentration of ceftaroline in the competition assay with fluorescent ampicillin is indicated in  $\mu$ g/ml.

ceftaroline (Fig. 2 and Table 1) revealed that at twice the MIC values, all high-molecular-mass PBPs were inhibited by the antibiotic (Fig. 2) and that the low-molecular-mass PBP6, a D, D-carboxypeptidase (16), was not affected. This inhibition pattern is completely different from that obtained with benzylpenicillin, for

0,05 0,04 0,04 0,02 0,02 0,02 0,01 0,00 0,02 0,01 0,00 0,02 0,01 0,00 0,02 

0.06

FIG 3 Variation of the pseudo-first-order inactivation rate constant ( $k_a$ ) as a function of ceftaroline concentration. The opening of the ceftaroline β-lactam ring due to the acylation reaction was monitored by recording the decrease of absorbance at 300 nm ( $\Delta \varepsilon = 4,330 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) with a Specord 200 spectro-photometer (Analytik Jena, Germany), at 30°C in 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with 10 µM sPBP5.

which PBP5 is the most resistant PBP (17). The  $IC_{50}$ s for ceftaroline on PBP5 were 1.40  $\pm$  0.09 mg/liter and 0.7 mg/liter when determined with membrane preparations and the purified sPBP5, respectively. The kinetic parameters governing the acylation of PBP5 by ceftaroline were determined by using the sPBP5 (a soluble PBP5 from which the N-terminal membrane anchoring peptide was removed), which was overproduced and purified as previously described, except that the molecular sieve step was eliminated (18). The kinetics model used has been described previously (17). On the basis of the data shown in Fig. 3, the secondorder rate constant  $k_{\pm 2}/K$  for ceftaroline was 950  $\pm$  70 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., 50 to 100 times higher than the value reported for benzylpenicillin (15 to 24  $M^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup>), which indicates that ceftaroline inactivates sPBP5 much faster than benzylpenicillin (5) and faster than ceftobiprole, another anti-MRSA cephalosporin  $(k_{\pm 2}/K = 110 \pm$  $10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [13]). While the inhibitory activity of ceftaroline for *E*. faecium PBP5 is significant, the  $k_{\pm 2}/K$  rate constant for sPBP5 is 15 to 25 times lower than those determined for MRSA PBP2a  $(23,600 \pm 2,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ [unpublished data]})$  produced and purified in our laboratory (19) and for the penicillin-resistant Strep-

TABLE 1 Inhibition of PBPs from E. faecium D63 and D63r strains

Strain	Antibiotic	$IC_{50}^{a}$ (mg/liter) for PBP:						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	MIC (mg/liter)
D63r	Benzylpenicillin	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	8 ± 5	55 ± 15	$2 \pm 1$	70
	Cefepime	$1.7 \pm 0.6$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	>200	>200	>50	>100
	Ceftazidime	$0.9 \pm 0.6$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.04\pm0.01$	>200	>200	>50	>100
	Ceftobiprole	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	$1 \pm 0.2$	>16	8
	Ceftaroline	$0.63\pm0.09$	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.97\pm0.03$	$1.38\pm0.1$	>50	2
D63	Benzylpenicillin	$0.9\pm0.7$	$0.1\pm0.07$	$1.3 \pm 0.6$	$10 \pm 8$	$75 \pm 25$	$1.5 \pm 1$	5
	Ceftobiprole	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	>16	2
	Ceftaroline	$0.64\pm0.04$	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.212\pm0.03$	$0.53\pm0.03$	>50	0.25

<sup>*a*</sup> Concentration of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic that reduced binding of fluorescent ampicillin by 50% compared to a control containing no drug. IC<sub>50</sub>s for D63r PBP5 against antibiotics other than ceftaroline were previously published (17).

*tococcus pneumoniae* PBP2x (12,600  $M^{-1} s^{-1}$ ) (20). The sPBP5-ceftaroline adduct was very stable. Indeed, no free enzyme could be detected after a 4-hour incubation of the isolated acyl-enzyme at 37°C.

We have shown that ceftaroline inactivates the low-affinity *E. faecium* PBP5 more efficiently than benzylpenicillin. It exhibits bacterial killing against our laboratory-derived ampicillin-resistant *E. faecium* mutant that overproduces the wild-type PBP5. Such a profile differs from those of most *E. faecium* clinical isolates, which were reported as resistant to all  $\beta$ -lactams, including ceftaroline (6). Like other cephalosporins, ceftaroline is not indicated for treatment of enterococcal infections. It is likely that most *E. faecium* clinical isolates produce mutant PBP5 forms with reduced affinity. This suggests that simple overexpression of wild-type PBP5 is sufficient to moderately increase the MIC for ceftaroline but that amino acid substitutions in the protein are necessary to confer high-level resistance. Among the  $\beta$ -lactams tested to date, ceftaroline has the highest affinity for wild-type PBP5, which makes it a potentially useful tool for PBP5 studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the support of the Belgian Federal Government (IUAP program P7/44 iPROS). This study was supported by Forest Laboratories, Inc. Scientific Therapeutics Information, Inc., provided editorial coordination, which was funded by Forest Research Institute, Inc.

Ceftaroline powder was supplied by Forest Laboratories, Inc.

Forest Laboratories, Inc., had no involvement in the design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and decision to present these results.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Ghuysen JM. 1988. Bacterial active-site serine penicillin-interactive proteins and domains: mechanism, structure, and evolution. Rev. Infect. Dis. 10:726–732.
- Waxman DJ, Strominger JL. 1983. Penicillin-binding proteins and the mechanism of action of beta-lactam antibiotics. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 52:825–869.
- Sauvage E, Kerff F, Terrak M, Ayala JA, Charlier P. 2008. The penicillinbinding proteins: structure and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32:234–258.
- Rice LB, Carias LL, Hutton-Thomas R, Sifaoui F, Gutmann L, Rudin SD. 2001. Penicillin-binding protein 5 and expression of ampicillin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:1480– 1486.
- Zorzi W, Zhou XY, Dardenne O, Lamotte J, Raze D, Pierre J, Gutmann L, Coyette J. 1996. Structure of the low-affinity penicillin-binding protein 5 PBP5fm in wild-type and highly penicillin-resistant strains of *Enterococcus faecium*. J. Bacteriol. 178:4948–4957.
- 6. Ge Y, Biek D, Talbot GH, Sahm DF. 2008. In vitro profiling of ceftaroline

against a collection of recent bacterial clinical isolates from across the United States. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **52**:3398–3407.

- Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Jones RN. 2008. Antimicrobial activities of ceftaroline and ME1036 tested against clinical strains of communityacquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52:1153–1155.
- 8. Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Kaniga K, Ge Y, Jones RN. 2005. Antimicrobial activity and spectrum of PPI-0903M (T-91825), a novel cephalosporin, tested against a worldwide collection of clinical strains. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **49**:3501–3512.
- Goffin C, Ghuysen JM. 1998. Multimodular penicillin-binding proteins: an enigmatic family of orthologs and paralogs. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62:1079–1093.
- Moisan H, Pruneau M, Malouin F. 2010. Binding of ceftaroline to penicillin-binding proteins of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 65:713–716.
- Villegas-Estrada A, Lee M, Hesek D, Vakulenko SB, Mobashery S. 2008. Co-opting the cell wall in fighting methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: potent inhibition of PBP 2a by two anti-MRSA beta-lactam antibiotics. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130:9212–9213.
- Mushtaq S, Warner M, Ge Y, Kaniga K, Livermore DM. 2007. In vitro activity of ceftaroline (PPI-0903M, T-91825) against bacteria with defined resistance mechanisms and phenotypes. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 60: 300–311.
- 13. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2006. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 7th ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Small PM, Chambers HF. 1990. Vancomycin for *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis in intravenous drug users. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 34:1227–1231.
- Zhao G, Meier TI, Kahl SD, Gee KR, Blaszczak LC. 1999. Bocillin FL, a sensitive and commercially available reagent for detection of penicillinbinding proteins. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:1124–1128.
- el Kharroubi A, Piras G, Jacques P, Szabo I, Van Beeumen J, Coyette J, Ghuysen JM. 1989. Active-site and membrane topology of the DDpeptidase/penicillin-binding protein no. 6 of *Enterococcus hirae* (*Streptococcus faecium*) A.T.C.C. 9790. Biochem. J. 262:457–462.
- Henry X, Amoroso A, Coyette J, Joris B. 2010. Interaction of ceftobiprole with the low-affinity PBP 5 of *Enterococcus faecium*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54:953–955.
- Sauvage E, Kerff F, Fonze E, Herman R, Schoot B, Marquette JP, Taburet Y, Prevost D, Dumas J, Leonard G, Stefanic P, Coyette J, Charlier P. 2002. The 2.4-A crystal structure of the penicillin-resistant penicillin-binding protein PBP5fm from *Enterococcus faecium* in complex with benzylpenicillin. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 59:1223–1232.
- Lemaire S, Glupczynski Y, Duval V, Joris B, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke F. 2009. Activities of ceftobiprole and other cephalosporins against extracellular and intracellular (THP-1 macrophages and keratinocytes) forms of methicillin-sensitive (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53:2289–2297.
- Zervosen A, Zapun A, Frere JM. 2013. Inhibition of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* penicillin-binding protein 2x and *Actinomadura* R39 DD-peptidase activities by ceftaroline. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57: 661–663.