Positive cooperativity between acceptor and donor sites of the peptidoglycan glycosyltransferase
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A B S T R A C T

The glycosyltransferases of family 51 (GT51) catalyze the polymerization of lipid II to form linear glycan chains, which, after cross linking by the transpeptidases, form the net-like peptidoglycan macro mole-cule. The essential function of the GT makes it an attractive antimicrobial target; therefore a better understanding of its function and its mechanism of interaction with substrates could help in the design and the development of new antibiotics.

In this work, we have used a surface plasmon resonance Biacore™ biosensor, based on an amine derivative of moenomycin A immobilized on a sensor chip surface, to investigate the mechanism of binding of substrate analogous inhibitors to the GT. Addition of increasing concentrations of moenomycin A to the Staphylococcus aureus MtgA led to reduced binding of the protein to the sensor chip as expected. Remarkably, in the presence of low concentrations of the most active disaccharide inhibitors, binding of MtgA to immobilized moenomycin A was found to increase; in contrast competition with moenomycin A occurred only at high concentrations. This finding suggests that at low concentrations, the lipid II analogs bind to the acceptor site and induce a cooperative binding of moenomycin A to the donor site. Our results constitute the first indication of the existence of a positive cooperativity between the acceptor and the donor sites of peptidoglycan GTs.

In addition, our study indicates that a modification of two residues (L119N and F120S) within the hydrophobic region of MtgA can yield monodisperse forms of the protein with apparently no change in its secondary structure content, but this is at the expense of the enzyme function.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The glycosyltransferases of family 51 (GT51) are essential enzymes found in bacteria with peptidoglycan cell wall [1]. They exist in two forms: as a monofunctional domain or linked to the N-terminal end of penicillin-binding (PB) domain in bifunctional PB proteins (PBPs) [1]. Both forms catalyze the polymerization of lipid II (undecaprenyl pyrophosphate-MurNAc(pentapeptide)-GlNAc) precursor to form linear glycan chains which, after cross linking by the transpeptidases, form the net-like peptidoglycan macro molecule that encases bacteria and protects them from rupture under their high cytoplasmic pressure. Inhibition of the GT blocks peptidoglycan synthesis and leads to bacterial lysis and death, therefore the GT is a promising target in the search for new antibacterial agents to counter the arising antibiotic resistant strains.

Two main strategies are being followed to identify novel pharmacophore lead molecules for the design and synthesis of new GT inhibitors that could be developed as antibiotics: high throughput screening of chemical libraries [2–4] and rational synthesis of substrate and moenomycin (GT inhibitor) analogs [5–8]. The second strategy requires a deep understanding of the GT mechanism and structural data on protein–ligand complexes. Substantial progress has been made in the biochemistry of the GT [9–14] and several crystal structures have been determined, both
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in the apo form and in complex with moenomycin A and a lipid II analog [15–20]. These structures show that the GT is composed of a lysozyme-like globular domain and a small hydrophobic domain (called jaw domain) with a deep cleft between them containing the active site (Fig. 1). The extended active site is divided into two subsites, separated by a mobile region (composed of an alpha helix and a beta-hairpin), a donor site for the growing glycan chain binding and an acceptor site for lipid II binding. Elongation of the growing chain is achieved by the addition of disaccharide unit MurNAc-GlcNAc of lipid II in a processive way. Comparison of the apo and moenomycin A bound structures shows that the head domain remains unchanged after moenomycin A binding whereas a major conformational change occurs in the jaw subdomain with partial restructuration of the mobile region [15].

At the initiation phase of polymerization, the lipid II substrate molecules bind to the donor and acceptor sites which makes binding studies to determine the affinity of the substrate for each site particularly complex. Differences in affinity would determine the order of binding in the initiation stage and might consequently affect the affinity for the second site by an allosteric effect. We have used lipid II mimic inhibitors and moenomycin A, which is proposed to mimic the growing chain, to investigate these questions. An assay was developed by a modification of the SPR method described by Welzel and colleagues [21]. This technique is based on the interaction of an immobilized moenomycin A amino derivative with peptidoglycan glycosyltransferase and was originally used to test moenomycin derivatives for their inhibitory activity. We improved the sensitivity of this assay and used it to characterize several lipid II analog inhibitors as well as enzymatically inactive GT mutants as to their donor site functionality. Moenomycin A necessary for the synthesis of the amino derivative is not commercially available. Hence we also developed a method to isolate moenomycin A in good purity and yields from a Flavomycin® standard containing a mixture of moenomycin congeners.

We show that in the presence of low concentrations of lipid II analogs, supposedly bound to the acceptor site, moenomycin A binding to the donor site of the GT increased, indicating an allosteric activation of the donor site. At higher concentrations of these analogs, lower GT binding levels were observed, indicating competition with moenomycin A at the donor site.

All GTs contain a conserved hydrophobic surface (Fig. 1) that mediates their interaction with the cytoplasmic membrane and renders the purified proteins polydisperse [18,22]. This property makes a thorough quantitative binding study of the MtgA by SPR complex. The possibility to improve the solubility (monodispersity) of the protein by modification of residues in the hydrophobic surface was investigated. A monodisperse form of the protein was obtained without detergent and characterized.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) biosensor analyses were performed on a Biacore Q® (Biacore AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Semi-preparative HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) was performed using an HPLC consisting of a Merck-Hitachi LaChrom® Pump L7100 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), a manual sample injector valve model 7125 (Rheodyne Inc., Cotati, USA), a NUCLEODUR® C18 Htec column (dimensions: 250 × 10 mm, particle size: 5 μm) (Merck-Mayn-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) in a thermostated column compartment model ERC 125 (ERC GmbH, Rheinmerling, Germany), a Merck-Hitachi LaChrom® UV–vis Detector L-7420 with semi-micro flow cell (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and a 162 chromatography signal interface (Autochrom Inc., Milford, USA). Analytical HPLC was performed using an HPLC consisting of a Merck-Hitachi LaChrom® Pump L7100 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), a Merck-Hitachi LaChrom® Programmable AutoSampler L-7250 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), a NUCLEODUR® C18 Htec column (dimensions: 250 × 4 mm, particle size: 5 μm) (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) in a Merck LaChrom® Column Oven L-7350 (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), a Merck-Hitachi LaChrom® Diode Array Detector L-7450 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and a Merck-Hitachi Interface D-7000 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). HPLC eluents and SPR running buffers were filtered through a Whatman® OE 67 cellulose acetate membrane filter (pore size 0.45 μm; Whatman GmbH, Dassel, Germany) and degassed prior to use.

High-purity water was produced from deionized water using a Milli-Q® Gradient A10 (Millipore, Molsheim, France). Acetoni trione (Fisher Scientific UK Limited, Loughborough, UK), methanol (VWR International, Fontenais-Sous-Bois, France), acetone (VWR International, Fontenais-Sous-Bois, France), and chloroform (Fisher Scientific UK Limited, Loughborough, UK) were HPLC grade. Acetoni trione (puriss.) for semi-preparative HPLC, dimethyl sulfoxide (p.a.), 25% ammonia, sodium hydroxide (≥99%), sodium chloride (p.a.), HEPES (p.a.), TRIS (≥99.9%), and EDTA (disodium...
salt, dihydrate; p.a.) were purchased from Carl Roth GmbH + Co (Karlsruhe, Germany). Ethanolamine (≥98%), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (puriss., p.a.), anhydrous dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (purum, p.a.), TWEEN® 20, SDS (≥99.0%), 5-amino-2-nitrobenzoic acid (97%), 1,1'-carbonyldimidazole (≥90%), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethyldiethylbarbitone hydrochloride (purum) and N-hydroxy succinimide (98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Steinheim, Germany). 85% orthophosphoric acid (p.a.), ammonium hydrogen carbonate (puriss.), sodium acetate trihydrate (p.a.) and sodium nitrite (p.a.) were purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Anhydrous pyridine (p.a.) was obtained from Fluka (Seelze, Germany), hydrochloric acid (36%) from Fisher Scientific UK Limited (Loughborough, UK), and CHAPS (≥98.0%) from A.G. Scientific, Inc. (San Diego, USA). Flavomycin® reference standard was purchased from biocyt® (Peshtera, Bulgaria).

Unlabeled lipid II was prepared as described [23]. The syntheses of lipid II analogs 21, 43, 44, 56, 57, 61, 62 have been described in [5].

2.2. Preparation of moenomycin A

Moenomycin A was isolated from the Flavomycin® reference standard as previously reported [24] by semi-preparative HPLC followed by desalting via SPE. The HPLC separation was performed with 6 mL/min 50 mM KH₂PO₄ pH 2.3/acetonitrile 58:42 (v/v) at 45 °C and a detection wavelength of 280 nm. With each chromatographic run approximately 35 mg Flavomycin® in 100 µL water/acetonitrile 8:2 (v/v) were injected. Fractions containing moenomycin A were analyzed via analytical HPLC (1 mL/min 100 mM NH₄HCO₃ pH 8.0/acetonitrile 55:45 (v/v) at 45 °C) and chromatographically pure moenomycin A fractions were combined, diluted 1:2 with 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 (to improve retention on the SPE phase) and desalted via SPE on CHROMABOND® HR-X SPE cartridges (1000 mg sorbent) (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany). After conditioning with methanol, followed by water and 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 (15 mL each), on each SPE cartridge the combined moenomycin A fractions from three chromatographic separations (corresponding to approximately 100 mg Flavomycin®) were loaded with 10 mL/min. After washing with water (3 times 5 mL), moenomycin A was eluted with acetone/water 6:4 (v/v) with 1 mL/min at maximum (2 times 10 mL have proven to be sufficient). Acetone was removed via rotary evaporator and the remaining solutions were lyophilized.

2.3. Synthesis of moenomycin A amino derivative

5-Amino-N-(2-amino-ethyl)-2-nitrobenzamide was synthesized according to Stembera et al. [25] except for the activated 5-amino-2-nitrobenzoic acid being slowly added to the cooled solution of ethylene diamine and the product being purified by column chromatography on silica gel (washed with HCl and the water content set to 1.5% (w/w) according to [26]) with 25% ammonia/methanol 1:25 (v/v).

Compound 3b in [25] was synthesized from 100 mg moenomycin A according to Stembera et al. [25] except that no shield gas was used and except for cleanup, which was performed by SPE on CHROMABOND® HR-X SPE cartridges (3 cartridges with 1000 mg sorbent each) (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) after adjusting the pH to 7.5 (the amino derivative is poorly soluble in acidic solution). After washing with 50 mM K₂HPO₄ pH 7.5/acetoniitrile 8:2 (v/v) (2 times 5 mL per cartridge) and subsequent washing with water (5 mL per cartridge), the product was eluted with acetone/water 6:4 (v/v) (4 times 10 mL per cartridge). Acetone was removed via rotary evaporator and the remaining solution was lyophilized to yield 87 mg of the desired product. Identity was confirmed by its measured accurate mass (negative mode ESI-ToF–MS). The residual moenomycin A content was determined via HPLC with 1 mL/min 50 mM KH₂PO₄ pH 2.3/acetonitrile 47:53 (v/v) after dissolving an aliquot of the product in the eluent mixture and membrane filtration (CHROMAFIL® Xtra PET-45/25 (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany)) to remove undissolved product. Residual content of 5-amino-N-(2-amino-ethyl)-2-nitrobenzamide was determined by HPLC with 1 mL/min 100 mM NH₄HCO₃/acetonitrile 95:5 (v/v) (detection wavelength: 380 nm). The product contained 4% (w/w) moenomycin A and <0.1% (w/w) 5-amino-N-(2-amino-ethyl)-2-nitrobenzamide (corresponding to <1% mole fraction).

2.4. Preparation of SPR biosensor chip surface

The moenomycin A amino derivative was tethered to the surface of a Sensor Chip CMS (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) as described by Stembera et al. [25].

2.5. Direct SPR biosensor assay for determining glycosyltransferase binding activity

The glycosyltransferases (GT) were diluted in SPR running buffer (25 mM Tris buffer pH 7.5 containing 300 mM NaCl and 0.3% CHAPS) and injected over the sensor chip surface for 120 s. Regeneration was performed by injection of 1% SDS in running buffer for 30 s followed by a 60 s injection of pure running buffer. All steps were performed at a flow rate of 40 µL/min. For each GT a dilution series was analyzed.

Since a CHAPS molecule was found in the crystal structure of PBP1a [20], we verified its effect on the GT activity and found no effect for up to 2 times the concentration used in the assay (data not shown), showing that CHAPS does not interfere with our assay. The SPR biosensor used for our experiments cannot simultaneously inject a solution over different chip surfaces, thus subtraction of data from a reference cell could not be performed in real-time. To compensate possible baseline shift during analysis, sensorgrams are expressed as relative response values (actual response minus response 10 s before main injection of the respective cycle) versus time instead of absolute response values versus time (the same applies to Section 2.6).

2.6. Competitive SPR biosensor assay on inhibitor activity

For each inhibitor a dilution series was prepared in running buffer ((25 mM Tris buffer pH 7.5; 300 mM NaCl)/DMSO 9:1 (v/v) containing 0.3% CHAPS). The solutions were mixed with a stock solution of Staphylococcus aureus Mtga wild type (in running buffer) resulting in a final Mtga concentration of 400 nM. Each of these mixtures was injected over the sensor chip surface for 150 s with a flow rate of 10 µL/min. GT binding levels were calculated from the response 30 s after the end of the injection (to eliminate the bulk effect of the solution) minus the response 10 s before start of the injection (to account for baseline shift in the course of the analysis). Regeneration was performed by injection of 1% SDS in running buffer for 30 s followed by a 60 s injection of pure running buffer (both steps at 40 µL/min).

2.7. Site-directed mutagenesis and purification of proteins

Single and double mutations were generated using Quick-Change site directed mutagenesis method (Agilent Technologies) using the oligonucleotides in Table 1 and the plasmid pDML2004 as template [27]. All constructs were checked by sequencing. For the exchange of 6 amino acids (Mtga-6M: L112N, L119F, F120S,
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mgtA mutants</th>
<th>Oligonucleotides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L19N-F120S</td>
<td>5'-GGTACACTAGTACTACCTTCACTACGATTACCGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-GTGCCTAATCTGTAAGGATGTCTAGTCATTGAT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F120S</td>
<td>5'-CTGGTCATTGGGAGGATATAAGCTTGTATAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-GATACACTAGTACTACCTTACGATTACCGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F150S</td>
<td>5'-GATACTGACCTTACAAGGATAGTAGTACCTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-CATTGAAGATGAAAGGTACAATCTACGTTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F158S</td>
<td>5'-GTTACCTCTACTGCTCGGATGTTAGTAGTACCTA-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F150S, F158S, a modified mgtA gene was ordered from GeneArt (Life technologies).

*Escherichia coli* PBP1b was expressed and purified using the pDML924 plasmid as previously described [11].

*S. aureus* MtgA and its mutants were prepared, purified and their activities tested as previously described [27]. MtgA mutants were purified under the same conditions as the wild type unless indicated. All the MtgA variants used were without transmembrane (TM) segment, this deletion does not affect the structure of the enzyme [18]. Deletion of the TM region was found to reduce the activity of the enzyme, most probably because TM contributes to the hydrophobic interaction with the substrate [19]. This construct is more suited for our studies because it will better highlight the specificities of the enzyme for the polar regions (sugars, peptide and phosphates) of substrate analogs.

2.8. Analysis of MtgA and mutants by gel filtration

Gel filtration of MtgA and mutants MtgA-NS and MtgA-F120S (2 mg/mL) was performed on a 24.7 Superdex-75 column (GE healthcare) in buffer 25 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol using Akta Explorer (GE healthcare). Protein fractions were collected and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

2.9. Circular dichroism (CD)

Far-UV CD spectra (200–260 nm) were recorded with a Jasco J-810 spectropolarimeter at 20 °C in 25 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, using a 1 mm pathlength quartz Suprasil cell (Hellma), with protein concentrations of ca. 0.1 mg/mL (i.e. 4 μM). Four scans (10 nm/min, 1 nm bandwidth, 0.1 nm data pitch and 1 s DIT) were averaged, base lines were subtracted and no smoothing was applied. Data are presented as the residue ellipticity ([θ]_θRMSV), calculated using the molar concentration of protein and number of residues.

2.10. Large unilamellar vesicle (LUV) preparation and interaction with MtgA-WT and variants

*E. coli* lipids extract (20 mg) solutions in chloroform (Avanti Polar lipids Inc., Alabama, USA) were mixed and evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The lipid film was subsequently dried for 20 min under vacuum. The film was hydrated by the addition of the buffer (25 mM Heps pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂) under mechanical agitation and submitted to 10 freeze–thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen and a water bath. The lipid suspension was then extruded 10 times through a polycarbonate membrane filter with a pore size of 200 nm (Whatman International). MtgA and variants at 80 μM or lysozyme used as control were preincubated with or without LUV for 30 min at 4 °C under gentle mixing. The mixture was ultra centrifuged at 122,000 × g, 14 C for 30 min. The supernatant and pellet fractions were separated and the pellet was washed 3 times in 25 mM Tris buffer pH 8, containing 300 mM NaCl following the same procedure. Fractions recovered after each centrifugation step were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and the amount of bound (pellet) and unbound (supernatant) proteins were estimated.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation of moenomycin A from the mixture of congeners

Moenomycin A was obtained as a colorless powder with a purity >99% (HPLC-UV, 258 nm, Fig. 2). Due to the use of basic buffer as eluent for the analytical HPLC instead of acidic buffer (as used for semi-preparative HPLC), the elution order is changed making it easy to identify any remaining moenomycin A₁₂ in the isolated moenomycin A. Overall 225 mg moenomycin A were isolated from 1050 mg Flavomycin®. By HPLC-UV we determined a moenomycin A content of 30% in the starting material, accordingly the yield was >70%. Identity of the isolated moenomycin A was confirmed by 1H NMR (conditions as described by Hennig et al. [28]) and ES–MS in negative mode (data not shown).

3.2. Optimization of the SPR assay and analysis of GT binding to moenomycin A

Moenomycin A inhibits the transglycosylation step by binding to the donor site of the glycosyltransferase. Thus, the ability of a GT to bind moenomycin A corresponds to its ability to bind its natural donor substrate (i.e. the growing glycan chain) and indicates that the donor site is correctly folded and functional.

Stembera et al. [21,25] described an SPR biosensor assay utilizing a moenomycin A amino derivative tethered to the chip surface and successfully applied it to test different moenomycin A analogs for their relative affinity towards *E. coli* PBP1b [21] (the analogs in solution compete with immobilized moenomycin A for the GT donor sites). We have optimized this assay by comparing PBP1b and *S. aureus* MtgA and found conditions, where MtgA was more sensitive and data more reproducible than with PBP1b (probably due to the presence of the transmembrane segment in PBP1b and requirement of higher detergent concentrations for solubility). Under these conditions moenomycin A at concentrations as low as 0.5 μM caused a clear inhibition of MtgA binding (Fig. 3a). In Stembera et al. [21] 100 μM moenomycin A clearly inhibited PBP1b binding, whereas 10 μM did not cause any inhibition. We reproduced their experiments and confirmed this lower sensitivity for PBP1b. Therefore MtgA was chosen to analyze its interaction with substrate analogs.

First, 2-fold dilution series of MtgA from two different batches ranging from 125 nM to 2 μM were used to analyze its binding to immobilized moenomycin A. Clear concentration dependent binding responses were observed (Fig. 3b). However, the sensorgrams obtained cannot be described by a single exponential function (yet this should be possible for a simple 1:1 interaction without mass transport limitation [29]). They do not reach a steady state for any concentration (thus equilibrium analysis cannot be performed to calculate dissociation constants). Instead, after a first exponential phase, the binding curves reach a phase with practically constant (yet in comparison flat) slope, which cannot be explained by a 1:1 interaction. This complexity in the binding responses was also observed on a flow cell with low ligand density (310 RU moenomycin A amino derivative compared to 720 RU for the other experiments) and even at low MtgA concentrations (Fig. 3c). Even here and despite the prolonged injection (300 s instead of 120 s) a steady state was not reached. Since non-specific binding of GTS was not observed at concentrations below 5 μM, we presume this complexity of binding responses is caused by aggregation of GTS to one another after reaching a critical concentration on the chip surface most likely via the hydrophobic
jaw region (attempts to improve solubility of MtgA have been investigated; see below). Since rate constants values derived from such complex binding data are known to provide ambiguous results [30], we do not present any value for association and dissociation rate constants characteristic of the interaction between the GTs and moenomycin A, but instead limit ourselves to qualitative or at most semi-quantitative conclusions.

3.3. Modification of the hydrophobic region in MtgA and characterization of the mutants

The GTs are characterized by a hydrophobic surface that mediates the interaction of the proteins with the cytoplasmic membrane (Fig. 1b). MtgA devoid of its transmembrane (TM) segment has tendency to aggregate due to its hydrophobic region. This behavior and the need of high concentrations of detergent prevent from detailed kinetic and binding characterization in vitro. We have tried to reduce the polydispersity of the protein through mutagenesis of the residues in the hydrophobic surface to facilitate in vitro study by SPR. In MtgA, this surface includes F110, L112, L119, F120, F150, V154, L157, F158. The following single mutations F143T, V154T, L157T or F158T have no effect on the activity of MtgA but did not improve the solubility of the protein significantly [18]. F110 may be essential for binding of lipid II and its modification would affect activity [18]. We have prepared the following single mutations F120S and F150S, the double mutation L119N-F120S (MtgA-NS) and the multiple mutations simultaneously MtgA-6M (L112N, L119N, F120S, F150S, V154S, F158S). The mutant F150S and MtgA-6M could not be expressed in soluble form (e.g. presence in the supernatant fraction without detergent after cell lysis and centrifugation) using different expression conditions (18–37 °C). Since a Phe at position 150 seems to be important for proper folding of the protein, another mutant of all 6 residues, but F150 was prepared and also found insoluble.

The single mutant F120S and the double mutant L119N-F120S could be expressed in soluble form. Analysis of the double mutant (2 mg/mL) by gel filtration without detergent showed the protein eluted as a monomer in a single peak without any aggregate (Fig. 4a). Under these conditions the wild type MtgA was entirely found in the void volume with high molecular mass (Fig. 4a). Without detergent, monodispersity of the single mutant F120S was in between that of wild type MtgA and MtgA-NS. In the
presence of CHAPS about 80% of the wild type protein was monodisperse and 20% aggregate (data not shown). Despite its monodispersity MtgA-NS was still able to bind lipid vesicles (LUV) (data not shown). Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) comparison of MtgA-NS and MtgA-F120S with the wild type MtgA showed similar spectra (Fig. 4b), characteristic of α-helices profile with two characteristic minima at 208 nm and 222 nm, in agreement with the protein structure. This result suggests that the mutation did not modify the secondary structures of the protein significantly. The mutants MtgA-NS and MtgA-F120S were unable to convert radioactive lipid II substrate to polymeric peptidoglycan even at high protein concentration indicating that they were inactive. Previous results showed that the MtgA mutant E100Q which retains 0.2% of the wild type activity binds to moenomycin A [18,27]. Comparison of E100Q with another MtgA mutant F104A (1% of wild type activity) by SPR (at 400 nM), showed that E100Q binds moenomycin A in the same order of magnitude as the wild type while the binding response for F104A was drastically decreased (Fig. 5a). Binding responses of both mutants were specific via their donor site as was tested by analyzing GT binding to the chip surface in presence of moenomycin A (data not shown). This data shows that inactive or barely active MtgA mutants could still be able to bind moenomycin A indicating that at least the donor site is functional. To test this possibility in the case of the most soluble mutant L119N-F120S, we studied its interaction with moenomycin A using SPR. When tested at 125 nM to 2 μM, MtgA-NS did not show any binding responses, it was then analyzed at higher concentrations (Fig. 5b and c; additional experiment with double referencing: Fig. 5d-f). The binding responses were rather random than concentration dependent, strongly suggesting non-specific binding of MtgA-NS to moenomycin A (e.g. binding of hydrophobic regions of the GT to the moenomycin moiety) (Fig. 5). Hence the amino acid exchanges L119N and F120S in the membrane binding surface of MtgA resulted in monodisperse protein and a complete loss of specific moenomycin A binding capability. These results suggest that the hydrophobicity of this region is important to maintain the correct structure of the jaw subdomain and/or for the interaction with the substrate and moenomycin. Another possibility could be that the two mutations (L119N, F120S) may affect the outer helix transition/conformational change during catalysis.

3.4. Positive cooperativity between the acceptor and donor sites of the GT

Several analogs of GT’s lipid II substrate (21, 43, 44, 56, 57, 61, and 62) (Fig. 6) have been synthesized previously and found to inhibit the GT activity in vitro and cause bacterial growth defect [5]. These compounds are valuable tools for understanding the complex catalytic mechanism of the GT. However, their precise mode of action remains elusive, such as their specificity for the donor and acceptor sites. In order to answer these questions, we have analyzed their effect on moenomycin A binding of MtgA using SPR. In this assay, inhibitors capable of binding to the donor site of GTs should compete for this binding site with the moenomycin A amino derivative tethered to the sensor chip surface, resulting in a decreased GT binding response (Fig. 3a).

Mixtures of MtgA with varying concentrations of the compounds were tested for their effect on MtgA binding to a sensor chip surface modified with the moenomycin A amino derivative. In addition, the natural substrate lipid II (Fig. 6) was analyzed in the presence of EDTA in the running buffer to avoid polymerization. All substances were analyzed in 3-fold dilution series and measured in duplicate. For inhibitors 21, 43, 44, and 62 the concentration range was 7 nM to 400 μM, for inhibitors 57 and 61 it was 3 nM to 200 μM, for inhibitor 56 it was 3 nM to 148 μM, and for lipid II 2 nM to 120 μM. All substances tested showed inhibition of MtgA binding at high concentrations (Fig. 7a–d). Interestingly, at concentrations below approximately 50 μM, the disaccharide analogs 21, 44, and 62 clearly showed an increased binding response in comparison to MtgA in absence of inhibitor (Fig. 7a). Inhibitor 57 (below approximately 10 μM) and lipid II might have the same enhancement effect, but to a lesser extent (Fig. 7c and d). In case of lipid II, the binding responses had a high spread, preventing a thorough interpretation, most likely due to poor solubility. Enhancing solubility of lipid II by increasing the DMSO content in the running buffer did result in a drastic change in refractive index leading to SPR angles outside the detector range. Also, EDTA is known to form complexes with moenomycins [31] which might add to the poor quality of the data. The monosaccharide analogs 43, 56, and 61 clearly showed a concentration dependent inhibition but increased binding was not observed with these compounds (Fig. 7b), thus indicating a simple competition with moenomycin A for the donor site of the GT. Non-specific binding of the inhibitors to the sensor chip surface can be ruled out as a cause for the increased binding behavior, because it would not explain the decrease of binding responses at higher inhibitor concentrations. From the structural similarity of the disaccharide analogs with lipid II substrate they are expected to bind to the acceptor site of MtgA. Therefore, we suggest that at low concentrations the disaccharide compounds bind selectively to the acceptor site and increase the affinity of the donor site to moenomycin A by heteroallosteric activation leading to an increased MtgA binding response. This effect is rivaled by the
ability of the inhibitors to bind to the GT’s donor site at higher concentration, which explains the decrease in the binding responses (competition for the donor site outweighs the enhanced binding). Such an allosteric activation would affect the association and/or dissociation rates for interactions with the donor site. Fig. 8 shows an overlay of sensorgrams for inhibitor 62 in the concentration range around the maximum of MtgA binding. The highest binding response was obtained at an inhibitor concentration of 4.9 μM. From there on the binding responses decreased both with increasing and decreasing inhibitor concentration. Since dissociation of the GT-inhibitor complexes follows a first order kinetic (i.e. dependent on the complex [I]A), the sensorgram for the highest GT binding response (4.9 μM) should have the steepest slope in dissociation phase, unless k_d had changed. The sensorgrams for the two highest inhibitor concentrations (44 and 133 μM), however, feature the steepest slope in the dissociation phase (most clearly observed after 180 s), suggesting that k_d has changed, hence supporting our hypothesis.

4. Discussion

In terms of GT activity inhibition, the studied compounds can be ranked as follows from the best active to the least active 62 > 44 > 43 > 21 > 61 = 57 = 56 (Fig. 6) [5]. Compounds 21 and 57 differ in the dipeptide moiety LAα-DGlu in 57. Compound 21 showed better enzymatic inhibition and higher MtgA binding enhancement to moenomycin A (cooperative effect) than compound 57. This shows that the peptide affects at least partially the binding to the acceptor site. Compounds 62 and 57 both have a dipeptide moiety but differ in the phosphate linker, 57 has a monophosphate group and 62 has a phosphoglycerate, a mimic of the pyrophosphate of the substrate, 62 causes higher increase in GT binding to moenomycin A than 57. This difference suggests that the phosphoglycerate group may be an important determinant for binding to the acceptor site. Compound 43 is a monosaccharide-phosphoglycerate with GT inhibition activity comparable to 44 and 62 but did not show any enhancing effect on moenomycin A binding, yet only inhibits binding at higher concentration. These results suggest that 43 may have a poor affinity for the acceptor site and higher binding affinity to the donor site. It is noticeable that the four lipid II analogs with disaccharide structure (inhibitors 21, 44, 57 and 62) were the ones enhancing MtgA binding at low concentrations. Therefore it seems likely that the disaccharide moiety is more important for the affinity towards the acceptor site than for the affinity towards the donor site. A disaccharide compound such as 44, without a peptide and with phosphoglycerate-lipid group, seems to be the best ligand to target both the donor and acceptor sites. Co-crystallization of a GT with this compound would provide valuable information to better understand the catalytic mechanism and could help in the design of new inhibitors.

Most of the crystal structures of GT in apo form or in complex with moenomycin A show an unstructured or mobile region in the jaw domain between donor and acceptor sites. This region (Ser121-Gly130) located between conserved motifs 1 and 2 was proposed to have an important role in the binding of the acceptor substrate [16]. We propose that the disaccharide analogs (or lipid II) bind preferentially to the acceptor site and stabilize the mobile region which induces local structure rearrangement in the donor site increasing its affinity for moenomycin A (or the second lipid II). This mechanism may be particularly critical during the initiation stage (lipid II in the donor site). The allosteric activation of binding in the donor site could also apply to the elongating glycan chain and would play an important role in the processive elongation mechanism. Consequently, after each catalytic cycle the translocation of the product from the acceptor site to the donor site is concomitant with the unfolding or movement of the mobile region,
which contribute to this process before a new lipid II molecule binds and stabilizes it again to start a new catalytic reaction.

The bi-substrate reaction of the GT at initiation stage of polymerization makes distinction between the affinities for the substrate of the donor site and acceptor site complex. Moenomycin A binds to the donor site of the GT and is proposed to mimic the growing chain. Lipid II binds to both sites as would do its analogs. The concentration dependent effect of lipid II analogs on moenomycin A binding indicates the presence of allosteric activation of the donor site following ligand binding to the acceptor site. Due to structural similarities between lipid II and the investigated inhibitors and based on the obtained data we expect the same behavior for lipid II itself. Solubility problems however, resulting in a high spread of the binding responses, prevented a thorough investigation for this compound.

This effect has great impact on the catalytic mechanism and could explain the role of the mobile region between the two sites. The binding of lipid II to the acceptor site could induce local structural rearrangement, which also affects the donor site via the mobile region. During elongation phase, stabilization of the mobile region would increase binding to the elongating chain and contribute to the processive polymerization. After each catalytic cycle, product translocation from acceptor to donor site is assisted by higher affinity of the pyrophosphate-lipid moiety (larger positively charged patches inside the donor site) and the mobility of the unstructured region, concomitant with the vacancy of the acceptor site.

So far we showed enhancement of MtgA binding to moenomycin A, which is supposed to mimic the growing glycan chain. Further studies using other approaches are needed to better characterize the postulated cooperativity mechanism by investigating the interaction between lipid II and MtgA and eventually other GTs. To be biologically relevant for peptidoglycan synthesis, such an enhancement should also increase the affinity of the donor

Fig. 6. Lipid II and glycosyltransferase inhibitors mimicking lipid II [5].
site of MtgA to the growing glycan chain itself. SPR experiments with the tetrasaccharide lipid IV tethered to the sensor chip surface instead of moenomycin A could prove beneficial here.
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