Paleomagnetic and geochemical record from cores from the Sea of Marmara, Turkey: Age constraints and implications of sapropelic deposition on early diagenesis
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A B S T R A C T

We present results of a multi-proxy analysis of two sediment cores from the Marmara Sea. The cores were analyzed using paleomagnetic and geochemical measurements. Two sapropels are documented in the last 11 kyr and are recorded in several locations across the Marmara Sea. These two sapropels have contrasting magnetic properties. The magnetic record is affected by intense early diagenesis; the most recent upper sapropelic layer has low remanence and susceptibility values. A record of paleomagnetic inclinations could still be isolated above the diagenesis front and is compared with secular variation models. The lower sapropel is identified in the deep part of the oldest studied core (Klg07) and has distinct magnetic properties characterized by high remanence and susceptibility values. Using the magnetic properties it is possible to constrain bottom water ventilation and reconnection episodes between the Marmara Sea and the Black Sea following the sea level rise during the last glacial to inter-glacial transition.
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1. Introduction

Centimeter scale dark organic-rich layers interbedded in marine pelagic sediments, are commonly reported in the Mediterranean area and are referred to as sapropels (Rohling and Hilgen, 1991; Cramp and O'Sullivan, 1999; Nijenhuis and de Lange, 2000). Sapropel occurrence is related to changes in the Earth's orbit and is preferentially associated with northern hemisphere insolation maxima (Lourens et al., 1996). The combination of two factors - increased primary productivity in surface waters and limited ventilation of bottom waters - favors production and preservation of organic matter in deep basins and are regarded as the main causes of sapropel formation. However, the balance between these two factors is a matter of debate (Cramp and O'Sullivan, 1999; De Rijk et al., 1999). Moreover, the relationship between successive sapropel deposition episodes in the Aegean, Tyrhenian, Marmara and Black Seas is not clear because the occurrence and preservation of sapropel sequences exhibit notable differences even through the same basin (Higgs et al., 1994; Emeis et al., 1996). This complexity may be related to local intermittent re-ventilation episodes at the sediment/water interface throughout the sapropel deposition period (Casford et al., 2003).

Sapropels can sometimes be identified visually (Emeis et al., 2000), but only multi-proxy analyses allow their unambiguous identification. Organic carbon content is the original defining criterion, the name sapropel is traditionally used when the amount of organic carbon (Corg) by weight is greater than 2%, while the term sapropelic is used for similar deposits containing between 0.5% and 2% Corg by weight (Kidd et al., 1978). It has also been shown that the concentration of bromine (Br) and barium (Ba) or ratios of barium over aluminum (Ba/Al), which reflect surface water productivity and/or terrigenous input, can be used to detect sapropels (Thomson et al., 1995; Van Santvoort et al., 1997; Nijenhuis and de Lange, 2000; Wehausen and Brumsack, 2000; Larraoañã et al., 2006; Ziegler et al., 2008). However, post depositional redox alteration of organic matter can result in relocation of redox-sensitive elements and, in severe cases, of removal of almost all geochemical indicators associated with sapropels (Higgs et al., 1994; Thomson et al., 1995; Van Santvoort et al., 1997; Larraoañã et al., 2006).

Insights into the detection and nature of sapropels can be provided by magnetic analysis. The intense anoxic diagenesis that occurs during and after deposition of these organic-rich layers strongly affects their magnetic properties (Langereis and Dekkers, 1999). A decrease in magnetization due to the reductive dissolution of (titano)-magnetite in anoxic sediments is a well-known phenomenon (Canfield and Berner, 1987) and is reported in some sapropel studies (Van Santvoort et al., 1997; Larraoañã et al., 2003, 2006). In contrast, in other studies (Stoner et al., 1998; Roberts et al., 1999), remanence intensities of...
sapropels can be up to three orders of magnitude higher than those of underlying sediments. This property was first attributed to the creation of an “unknown” ferrimagnetic iron sulfide phase during diagenesis (Roberts et al., 1999). Recently, Reinholdsson et al. (2013) linked magnetic enhancement of laminated sapropels from the Baltic Sea to small single domain particles of greigite \( \text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4 \) interpreted as relict magnetosomes of magnetotactic bacteria (MTB). These authors suggest that magnetic properties associated to bacterial greigite could be a good proxy for hypoxia. Larrasoña et al., 2003, 2006 observed a characteristic inverse S-shaped stratigraphic variation of magnetic remanence in some sapropel-bearing intervals with high values above sapropels and low values within. They interpreted the high values as reflecting magnetite formation at a paleo-oxidation front above the sapropels due to re-oxygenation of bottom waters after sapropelic episodes. The low values below and within sapropels are interpreted to occur as a consequence of the presence of a dissolution front caused by the anoxic conditions prevailed at times of sapropel formation. Hence, the magnetic properties of sapropels can have contrasting characteristics, which may reflect their dependence on the strength of bottom water ventilation.

Based on Total Organic Carbon content measurements (TOC), two sapropels were recently reported in the Marmara Sea: a “lower” sapropel, aged 11.5 to 7 cal kyr (Çağatay et al., 1999, 2003; Vidal et al., 2010), and an “upper” sapropelic layer between 4.7 and 3.2 ka (Çağatay et al., 1999; Tolun et al., 2002). We report here the results of environmental magnetic, paleomagnetic, organic carbon and geochemical data \( \delta^{13}C, \text{C/N} \) obtained from two Holocene piston cores (Klg06 and Klg07) from the Marmara Sea. Detailed lithologic, radiocarbon dating, Br, Ca/Ti and magnetic susceptibility records for these cores have been presented by Drab et al. (2012) and were used to characterize and correlate the imprint of earthquake events across the western part of the Marmara Sea.

The primary goal of this study is to better characterize the magnetic and chemical properties of the two most recent sapropel levels in the Marmara Sea and to discuss their regional or local character. Using the magnetic properties, we attempt to place some new constraints on bottom water ventilation and the reconnection episodes between the Marmara Sea and the Black Sea following the sea level rise during the last glacial to interglacial transition.

2. Geological setting

The Marmara Sea is a pull-apart Basin 170 km long and 55 km wide located SW of Istanbul in NW Turkey (Fig. 1). The sea is crossed by the northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault (Barka and Kadinsky-Cade, 1988; Armiço et al., 1999), which is an active strike-slip fault that ruptures in M > 7 earthquakes with a ~300-year recurrence time (Stein et al., 1997; Rockwell et al., 2001) and slips with an average rate of 20 mm/yr (McClusky et al., 2003). The northern part of the Marmara Sea is composed of three aligned fault-bounded extensional sub-basins named from west to east: The Tekirdağ, Central and Çınarcık Basins (Fig. 1, Armiço et al. (1999); Le Pichon et al. (2001); Armiço et al. (2002)). The basins, which have a maximum water depth of 1250 m, are separated by two topographic ridges: the Western High and the Central High, which have water depths of 900 m and 600 m respectively. They are being filled with lacustrine and marine sediments (Okay et al., 1999; Çağatay et al., 2003) that are deposited partly as seismiturbidites (McHugh et al., 2006; Sari and Çağatay, 2006; Beck et al., 2007; Drab et al., 2012; Campos et al., 2013).

During the last glacial period the Marmara Sea was isolated from the Aegean Sea but might have been connected at times with the Black Sea to form two joined fresh-water inner lakes (Çağatay et al., 2000). The existence of a westward-directed topset-to-foreset transition at the southern Bosphorus Strait exit supports the hypothesis of a general overflow from the Black Sea (Aksu et al., 1999, 2002). Following the post-glacial rise in sea level the three seas reconnected when the water level rose to the sill depths of the Dardanelles and Bosphorus Straits (Fig. 1). The timing and possible catastrophic nature of the reconnections have been a subject of debate (Ryan et al., 1997; Çağatay et al., 2000; Aksu et al., 2002; Major et al., 2006; Vidal et al., 2010). Recent analyses based on radiocarbon dating of gravity cores and \( \delta^{18}O \) isotopic measurements led Vidal et al. (2010) to propose the following chronology: the marine transgression accompanying the reconnection with the Mediterranean Sea through the Dardanelles Strait started at 14.7 ka cal BP (Lambeck et al., 2007) and lasted 2 ka. The Black Sea then reconnected to the Mediterranean Sea through the Bosphorus Strait between 9 and 8 ka BP. Today, a two-layer flow is established in the Marmara Sea composed of a superficial low-salinity current from the Black Sea and a deeper counter current from the Aegean Sea (Besiktepe et al., 1994). Stratification of waters in the Marmara Sea is associated with a dissolved oxygen deficit in the bottom waters (Besiktepe et al., 1994). This favors preservation of a significant proportion of organic matter in sediments.

Several authors (Aksu et al., 2002; Tolun et al., 2002; Çağatay et al., 2003; Sperling et al., 2003; Vidal et al., 2010) have reported a lower sapropel in the Marmara Sea that was deposited roughly between 11.5 and 7 cal ka, after reconnection of the Marmara Sea with the Mediterranean Sea. This sapropel precedes but partly overlaps with the age of the S1 sapropel from the Central and Eastern Mediterranean Sea dated between 10.8 and 6.1 ka cal BP (De Lange et al., 2008). The time lag between the Marmara and Mediterranean sapropels has led to variable names to the Marmara sapropels: S1Marmara in Sperling et al. (2003) and M1 in Çağatay et al. (2000); Aksu et al. (2002) and Kirci-Elimas et al. (2008). Synchronicity of the S1 level between the Eastern Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea incursion has led some authors to suggest that S1 could have resulted from fresh-water influx at the time of reconnection (Çağatay et al., 2000; Kothoff et al., 2008). Regardless, the role of the Black Sea remains unclear and the early occurrence of S1Marmara/M1 complicates the picture (Tolun et al., 2002). In addition, an upper sapropelic layer is identified between 4.7 and 3.2 ka BP (Çağatay et al., 2000; Tolun et al., 2002); this layer may be only local, as it is not reported elsewhere.

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Core description

Kullenberg piston cores were recovered in the Marmara Sea during the Marmarascars mission in 2002 (Fig. 1, Armijo et al. (2005)). The cores are 3 to 4.5 m long and sample the upper marine part of the Marmara Sea relatively close to the active strands of the North Anatolian Fault. We focus on the Klg06 and Klg07 cores from the Western High (Fig. 1), as described by Drab et al. (2012). These cores were selected because they are largely composed of hemipelagic sediments with only fine silt deposits and hence have probably not been subjected to major seismic reworking as is often the case in this seismically active area (Drab et al., 2012). Klg06 core was recovered at a water depth of 726 m while Klg07, located 15 km east of Klg06, was sampled at a water depth of 1065 m (Fig. 1). Cold seeps were found near Klg06 (Fornaciari, 2005; Zitter et al., 2008). Carbon isotopes dating indicates that Klg06 spans the last 7 ka BP over a sediment thickness of 3.8 m whereas Klg07, based on magnetic susceptibility correlation with a core from Vidal et al. (2010), likely records deposition since 11 ka BP in a 4 m interval (see Drab et al. (2012) for details).

To extend the scope of results from the Western High, we also present previously unpublished geochemical analyses from cores Klg03 and Klg04 in the Çınarcık Basin. These cores were recovered at water depths of 1240 m and 1206 m, respectively, and are separated by ~100 km from the Klg06 and Klg07 cores (Fig. 1–B). Based on \( ^{13}C \) measurements, Klg03 records 4 ka BP of sedimentation over a 3.75 m interval and Klg04 records 6.5 ka BP of sedimentation over 4.20 m interval. The
The paleoseismological record of the two cores was presented by (Drab et al., 2014).

3.2. Analytical Methods

The cores were sampled continuously using 1.5 m u-channels. High-resolution X-ray images of cores were obtained with a Scopix system at the University of Bordeaux, France. The X-ray images were used to map the macroscopic occurrence of iron sulfides. TOC, C/N ratios and $\delta^{13}C$ were measured on decarbonized samples with a Vario Microcube CNS elemental analyzer coupled with a IRMS Isoprime 100 isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the University of Liège, Belgium. Information regarding the origin of organic matter (OM) is given by C/N ratios and $\delta^{13}C$ data (Gearing et al., 1977; Likens et al., 1981; Meyers, 1994). Marine organic matter typically has $\delta^{13}C$ values less negative than plant lands (respectively between $-20$ and $-22$ to about $-27$). In addition, terrestrial organic matter tends to have higher C/N ratios (in the range of 15–30) than marine organic matter (ratios of about 7 to 10 (Hedges et al., 1997)) although this signature may be lost during diagenesis (Cowie and Hedges, 1994). In any cases, this parameter cannot be used alone as a criterion to detect sapropels. For example, some authors propose a predominantly terrigenous origin for the sapropel organic matter while others suggest a marine origin (Kroon et al., 1998).
We also collected semi-quantitatively elemental concentration of Br, Ca, Ti, and Fe using X-ray fluorescence with energies of radiation of 10 keV and 30 keV on an Avaatech XRF core scanner at 5 mm stratigraphic intervals on all split cores at the University of Bordeaux. This non-destructive technique allows a continuous and high-resolution distribution of bulk elements intensities to be determined in counts per second. To compare elemental variations in the different cores we standardized all measurements to zero mean and unit variance. Ratios of elements were also used as they provide the most easily interpretable signal of relative changes in chemical composition (Pállík et al., 2001; Vlag et al., 2004; Bahr et al., 2005). We particularly focus on Br intensity, because solid phase Br is associated with marine organic matter and has been successfully used as a proxy for OM deposition (Ziegler et al., 2008). Variations in Fe intensity are exploited to look at possible iron depletion or enrichment. Finally, we use Ca/Ti ratio to correlate the different cores. Ca represents autochthonous marine productivity with respect to terrigenous input (Ti) (Pälike et al., 2001; Bahr et al., 2005; Drab et al., 2012)). Drab et al. (2012) showed that changes in the Ca/Ti ratio in the Marmara Sea are widespread, synchronous and probably related to basin-wide environmental changes.

Magnetic susceptibility measurements, χ, were made on the surface of split cores using a Bartington instruments MS2E sensor at 5 mm stratigraphic intervals at room temperature at the University of Bordeaux. Bulk magnetic susceptibility mainly reflects the ferrimagnetic mineral content. Minerals with the highest susceptibility are (titano-)magnetite (TiFe₂₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋اوية

4. Results

4.1. Variations of TOC, δ¹³C and C/N

TOC values for Klg07, Klg06, Klg04 and Klg03 range from 0.6% to 2.8% and generally increased down-core trend (Figs. 2; 3 and 4). In Klg07, TOC values are greater than 2% in the 300–320 cm interval (Fig. 2); this layer can thus be regarded as a sapropel. Above the sapropel, TOC values remain nearly constant at around 1.5%, except for the first meter in which values are close to 1%. In Klg06, maximum TOC values (2%) are found at the base of the core at 360 cm (Fig. 3). From the core top to 100 cm, TOC values are around 0.6%, and increase to about 1% at 130 cm. In Klg04, TOC values are higher than 2% from 360 cm to the core bottom (Fig. 4), which defines a sapropel layer. From the core top to 130 cm, the mean value is about 0.7%. At 160 cm, TOC increases to a peak of 1.7%. Below this interval values fluctuate between 1.4% and 1.1% to 360 cm (Fig. 4). Core Klg03 has TOC values of around 1% from the core top to 250 cm and increases to 1.5% in the 250 cm to 350 cm interval. In all the cores, C/N ratio variations are similar to TOC variations. There is an overall increase down-core: from 8 to 13 in Klg07, from 6 to 10 in Klg06, from 6.5 to 11 in Klg04 and from 6.5 to 8.5 in Klg03. Ratios reach the highest values in the organic-rich layers and decrease sharply where TOC drops. δ¹³C values in Klg07 and Klg04 have more positive values at the core top compared to the core bottom, varying roughly from −24 to −27. Klg04 has a singular more positive peak (−22.4) at 160 cm in the organic-rich layer. In Klg03 and Klg06, values fluctuate gently around −24 and −23.5, respectively. In Klg03, more positive values are observed in the organic-rich interval from 250 cm to 350 cm. In Klg06, more negative values occur at the bottom of the core.

4.2. XRF analysis

Positive Br anomalies occur in the 100–140 cm and 300–330 cm intervals in Klg07, between 120 and 205 cm in Klg06 (Figs. 2 and 3, gray areas), between 145 and 175 cm in Klg04 and in the 100–150 cm and 250–300 cm intervals in Klg03 (Fig. 4, gray areas). Overall, the weighted average Br variations correlate strongly with TOC measurements (Figs. 2; 3 and 4). Positive Br anomalies correspond either to the sapropel level identified by TOC measurements (for example, the anomaly in the lower part of Klg07) or to increased organic matter contents (for example, the anomaly at the top of Klg07). This supports a relationship between marine organic carbon and Br as suggested by Ziegler et al. (2008) and implies that Br can probably be used as a proxy for organic matter content in the Marmara Sea. The ease of Br data collection using XRF scanning allows higher resolution than TOC measurements and enables resolution of small-scale organic content variations.

Fe contents for core Klg07 (Fig. 5-A) are used to investigate possible Fe enrichment/depletion in relation to Br and TOC variations. Fe contents are low in the 100–150 cm interval where the Br positive anomaly occurs. A large Fe enrichment occurs in the sapropel, followed by an Fe-depleted interval from 350 cm to 400 cm. Below 370 cm, data are not considered reliable due to an increase by a factor of 5 of carbonate content that is likely to dilute the Fe concentration. Ca/Ti ratios (Fig. A.1) are used to correlate the cores and infer the synchronicity of geochemical and magnetic changes (see discussion below).
4.3. Magnetic record

4.3.1. Magnetic susceptibility, NRM and ARM$_{20}$

Klg07 and Klg06 have similar magnetic properties with a remarkably sharp decrease in susceptibility, NRM and ARM$_{20}$ at 135 cm in Klg06 and at 90 cm in Klg07 (Figs. 2 and 3). Susceptibility values drop by a factor of 3 to 2, respectively, in Klg06 and Klg07, while NRM values decrease abruptly by two orders of magnitude from a range of $0.5 - 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ A/m to $10^{-4}$ A/m. The ARM$_{20}$ follows the same trend with values diminishing from $10^{-1}$ A/m to nearly no measurable signal.

![Fig. 2. Geochemical measurements and down-core variations for six magnetic parameters (magnetic susceptibility, NRM, ARM$_{20}$, Ms, S-ratio and M$_r$/Ms) for core Klg07. Bromine is depicted in pink. A moving average over 5 points was applied to highlight variations and is depicted in purple. A detailed stratigraphic log on the left indicates visible sandy layers. Gray shading represents sapropels. These measurements highlight variations in magnetic mineralogy and coercivity and are plotted against a simplified core log where the occurrence of iron sulfides observed with X-ray imaging is reported.](image)

![Fig. 3. Geochemical measurements and down-core variations for six magnetic parameters (magnetic susceptibility, NRM, ARM$_{20}$, Ms, S-ratio and M$_r$/Ms) for core Klg06. Bromine is depicted in pink. A moving average over 5 points was applied to highlight variations and is depicted in purple. A detailed stratigraphic log on the left indicates visible sandy layers. Gray shading represents sapropels. These measurements highlight variations in magnetic mineralogy and coercivity and are plotted against a simplified core log where the occurrence of iron sulfides observed with X-ray imaging is reported.](image)
In both cores, these major magnetic properties changes occur across a stratigraphic interval less than 10 cm in thickness. Below this magnetic drop, ARM increases, NRM and magnetic susceptibility remain low to the base of the core in Klg06 and 220 cm in Klg07. From 220 cm to 305 cm in Klg07, magnetic susceptibility, NRM and ARM increase again with some fluctuations. Below 330 cm, NRM intensity and magnetic susceptibility reach the highest values measured in the core.

### 4.3.2. Paleomagnetic results

Only results from the top of the cores Klg06 and Klg07, where the NRM is of the order of $10^{-5}$ A/m are discussed. This corresponds to the interval above 135 cm for Klg06 and above 90 cm for Klg07. For most samples, AF demagnetization diagrams (Zijderveld, 1967) reveal a soft viscous component, which is removed between 5 and 20 mT, to leave a linear trajectory toward the origin of the plot until the last demagnetization step at 70 mT or 90 mT (Fig. 6). The last demagnetization step corresponds to a decrease of 90% to 95% of the NRM. The straight-forward demagnetization diagrams clear out identification of a ChRM component. As cores are not azimuthally oriented, only the ChRM inclination is reported in Fig. 6. The mean value is 38° for Klg06 and 58° for Klg07.

### 4.4. Magnetic mineralogy and granulometry

#### 4.4.1. SEM observations and X-ray imagery

In X-ray images, elongated veins with sub-millimeter diameter and centimeter scale lengths appear from 80 cm in Klg06 (Fig. 3) to 50 cm in Klg07 (Fig. 2). Patches are also observed below 130 cm in Klg06 and 110 cm in Klg07. Observed dark spots and elongated veins in the X-ray images are interpreted as iron sulfdes.

SEM observations allow identification of iron oxides, in particular in the upper part of the cores. There, iron oxides are present as individual detrital grains or embedded in silicates with titanium often associated (Fig. 7-A). Below 1 m in Klg07, iron oxides are only preserved within flakes of silicate or clay minerals. SEM observations confirm the presence of iron sulfdes throughout the cores in different shapes (Fig. 7). The Fe/S ratio enables identification of the predominant iron sulfd phase as pyrite ($FeS_2$). Above 90 cm in Klg07, frambooidal pyrite appears mostly in spherical shapes of 10 to 20 μm in diameter in association with euhedral pyrite within foraminifer shells (Fig. 7-A). They occur as elongated aggregates up to 100 μm in diameter and correspond to veins observed in the X-ray images (Figs. 2 and 3). Below 90 cm, pyrite is present in larger proportions within and outside shells (Fig. 7-B, -C). They form larger aggregates composed of framboids and singular or grouped euhedral crystals. In addition, large veins formed by compact assemblages of crystals and framboids are common (Fig. 7-B, -C). EDS-X analyses on some polished euhedral crystals have Fe/S ratios on the order of 0.75, which indicates the presence of another phase, likely greigite ($FeS_2$) (Fig. 7-B).

**4.4.2. S-ratio**

S-ratio data can be separated into three successive stratigraphic intervals (Figs. 2 and 3). The first interval (from the core top to 135 cm in Klg06 and to 90 cm in Klg07) is characterized by a high S-ratio (0.94–1). The second interval, from 135 to 220 cm in Klg06 and from 90 to 170 cm in Klg07, has lower values (0.80–0.88) and the last interval, from 220 cm in Klg06 and from 170 cm in Klg07 to the end of both cores, is characterized by a rise back to high values in the range of 0.94–1 (Figs. 2 and 3). The high S-ratio values suggest the dominance of a low coercivity mineral, the most likely being magnetite. Lower values in the ratio indicate that the relative content of higher coercivity minerals increases. The high coercivity mineral is likely to be hematite but could also be linked to other phases as single domain greigite (Roberts et al., 2011).

#### 4.4.3. Thermomagnetic curves

$\kappa$-T curves are dominated by: 1) a weak and nearly constant signal until 280 °C; 2) an increase of the magnetic susceptibility between 280 °C and 450 °C; 3) a decrease until 580 °C of the Curie point of magnetite, and; 4) irreversible cooling curves and a final magnetization at...
least 10 times higher than the initial one (Fig. 5-B). The sharp magnetic susceptibility increase, starting in all samples at 280 °C, indicates the onset of a phase thermal alteration. This temperature is compatible with irreversible greigite decomposition upon heating (Dekkers et al., 2000; Roberts et al., 2011). High-temperature magnetic susceptibility variations undergo another inflection at 320 °C and a maximum at 450 °C (Fig. 5-B). This behavior is likely to correspond to the oxidation of pyrite to magnetite (Passier et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2004). The intensity of the peak between 280 °C and 580 °C generally increases down-core (Fig. 5-B).

Complementary information is provided by thermal demagnetization of the IRM, which indicates a down-core evolution in the nature of the mineral phase that carry the magnetization (Fig. 8). For the upper part of the core and above the magnetization drop (Fig. 8-A), we observe convex shapes with three successive unblocking temperatures: 250–300 °C, 570–590 °C and ~650 °C. The demagnetization curves correspond to an assemblage of (by order of importance): 1) magnetite (with an unblocking temperature around 580 °C), which is the dominant magnetization carrier; 2) probable greigite (based on SEM results and on the destabilization observed in the 280 °C range (Roberts et al., 2011)) and; 3) a small fraction of hematite possibly with minor substitution (with an unblocking temperature around 650 °C). Just below the magnetic drop (at 120 cm and 140 cm; see Fig. 8-B), the IRM intensity is one order of magnitude lower and hematite is the major magnetic phase, which is clearly identified by an unblocking temperature between 650 °C and 680 °C. Deeper in the core (Fig. 8-C - D), the signal is dominated by a phase with an unblocking (or destabilization) temperature of around 200–250 °C, possibly corresponding to an iron sulfid.
Fig. 7. Backscattered electron micrographs on polished and non-polished samples for three selected intervals for core Klg07: above (A), into (B) and below (C) the interval with loss of magnetization. (A) (1) and (2) Small detrital grains of titanium oxide and pyrite are observed in non-polished and polished samples. (B) In this interval, Ti-oxides are not observed. (3) Wide view illustrating the presence of large amount of pyrite outside shells. (4) Microfossil filled with frambooidal pyrite. (5) Grains with a Fe/S ratio greater than 0.5 indicate the occurrence of another phase. (C) (6) Assemblage of large agglomerates of frambooidal pyrite. (7) Microfossil filled with euhedral and frambooidal pyrite. (8) Large vein of pyrite that could correspond to iron sulfides observed with X-ray imaging.

Fig. 8. Thermal demagnetization of SIRM for 10 specimens. (A) Three samples located above the loss of magnetization (B) Samples from the upper sapropelic layer just within the interval with loss of magnetization. (C) Two samples from below the upper sapropelic layer at 200 and 240 cm. (D) Two samples from below the sapropel level at 300 and 350 cm.
faint signal due to magnetite remains and a small hematite fraction is present in the deepest sample (at 350 cm).

4.4.4. Hysteresis

The saturation magnetization ($M_s$) and the ratio of the saturation remanent magnetization ($M_r$) to $M_s$ are represented for core Klg07 and Klg06 in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. Above the magnetization drop, we observe in Klg07 a decrease in $M_r$ that reflects a decreased magnetite content. The picture is slightly different in Klg06, which has a higher $M_r$, which probably reflects a higher magnetite content (Fig. 3). The data highlight that during the magnetic drop, the two cores undergo a sharp decrease in magnetite content, as seen in $M_s$, and a relative decrease in the $M_r/M_s$ ratio (Figs. 2 and 3). The two parameters likely indicate dissolution of fine-grained ferrimagnetic grains of magnetite as observed by Channell and Hawthorne (1990) in the Tyrrhenian Sea. Below the magnetic drop, $M_s$ variations indicate that there is probably little magnetite left.

5. Discussion

5.1. Records of paleosecular variation, age model and core correlation

Mineralogical changes caused by diagenesis and stratigraphic modifications linked to earthquake shaking (Drab et al., 2012) suggest that a reliable paleomagnetic recording is far from being certain in the Marmara Sea. Attempts to recover a reliable paleomagnetic direction could only be made on the less magnetically degraded upper part of the cores, above the drop in magnetization where magnetite is identified as the dominant carrier and is likely to carry a detrital remanent magnetization (DRM). Nevertheless, a chemical remanent magnetization (CRM) could be superimposed on the DRM. Core Klg06 (Fig. 9) has inclination values that are shallower by 20° compared to the inclination produced by a geocentric axial dipole (GAD) has inclination values that are shallower by 20° compared to the inclination produced by a geocentric axial dipole (GAD) has inclination values that are shallower by 20° compared to the inclination produced by a geocentric axial dipole (GAD). The inclination (58°) is close to the expected GAD value. Less substantial secondary mineral formation might have allowed better signal preservation. Another factor could be that bioturbation observed in Klg07 (Fig. 9) has reduced constraints on grain movements thereby allowing more reliable paleomagnetic recording (Tucker, 1980).

We compare each of our records with the calculated inclination variation for the last 2000 years using the time varying spherical harmonic model CALS3K.4 of Korte and Constable (2011) and with a local curve obtained in the Balkans (Tema and Kondopoulo, 2011) (Fig. 7). Not surprisingly, the recorded variations in Klg06 are far from the expected variations. In contrast, core Klg07 records variations that are comparable with the standard curve (Fig. 9). Four points can be tied to recognizable inclination variations between Klg07 and the two models. Uncertainties in this correlation occur due to the unknown locking delay of the magnetic signal (acquisition of the magnetic record post-dates sediment deposition, and hence shifts the record to younger age) and to lack of accuracy of the reference curve. An arbitrary uncertainty of ± 200 years is thus added to the results following estimates by Ledu et al. (2010). These points are used in addition to radiocarbon ages from planktonic foraminifera and mollusk shells to compare the age model derived from secular variation with other dating techniques and to establish a more detailed age model for Klg07 (Fig. 10). Using linear correlation, a mean sedimentation rate of 37 cm/kyr is found using all available age data (Fig. 10). If we restrict the data set to radiocarbon ages we obtain an average rate of 38 cm/kyr. This indicates that the age model developed using paleomagnetic inclination variations form core Klg07 is fully compatible with isotopic ages.

No reliable correlation with the inclination reference curve can be made for Klg06. Nevertheless, Klg06 and Klg07 can be matched using Ca/Ti XRF data (Fig. A.1, Drab et al. (2012)). The correlation implies that the drop in magnetization at 150 cm for Klg06 is synchronous with the drop at 90 cm for Klg07. An age model can thus be inferred for Klg06 using the five tie points between the two cores (Fig. A.1). Using a linear correlation a mean sedimentation rate of 52 cm/kyr is determined for core Klg06 (Fig. 10).

5.2. Characteristics of Marmara Sea sapropelic and sapropel dating

5.2.1. Upper sapropelic layer

The down-core increase of organic matter and C/N ratio occurs in cores Klg07, Klg06, Klg04 and Klg03 at, respectively, 100 cm, 130 cm, 150 cm and 250 cm (Figs. 2, 3 and 4). In our age model (see also Drab et al. (2012)), this sudden OM increase is likely synchronous and occurred about 2500 years ago. This age corresponds roughly to the age of the top of the upper sapropelic level in the Marmara Sea, which was dated at 3200 to 2500 years by Tolun et al. (2002). The increased OM is associated with Br-enriched intervals, between 100 and 140 cm in Klg07, between 120 and 205 cm in Klg06 and between 145 and 175 cm in Klg04.

The moderate TOC enrichment for the upper sapropelic layer in the studied cores (with values below 2%) may be due to degradation and poor preservation of the OM during diagenesis.

C/N and δ13C measurements provide information about the origin of the upper sapropelic layer. Increased organic carbon could indicate: 1) enhanced marine productivity; 2) increased terrestrial organic matter; and/or 3) better OM preservation. The upper sapropelic layer is
associated with slightly higher C/N ratios, which can be interpreted as due to a higher input of terrestrial OM (Gearing et al., 1977; Likens et al., 1981; Meyers, 1994; Briggs et al., 2013). The association of high organic carbon and high C/N ratios was also observed by Tolun et al. (2002) in the Marmara Sea. However, this interpretation should be regarded with caution as C/N values can also be affected by anaerobic degradation of organic matter, resulting in higher C/N ratios by preferential removal of N.

5.3. Magnetic properties of sapropels and their environmental significance

Magnetization drops are often observed in sediments (e.g. Florindo et al., 2003), but sharp decreases of two orders of magnitude over stratigraphic intervals of few centimeters, as observed in cores Klg06 and Klg07 at 135 cm and 90 cm, respectively, need to be explained. This indicates a strong and localized dissolution of the dominant magnetic carriers triggered by intense microbial sulfate reduction at a level that combines abundant, easily degradable organic carbon and large surface area/volume reactive iron oxide phases. Recent studies on sapropels have shown that rich and active bacterial populations can be fueled for as long as several thousand years by high OM within these layers (Süß, 2007). The bottom of the layer is not defined by a return to high magnetic values. This indicates a downward migration of sulfide that caused dissolution of magnetic minerals well below the upper sapropelic layer. The presence of a downward sulfidization front has been observed in sapropel-bearing sediments (Passier et al., 1996; Larrasoana et al., 2003). In addition, an oxidation front diffusing from the immediate layer overlying the sapropel after completion of sapropel formation has been described as a common characteristic of Eastern Mediterranean sapropels (De Lange et al., 1989; Van Santvoort, 1994).
et al., 1997; Thomson et al., 1999; Passier et al., 2001; Larrasoña et al., 2003). This oxidation front captures any liberated ferrous iron and gives rise to formation of fine-grained magnetite that adds to the magnetic detrital particles from the background sediment. The presence of a reductive dissolution front and an oxidation front that act as competing mechanisms at the centimeter scale accounts well for the sharp transition observed just above the upper sapropelic layer.

In contrast, other magnetic parameters such as the S-ratio indicate that the upper sapropelic layer is associated with the presence of a high coercivity phase. Based on thermal demagnetization of the SIRM, hematite is identified as a major remanence carrier within the upper sapropelic layer. The presence of resistant hematite in sulfidic environment has already been observed in several studies (Yamazaki et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2004; Garming et al., 2005; Rey et al., 2005). This result is in agreement with recent measurements on the reactivity of iron oxides toward dissolved sulfide (Poulton et al., 2004) showing that hematite is 2 to 3 times more resistant to dissolution than magnetite. An alternative possibility would be that hematite forms after pyrite if the dissolved oxygen concentration rises in interstitial waters (Bernier, 1981). This could be triggered by a re-oxygenation episode after the sapropel deposition.

Despite the moderate TOC contents in the upper parts of Klgl06 and Klgl07, magnetic properties confirm the occurrence of an upper sapropelic episode as first suggested by Çağatay et al. (1999) and discussed by Tolun et al. (2002). In addition, magnetic property variations at the onset and termination of the sapropel interval have implications for evolution of bottom water ventilation. The upper sapropelic layer was probably triggered by a combination of accumulation of OM associated with an episode of low bottom water ventilation caused by variations in the path of dense saline Mediterranean waters over several hundred years. The presence of hematite, if not post-depositional, indicates that the dissolution of iron oxide phases is not complete; the exposure to dissolved sulfide was thus high enough (or lasted long enough) to dissolve magnetite but not hematite. This event was followed by oxic or suboxic conditions with moderate re-ventilation of bottom waters, which did not allow reformation of significant magnetite at the top of the sapropel interval.

The lower sapropel is well characterized in the studied cores by TOC values >2% and high NRM and ARM remanences in core Klgl07. This sapropel is therefore not characterized by a dissolution front as in the upper sapropelic layer but instead has a relative magnetic enhancement. The magnetic signal associated with the lower sapropel thus contrasts sharply with the upper sapropelic layer. Similar behavior has been described by Roberts et al. (1999) and Reinholdsson et al. (2013) who noted a correlation between the degree of magnetic enhancement and the organic carbon content in sapropels, with high organic carbon corresponding to high concentrations of magnetic minerals. Reinholdsson et al. (2013) associated this behavior to the activity of MTB population and production of greigite magnetosomes. Larrasoña et al. (2003) proposed a different scenario involving: 1) less intense sulfate reduction within this type of sapropel with enhanced magnetization; 2) no or moderate downward dissolution front; and 3) post-depositional oxidation with reformation of fine-grained magnetite. The high NRM, susceptibility and Mr/Ms measured within the lower sapropel indeed suggest a population of fine-grained magnetite although ultra-fine greigite could not be totally rejected. The enhanced magnetization could in our case be the mark of increased primary productivity and phytoplankton activity as shown by Vidal et al. (2010) and Sperling et al. (2003), as well as of moderate anoxia in bottom waters followed by active re-ventilation. Presumably, if fine magnetite grains are responsible for the high magnetic signal it does not require fully anoxic conditions. In any case, the reconnection with the Black Sea between 8 and 9 ka B.P. led to the outflow of freshwater and changes in circulation in the Marmara Sea that strongly influenced bottom water ventilation and appear to be responsible for the high magnetic signal in the lower sapropel.

Finally, it should be noted that unusually high methane flux are reported in the Marmara Sea (Çağatay et al., 2004; Tryon et al., 2012; Crémière et al., 2013). The North Anatolian Fault is associated with active fluid expulsion and development of an active hydrothermal system (Halbach et al., 2004; Armiño et al., 2005; Tryon et al., 2010). As a result, shallow redox reactions have been documented, and are enhanced by anaerobic methane oxidation at the sulfate-methane interface. In this particular setting the signature of sapropels can be altered more rapidly, as microbial degradation of organic matter fuels sulfate reduction. However, it is unlikely that a strong methane signal overprints the magnetic signal in our cores as the diageneis is associated to well-defined horizons and not to patches along micro-fractures guiding methane flux. In addition, magnetic minerals associated with methane venting are reported in Larrasoña et al. (2007), who described characteristic authigenic greigite and pyrrhotite, which were not observed in the studied cores.

6. Conclusion

The combined magnetic and chemical records obtained in this study enable recognition and characterization of the two most recent sapropel layers from the Marmara Sea. An upper sapropelic layer is recorded about 2500 years ago and is marked by a moderate increase in organic carbon and Br. It is also synchronous with a strong reductive front that diffused downward and caused the dissolution of magnetite and formation of iron-sulfides. Moderate re-oxygenation of bottom waters just after the period of sapropel deposition marks the sharp termination of the sapropel layer. A lower sapropel is also recorded and is characterized by total organic carbon values greater than 2%. This layer, dated around 8–9 ka BP, is particularly marked in core Klgl07. Unlike the upper sapropelic layer, the lower sapropel has high NRM and susceptibility, which indicates a magnetic enhancement probably due to either incomplete reductive dissolution and a very active re-oxidation front (Larrasoña et al., 2003) and/or to the presence of a highly magnetic non-sulfide mineral as observed by Stoner et al. (1998); Roberts et al. (1999) and Reinholdsson et al. (2013). We favor an interpretation where this is the hallmark of less anoxic conditions. Finally, Br can be considered a good proxy for TOC content in the Marmara Sea. XRF measurement of Br could, thus, be used as first means to recognize of levels with high carbon contents and may help to characterize sapropels in future studies.
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Appendix A

Fig. A.1. Ca/Ti profiles for cores KLG06, KLG07, KLG03 and KLG04 from Drab et al. (2012). The core correlation allows discussion of the geographical extent and synchronicity of the upper sapropelic layer and lower sapropel identified in the Marmara Sea. Radiocarbon ages are given in years BP. The upper sapropelic layer is indicated with the purple box and the organic matter drop in the orange box. The lower sapropel recognized with TOC measurements is indicated with red boxes. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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