

EJTN - Seminar on Crossborder Inheritance Law The European Certificate of Succession

Patrick Wautelet





- Starting point : 'having rights, being able to use them'
- Outcome of succession →
 heirs/legatees enjoy (property)
 rights over succession assets
- How can heirs/legatees demonstrate title to the assets?
- Not a new problem → 1973 Hague Convention (international certificate)





- e.g. Mr Schaeffer, French citizen living in Strasbourg, France, dies at the age of 77
- Estate includes bank account in Germany holding substantial amount
- Mr Schaeffer leaves two children, who live in France
- How can the children get hold of money?





- e.g. Luxembourg notary requested to draw up deed for sale of immovable located in Luxembourg
- Seller: two German citizens living in Germany – who inherited the house from their mother, a German citizen living in Germany
- How can the notary ensure that the sellers are indeed authorized to sell the house?





- Today many different systems in order to demonstrate quality/rights of heirs/legatees:
 - France : 'acte de notoriété' –
 delivered by notary (art. 730-1
 French Civil Code)
 - Germany : 'Erbschein' delivered by court (§ 2353 ff BGB)





- 'Export' of national documents
 - French 'acte de notoriété' in Germany? Recognition very uncertain (Regulation : art. 59)
 - German *Erbschein* in Luxemburg: recognition may be denied exclusive jurisdiction of Luxembourg courts for immovable in Lxbg (CA Lxbg 7.7.1999) (Regulation: art. 39)



- 1) Evidentiary tool
- Art. 63-1: ECS may be used by heirs /legatees /executors /administrators who "need to invoke their status or to exercise respectively their rights as heirs or legatees and/or their powers as executors of wills or administrators of the estate"



- 2) Hybrid nature of ECS: no extension or Europeanization of existing national certificates but still nat'l dimension:
 - European tool procedure to issue and effects dealt with by European rules
 - National dimension:
 - ECS clearly influenced by national experiences (*Erbschein*)
 - ECS (nor Regulation) builds complete succession system – national law will be applied (to succession) + rights in rem EJTN - 08 12 2014



- 3) Optional system
- Art. 62(2): "The use of the Certificate shall not be mandatory"
- Choice between:
 - ECS
 - National evidentiary tools (additional value thanks to Regulation - but doubts)
 - Proof by application of the law
 - Challenge: will ECS appeal in practice?



- 4) 'High cost, high value' system
- ECS demanding tool
 - For parties information to be supplied
 - For authorities verification/analysis
 / content of ECS (information on heirs/legatees and list of their rights/assets)
- Far reaching effects: ECS very useful tool for heirs / legatees etc.



- 5) *Flexible* system
- ECS may be issued in various formats – depending on stage of proceedings or purpose (art. 68: "to the extent required for the purpose for which it is issued")
- e.g. request by one legatee in respect of one specific asset or request by all heirs after estate has been shared



- Delivery: 'authority' art. 64:
 - Court
 - Another authority which, under national law, has competence to deal with matters of succession
- Choice of MS e.g. Netherlands : notaries (free choice?)
- Other possibilities : courts, registrars, etc.



- Which authority? Rules of jurisdiction
 - Art. 4 habitual residence
 - Art. 7 choice of law
 - Art. 10 subsidiary jurisdiction
 - Art. 11 forum necessitatis
- Possible conflict? Multiple ECS?
- Examination of jurisdiction (art. 15)



- Application (art. 65):
 - Any heir, legatee having direct right in succession, executor of will or administrator (not : creditor; legatee with obligatory claim against heir)
 - No mandatory form
 - Detailed list of information to be supplied - on deceased, applicant, other heirs, the rights, etc.

FACULTÉ DE DROIT SCIENCE POLITIQUE CRIMINOLOGIE



- Examination by authority (art. 66)
- Regulation provides framework for examination/issue of ECS
- National rules may supplement Regulation (minimum standards)



- Examination by authority (art. 66)
 - Verification of information/declaration/documents
 - Additional enquiries ('ex officio')
 - Legal analysis of the case
 - Information of other beneficiaries (opportunity to assert their rights) (confidentiality?)
 - Cooperation between MS access information held in registers (land registers, civil status registers, etc.) EJTN - 08 12 2014



- Delivery (art. 67-68)
 - Issue 'without delay'
 - Mandatory form
 - Original kept by authority certified copies issued to applicant (valid 6 months – renewal)
 - European electronic register for certificates (e-Justice Action Plan)
 - Information to beneficiaries



- ECS is a 'self-sufficient' title
- Authorities / private persons cannot impose production of other title (decision, authentic instrument, court settlement) to grant effects of ECS



- ECS issued based on legal analysis (lex successionis / other law) + factual analysis
- Effects of ECS detailed in art. 69
- If ECS issued by a court :
 - Res judicata? Recognition under art. 39 Regulation?
 - Content of ECS can be challengedart. 72



- Free circulation of ECS (art. 69)
- No need for any procedure to rely on effects of ECS in other MS
- No possibility for MS to control ECS (public policy, jurisdiction etc.)
- Translation
- ECS has no effect outside EU except if granted by 3rd States (e.g. Switzerland)



- 1) Evidentiary effect
 - Limited to succession issues (e.g. quality and title of heirs, etc.) not applicable to other issues (e.g. validity of marriage; matrimonial property issues)
 - Presumption of accurateness may be reversed
 - Art. 71/72
 - Proceedings on the merits EJTN 08 12 2014



- 2) Protection value
- ECS protects 3rd persons acting in good faith on basis of information included in ECS
 - Art. 69(3): person making payment or transferring property to heir/legatee etc.: transaction cannot be questioned (obligatory protection)
 - Art. 69(4): person receiving property from heir/legatee etc. mentioned in ECS (in rem protection)



- Limitation of protective effect:
 - Protective effect only applies for authority to act – does not cover other issues
 - Unless person making payment/receiving property knows or should have known (positive knowledge or gross negligence) that content of ECS not accurate



- 3) Recording in registries?
- ECS "valid document for the recording of succession property in the relevant register of a Member State..." (art. 69.5)
- ECS as basis for registration of transfer of property rights?



IV. European Certificate of Succession The effects Certificate of Succession Université de Liège

- ECS between a rock and a hard place:
 - MS retain control of their registers (art. 1 par. 2 /: legal requirements for recording + effects of recording - Recital 18)
 - MS must accept ECS as document to avoid duplication (Recital 18)



- How does this play out?
 - In some MS, no effect of ECS e.g.
 Belgium : registration only for inter vivos transfer of immovable
 - In other MS, access to registers for national documents → ECS must be accepted on basis of equivalence test - MS may require additional documents/information



- Additional information/document? vivos transfer of immovable
 - Succession: no additional document (e.g. transfer of succession asset to legatee by heir under German law) → direct registration of legatee under ECS should be possible
 - Rights in rem e.g. French
 'attestation notariée'



- What about national monopolies on transfer of immovables? e.g. Art. 701-1 French Civil Code; art? 3:33 Dutch NBW
 - Cannot be challenged on basis of Regulation (exclusion of registers)
 - Incompatible with free provision of services?

