Active faulting at the western tip of the Gulf of Corinth, Greece, from high-resolution seismic data
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Abstract
The Gulf of Corinth is one of the fastest-spreading intra-continental rifts on Earth. GPS data indicate that the rift is currently opening in a NNE-SSW direction, with a rate of extension reaching up to 16 mm yr⁻¹ in its westernmost part. Although the rest of the offshore rift has been well studied, the western tip of the rift is still poorly explored. We present an accurate map of submarine faults in this area based on two high-resolution seismic reflection surveys (single-channel sparker). In the eastern part of the studied area, the sedimentary infill is affected by the known North Eratini, South Eratini, and West Channel faults. Further to the west, the seafloor is mostly flat and is bounded to the north by the normal, south-dipping, Trizonia fault. To the north, the shallower part of the Gulf shows to the east a diffuse pattern of normal and strike-slip deformation, which is replaced to the west by a 7.5 km long SE striking strike-slip fault zone, called the Managouli fault zone. To the westernmost tip of the Gulf, in the Nafpaktos Basin, two fault sets with different strikes are encountered; the one with a NE-SW strike exhibits a clear strike-slip component. The western tip of the Gulf of Corinth where convincing evidence for strike-slip movement has been found. This fault pattern is likely related to the complex deformation occurring at the diffuse junction at the western tip of the Rift between three crustal blocks: Continental Greece, Peloponnese, and the Ionian Island-Akarnania block.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
The Gulf of Corinth is one of the most active intra-continental rifts on earth. This 120 km long, N120°E-trending structure separates the continental Greece to the north from the Peloponnese to the south (Fig. 1). Dating from uplifted syn-rift sediments covering the northern Peloponnese suggests that the rifting initiated around 5 Ma ago (Kontopoulos and Doutsos, 1985; Collier and Dart, 1991). Since 1.8–1.5 Ma, the deformation migrated northward, in parallel with an increase of the extension rate (Ori, 1989; Sorel, 2000; Rohais et al., 2007; Ford et al., 2013). Concerning the tectonic controls on the rifting, it might result from the extension in the back-arc region of the Aegean subduction zone, enhanced by the interaction with the western tip of the North Anatolian Fault (Armijo et al., 1996, 2004; Jolivet, 2001; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2003; Kokkalas et al., 2006; Reilinger et al., 2010; Pérouse et al., 2012). Except for its Western tip, i.e., west of the town of Aigion, the structure and evolution of the offshore rifting has been reconstructed based on seismic reflection data (Stefatos et al., 2002; Leeder et al., 2002; Moretti et al., 2003; McNeill et al., 2005a; Lykousis et al., 2007a; Sakellariou et al., 2007a, 2007b; Bell et al., 2008, 2009; Taylor et al., 2011; Charalampakis et al., 2014). These studies have highlighted a complex basin structure (Bell et al., 2009) characterized by significant along strike variations associated to changes in the inherited basement fabric (Taylor et al., 2011). The deformation is currently localized on en-echelon north-dipping normal faults delimiting the southern coastline, as well as on N- and S-dipping offshore faults (Fig. 1) (Avallone et al., 2004).

The western tip of the Corinth Rift is characterized by the highest extensional rate (up to 16 mm yr⁻¹), and the geodetic measurements show that most of present-day deformation is concentrated offshore in a narrow band (Briole et al., 2000; Avallone et al., 2004). In this area, the southern coast is bounded by the en-echelon Eliki–Aigion–Kamarai–Pathopoyrgos fault system (Fig. 1). Late Quaternary slip rates of these faults have been estimated at about 1.9–2.7 mm yr⁻¹ (Kamari fault system), 0.3–2.0 to 7–11 mm yr⁻¹ (Eliki faults), and 1.6–6.3 to 9–11 mm yr⁻¹ (Aigion fault) based on the analysis of trenches (Koukouvelas et al., 2001, 2005; Pantosti et al., 2004; Palyvos et al., 2005) and uplifted shorelines combined with dislocation modeling.
The present study aims to complete the fault map of the Corinth Rift at its Western tip, west of Aigion (Fig. 1), using a dense grid of high-resolution seismic reflection data. These additional data are then used to investigate how this tectonic regime is accommodated in relationship with the Corinth Rift system toward the east, and the strike-slip faults highlighted around the Gulf of Patras toward the west. This is achieved by the development of (i) a seismo-chronostatigraphic model for the sedimentary infill, (ii) the analysis of the seafloor morphology, (iii) the production of a new map of active faults in the westernmost Gulf of Corinth and (iv) the assessment of their geodynamic implication at a regional scale.

2. Previous chronostratigraphic model for the Gulf of Corinth

Seismic profiles across the Gulf of Corinth show that the shallow sedimentary infill consists of a distinct alternation between seismic-stratigraphic units with parallel, continuous high-amplitude reflections and units with parallel, continuous low-amplitude reflections to acoustically transparent seismic facies (Bell et al., 2008, 2009; Taylor et al., 2011). Generally, the semi-transparent units are thicker than the highly reflective units (Taylor et al., 2011). These alternating seismic-stratigraphic units have been observed throughout the Gulf of Corinth and have been interpreted as depositional sequences linked to glacio-eustatic cycles (Bell et al., 2008, 2009; Taylor et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014). Because of the presence of the 62 m deep Rion Sill, the Gulf was disconnected from the World Ocean during Quaternary lowstands and was thus a non-marine sedimentary environment. The marine and non-marine environments are associated with different climatic regimes (Leeder et al., 1998, 2005; Collier et al., 2000). During glacial stages, the sparse vegetation cover was more favorable to erosion than during interglacials, so high quantities of sediments were routed towards the Gulf. These lowstand deposits appear as thick, low-reflective units. The thin, high-reflective units are interpreted to represent the marine highstand deposits. The change in reflectivity between seismic units could be due to variations in sediment density and velocity, but the sedimentological origin for this change is still unclear (Li et al., 2014). The last lacustrine-marine transition has been sampled in different sedimentary cores (Collier et al., 2000; Moretti et al., 2004; Lykousis et al., 2007a; Van Welden, 2007; Campos et al., 2013). This geomorphological setting and the consequences on the seismic stratigraphy are similar to the Gulf of Cariaco, NE Venezuela (Van Daele et al., 2011).

3. Data acquisition and fault mapping

Two high-resolution reflection-seismic surveys (sparker and single-channel streamer) were performed aboard HCMR’s R/V ALKYON in 2011 and 2012, within the framework of the SISCOR ANR Project (Fig. 2). The area covered lies between the Rion Sill to the west and the eastern extremity of the Aigion fault, to the east (Fig. 2). Our seismic lines tie with Bell et al.’s (2008) data set, therefore a direct correlation with our data set is possible and allows to estimate the age of our
stratigraphy (Fig. 2). In total, 600 km of seismic lines have been acquired with RCMG’s “Centipede” multi-electrode sparker. The sparker source produces a broad-spectrum seismic signal, with a mean frequency at ~1.3 kHz. The expected vertical resolution at depth is ~1 m. A single-channel, high-resolution streamer was used as receiver. A band-pass filter (200–300 to 2000–3000 Hz) has been applied to eliminate non-signal noise. The maximum penetration depth below the seafloor is about 360 ms two way travel time (TWTT) to the east and about 100 ms TWTT to the west, i.e., ~270–360 m and 75–100 m, respectively. This did not allow imaging the basement, except on the margins. The decrease in penetration depth to the west occurs sharply at ~22°01′ longitude E and is assumed to be related to the presence of coarser sediments or gas at shallow depth.

We used published bathymetric data to check if any of the identified faults had significant morphological expression at the seafloor and to identify the main sediment pathways (McNeill et al., 2005a; Cotterill, 2006; Nomikou et al., 2011). Because these data do not cover the whole study area, especially to the west, we also interpolated the seafloor depth data from the seismic lines (Fig. 2).

We considered every linear structure that offsets horizons within the sedimentary infill and extends up to the seafloor as an active fault. Steep contact surfaces between sedimentary infill and pre-rift basement were considered as faults only if Holocene sediments in the hanging wall thicken and reflections diverge toward the fault. Indeed, onshore studies in the Western Corinth Rift have shown that the top of the pre-rift basement is not a flat surface but is marked by an inherited relief up to 1000 m (Ford et al., 2013), and similar erosional slopes observed offshore may be misinterpreted as faults. The uncertainty in fault length measurement corresponds to the spacing between the seismic lines, namely, ~1 km on average. To assess fault dip and vertical slip rates (the dominant component on most of the investigated faults), we have depth-converted our interpretation of some seismic profiles using two end-member velocities of 1.5 and 2.0 km s$^{-1}$ according to the range provided by Bell et al. (2009)) for the Western Gulf of Corinth. These two velocities have been applied successively to the imaged sedimentary infill to obtain a range of possible depths for some key reflectors. Slip rates have been estimated based on the offsets of these key horizons (reflectors or unit limits, see Section 4.2 for the seismic stratigraphy). In addition, for faults where the key horizons cannot be defined a minimum slip rate has been estimated using the local sedimentation rate. Indeed, where the seafloor is not subject to erosion (e.g., by bottom currents or large sediment density flows), the permanence of a fault scarp implies that the vertical slip rate exceeds the local sedimentation rate. The latter has been deduced from Holocene isopachs stemming from the interpretation of our seismic data (Holocene mean sedimentation rate, see Section 4.2 for the chronostratigraphic model) or from coring data (last century mean sedimentation rate, Beckers et al., 2013).

4. Results

4.1. Seafloor morphology

Fig. 2 displays the morphological units of the seafloor relief interpreted in this study. These different units will be commented from west to east. The Nafpaktos Basin is situated between the Rion Sill and the Mornos Delta, and it forms a SW-NE striking, 115 m deep depression centered 2 km offshore the south coast (Fig. 2). The seafloor relief is smooth, partly due to the action of marine currents, highlighted in the seismic data by erosional truncation at the seafloor (Fig. 3). Between the Mornos Delta and the Drepano Delta lies a second, 97 m deep sill, which is formed by the coalescence of the two deltas (Fig. 2). East of this sill, the morphology in the center of the Gulf consists of a 3 km wide, 300 to 430 m deep Canyon, widening and deepening in an eastward direction. Its steep southern edge corresponds to the Psathopyrgos and Kamarai faults (Fig. 1). More to the east, the Canyon becomes the Delphic Plateau (Heezen et al., 1966). The Delphic Plateau is bordered...
to the south by steep slopes formed by Gilbert-type fan-delta foreset beds (15° to 20° dip, locally up to 30°) (Piper et al., 1990; Lykousis et al., 2007b, their Fig. 2) (Fig. 2). The Canyon and the Delphic Plateau are bounded to the north by a complex morphology, involving the W-E striking, 14 km long, Trizonia scarp and two main subhorizontal levels (Fig. 2). The upper level consists of a shelf with the edge located between 100 and 112 m depth. The shape of the shelf edge is irregular and delimits two sub-basins (173 and 190 m deep) that form the second subhorizontal level (SB, Fig. 2).

4.2. Seismic stratigraphy

The seismic stratigraphy of the Delphic Plateau, the Canyon, and the shallow nearshore areas is quite different. In the Delphic Plateau, the shallowest sedimentary unit is composed of high-amplitude sub-parallel reflectors (Fig. 4). The seismic facies characteristics of this highly reflective unit are similar to those of Holocene sediments documented by Bell et al. (2008, 2009) and Taylor et al. (2011) in the Gulf of Corinth, and the base of this unit (here named R1) correlates with the base of the Holocene interpreted by these authors (Fig. 4). Below R1 the amplitude of the reflectivity sharply decreases (Fig. 4), which is a characteristic of lowstand deposits during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, or MIS 2) (Bell et al., 2008). The seismic facies encompasses sub-parallel, low-amplitude reflectors, alternating with thick, chaotic to acoustically transparent bodies. We interpret the first type of seismic facies to represent intercalations of turbidites and hemipelagites and the second seismic facies to be the result of thick mass transport deposits. Our data do not image any deeper extended highly reflective seismic units, despite a penetration depth of 270–360 m that should allow reaching the MIS 5 and MIS 7 highly reflective units described in the eastern Delphic Plateau by Bell et al. (2008). Those two units are only imaged in our easternmost profiles (Fig. 4) but are not identifiable westward. However, in the central and western Delphic Plateau, some strong reflectors exist and can be followed across a wide area (i.e., reflector 2: R2, Figs. 4 and 5). Despite that these reflectors do not delimit significant changes in seismic facies characteristics (Fig. 5C and D), the comparison with the chronostratigraphy of Bell et al. (2008), linked to eustatic sea-level fluctuations (Section 2) shows that the strong reflector R 2 corresponds to the 130 ka glacial–interglacial boundary (Fig. 4). In summary, the data set of this study allows for the identification of two units in the Delphic plateau: the Holocene and the MIS 5 to 2 which bases are respectively called reflectors R1 and R2.

Following the Canyon westward, the high-amplitude reflections of the upper Holocene unit become discontinuous and are intercalated with hummocky to chaotic seismic units (Fig. 5B and C). The acoustic sub-stratum is here reached at only 80 ms TWTT below the seafloor (Fig. 5B), but it does not coincide with the base of the sedimentary infill. Indeed, our data indicate locally a minimum sediment thickness of 275 ms TWTT, namely, 210–275 m. The sharp decrease in the penetration depth in the Canyon prevents us from identifying the R2 reflector. The observed seismic facies probably correspond to gravity flows (turbidity currents and debris flows) coming from the Mornos Delta. Near the Mornos and the Drepano deltas, subhorizontal high-amplitude discontinuous reflectors exist below the Holocene foresets (Fig. 5A), which are interpreted to correspond to coarser-grained, lowstand deposits of the LGM.

Sedimentation in the shallow areas of the Nafpaktos Basin and north of the Trizonia Scarp is disconnected from the deep Gulf. Transgressive and highstand system tracks have been identified at the top of highly
reflective surfaces (Fig. 5F) that are mapped in Fig. 5H. These surfaces are interpreted as transgressive Holocene surfaces developed on top of thick paleodeltas, probably built during the LGM lowstand. Such an interpretation is in agreement with previously published studies (Chronis et al., 1991; Collier et al., 2000; McNeill et al., 2005a; Cotterill, 2006; Lykousis et al., 2007a; Sakellariou et al., 2011). North of the Trizonia Scarp, below these deltas, an older fluviodeltic system located 38 to 50 m below R1 is identified (Fig. 5G and H). It is inferred that these deltas were deposited during a previous lowstand episode, probably during MIS 6.

### 4.3. Active faulting and deformation

We have identified three different fault systems: the Nafpaktos fault system in the west, the Trizonia fault system (TZFS) in the north, and the termination of the Eratini–West Channel fault system in the east. The submarine fault systems that we have interpreted are shown in Fig. 6, and below we describe this fault network from the fault F1 to F15. Slip rates have been estimated for all the significant faults (listed in Table 1).

At the western end of our study area, close to the Rion Sill, no active faults have been identified; however, seismic data in this area are poor.

---

**Fig. 5.** A to G: interpreted sparker seismic profiles showing the stratigraphic markers used to estimate fault slip rates. TST: transgressive system tracks; HST: highstand system tracks. H: location of the seismic profiles A to G and spatial extend of the ~11.5 ka unconformity and the MIS 6 (?) fluviodeltic deposits. The thin white lines represent the grid of seismic data.

**Fig. 6.** Map of offshore faults identified in this study. Onshore faults from Ford et al. (2009, 2013), Palyvos et al. (2005, 2008), Flotté et al. (2005), and references therein.
and the penetration depth is low. In the Nafpaktos Basin, the dominant deformation pattern is characterized by oblique extension accommodated mostly by SE dipping faults. Two different fault populations cross each other in the center of the basin and define a staircase morphology from the northern coast to the deepest part of the basin (Figs. 6 and 7). In the west and the north, the fault set strikes parallel to the Rion–Patras fault zone and is composed of two distinct fault zones, called F1 and F3. The spatial arrangement of the F3 fault zone looks like the surface expression of a flower structure with close parallel and antithetic strands (Fig. 6). The 5.5 km long F1 fault zone exhibits possible strike-slip motion, which is deduced from the pattern of near-fault deformation of reflectors in the hanging wall (Fig. 7). They are deformed in opposite directions, which cannot be explained with a simple normal component of slip. Another observation that suggests this fault may have a strike-slip component is the high dip of the fault, which appears near vertical in the upper 55–75 m below seafloor that we have imaged. Those characteristics have also been observed on some strands of the F3 fault zone (Fig. 6). The other fault set strikes roughly E–W, parallel to the Psathopyrgos fault. It is composed of the 6 km long F2 fault and the F4 strand, which is interpreted as the western tail of the Psathopyrgos fault (Figs. 6 and 7). Our data do not indicate any strike-slip movement on these two faults. The F3 fault zone terminates to the north on the F2 fault zone.

The base of the Holocene, i.e., Reflector 1, has been identified in the shallowest northern part of the basin (Fig. 5F and H), allowing an estimation of the vertical component of the average slip rate for F1, F2, and F3. The Holocene rate reaches 1.3–2.1 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for the central part of F1 and 1.8 to 2.9 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for F2 (Fig. 7). The cumulated vertical slip rate for all the south-dipping strands (Fig. 7) is between 2.6 and 4.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\).

**Table 1**

Fault dips and average slip rates in the western tip of the Gulf of Corinth. The slip rates are based on horizon R1 offsets (average rate since ~11.5 ka), or R2 offsets (average rate over the last ~130 ka) or based on the present-day mean sedimentation rate. Slip rates have been measured in the center of the fault, except where mentioned explicitly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault name, type</th>
<th>Dip angle (°)</th>
<th>Slip rate (norm. comp.) (mm yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Hanging wall subsidence (mm yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Method used for slip rate assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1, oblique-slip</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>1.3–2.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2, normal</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>1.8–2.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 + F2 + F3, normal/oblique-slip</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>2.7–4.2 (cumulated)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R1 (cumulated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3, oblique-slip</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>0.4–0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Sedimentation rate core TRZ03, Beckers et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6, oblique-slip</td>
<td>64–72</td>
<td>0.17–0.23 (western tip)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Sedimentation rate core TRZ03, Beckers et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8, normal</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Depth of the 11.5 ka shelf break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathias, normal</td>
<td>Not imaged in the seismic data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.6–3.6</td>
<td>Depth of the 130 ka shelf break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathias F. + F6 + F7, normal + oblique-slip</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.36–0.44 (central part)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Channel, normal</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&gt;0.7 (western tip)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Local Holocene sedimentation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10, normal</td>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>0.9–1.4 (central part)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10, normal</td>
<td>55–66</td>
<td>0.5–0.8 (western tip)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Eratini, normal</td>
<td>39–52</td>
<td>0.17–0.23 (western tip)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13, normal</td>
<td>77–82</td>
<td>0.1–0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Offset R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F15, normal</td>
<td>Var. with depth</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Local Holocene sedimentation rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 7.** S-N Sparker seismic profile in the Nafpaktos Basin. See Fig. 2 for its location. Horizon 1 = 11.5 ka, after Bell et al. (2008). Vertical exaggeration ×12. A: data, B: interpretation.
two close splays (Figs. 6, 8A, and 9A). The main strand is located at the contact between the basement and the sedimentary infill. The secondary parallel splay, TZ2, cuts across the sedimentary infill with a 70° dip (Fig. 9A). R2 can be identified in this area which allows the assessment of an average post-130 ka slip rate of 0.36–0.44 mm yr\(^{-1}\) based on the offset of R2. Due to uncertainties on the depth of horizon R1 north of the fault, no Holocene slip rate has been assessed. To the south of the Trizonia fault, no other significant fault cuts the Delphic Plateau seafloor. In that area, R1 and R2 appear broadly subhorizontal, indicating overall a symmetric subsidence (Fig. 9A). This observation suggests that the activity of faults bordering both the north and south sides of the Western Gulf is similar. The deformation pattern changes west of the Trizonia fault. The profile in Fig. 10, which crosses the Gulf west of the Trizonia fault, shows that at this location sediments thicken to the south, implying asymmetrical deformation dominated by the activity of the north-dipping faults bounding the Gulf to the south.

North of the Trizonia fault, numerous short faults deform its footwall (Fig. 6). They define a left-stepping array showing normal as well as strike-slip motions. The normal faults bound a series of narrow horsts. The main one, i.e., H3, extends over 4 km west of the Trizonia Island (Fig. 6). The documented strike-slip faults trend NW-SE and are located west of Trizonia Island.

West of the Trizonia fault, the Trizonia scarp is arranged in tiers that are partially controlled by a set of NW-SE trending transtensive faults, i.e., F6 and F7, delimiting a horst, i.e., H4 (Figs. 6 and 11). The south-dipping F6 fault breaks off a paleodelta and exhibits a strike-slip component at its eastern end (Fig. 6). We infer that this paleodelta corresponds to the MIS 6 lowstand (~140 ka). This interpretation implies a slip rate of 0.4–0.5 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for this fault (67–73° dip) based on offset stratigraphy, and a mean subsidence rate of 1.6 to 1.7 mm yr\(^{-1}\) of the delta rollover point assuming a water surface at −62 m and a rollover point formation at −5 to −10 m water depth (Lykousis et al., 2007a). Fig. 5G shows that the considered rollover point do not clearly separate topsets from foresets, as expected in a typical Gilbert-type delta. We propose that the absence of foresets is due to their erosion by submarine landslides, as presently observed in many Holocene deltas in the Western Gulf. The north-dipping F7 fault also exhibits strike-slip motion (Fig. 12). In addition to the criteria defined in the Nafpaktos Basin, strike-slip motion on this fault has been proposed based on other grounds. First, individual reflections either side of the fault show...
opposite senses of offset with depth (Fig. 12). This observation cannot be explained by a simple normal component of slip. Additionally, individual reflections are very difficult to correlate between footwall and hanging wall, suggesting that they may be laterally offset (Fig. 12). West of the horst H4, the Trizonia scarp is not controlled by active faults (Figs. 2 and 6). However, an inherited ~400 m high basement hill exists and appears to control the location of the scarp (Fig. 13). This relief could have initially been linked to a fault (dotted line in Fig. 13 and in Fig. 6, ~1.5 km south of F8), during an older phase of the rift history, but no clear evidence for Holocene activity has been observed. Just north of the scarp, a 3.4 km long north-dipping normal fault, F8, composed of two segments, is aligned with the faulted H4 horst. The F6, F7, and F8 faults define a 7 km long, NW-SE striking fault zone between the Mornos delta and the Trizonia fault, which we name the Managouli fault zone. The fault pattern and the strike-slip components highlighted on F6 and F7 allow us to interpret it as the surface expression of a transtensive fault.

Between the NW-SE trending Managouli fault zone and the E-W trending Marathias fault, the F5 fault exhibits a NE-SW strike and strike-slip component. In its central part, the fault appears as a south-dipping normal fault with an associated 12 m high scarp, while 500 m more to the west, the apparent displacement is inverted, the top of the northern block being about 2 m lower than the southern one. The western tip of F5 forms a small graben bending toward F8. This F5 fault probably accommodates part of the complex deformation occurring at the intersection of the Managouli fault zone with the Marathias fault. The subsidence rate related to the Marathias fault can be inferred based on the rollover points of the LGM-associated deltas, which now occur at around 100 m b.s.l., which implies a 2.6–3.6 mm yr⁻¹ subsidence rate. Southeast of the Trizonia fault, the sediments of the deep Gulf are affected by E-W striking normal faults belonging to the Eratini–West Channel fault system studied by Bell et al. (2008, 2009) (Fig. 9B and C). Three parallel – E-W trending fault-bounded horsts divide the Delphin Plateau. The northern one is a bedrock horst on the margin of the Gulf, while the Eratini and West Channel Horsts are localized in the deep Gulf and are draped by sediments. In the graben, between the bedrock horst and the Eratini horst, three buried faults exist. Among them, the south-dipping F14 show a very low dip angle (16 to 24°, Fig. 9B). The past activity of this fault is inferred from the sediment

Fig. 9. Structural interpretation of the seismic profiles shown on Fig. 8. Horizon 1 = ~11.5 ka, Horizon 2 = ~130 ka after Bell et al. (2008). Gray-shaded areas = pre-rift basement. Vertical exaggeration ×6.
deformation visible at a depth of 220 ms TWTT and more below the seafloor (Fig. 9B). The absence of deformation of horizon R2 points out that the fault has been inactive for at least 130 ka. The very low dip angle of this fault could be due to fault plane erosion in aerial conditions, which suggests that this fault may have defined the northern margin of the Gulf more than 130 ka ago. East of this inactive F14 fault, the western tip of an active fault is imaged (F15, Fig. 9C). The length and the accurate strike of this fault are impossible to constrain from our data set. The Eratini horst appears in the morphology only on the easternmost profile (Fig. 9C), while it is buried to the west. Most of the deformation occurs to the south, along the 5 km long south-dipping F10 fault. Its slip rate varies from 0.5–0.8 mm yr$^{-1}$ (west) to 0.9–1.4 mm yr$^{-1}$ (center), based on the offset of reflector R1. Just south of the F10 fault, a north-dipping normal fault is imaged in the Selinous prodelta in one seismic

![Fig. 10. S-N Sparker seismic profile of the central part of the study area. See Fig. 2 for its location. Horizon 1 = ~11.5 ka, Horizon 2 = ~130 ka, after Bell et al. (2008). Gray-shaded areas = pre-rift basement. Vertical exaggeration ×6. A: data, B: interpretation.](image1)

![Fig. 11. S-N Sparker seismic profile of the central part of the study area. See Fig. 2 for its location. Horizon 1 = ~11.5 ka, after Bell et al. (2008). Gray-shaded areas = pre-rift basement. Vertical exaggeration ×6. A: data, B: interpretation.](image2)
line, but its extension is unknown (Fig. 6). The last active fault system is the western tip of the West Channel fault (Fig. 9C), controlling the location of the eponymous horst.

5. Discussion

5.1. Strike-slip motion in the Corinth Rift

The data presented here show for the first time clear evidence of strike-slip motion on offshore faults in the Gulf of Corinth from seismic data. These faults are located between the Psathopyrgos and the Marathias faults (i.e., the Managouli fault zone) and in the Nafpaktos Basin (i.e., F1 and some strands of F3) (Fig. 6). Analyses of the microseismicity in these areas have also revealed strike-slip deformation in this part of the Corinth Rift. Hatzfeld et al. (2000) documented pure strike-slip and oblique-slip earthquake focal mechanisms in the Nafpaktos Basin (see their Fig. 10 with their whole data set and our Fig. 14 for one event of $M_W > 4$). Strike-slip motions at shallow depth (<10 km) in that area have also been revealed by the aftershocks of the 2010 $M_W$ 5.3 Efpalion earthquake, even though the two main shocks showed pure-normal motions (Sokos et al., 2012, their Fig. 4, and our Fig. 14 for events of $M_W > 4$). In addition, some of these aftershocks showing a strike-slip focal mechanism are aligned with the Managouli fault zone. Close to Aigio, the main shock of a seismic swarm that occurred in 2001 indicated normal faulting with a strike-slip component (Fig. 14, Pacchiani and Lyon-Caen, 2010; Lambotte et al., 2014). This shock has been associated to a NW-dipping fault previously considered as inactive, the Kerinitis fault (KER, Fig. 14). In summary, although most of the largest faults of the Western Gulf of Corinth are pure-normal faults, this study shows evidence for strike-slip motions on at least three major offshore faults, and this result agrees with strike-slip and oblique-slip earthquake focal mechanisms occurring in a wide area between the Kerinitis fault and the Rion sill.

5.2. Along strike changes in rift structure and rift polarity

Several studies have been devoted to the mapping of offshore faults in the Gulf of Corinth (Stefatos et al., 2002; Moretti et al., 2003; McNeill et al., 2005a; Lykousis et al., 2007a; Sakellariou et al., 2007a, 2007b; Bell et al., 2008, 2009; Taylor et al., 2011; Charalampakis et al., 2014). This study at the western tip of the Gulf results in the completion of the fault map, up to the Rion sill to the west (Fig. 15). The relatively low penetration of acoustic waves used in this area does not allow us to estimate the age of the deformation. However, the observed structure and the spatial changes in rift polarity over the Late Quaternary period (0–130 ka) can be compared to the ones described in the other parts of the rift. At a regional scale, the present physiography of the Gulf implies an asymmetry between the northern subsiding coastline and the southern uplifting margin. This asymmetry has been confirmed at the time scale of the whole gulf history by the southward dip of its basement (Taylor et al., 2011). However, the Late Quaternary isochore map (3rd sequence of Taylor et al., 2011) and seafloor morphology show a more complex pattern of subsidence with several along strike changes in rift polarity (Fig. 15).

In the easternmost rift sector, the Alkyonides Gulf is dominated by N-dipping faults located along its south edge (Sakellariou et al., 2007a) while in the Lechaion Gulf, the system is inverted with sediment strata dipping to the north (Charalampakis et al., 2014) (Fig. 15). Northwest of the Perahora peninsula, a local depocenter is located in a mid-basin sag. This implies space accommodation by extension in the basement without a simple relationship with faults cutting the seafloor (Taylor et al., 2011). In the eastern and central sectors, the Late Quaternary subsidence is roughly symmetric (Taylor et al., 2011). The next change in rift structure and polarity occurs between the central and the western sectors. In this latter part, the Gulf is shallower and the seafloor relief is marked by the Eratini and West Channel horsts (Bell et al.,...
This change has been attributed to a difference in the geology of the basement (Taylor et al., 2011). Isochores variations are not directly linked to tectonics here because of sediment transport from the shallower western to the deeper central Gulf (McNeill et al., 2005a). Consequently, the rift polarity of this part of the western sector is difficult to comprehend.

About 12 km west of the boundary between the Central and the Western Gulf, a major structural change occurs. The seafloor and Reflector 2 are subhorizontal, which suggests that the recent activity of faults bordering both the north and south sides of the Western Gulf is similar. The central part of Trizonia Island, located on the footwall of the Trizonia fault, is subsiding at 5 ± 2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (GPS data; Bernard et al., 2006). This suggests that, though the Trizonia fault is an active structure, some deformation occurs north of this fault as well.

More to the west, we define the westernmost sector of the Gulf of Corinth (Fig. 15). In its eastern part, our data highlight the activity of the south-dipping Marathias fault and of the NW trending transtensive Managouli fault zone. The vertical movement resulting from the activity of the latter fault, as well as its horizontal motion, is unknown. About the Marathias fault, InSAR data suggest that the onshore Marathias delta subsides by 3–5 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (Elias, 2013). This value probably encompasses sediment compaction as well as tectonic subsidence. It is consequently compatible with our subsidence estimate of 2.6–3.6 mm yr\(^{-1}\) over the last 11.5 ka. In this part of the rift, Late Quaternary sediments thicken, indicating a larger subsidence induced by the Kamari fault system compared to the Marathias–Managouli faults (Fig. 10). A minimum estimate of slip rate of 1.9–2.7 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for the last ~2000 years has been proposed for the Selianitica scarp, located in the center of the Kamarai fault system (Palyvos et al., 2005). Subsidence rates associated to the Marathias fault are higher. This discrepancy may have several origins. First, the Kamarai fault slip rate is a minimum estimate and it was obtained on a relatively short time-period (Palyvos et al., 2005). Second, the comparison between the slip rates of the main N-dipping and S-dipping faults in this part of the rift does not consider the influence of the Managouli fault zone, which is difficult to unravel.

The central part of the westernmost sector is affected by the Psathopyrgos fault. The rift polarity for the Late Quaternary is not constrained in this zone due to low penetration of the acoustic signal. West of the Psathopyrgos fault, fault strike changed from W-E to SW-NE, and strike-slip motion occurs on most of the mapped faults (Fig. 15). This radical change in the tectonic style is discussed in the next section.

In summary, in the western and the westernmost sectors of the Gulf of Corinth, the rift polarity or the structure of the rift active during the Late Quaternary change along strike over very short distances (Fig. 15). The fault network is highly segmented, the longest faults reaching 16 km. This pattern is different from the central and eastern gulf, where the fault length reaches up to 35 km (e.g., the Derveni fault, Fig. 15). Besides, the westernmost sector is characterized by the occurrence of strike-slip motion. These observations indicate a less mature and younger fault system in the western and the westernmost sectors compared to the central and eastern sectors. The influence of a change in bedrock geology proposed by Taylor et al. (2011) may, however, not be rejected.

The documented surface fault pattern bears only little resemblance to the deep structure defined seismologically. In the Western Gulf, microseismicity is mostly concentrated in a layer that is gently dipping to the north between 5 and 10 km beneath the Gulf. The upper 4 km of the crust is characterized by a lack of seismicity, which makes the link between the surface faults and the deep structure quite speculative. One of the reference geodynamic models consists of steeply
north-dipping coastal or nearshore faults rooting into a flat or gently dipping structure beneath the Gulf (Bernard et al., 2006; Godano et al., 2014). Our observations seem in contradiction with the proposed asymmetrical rifting model. Indeed, a significant part of the deformation is accommodated on the faults in the northern part of the Gulf, i.e., the Trizonia, Managouli, and Marathias faults. In addition, the sedimentary infill in a wide area of the western segment of the Gulf is made of subhorizontal strata, suggesting a more symmetric rift structure (Fig. 15). Our data thus agree more with the alternative model of Lambotte et al. (2014). They propose a rifting model with a rather symmetrical (« mode I ») NS-oriented opening, complemented by an early metacriplical (« mode I ») NS-oriented opening, complemented by an early stage of a detachment, growing downdip toward the north (Godano et al., 2014; Lambotte et al., 2014). This very rapidly evolving tectonic system, interplaying the activity of old and of new, growing faults, would be driven by a dominantly axial strain source at mid-crustal depth. The model fits the geological, seismological, and geodetic data as well as the geophysical data of the present study.

5.3. Nafpaktos Basin: part of the triple junction between continental Greece, Peloponessse, and the Ionian Island-Akarnania blocks?

The NE-SW fault system of the Nafpaktos Basin is composed of two main offshore faults (F1, F3, Fig. 6), the coastal Nafpaktos fault and the onshore Rio–Patras fault zone (RPFZ). The NE-SW offshore faults accommodate right-lateral transtension. The onshore fault system is similar (Fig. 14). InSAR data have documented creeping along the Nafpaktos fault with ~2 mm yr$^{-1}$ normal motion and dextral motion as well (Elias, 2013). To the south, the RPFZ has a comparable deformation pattern. InSAR data suggest that the fault is creeping with about 4 mm yr$^{-1}$ normal slip and some right-lateral motion (Elias, 2013; Parcharidis et al., 2013). The strongest earthquake in the area was the 1993 $M_W$ 5.4 Patras earthquake. It had a strike-slip mechanism, but the earthquake has been attributed to another fault, south and parallel to the RPFZ, the Saravali fault (Fig. 14, Tselentis, 1998). Therefore, in and around the Nafpaktos Basin, there are a set of five significant faults exhibiting right-lateral motion. At a larger scale, they form a left-stepping en-echelon system, which extends for about 36 km from the south coast of the Gulf of Patras (e.g., the Saravali fault) to the Mornos delta in the Gulf of Corinth (e.g., the Nafpaktos fault) (Fig. 14).

The documented strike-slip fault system reflects the diffuse deformation occurring at a triple junction between three distinct crustal blocks: Continental Greece to the north, the Peloponnessse to the south, and the Ionian Island-Akarnania Block (IAB) to the west (Vassilakis et al., 2011; Perouse, 2013) (Fig. 14). The triple junction marks the intersection of the E-W Corinth Rift system to the east, with two antithetic strike-slip fault zones to the northwest and southwest. The strike-slip system to the northwest is composed of the N140-N155 Katouna-Stamna left-lateral fault (KSF; Perouse, 2013) and of a deformation zone around the Trichonias Basin (Kiratzi et al., 2008) (Fig. 14). The KSF is a major well-localized fault, active since about ~1.5 Ma, and GPS data indicate a geodetic slip rate of about 10 mm yr$^{-1}$ (Perouse, 2013). The strike-slip system to the southwest is less well defined. It would correspond to the right-lateral NE-SW Achaia–Elia fault that has no surface expression (Feng et al., 2010). The fault activity is mainly attested by the 2008 $M_W$ 6.4 Achaia–Elia earthquake (Feng et al., 2010; Margaris et al., 2010). Within this geodynamic framework, the spatial arrangement of the faults showed in Fig. 14 indicates that the NE-SW Nafpaktos–Rio–Patras fault system would be linked to the right-lateral Achaea–Elia fault, and would represent the northernmost expression of the boundary between the Peloponnessse and the IAB. This interpretation is based on the NE strike and on the strike-slip motion of the faults we have highlighted in the Nafpaktos Basin, combined with sparse data found in the literature about active faulting east and south of the Gulf of Patras that we have described in this section. More investigations are needed to prove the existence of this block boundary, as well as to prove the connection at depth between all these faults.
Concerning the boundary between Continental Greece and the IAB, we did not find any evidence for NW-SE structures in the Nafpaktos Basin, which could accommodate some deformation in continuity with the left-lateral KSF and the Trichonis Basin (Fig. 14). Seismological data suggested that a NW-SE blind fault zone would link the Trichonis Graben to the Nafpaktos Basin (Kiratzi et al., 2008). The lack of surface expression of this system in the Nafpaktos Basin confirms that this junction between Continental Greece and the IAB is not yet a matured structure, as already suggested by Perouse (2013) and Vassilakis et al. (2011), and that we may have a decoupling between the near-surface deformation affecting the upper 5 km and the deep structure inferred from seismological data.

5.4. Comparison between geodetic and long-term extension rates

Table 2 shows possible earthquake magnitudes for these faults, according to the Wells and Coppersmith’s (1994) regression. This table is not an exhaustive summary of the possible seismogenic faults in the study area. It comprises only faults for which this study has provided new data, either about fault activity (the Marathias fault), about fault length (the Psathopyrgos fault) or both (the Managouli fault zone and the Trizonia fault). Seismic hazard related to the other coastal faults has been studied by Boiselet (2014). Moreover, the last major EQs and the microseismicity have shown that the extension is accommodated on a large number of faults, and the last two major EQs in the Western Rift ruptured faults that are blind (i.e., Aigion 1995 EQ, Bernard et al., 1997) or were not described as a major structure before the earthquake (i.e., Efapelio sequence, Sokos et al., 2012). Consequently, numerous questions remain regarding the most appropriate way to assess seismic hazard in this area.

6. Conclusion

The present study provides an accurate map of offshore faults at the western end of the Gulf of Corinth and documents for the first time in the offshore Corinth Rift significant strike-slip motion, in addition to the dominant extensional strain. To the west, an oblique-slip, en echelon fault system has been identified in and around the Nafpaktos Basin. We suggest a connection with the right-lateral Achaia–Elia fault. The Nafpaktos basin could represent the northernmost expression of the boundary between the Peloponnese and the Ionian Island-Akarnania Block. To the east, the symmetric subsidence of the deep Gulf allows reconsidering the importance of south-dipping faults bounding the Rift to the north. Finally, the proposed new active faulting pattern has implications regarding seismic hazard assessment, with several active offshore and coastal faults potentially able to trigger $M_w \geq 6$ earthquakes. The key remaining question would be to determine if these faults are locked, creeping or partially creeping.
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