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#### Abstract

A very sensitive X-ray investigation of the giant H II region N11 in the LMC was performed using the Chandra X-ray Observatory. The 300 ks observation reveals X-ray sources with luminosities down to $10^{32} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, increasing by more than a factor of 5 the number of known point sources in the field. Amongst these detections are 13 massive stars ( 3 compact groups of massive stars, 9 O-stars and one early B-star) with $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right) \sim-6.5$ to -7 , which may suggest that they are highly magnetic or colliding wind systems. On the other hand, the stacked signal for regions corresponding to undetected O-stars yields $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right) \sim$ -7.3 , i.e., an emission level comparable to similar Galactic stars despite the lower metallicity. Other point sources coincide with 11 foreground stars, 6 lateB/A stars in N11, and many background objects. This observation also uncovers


[^0]the extent and detailed spatial properties of the soft, diffuse emission regions but the presence of some hotter plasma in their spectra suggests contamination by the unresolved stellar population.

Subject headings: ISM: individual objects: LMC N11 - Magellanic Clouds galaxies: star clusters - X-rays: stars

## 1. Introduction

With the decade-long work of sensitive X-ray observatories XMM-Newton and Chan$d r a$, a refined picture of stellar X-ray emission in our Galaxy is now available (for a review, see e.g., Güdel \& Nazé 2009). However, many X-ray production processes in stars depend on metallicity, and this dependence has not yet been tested thoroughly. In this context, the Magellanic Clouds provide an opportunity to observe the metallicity effects. For example, the tentative discovery of X-ray emission from low-mass pre-main sequence (PMS) objects in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) was reported recently and the emission level appears comparable to that in the Galaxy, constraining emission models (Oskinova et al. 2013). On the high-mass end, a 26 ks Chandra exposure of the emblematic H II region 30 Doradus was reported by Townsley et al. (2006a,b), leading to the detection of 180 X-ray sources - a hundred being found in the massive central cluster R136, with some of these sources displaying $\sim 2$ net counts and thus having a non-negligible probability to be spurious. From their spectral analyses, Townsley et al. (2006b) derived for the massive stars of 30 Dor absorption columns of $1-10 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{H}$-atom $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, temperatures of $0.5-4 \mathrm{keV}$, and absorption-corrected X-ray luminosities from $2 \times 10^{35} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ down to $10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (the sensitivity limit). Townsley et al. (2006b) suggested that many, but not all, of the detected massive stars were colliding-wind binaries, which could be ascertained through further monitoring. Furthermore, these authors found no clear $L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ ratio for their sample, contrary to that for the Galaxy ( $\sim 10^{-7}$, see e.g. Nazé 2009, and references therein). This conclusion may be reconsidered, however, because (1) a significant contamination by the X-ray bright colliding wind binaries (esp. WR+O) may hide trends intrinsic to individual massive stars (but correcting this problem needs both optical and X-ray extensive monitoring, which is not available) and (2) coherent $L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ ratios are found only when X-ray luminosities are well measured statistically and are corrected by the interstellar absorption, not by the total absorption. It should also be underlined that 30 Dor is an extreme environment, more akin to starbursts than a good representative of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) population.

In the LMC, N11 is the second largest H II region, just after the giant H II region 30 Dor. The less extreme properties of N11 make it much more representative of LMC H II
regions and clusters of massive stars. Besides, the lower concentration of stars implies less source confusion, hence should lead to more reliable results.

Star formation has been very active in N11, with no less than four recognized OB associations: LH9, LH10, LH13, and LH14 (Lucke \& Hodge 1970). The stellar feedback has restructured the surrounding interstellar material. Notably, the winds and supernova explosions of the massive stars in LH9 have gradually carved a cavity, giving rise to an expanding superbubble some 120 pc in size (Rosado et al. 1996). This expansion has probably triggered the formation of LH10 at the periphery of the superbubble (Walborn \& Parker 1992). In turn, the massive stars of LH10 are now beginning to blow bubbles (Nazé et al. 2001), further triggering new star formation in their surroundings (Barbá et al. 2003).

N11 is clearly one of the best sites to study the interplay between stars and the interstellar medium. This interaction, often violent, produces X-ray emission. Using a 30 ks ROSAT Position Sensitive Proportional Counter observation, Mac Low et al. (1998) reported the first detection of X-rays in N11. This ROSAT observation revealed the presence of extended areas of diffuse emission, with the brightest sources associated with the N11L supernova remnant (SNR) and within the superbubble around LH9. Further investigation was performed with a $30 \mathrm{ks} X M M$-Newton observation, which provided the first detection of point sources in the field (Nazé et al. 2004). While stars in LH9 remained unresolved, this $X M M$ observation unveiled in LH10 a mixture of diffuse emission and point sources associated with some of the most massive stars of the cluster.

A detailed X-ray analysis of N11 requires a combination of both high sensitivity and high spatial resolution, which became possible with our new, deep X-ray investigation of N11, using the Chandra X-ray Observatory. This observation will lead to several analyses, and this first paper discusses the point source population. The aim is to uncover the nature of the point sources, and to find whether the properties of the stars in N11 differ from similar objects in the Galaxy. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the data and their reduction, Section 3 introduces the catalog of X-ray sources and their global properties, Section 4 discusses extended and point sources associated with massive stars. Finally, Section 5 summarizes our results.

## 2. Observations and Data Reduction

The Chandra ACIS-I observations of N11 were made in six separate segments within two months in 2007. As summarized in Table 1 , the exposure time of each segment was $42-$ 49 ks and the roll angle ranged from $130^{\circ}$ to $188^{\circ}$. The pipeline products of the observations
were reduced and analyzed using our own IDL-based analysis tools (e.g., Wang 2004) as well as the official software for the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO; version beta1-4.0) together with the calibration database (caldb 3.4.0) and other publicly available routines (e.g., XSPEC v 12.7.0). It should be noted that our detection procedure was shown to give results comparable to those of ACISextract (Johnson et al. 2013), and this was checked on this dataset by a quick run of that tool.

We used the light-curve cleaning routine "lc_clean" to remove time intervals of significant background flares when count rates deviated more than $3 \sigma$ or a factor of $\gtrsim 1.2$ from the mean rate of individual observations. This cleaning, together with a correction for the dead time of the six observations, resulted in a total of 280 ks useful exposure for subsequent analysis.

A combination of source detection algorithms (wavelet, sliding-box, and maximum likelihood centroid fitting) were applied to unsmoothed data in three bands: soft (S) $0.5-2 \mathrm{keV}$, hard (H) $2-8 \mathrm{keV}$, and total (T) $0.5-8 \mathrm{keV}$ (Wang 2004). Briefly, the wavelet detection was first used to find the initial source candidates with a high threshold of local false detection probability $P<10^{-5}$. Then, background maps were constructed by removing the waveletdetected sources and by conducting a median averaging or smoothing of the three input images on scales much greater than the point spread function (PSF) to achieve an intensity uncertainty $\sim 10 \%$. These background maps, insensitive to the exact details of the construction procedure, were used to search again for sources, using this time a sliding-box algorithm (the so-called map detection mode). Finally, a maximum likelihood centroiding algorithm was used, still using the count background map, to derive the best centroid positions for the sources (Wang 2004).

This process was applied independently to each energy band. Our final source list contains sources with local false detection probability $P<10^{-6}$ at least in one band (Poisson statistics was used in calculating the significance of a source detection above the local count background). The sensitivity of the source detection depends on the size of the PSF as well as the local background level and effective exposure, which all vary with position, especially with the off-axis angle of the detected sources. The source detection, though optimized for point-like sources, includes a few strong peaks of the diffuse X-ray emission, chiefly associated with the SNR N11L, about $\sim 7^{\prime}$ west of the field center (for more on this object, see Sun et al. in preparation). Some of these sources associated with peaks of the diffuse emission are detected in S-band only.

Once source positions were identified, source count rates needed to be estimated. To this aim, it must be recalled that the most precise effective exposure times are evaluated in narrow energy bands. Therefore, we calculated the net (background-subtracted) count rates in four subbands ( $\mathrm{S} 1=0.5-1 \mathrm{keV}, \mathrm{S} 2=1-2 \mathrm{keV}, \mathrm{H} 1=2-4 \mathrm{keV}, \mathrm{H} 2=4-8 \mathrm{keV}$ ), and they were
later added to form the rates in the broader bands ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{H}$, and T ). We thus first constructed effective exposure maps in these four subbands 1 . The construction of these exposure maps assumed a power law spectrum of photon index 1.7 and accounted for the telescope vignetting and bad pixels as well as the quantum efficiency variation of the instrument, including the time-dependent sensitivity degradation, which is particularly important at low energies $(\lesssim 1.5 \mathrm{keV})$. Fig. $]^{1}$ shows such a merged effective exposure map, illustrating the features of the bad pixel removal, CCD gaps, observation dithering, etc. as well as the overall field coverage. In order to treat uniformly both strong and weak sources, source counts for each subband were then extracted within the $70 \%$ energy-encircled radius (EER) of the PSF, whose size depends on the off-axis angle of the source in the exposure and of the energy band under consideration. A background correction using the background map constructed earlier was applied. Finally, count rates were derived from dividing source net counts by their effective exposure times (values at the source positions in the exposure map of the energy band under consideration), leading to equivalent on-axis values. It should be noted that the presented count rates have thus been corrected for the full PSF and for the effective exposure, which accounts for not only the telescope vignetting, but also the degradation of the detector sensitivity with time. Therefore, the actual number of counts in a detection aperture is not simply a count rate multiplied by an exposure of 280 ks . The difference could be up to a factor of $\sim 2$, depending on a source's spectral shape.

We extract an ACIS spectrum for each source detected with $S / N>10$. The on-source spectral extraction circle has the same radius as used for the source removal, while the local background spectrum is estimated from a concentric annulus with the inner radius equal to $2 \times$ the circle radius and the outer radius twice larger. Detected sources are removed from the background region. The background spectrum is normalized accounting for bad pixels and boundaries of the CCDs as well as the source removal. We obtain the averaged response matrices of each source spectrum, using the weights derived from on-source $0.5-$ 2 keV band counts in the detector coordinates of individual observations. The spectrum is further adaptively binned to achieve a background-subtracted signal-to-noise ratio greater than 2.5 in each bin.

We compared the positions of a few well identified X-ray sources with their (known) optical counterparts. To this aim, we considered only OB stars, since they are rather bright sources of X-rays, whereas no other stellar X-ray emitter was a priori known in the field (though several other sources may have possible stellar counterparts, see below, but these were not a priori known). We found no significant systematic offset ( $\lesssim 0.5$ ) and therefore,

[^1]no astrometry correction was applied to the X-ray data. We caution however that these sources lie at large off-axis angles, so that the uncertainties in their X-ray positions may be large, but the absence of bright X-ray sources with well-established optical counterparts prohibits us to fine-tune the astronometry to $0!1$ accuracy.

## 3. Point Source Catalog

With the detection procedure described in the previous section, we found 165 sources in N11: 43 of them were detected with the highest confidence or smallest $P$ value in the S band, 5 in H band, and 117 in T band. Amongst these, 74 were detected in all three bands, 56 only in two bands, and 35 only in one band ( 22 for $\mathrm{S}, 2$ for $\mathrm{H}, 11$ for T - while the total band often maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio, some very soft or very hard sources are more easily detected in only the soft or hard band, for example, nearby stars and diffuse emission peaks in the soft band, and faraway accreting sources and AGNs in the hard band). Table 2 lists for each detected source its position, count rate in the total band and hardness ratios $\mathrm{HR}_{1}=(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S} 2) /(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{S} 2)$, and $\mathrm{HR}_{2}=(\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{S} 1) / \mathrm{S}$, as well as its off-axis angle, number of counts, estimated background counts and effective exposure in the detection aperture and in the total band. The last column yields the band where the source was detected with the highest confidence. While count rates may look large at first sight, it must be recalled that the source detection completeness varies across the ACIS-I map, depending on the local PSF, effective exposure (Fig. [1), and background. Furthermore, count rates have been corrected for CCD degradation, leading even more to apparently high count rates. Without making this correction, the interpretation of the hardness ratios or the count rate to flux conversion given below would depend on the time when observations were taken. The lowest value for source net counts and signal-to-noise ratio are 4.7 and 2.1, respectively.

The conversion from a count rate to an unabsorbed energy flux depends on the source spectrum and foreground absorption. A characteristic value of the conversion is $8 \times 10^{-12}$ ( $\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) $/\left(\right.$ counts s${ }^{-1}$ ) in the $0.5-8 \mathrm{keV}$ band for a power-law spectrum of photon index 2 and an absorbing-gas column density $N_{\mathrm{H}} \sim 1 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{H}$-atom cm ${ }^{-2}$ (assuming solar abundances). This conversion should be a good approximation (within a factor of 2) for $N_{\mathrm{H}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{H}$-atom $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. The corresponding conversion to a source-frame luminosity in the same band is $\sim 2.4 \times 10^{36}\left(\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) /\left(\right.$ counts s$\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ at the LMC distance of 50 kpc .

The ACIS-I total band (unsmoothed) image is shown in Fig. 2 with the detected X-ray sources marked, while Fig. 3 shows a smoothed three-color map of the X-ray emission in N11 as well as an $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image for comparison. The smoothed images show that many point sources are superposed on diffuse emission. As the source detection is based on Poisson statistics
and the image smoothing uses Gaussian statistics, there appear to be additional point-like sources (to eyes) in the smoothed images. These are artifacts of the smoothing procedure. We will not consider these false sources caused by noise bumps.

We also conducted tests for timing variability. We first carried out Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests as well as $\chi^{2}$ tests on the total band light curves of the 41 sources with detected $S / N>4$, which are well covered by the six observations. For $\chi^{2}$ tests, lightcurves were adaptively binned so that each bin contains at least 20 counts. Sources J045509.20-663018.5 and J045702.07-662257.1 (\# 32 and 158, Fig. (4) show significant variabilities in the total band at confidence levels of 5 and $3 \sigma$, respectively. They possess no counterpart within $1^{\prime \prime}$ (see Sect 3.1), and their nature remains unknown. Variability examination in the S and H bands yields no additional results. The analysis of individual observations separately, for all 117 sources that are not near the CCD gaps (with a $12^{\prime \prime}$ margin to account for the dithering effect) in any of the observations, yields only one positive result: J045539.69-662959.5 (\#64) shows an apparent variation in the S band during the observation $\# 8210$ and at a confidence level of $\sim 3 \sigma$ (Fig. (4). This source is a known quasar candidate (see Sect. 3). However, with so many sources studied in two independent bands (the third one being related to the other two since $S+H=T$ ), this latter variability detection is not inconsistent with the occurrence of such an event by pure chance hence is marginal.

Figure 5 shows hardness ratios of the 53 sources with both ratios known with errors less than 0.2 : most (48) sources have $H R_{1} \sim 0$ and $H R_{2} \sim 0.75$, indicating relatively hard sources. To assess the contamination of the catalog by background AGNs, we have characterized the X-ray source number-flux relation (NFR) in N11. This NFR analysis uses only the 141 sources detected in the total band, for homogeneity and to avoid biases towards soft or hard sources. Eddington bia $\sqrt{2}^{2}$ was corrected following the approach of Wang (2004). We may compare the derived NFR to the $\log (N)-\log (S)$ presented by Moretti et al. (2003, and references therein). However, the X-ray absorption through N11 (from NRAO survey, ${ }^{3}$, $N_{\mathrm{H}} \sim 4.3 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{H}$-atom $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ) is substantially higher than that toward the Chandra deep fields (foreground absorption $1.6 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ ). Correcting for this difference, we find an expected number of AGNs in the field of 91 (Fig. 6), i.e., most of our sources are in fact extragalactic background objects. Indeed, a few AGNs have been identified in the field: a correlation of our source list with quasar tables in Vizier results in the identification of sources \#11 (Kim et al. 2012; Kozłowski \& Kochanek 2009) and \#38, 45, 64, 98, 117, 123

[^2](Kozłowski \& Kochanek 2009). Note however that some parts of the nebula are filled with molecular clouds, and these locally enhanced absorption columns may reduce the number of detectable AGNs.

### 3.1. Optical and Infrared Counterparts

Counterparts to our X-ray sources were searched in several catalogs: USNO-B1.0 Cat$\operatorname{alog}$ (Monet et al. 2003), Guide Star Catalog V2.3.2 (GSC, Lasker et al. 2008), 2MASS All-Sky Catalog of Point Sources (Cutri et al. 2003), Magellanic Clouds Photometric Survey (MCPS, Zaritsky et al. 2004), IRSF Magellanic Clouds Point Source Catalog (Kato et al. 2007), DENIS Catalogue toward Magellanic Clouds (DCMC, Cioni et al. 2000), and $J H K_{s}$ photometry of N11 young stellar objects ([HKN2006], Hatano et al. 2006).

To find the optimal correlation radius, we first searched for the closest counterpart to each X-ray sources and derived the number of matches as a function of radius: at large radii, the number of matches is proportional to the squared radius, as expected by chance coincidences. A best correlation radius of $1^{\prime \prime}$ was found, and used to derive the final list of counterparts (Table 3): 71 of the 165 sources have at least one counterpart within $1^{\prime \prime}$. Amongst these, thirteen objects are known massive stars (see Table 6 and Sect. 4), two are OB candidates (\#130 and 136, Hatano et al. 2006), and one is a HAeBe candidate (\#63, Hatano et al. 2006). Two additional sources have been misidentified with stars in the past: Src \#98, proposed to be a HAeBe candidate on the basis of the photometry (Hatano et al. 2006), is in fact a quasar (Kozłowski \& Kochanek 2009), while Src \#24, identified to be a young stellar object (Whitnev et al. 2008), actually corresponds to the nucleus of a background galaxy (Gruendl \& Chu 2009).

The photometric measurements of the counterparts appear coherent in different catalogs. We therefore focus on IRSF, because it contains the largest number of counterparts (58 sources) amongst the tested catalogs. Considering the sources with full $J H K_{s}$ photometry available, color-magnitude and color-color diagrams can be constructed (Fig. 7). Besides massive stars, counterparts appear to the right of the main sequence, suggesting that they are young, still forming stars; however, known quasars also have similar photometric properties, requiring additional investigation.

To this aim, we further used $\mathrm{H} \alpha,[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}]$, and [S II] images taken with the MOSAIC camera on the Blanco 4 m telescope at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory. The $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ observations consisted of three dithered exposures of 300 s each for each location; the bulk of N11 was imaged on 2008 December 5 and the periphery of N11 was imaged on 2010

January 9. The [O III] $\lambda 5007$ observations and the [S II] $\lambda \lambda 6716,6731$ observations consist of four dithered 450 s exposures for each location; these images were obtained on 2011 October 31. We have also used infrared observations made with the Spitzer Space Telescope. The Spitzer images and photometry of point sources of N11 are taken from the previous work by Gruendl \& Chu (2009), who made a photometric catalog and identified young stellar objects for the entire LMC. These images have been used for inspecting the counterparts to the X-ray point sources. Among the three optical images, the [S II] image is the most useful because the diffuse emission from ionized gas is not as strong and confusing as that in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and [O III]. Among the infrared images, we have used primarily the 3.6 and $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ images.

Figure 8 shows $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ cutout [S II] images overplotted with error circles (radius from Column 3 of Table 2) centered on the derived positions of all 165 X-ray sources. These images are useful for an independent confirmation or rejection of optical counterparts. Although some of the error circles have radii $\ll 1^{\prime \prime}$, the combined errors in the X-ray and optical astrometry may reach $\sim 1^{\prime \prime}$. We have conservatively use a minimum correlation radius of $1^{\prime \prime}$ for identification of optical counterparts; however, only 3 sources ( $\# 43,78$, and 107) have optical counterparts that are within $1^{\prime \prime}$ but outside the error radius. The results of our investigation are noted in Table 4, including Spitzer counterparts of the X-ray point sources.

To assess the physical nature of the optical and infrared counterparts of the X-ray sources, we have assembled spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for the sources that have photometric measurements available. The following passbands and catalogs have been used: $U B V I$ from MCPS, $J H K_{s}$ from 2MASS and IRSF, and IRAC bands from Gruendl \& Chu (2009). These SEDs are presented in Figure 9 ,

The SEDs of known massive stars, such as sources \#48, 77, 97, 110, 115, 126, 141, 142,146 , and 157 , have a distinct shape that falls off toward long wavelengths, following the Rayleigh-Jean Law. While the SEDs of stars can be diagnosed by their downturn in infrared, the nature of the stars need to be estimated from their photometric colors and magnitudes. For each object with a stellar SED, we use the MCPS $U B V I$ and IRSF $J H K_{\mathrm{s}}$ photometry to determine colors in several combinations of bands (such as $U-B, B-V, V-I, J-K$, etc.), compare the observed colors with those of dwarfs (luminosity class V ) and supergiants (luminosity class I) to assess its spectral type, and compare the observed magnitude with the expected absolute magnitude to determine its distance.

We find that Sources \#130 and 136 are B2 giants, in agreement with the suggestion of candidate OB stars by Hatano et al. (2006), sources \#108, 139, and 145 are late-type B dwarfs, and source \#106 may be of type A0. Distances that we derived for these objects are $\geq 50 \mathrm{kpc}$, and they are thus in the LMC. Using the same conversion factors as for known massive stars (see Sect. 4.2), the X-ray luminosities of these sources, in the total band, amount
to $\sim 5 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the three late-B and the A0 star, and $1-2 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the two B2III stars. This leads to $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ of -2.6 for the A 0 star, -3.2 for the late-B stars, and -4.6 for the B2III stars. Such luminosities are too high for even flares of PMS companions or flares of these stars themselves (Robrade \& Schmitt 2011), but too low in comparison with those of known HMXBs in the LMC ( $>10^{34} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, Shtykovskiy \& Gilfanov 2005). Furthermore, such high $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ ratios are not compatible with embedded wind shocks (such stars would not have the strong mass-loss necessary for this mechanism, anyway). In the absence of further information, it seems likely that objects other than these AB stars are the true X-ray emitters: chance alignment with foreground (soft) source or background (hard) source or localized peak in soft diffuse emission. In this context, it should be noted that \# 106, 108 and 130 seem to emit mostly soft X-rays, while the emissions of \#136 and 139 appear much harder.

The cooler stars are all in the Galactic foreground: source \#56 is a known K0 star; sources \#5 and 28 are G stars; 25, 43, 78, 87, and 109 are K stars; 54, 95, and 107 are M stars. In two cases, sources $\# 25$ and 109 , the colors at long wavelengths suggest K spectral type, but the star appears too bright in $U B$. It is likely that they are Sirius-like systems in which the K star dominates the emission in longer wavelength and the white dwarf dominates the emission in shorter wavelengths. The individual results of the stellar counterpart analysis are given in Table 4 and a summary by category is provided in Table 5.

AGNs and galaxies can also be diagnosed from the SEDs by their distinct shape that rises toward long wavelengths in the infrared (Donley et al. 2008; Dey et al. 2008). For example, sources \# 11, 24, 36, 38, 45, 63, 64, 98, 117, and 123 have well populated SEDs rising in the IR and are thus good candidates for AGNs. Indeed, seven of them (\#11, 38, 45, $64,98,117$, and 123) have been identified to be quasars by Kozłowski \& Kochanek (2009) and one (\#24) is a resolved galaxy with a prominent nucleus (Gruendl \& Chu 2009). Since stellar emission is not expected to be flat and since many of these objects are detected only in the IR (not in optical), we suggest that objects with flat SEDs may also be AGNs. In Table 4, we use "AGN" to denote confirmed objects and "(AGN)" for candidates.

Finally, our observation did not reveal active HMXBs amongst known massive stars in N11 (see Sect. 4) and the late B-stars newly identified with the photometry (see previous paragraphs) display no definitive sign of being in HMXBs. We have further examined the data to see whether other bright X-ray sources would have (unknown) OB counterparts, following the method of Shtykovskiy \& Gilfanov (2005) and using the information available on the nature of the sources, when existing. As in 30 Dor (Townsley et al. 2006b) and some other LMC clusters (Oskinova 2005), no HMXB was detected in N11, although its cluster LH9 is old enough ( $7.0 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{Myr}$, Mokiem et al. 2007) for its initially most massive stars
to have undergone supernovae. Adopting the classical Salpeter initial mass function (IMF) for the observed stellar content and with upper cut-off at $150 M_{\odot}$, up to 10 stars initially more massive than $60 M_{\odot}$ might have been present in the cluster. However, the production efficiency of HMXBs is low, which could explain the absence of detections in N11 (e.g., Oskinova 2005; Clark et al. 2008, though not necessarily in agreement with Galactic census of HMXBs from Helfand \& Moran 2001).

## 4. Massive Stars

### 4.1. The Massive Star Population in the Chandra Field

Of the four massive star clusters in N11, two (LH9 and 10) are covered by our Chandra observation. Their stellar content is quite well known. The first complete study (Parker et al. 1992, hereafter PGMW) provided spectroscopic classification for about 75 stars, among which 43 are O-type objects. Using HST data to disentangle the two compact OB groups HD32228 in LH9 and PGMW3204/9 in LH10, Walborn et al. (1999) gave spectral classification for 20 additional hot stars, while the VLT Flames Survey led to the discovery of 25 additional O-type stars, including a potential runaway star of type O2.5III (Evans et al. 2006, hereafter ELST $\left.^{4}\right)$. In total, 1 Wolf-Rayet, 81 O-type stars and 80 B-type stars are now known in the Chandra FOV. The spectral monitoring of ELST further indicated a binary fraction of $36 \%$ amongst massive stars of LH9-10, which is quite low for such stars (Sana \& Evans 2011). Finally, Mokiem et al. (2007) performed atmosphere modelling on 22 of the ELST targets, leading to the first accurate determination of their physical properties.

These studies showed that LH9 and LH10 appear very different from each other. Indeed, LH9 is the most extended and the richest cluster in N11. It is dominated by a compact group of stars collectively referred to as HD32228, which contains one Wolf-Rayet star of the carbon sequence (Brey 9) and many late O-type stars (Walborn et al. 1999). Furthermore, stars in LH9 have blown a large superbubble (Rosado et al. 1996). In contrast, the LH10 cluster, still partly embedded in its natal cloud, appears rather young, with wind-blown bubbles of limited size (Nazé et al. 2001) and earliest O-type stars still present (Evans et al. 2006). The IMF in these clusters was constrained to $\Gamma_{\text {LH10 }}=-1.1 \pm 0.1$ and $\Gamma_{\text {LH9 }}=$ $-1.6 \pm 0.1$ (PGMW), confirming that there are many more high-mass stars in LH10 than in LH9. These facts, together with the higher reddening of LH10, indicate the relative youth of LH10 compared to LH9 (about 2 Myr difference, see PGMW and Mokiem et al.

[^3]2007). Because of the age difference and of the position of LH10 at the periphery of the LH9 superbubble, it was suggested that the pair constitutes an example of sequential star formation (Walborn \& Parker 1992).

### 4.2. Detection of Massive X-ray Emitters in N11

The poorer PSFs of the previous X-ray observations of N11 (ROSAT - Mac Low et al. 1998; Dunne et al. 2001; XMM-Newton - Nazé et al. 2004) did not lead to unambiguous detections of X-ray emission associated with the OB stars of LH9 or LH10. At first sight (see Fig. [2), the Chandra X-ray point sources do not appear obviously clustered towards LH9, LH10 or their periphery, due to the large number of background sources (Sect. 3). However, correlating the list of X-ray sources (see Table2) with the list of OB stars with known spectroscopic classification led to 13 positive matches. As a last check, we further inspected the X-ray image by eye and one additional X-ray source associated with an Otype star was clearly spotted (see e.g. the many countours showing a source associated with PGMW3100 in Fig. 12). An extraction run specific to OB stars (see below) yields a $2 \sigma$ detection for this object and a false detection probability just below that of the detected objects (i.e. much higher than for the truly undetected O-stars). It lies near a rather bright point source and on the edge of diffuse emission, which probably explains its non-detection by the automated detection algorithms.

Table6 lists the properties of these 14 OB stars with detected X-ray emission. The first five columns report the X-ray source number, the ELST or PGMW identification, the spectral type and binary status (from ELST, Walborn et al. 1999, or PGMW). In addition, we derived for each object the color excesses $E(B-V)$ from the $B V$ photometry, using the intrinsic colors from Martins \& Plez (2006). Galactic reddening towards the LMC was estimated to be $0-0.15 \mathrm{mag}$ by Oestreicher et al. (1995); as the reddening of some of our stars is $\sim 0$, we will consider the Galactic contribution negligible and we therefore calculate the absorbing columns $N_{\mathrm{H}}$ using a gas-to-dust ratio of $N_{\mathrm{H}} / E(B-V)=2.4 \times 10^{22} \mathrm{H}$-atom cm ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{mag}^{-1}$, typical of the LMC (Fitzpatrick 1986). The bolometric luminosities, when not estimated by Mokiem et al. (2007), were also derived from the $B V$ photometry with intrinsic colors and bolometric corrections from Martins \& Plez (2006); they were derived using the properties of the earliest component if the object is a binary with types of the two components known ('SB2' in Table 6]). These derived absorbing columns and bolometric luminosities are presented in the sixth and seventh columns of Table6. The last two columns of that table give the unabsorbed flux (in the $0.5-10 \mathrm{keV}$ band) and $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right.$ ) ratio (see also Fig. 10). These X-ray luminosities were derived by different methods depending on the source
brightness. Five of the sources have enough counts ( $>80 \mathrm{cts}$ ) for a rough spectral analysis. They were modeled within XSPEC using an absorbed thermal model (XSPEC models mekal and vphabs, both with metal abundances set to 0.3 times solar). Results of these fits are shown in Table7. As is usual for massive stars (e.g., Nazé et al. 2012b), two solutions of rather similar quality can be found, one with high T and low $N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {add }}$, the other with low T and high $N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {add }}$. It is difficult to choose between the two solutions since the exact amount of additional absorption $N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {add }}$, due to the cool wind, beyond the interstellar absorption cannot be a priori fixed: the formal best-fit one is listed in Table7. However, it must be stressed that this dual solution ambiguity affects only the derivation of intrinsic emission levels, but has no impact on the derivation of $L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ ratios, as the X-ray luminosities used in this context are corrected by the interstellar absorption only. For the fainter sources, we derived fluxes by converting the count rates. Using the on-axis response matrices and a thermal model with a temperature $k T=0.6 \mathrm{keV}$ absorbed by the $N_{\mathrm{H}}$ determined above (both with metal abundances set to 0.3 times solar), we derived conversion factors between count rates (in cts $\mathrm{ks}^{-1}$ ) and unabsorbed fluxes (in $\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) of $0.5-2 \times 10^{-14}$. Using a temperature of 0.3 keV would increase the fluxes by less than a factor of 2 .

Only one of the detected sources is a B-type star, the detection fraction for these objects is thus low, $\sim 1.3 \%$ ( 1 out of 80 ). This is not surprising since B-type stars are generally not bright X-ray emitters and the X-ray emission apparently associated with such objects has generally been attributed to a flaring PMS companion (see e.g., Sana et al. 2006; Evans et al. 2011) or to an accreting compact companion. Generally, the B stars with detected X-ray emission have early types (B0-B0.5) and their emission is due to embedded wind-shocks as in O-type stars. This could apply to Src \#110 (ELST33), an early-type B star whose count rate is correspondingly low with a signal-to-noise ratio of $\sim 2$ and whose $L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ is similar to that of O-stars in our sample.

Most of the detected sources correspond to hot, O-type objects. Two of them correspond to the compact OB groups HD32228 and PGMW3204/9, while another one is associated with the compact H II region N11A and its small cluster (see individual discussion for these below). Excluding the 19 stars in these compact groups, the fraction of detected O-type stars is $16 \%$ ( 10 out of 62 ). When ranked by magnitude or spectral types, it appears that the hottest and/or earliest objects are preferentially detected, as could be expected (the detection fraction is $57 \%$ for $\mathrm{O} 2-5$ stars, $25 \%$ for $\mathrm{O} 5.5-\mathrm{O} 7$ stars and $3 \%$ for $\mathrm{O} 7.5-9.7$ stars). However, when one looks into details, things are not so simple. For example, of the four O2-3 stars present in the field, only two are detected: the one belonging to the PGMW3204/9 compound (which thus contains additional massive stars emitting X-rays) and ELST31. The latter object is neither a known binary nor the brightest earliest-type star (ELST26 being slightly brighter than ELST31).

To gain further insight into the global properties of the OB star population, we estimated the total-band count rates of all OB sources in the field using their catalogued positions and corresponding $90 \%$ EER (i.e. not $70 \%$ EER as before) to minimize the potential effect of the astrometry errors in the X-ray data and the objects' positions. Results for the 13 detected O-stars are of course consistent with the count rates reported in Table 2. On the other hand, for the 52 undetected O-stars, these measurements allowed us to derive upper limits, which we adopted to be the $3 \sigma$ errors on the count rates. These upper limits on the count rates were transformed into upper limits on the unabsorbed flux as was done above for the fainter detected sources.

Table 8 summarizes the properties of these 52 undetected O-type stars present in the Chandra FOV. Columns one and two give the star numbers in ELST and PGMW, respectively; the third and fourth columns indicate the spectral type and binary status; the fifth column provides the bolometric luminosity as given by Mokiem et al. (2007) when available or as derived from the $B V$ photometry otherwise; the sixth column lists the absorbing columns, derived from the photometric color excess as explained earlier; the last columns yield the upper limits on the X-ray luminosity and on the $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ ratio (see also Fig. (10). In addition to these limits, we also derived the combined properties of these 52 undetected O-stars. Summing their count rates and combining the associated errors yields a stacked value of $0.7 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{cts} \mathrm{ks}^{-1}$, corresponding to an X-ray luminosity of $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and a $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ of $-7.3 \pm 0.3$. This value is expectedly lower than all individual lower limits on $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$. It also implies that several undetected massive stars (since the stacked signal appears dominated by $<10$ objects) emit with levels not much below our sensitivity limit, but only more sensitive observations with a high spatial resolution will be able to clearly detect them above the bright diffuse background.

Having all that information at hand, we may now compare N11 with the Galaxy. In our Galaxy, massive O-stars display soft spectra ( $k T$ of $0.2-0.6 \mathrm{keV}$ ) and follow $L_{\mathrm{X}} \sim 10^{-7} \times$ $L_{\text {BOL }}$ (Harnden et al. 1979; Long \& White 1980; Pallavicini et al. 1981; Sciortino et al. 1990; Berghoefer et al. 1997; Nazé 2009; Nazé et al. 2011). This relation reflects an intimate link of X-rays and the stellar winds, as X-rays are produced behind shocks in these unstable outflows, though a full understanding of the origin of the relation is still lacking (steps in this direction have been made by Oskinova et al. 2011; Owocki et al. 2013). Different $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ values have been reported in the literature for Galactic objects, but these differences are probably explained by choices made in the analysis (e.g., method for deriving $L_{\text {BOL }}$ ) and the data quality (Nazé et al. 2011, 2013). Since the X-ray emission depends on the winds, it may be expected that massive stars with different wind properties, such as lowmetallicity, will show a different level of X-ray emission. In addition, deviations from that 'canonical' $L_{\mathrm{X}}-L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ relation are also found in exceptional cases. For example, while most
massive $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OB}$ binaries are not much harder or brighter (small overluminosities of 0.2 dex at most, Oskinova 2005; Sana et al. 2006; Nazé 2009; Nazé et al. 2011, 2013) than single stars, a few systems appear hard and overluminous because an X-ray-bright wind-wind collision is present (e.g., HD93403, Rauw et al. 2002; Cyg OB2\#9, Nazé et al. 2012a). Magnetically confined winds may also lead to overluminosities in strongly magnetic stars (e.g., $\theta^{1}$ Ori C, Schulz et al. 2000; Gagné et al. 2005).

In N11, results from spectral fits suggest rather large $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ ratios and high plasma temperatures. Indeed, except for the HD32228 compound, all detected sources clearly lie above the Galactic $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)=-7$ relation (Fig. (10) and temperatures of $0.7-1.3 \mathrm{keV}$ are recorded here, when temperatures of 0.2 or 0.6 keV (depending of the trade-off between temperature and absorption mentioned above) are usually observed for Galactic O-stars. While it is possible that the stellar census is limited by confusion (with several neighbouring stars mistaken as one, leading to errors in the bolometric luminosity estimates), it is also very probable that we detect only the X-ray-bright tip of the massive star population. The detected objects would then be wind-wind interacting systems or strongly magnetic objects; they would therefore not be fully representative of the properties of the O-type population in N11. In this context, it may be worth noting that, excluding the stars in compact groups, only $20 \%$ (2 out of 10) of the X-ray sources are known binaries, i.e., a smaller fraction than in the N11 population (36\%, ELST) - though the limited monitoring of ELST may have missed the multiplicity of some objects. Further study should be undertaken to clarify the status of the detected objects (bona-fide single stars, colliding-wind binaries, magnetic objects). To get a more representative idea of the actual X-ray emission level from massive stars in the LMC, we may turn to the undetected O-stars: their stacked emission suggests a lower $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ value of -7.3 . This is a value comparable to Galactic values (e.g., Carina nebula, Nazé et al. 2011), which contradicts a priori intuitions (lower metallicity $\rightarrow$ weaker winds $\rightarrow$ fainter X-ray emission). Future observations are however needed to confirm the overall representativity of this $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ value for massive stars, and to enlarge the study of the $L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ ratio in the LMC.

### 4.2.1. Sources in LH9: HD32228 and Its Environment

The main component of LH9, the compact group also known as HD32228, is clearly detected in our observations (Fig. 11). Its X-ray emission follows the visible one, i.e., it is not a simple point source and looks rather extended. The morphology is actually reminiscent of a point-like source superimposed on a small region of diffuse emission, itself immersed in the fainter superbubble emission from the whole cluster. We have extracted spectra of each
of these 3 regions (see Tables 7 and (10).
The emission peaks at the position of the stellar group encompassing the Wolf-Rayet Brey 9 or BAT99-10. Since this evolved object belongs to the carbon sequence, it is not expected to emit significant amounts of X-rays if single (Oskinova et al. 2003). The total luminosity from all O-type stars in the HD32228 compound amounts to $\sim 7.5 \times 10^{39} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, implying a $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right.$ ) ratio of $-7.1 \pm 0.1$, fully compatible with the Galactic value (Table 6).

The surrounding area ('near HD32228', see Table10), once cleaned of the superbubble 'background' contribution, presents a harder spectrum than the emission associated with the superbubble itself. Its high temperature and high absorption suggests the emission to be dominated by the overall X-rays from unresolved stellar objects, most probably O and PMS stars. In contrast, another region in LH9 with higher surface brightness ('inside LH9', see Table(10) displays a spectrum very similar to the superbubble itself - only a few deviant high-energy bins, maybe spurious, are detected as a very high temperature plasma with low emission measure. To the limits of our data, the X-ray emission associated with the superbubble around LH9 thus appears rather uniform if one excludes HD32228 and its surroundings.

### 4.2.2. Sources in LH10

Fig. 12 shows a close-up on the LH10 cluster. In this region, six point-like sources are detected. They correspond to five O-type stars (ELST 31, 38, and 50, PGMW 3070 and 3120 ) and one compact group (PGMW3204/9). The brightest source, PGMW3070, appears actually as a tight cluster in the HST images. The point-like source associated with the two subclusters display a slightly elongated shape, probably indicating that they are not truly point-like sources. Their flux is also too high for a single star, with $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ of -6.0 for the sole PGMW3070. The fluxes of the other sources in LH10 are also higher than observed in Galactic single O-type stars. This is especially the case of the bright binary ELST50, which presents the highest $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ ratio: even taking into account the presence of two stars, the X-ray source is still about 5 times brighter than expected. The ratio appears closer to the Galactic value only for PGMW3204/9, considering the sum of the individual luminosities of this stellar group's components - detailed stellar content is well known in this area, but maybe not elsewhere in LH10. It is also interesting to note that in our data, PGMW3204/9 appears as bright as PGMW3070, whereas it was half as bright during the XMM observations (compare our Fig. 12 with Fig. 11 of Nazé et al. 2004): this may indicate some variability in its high-energy emission, which is not expected for single, 'normal' massive
stars and is therefore generally associated with an orbital or rotational modulation. Further monitoring of these objects is needed to ascertain their nature.

Some diffuse emission is also present throughout the field, especially to the southwest of the cluster, near PGMW 3070 and 3120. In this region, a wind-blown bubble was detected by Nazé et al. (2001). However, the same authors found another expanding bubble east of PGMW 3204/9 and ELST 50, with similar expansion velocity but it does not appear to be associated with diffuse emission as bright as the former. Higher velocity structures were also detected by Nazé et al. (2001), but appear to the south and west of the X-ray bright region, not coincident with it. It might be noted that the diffuse emission, which appears centrally peaked rather than bright-rimmed, corresponds to the region of highest stellar density - the eastern part of LH10 being much less crowded. This could suggest that at least part of the X-ray emission actually comes from unresolved stars, as in the case of NGC602 in the SMC (Oskinova et al. 2013). This hypothesis seems confirmed when the spectrum of that diffuse emission is analyzed (Table 10): two thermal components are present, one with a low temperature typical of soft diffuse emission and one with higher temperature, most probably stellar in origin (both components have similar luminosities in the $0.3-2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ band).

### 4.2.3. Sources in N11A

The compact HII region N11A, lying to the east of LH10, probably harbors the youngest optically-visible stars in the field (Heydari-Malayeri et al. 2001). It is associated with the 'star' PGMW3264 or ELST28, which is actually the earliest component of a compact stellar group composed of 7 objects. The associated X-ray emission is rather strong and a comparison of its shape with that of its neighbours suggests some extension. Since the earliest object was proposed to be a highly obscured mid O-type star (Heydari-Malayeri et al. 2001; Evans et al. 2006), so that strong X-ray emission from that star is not expected. The X-ray emission may rather be associated with wind-wind interactions between the cluster members or a combination of several unresolved sources. Unfortunately, the spectrum of this source is difficult to extract since it lies on the edge of some datasets. The crude X-ray spectrum only points to a high temperature, but the high noise prevents us to draw definitive conclusions. These results should therefore be taken with caution (especially since a low absorbing column is also favored by the noisy data, clearly at odds with the heavy extinction expected within a dense cloud). N11A deserves further investigation especially to assess its stellar content in detail.

### 4.3. Diffuse Emissions in N11

As can be seen in the color image of the Chandra FOV (Fig.(3), soft diffuse emission pervades the N11 region. On the basis of the surface brightness, we defined 11 regions to be further analyzed and three regions displaying lower emission were chosen as backgrounds (see Fig. 13 and Table 9 for their positions).

For their study, we need to estimate the non-X-ray background contribution which is not vignetted by the telescope. This estimate uses the ACIS stowed background database, which has been processed with charge transfer inefficiency (CTI) and gain correction.5. The background level in each chip of individual observations was further normalized according to the ratio of its count rate to that of the stowed data in the $10-12 \mathrm{keV}$ band, where events are almost completely due to the non-X-ray background.

Spectra of the chosen regions were extracted, the non-X-ray background being subtracted from each one. Regions of twice the $70 \%$ EER around each point source were removed. Note that for visual presentation of smoothed diffuse X-ray intensity maps, we replace the source-removed region with values interpolated from data in surrounding bins (see e.g. Fig.(13). The spectra have been analyzed in the $0.3-2 \mathrm{keV}$ range; beyond which there is little signal.

To take into account the variations of the sensitivity across the FOV, the spectral fitting was done in two steps. First, each background (or combination of background) was individually fitted although the background spectral shape remains rather similar, with the most varying parameter being the overall intensity level. Second, the sources were fitted by models of the form vphabs $* \sum$ apec + model $_{\mathrm{B}}$, where the former component represents the true spectrum of the diffuse source (metal abundances of the absorption and thermal emission models were accordingly fixed to 0.3 ) and the latter represents the best-fit background model determined before (these were fixed to the best-fit background model with the normalisation factors scaled by the effective surface ratio - keyword BACSCAL - of the source and background regions). The results of these fits are presented in Table10. The spectral analysis of two additional regions of LH9 that display a higher surface brightness is also presented in this table.

The results from spectral fitting allow us to compare the selected regions. First, we examine temperature variations. The spectra of all regions display a thermal component at low temperature ( $k T \sim 0.2 \mathrm{keV}$ ). For LH9 and LH10, an additional, hotter plasma component ( $\gtrsim 1 \mathrm{keV}$ ) was needed to obtain a good fit, suggesting it to be associated with unresolved

[^4]stellar objects (PMS, O stars). This contamination is not negligible since it represents about one-third of the total flux associated with the diffuse sources. Its stellar origin is supported by the analysis of the region (called 'middle') situated between LH9-LH10 and the northern limit of the FOV. The spectrum of the latter region does not require the presence of a high temperature component: indeed, only a few stars are scattered across this region and the stellar contribution is thus expected to be negligible here. Note that a fit of the LH9 spectrum where the abundance of the low-temperature component is allowed to vary does not improve the $\chi^{2}$.

Regarding absorptions, a value of $N_{\mathrm{H}}=7 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{H}$-atom $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ is generally found for the 1 T fits, and a lower value for the 2 T fits. The larger absorption value might in fact be an artifact: if we use only one component to fit the spectra of LH9 or LH10, the best-fit also yields a higher absorption for these objects - this may also be linked to the temperatureabsorption trade-off mentioned before. Unfortunately, the spectra of the other diffuse sources are too noisy for making a meaningful $2 T$ fit. Keeping this caveat in mind, one can however see the remarkable homogeneity of the derived spectral parameters, with the exception of harder components being needed when stellar clusters are located (LH9, LH10).

## 5. Summary

This paper reports the first results of a very deep Chandra observation of the giant HII region N11 in the LMC. Soft diffusion emission is seen throughout the field, but its spectra reveal some point source contamination. Thanks to the long exposure ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{ks}$ ) and the high spatial resolution, 165 X-ray point-sources were detected in the field, with three showing significant temporal variability. Our Chandra observation thus increases by more than a factor of five the number of point-sources known in N11. Keeping in mind that the sensitivity varies across the field, it must be noted that the faintest detected sources have count rates of about $0.04 \mathrm{cts} \mathrm{ks}^{-1}$, which correspond to luminosities of about $10^{32} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in the $0.5-8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ energy band. Diffuse emission is also detected throughout the field, but the harder X-ray emission from some regions indicates contamination from unresolved stars.

Most of the X-ray sources are background objects seen through the LMC, but there are also 11 Galactic stars. However, 14 OB stars are clearly detected in X-rays, three of them corresponding to compact clusters (HD32228, PGMW3204/9, and N11A). The known binaries are not preferentially detected in N11, though this conclusion might be biased by the incomplete knowledge of the stellar multiplicity. Indeed, these stars could correspond to interacting winds systems or magnetic objects, as may be suggested by their rather high luminosities and plasma temperatures. In this context, it should be noted that changes are
detected in massive stars of LH10, compared to older XMM data. Follow-up observations are therefore needed to ascertain the nature of these sources. The stacked emission of the undetected O-stars yields a $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ of $-7.3 \pm 0.3$. This suggests that the intrinsic X-ray emission of massive stars could be similar in the Galaxy and the low-metallicity environment of N11. This is unexpected, as X-ray emission from massive stars is known to arise in their line-driven stellar winds whose properties are known to vary with metallicity. Further observations are however needed to confirm this result.

These Chandra data will be used for several follow-up studies, notably on the SNR N11L (Sun et al., in preparation), the diffuse emission, and a global multiwavelength study of N11.
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Fig. 1.- ONLINE MATERIAL Merged ACIS-I effective exposure map in the $0.5-1 \mathrm{keV}$ band. The exposure is linearly scaled in gray.


Fig. 2.- ONLINE MATERIAL ACIS-I intensity image of N11 in the $0.5-2 \mathrm{keV}$ band and detected sources (Table2). The circles mark the regions of individual sources (radius $=$ $1 \sigma$ uncertainty in position, see text for details), the dashed line marks the boundaries of the merged ACIS FoV (Fig. (1), and the position of the main clusters are indicated by ellipses.


Fig. 3.- ONLINE MATERIAL Left: Tri-color montage of X-ray intensities: (red) 0.52 keV , (green) $2-4 \mathrm{keV}$, and (blue) $4-8 \mathrm{keV}$. The images are adaptively smoothed with the CIAO routine CSMOOTH. The smoothing scales are calculated separately in the soft and hard bands and with the signal-to-noise ratio $\sim 3$; the subtracted background is estimated locally in CSMOOTH. Right: H $\alpha$ image for comparison. The main regions are labelled.


Fig. 4.- Illustrations of temporal variations of three sources: J045539.69-662959.5 (left panel, constancy rejected at $3 \sigma$ level) during one observation; J045509.20-663018.5 (middle, constancy rejected at $5 \sigma$ level) and J045702.07-662257.1 (right, constancy rejected at $3 \sigma$ level) across the entire data set. The average count rates of individual sources are plotted as the horizontal straight lines. Note that for the last panels, the bins do not correspond to individual exposures but to intervals of time with at least 20 counts.


Fig. 5.- Hardness ratios of 53 X-ray sources (error bars, when plotted, represent $1 \sigma$ uncertainties, are $<0.2$, see Table2). Sources are indicated by different symbols according to their nature (see Table 4 and top of figure) - note that foreground stars may be active binaries, explaining their high HRs. For clarity, errors are not shown for the sources whose nature is uncertain. Also included in the plot are hardness-ratio models derived from PiMMs: the solid thick curves are for the power-law model with photon index equal to 3,2 , and 1 , whereas the solid thin curves are for the thermal plasma with abundance of 0.4 times solar and temperatures equal to $0.3,1$, and 4 keV , from left to right. The equivalent hydrogen absorbing column densities $N_{\mathrm{H}}$ are 1, 10, 50, 100, and $300 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ (dashed curves from bottom to top). The lowest values correspond to absorptions of sources in the Galaxy or LMC, while the largest values are representative of obscured sources behind LMC. Note that power laws models are just examples, but they may not be representative of the spectral properties of all displayed sources.


Fig. 6.- Observed differential number-flux relation of the sources best-detected in the 0.58 keV band, compared with the best-fit power-law model. The data are grouped to have a minimum six sources per bin; the fit uses the Cash-statistic and is satisfactory, judged from simulations in XSPEC. The extragalactic AGN component is represented by the dashed histogram, while the power-law component is by the dash-dotted one.


Fig. 7.- Color-magnitude (left) and color-color (right) diagrams for the 37 X-ray sources with IRSF counteparts with full $J H K_{s}$ photometry. Sources are indicated by different symbols according to their nature (see Table (4). The main sequence magnitudes and colors are taken from Martins \& Plez (2006) for O-stars (masses $>15 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ) and Tokunaga (2000) for the other spectral types (masses in the range $0.1-15 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ); it was shifted by $D M=18.5$ for the color-magnitude diagram. The dotted line shows the intrinsic (i.e., dereddened) colors of classical T Tauri stars (Meyer et al. 1997), the dashed lines correspond to increasing values of absorption (using $R_{V}=3.3$ and Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law) for the blue and red limits of the main sequence for low-mass stars and of the TTs sequence.


Fig. 8.- ONLINE MATERIAL [S II] images ( $10^{\prime \prime}$ on a side) centered on X-ray point sources whose positions are showed by $1 \sigma$-radius circular regions. The saturated stellar images appear as white spots in these figures.


Fig. 8.- Continued


Fig. 8.- Continued


Fig. 9.- ONLINE MATERIAL Spectral energy distributions of the counterparts (see text for details).


Fig. 9.- Continued


Fig. 9.- Continued


Fig. 10.- $L_{\mathrm{X}}-L_{\mathrm{BOL}}$ relation for O-type stars in the LMC as derived from the Chandra data of N11. The three asterisks and the ten filled circles correspond to the compact groups and other detected O stars, respectively (see Table6 for details), whereas the arrows give the upper limits on the X-ray luminosity for the undetected objects (see Table 8 for details). The solid line indicates $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)=-7.0$, the dotted line is 0.5 dex higher.


Fig. 11.- $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image from the MCELS with X-ray contours superimposed. The adaptively smoothed X-ray image has been used here, and the contours are set at levels of $0.8,1.5,1.75,2,2.25,3,5 \mathrm{ctsks}^{-1} \mathrm{arcmin}^{-2}$.


Fig. 12.- Close-up on LH10: HST H $\alpha$ image (right, from Nazé et al. 2001) with X-ray contours superimposed (at levels of $0.8,1.5,2,5 \mathrm{cts} \mathrm{ks}^{-1} \operatorname{arcmin}^{-2}$ ). The detected massive stars are labelled by their X-ray source number along with their star name between parentheses.


Fig. 13.- ONLINE MATERIAL Definition of the extraction regions for diffuse emission (see Table 10 for their analysis).

Table 1: Chandra ACIS-I Observations of N11, by chronological order.

| OBSID | R.A. (J2000) <br> $(\mathrm{h} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{s})$ | Dec. $(\mathrm{J} 2000)$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \quad{ }^{\circ} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | Expa <br> $(\mathrm{s})$ | Roll Ang <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | OBS Date <br> (yyyy-mm-dd) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8210 | 045550.6 | -662642 | 45486 | 187.7 | $2007-05-29$ |
| 8469 | 045550.2 | -662634 | 48895 | 170.1 | $2007-06-17$ |
| 8468 | 045550.2 | -662631 | 47359 | 165.1 | $2007-06-22$ |
| 8467 | 045550.2 | -662629 | 48383 | 160.1 | $2007-06-27$ |
| 8470 | 045550.4 | -662621 | 42492 | 143.8 | $2007-07-14$ |
| 8211 | 045550.9 | -662614 | 47359 | 130.2 | $2007-07-31$ |

${ }^{a}$ The exposure represents the live time (dead time corrected) of cleaned data.

Table 2. ONLINE MATERIAL Chandra Source List

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name <br> (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | CR (ctsks ${ }^{-1}$ ) <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{ks})$ <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | J045427.48-662518.0 | 1.8 | $1.05 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.17 \pm 0.13$ | $0.53 \pm 0.13$ | 8.4 | 183 | 42.6 | 191 | T |
| 2 | J045434.92-662602.1 | 1.5 | $1.16 \pm 0.10$ | $0.02 \pm 0.10$ | $0.89 \pm 0.10$ | 7.6 | 210 | 33.6 | 217 | T |
| 3 | J045438.74-662207.1 | 2.4 | $0.21 \pm 0.06$ | - | - | 8.5 | 77 | 44.8 | 223 | T |
| 4 | J045440.30-662408.4 | 1.5 | $1.72 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.39 \pm 0.09$ | $0.52 \pm 0.09$ | 7.5 | 251 | 35.7 | 178 | T |
| 5 | J045442.40-662227.4 | 1.7 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.25 \pm 0.10$ | $0.82 \pm 0.15$ | 8.0 | 193 | 40.3 | 218 | T |
| 6 | J045443.47-662518.9 | 1.3 | $0.66 \pm 0.08$ | $0.08 \pm 0.14$ | $0.36 \pm 0.18$ | 6.9 | 121 | 24.1 | 208 | T |
| 7 | J045444.36-662551.0 | 1.2 | $1.83 \pm 0.15$ | $-0.99 \pm 0.15$ | $-0.53 \pm 0.06$ | 6.7 | 213 | 27.7 | 144 | S |
| 8 | J045444.89-662957.1 | 1.6 | $0.41 \pm 0.07$ | $0.34 \pm 0.17$ | - | 7.3 | 96 | 32.3 | 221 | T |
| 9 | J045446.22-663146.7 | 1.9 | $0.77 \pm 0.12$ | - | $0.26 \pm 0.19$ | 8.2 | 95 | 31.5 | 117 | T |
| 10 | J045446.51-662543.0 | 1.2 | $1.29 \pm 0.13$ | $-1.00 \pm 0.15$ | $-0.43 \pm 0.08$ | 6.5 | 205 | 60.8 | 158 | S |
| 11 | J045446.60-662004.4 | 2.1 | $6.36 \pm 0.28$ | $-0.19 \pm 0.05$ | $0.60 \pm 0.05$ | 9.2 | 633 | 37.5 | 133 | T |
| 12 | J045447.01-662600.9 | 1.2 | $0.52 \pm 0.11$ | - | $-0.66 \pm 0.12$ | 6.4 | 106 | 51.5 | 139 | S |
| 13 | J045447.23-662759.2 | 1.1 | $1.62 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.12 \pm 0.09$ | $0.61 \pm 0.09$ | 6.5 | 256 | 23.5 | 205 | T |
| 14 | J045447.36-662605.7 | 1.2 | $0.49 \pm 0.09$ | - | $-0.56 \pm 0.13$ | 6.3 | 91 | 43.4 | 139 | T |
| 15 | J045448.38-662103.3 | 1.8 | $1.19 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.19 \pm 0.11$ | $0.72 \pm 0.10$ | 8.4 | 199 | 38.1 | 193 | T |
| 16 | J045448.45-662535.9 | 1.1 | $1.04 \pm 0.13$ | - | $-0.74 \pm 0.07$ | 6.3 | 166 | 55.9 | 149 | S |
| 17 | J045449.00-663120.4 | 1.7 | $0.53 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.20$ | - | 7.7 | 96 | 34.8 | 165 | T |
| 18 | J045449.85-662504.9 | 1.3 | $0.27 \pm 0.07$ | - | - | 6.3 | 66 | 37.0 | 155 | T |
| 19 | J045451.45-662530.0 | 1.1 | $0.65 \pm 0.10$ | - | $-0.94 \pm 0.08$ | 6.0 | 92 | 31.8 | 131 | S |
| 20 | J045451.80-662600.1 | 1.1 | $0.38 \pm 0.08$ | - | $-0.44 \pm 0.17$ | 5.9 | 59 | 22.3 | 157 | S |
| 21 | J045452.49-662644.3 | 1.0 | $3.36 \pm 0.17$ | $-0.23 \pm 0.06$ | $0.64 \pm 0.06$ | 5.8 | 455 | 14.8 | 186 | T |
| 22 | J045452.85-662557.8 | 1.1 | $0.26 \pm 0.06$ | - | $-0.50 \pm 0.19$ | 5.8 | 39 | 12.8 | 151 | S |
| 23 | J045454.26-663015.4 | 1.3 | $0.64 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.14$ | $0.76 \pm 0.14$ | 6.6 | 119 | 22.8 | 216 | T |
| 24 | J045454.76-662704.0 | 1.0 | $0.28 \pm 0.05$ | $0.36 \pm 0.20$ | - | 5.6 | 60 | 16.3 | 220 | T |
| 25 | J045458.44-662240.8 | 1.5 | $0.23 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 6.6 | 64 | 28.5 | 217 | T |
| 26 | J045501.67-663348.1 | 2.3 | $1.20 \pm 0.27$ | - | - | 8.6 | 37 | 9.4 | 32 | T |
| 27 | J045501.86-663147.2 | 1.3 | $1.50 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.09$ | $0.58 \pm 0.10$ | 7.0 | 253 | 30.4 | 211 | T |

Table 2-Continued

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | $\mathrm{CR}\left(\right.$ cts ks $\left.^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{ks})$ <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | J045501.90-663122.8 | 1.2 | $1.68 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.76 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.22 \pm 0.08$ | 6.7 | 172 | 8.1 | 146 | S |
| 29 | J045502.08-662300.4 | 1.4 | $0.10 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 6.1 | 32 | 11.8 | 251 | H |
| 30 | J045502.41-662804.8 | 1.0 | $0.13 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 5.0 | 33 | 12.1 | 221 | T |
| 31 | J045508.06-662139.6 | 1.5 | $0.20 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 6.6 | 55 | 25.8 | 211 | T |
| 32 | J045509.20-663018.5 | 0.9 | $2.52 \pm 0.13$ | $0.21 \pm 0.06$ | $0.88 \pm 0.05$ | 5.5 | 418 | 13.2 | 229 | T |
| 33 | J045509.97-663318.3 | 1.8 | $0.47 \pm 0.09$ | - | - | 7.7 | 37 | 6.9 | 119 | S |
| 34 | J045513.36-663226.1 | 1.3 | $0.89 \pm 0.08$ | $0.53 \pm 0.09$ | - | 6.8 | 176 | 27.5 | 237 | T |
| 35 | J045515.02-663039.3 | 0.9 | $1.10 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.03 \pm 0.10$ | $0.53 \pm 0.11$ | 5.3 | 176 | 14.4 | 210 | T |
| 36 | J045518.07-661735.4 | 2.3 | $5.06 \pm 0.25$ | $-0.13 \pm 0.06$ | $0.54 \pm 0.07$ | 9.7 | 514 | 49.4 | 131 | T |
| 37 | J045518.65-662156.3 | 1.1 | $0.31 \pm 0.05$ | $0.16 \pm 0.18$ | - | 5.7 | 68 | 14.8 | 241 | T |
| 38 | J045519.02-662701.2 | 0.6 | $0.10 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.2 | 20 | 3.2 | 241 | T |
| 39 | J045519.11-662242.7 | 0.9 | $0.30 \pm 0.05$ | $0.25 \pm 0.18$ | - | 5.1 | 63 | 10.6 | 245 | T |
| 40 | J045521.93-662956.2 | 1.1 | $0.10 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 4.3 | 10 | 1.3 | 136 | S |
| 41 | J045523.45-663040.9 | 0.9 | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 4.8 | 44 | 8.4 | 223 | T |
| 42 | J045524.11-662154.7 | 1.5 | $0.11 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 5.5 | 16 | 3.4 | 136 | S |
| 43 | J045524.12-662831.4 | 0.8 | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 3.2 | 17 | 3.5 | 240 | T |
| 44 | J045524.13-662535.5 | 0.4 | $0.44 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.15$ | $0.72 \pm 0.14$ | 2.9 | 72 | 2.5 | 223 | T |
| 45 | J045524.46-662223.8 | 0.8 | $1.75 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.25 \pm 0.07$ | $0.77 \pm 0.06$ | 5.0 | 285 | 9.5 | 224 | T |
| 46 | J045525.18-663006.6 | 0.7 | $0.26 \pm 0.05$ | - | $0.76 \pm 0.17$ | 4.2 | 43 | 7.2 | 197 | T |
| 47 | J045526.41-662226.4 | 0.8 | $0.83 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.14 \pm 0.12$ | $0.62 \pm 0.11$ | 4.9 | 136 | 9.7 | 217 | T |
| 48 | J045529.39-662311.8 | 0.8 | $0.15 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 4.1 | 25 | 6.1 | 186 | T |
| 49 | J045530.93-662516.4 | 0.5 | $0.10 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 2.4 | 14 | 1.5 | 184 | T |
| 50 | J045531.60-662639.7 | 0.3 | $0.36 \pm 0.05$ | $0.48 \pm 0.13$ | - | 1.9 | 63 | 1.6 | 243 | T |
| 51 | J045532.90-662404.6 | 0.6 | $0.14 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.2 | 26 | 3.6 | 231 | T |
| 52 | J045533.23-663245.8 | 1.3 | $0.31 \pm 0.06$ | - | - | 6.3 | 63 | 20.1 | 199 | T |
| 53 | J045533.29-662936.9 | 0.8 | $0.07 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 3.4 | 16 | 3.8 | 237 | T |
| 54 | J045533.70-662933.3 | 0.6 | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.3 | 20 | 4.2 | 210 | T |

Table 2-Continued

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name <br> (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | CR (ctsks ${ }^{-1}$ ) <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{ks})$ <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | J045533.84-663015.2 | 0.6 | $0.70 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.12 \pm 0.13$ | $0.97 \pm 0.06$ | 3.9 | 91 | 4.6 | 177 | T |
| 56 | J045534.88-661526.0 | 3.6 | $2.26 \pm 0.51$ | - | $-0.21 \pm 0.18$ | 11.4 | 44 | 8.7 | 20 | S |
| 57 | J045535.48-662736.7 | 0.7 | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.8 | 11 | 1.5 | 214 | T |
| 58 | J045535.59-663104.3 | 1.1 | $0.12 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 4.6 | 18 | 3.8 | 228 | H |
| 59 | J045536.75-662526.8 | 0.3 | $0.80 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.12$ | $0.95 \pm 0.06$ | 1.9 | 99 | 1.2 | 174 | T |
| 60 | J045536.79-662653.3 | 0.5 | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.4 | 16 | 1.2 | 266 | T |
| 61 | J045537.76-662517.7 | 0.5 | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | - | 1.9 | 14 | 1.1 | 215 | H |
| 62 | J045538.24-662537.3 | 0.7 | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.6 | 5 | 0.3 | 143 | S |
| 63 | J045538.99-662550.2 | 0.3 | $1.55 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.08$ | $0.91 \pm 0.04$ | 1.4 | 247 | 1.3 | 226 | T |
| 64 | J045539.69-662959.5 | 0.5 | $7.50 \pm 0.24$ | $-0.28 \pm 0.04$ | $0.42 \pm 0.04$ | 3.5 | 1000 | 4.4 | 189 | T |
| 65 | J045540.12-662013.1 | 1.4 | $0.21 \pm 0.05$ | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | - | 6.6 | 59 | 24.5 | 235 | T |
| 66 | J045540.68-662613.3 | 0.5 | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 1.1 | 22 | 1.3 | 241 | T |
| 67 | J045540.79-662820.1 | 0.6 | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.9 | 6 | 0.3 | 196 | S |
| 68 | J045542.24-662610.2 | 0.4 | $0.18 \pm 0.03$ | $0.47 \pm 0.19$ | - | 1.0 | 31 | 1.1 | 235 | T |
| 69 | J045542.82-663114.5 | 0.7 | $0.35 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.18$ | $0.70 \pm 0.19$ | 4.6 | 66 | 10.6 | 223 | T |
| 70 | J045543.08-663303.6 | 1.3 | $0.29 \pm 0.05$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | - | 6.4 | 73 | 23.6 | 243 | T |
| 71 | J045544.02-662453.1 | 2.6 | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.9 | 6 | 0.4 | 175 | S |
| 72 | J045549.27-662654.4 | 0.4 | $0.25 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 0.2 | 34 | 0.9 | 185 | T |
| 73 | J045549.98-663349.1 | 1.4 | $0.90 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.27 \pm 0.13$ | $0.59 \pm 0.12$ | 7.1 | 172 | 38.6 | 211 | T |
| 74 | J045551.14-662050.7 | 1.2 | $0.20 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 5.9 | 53 | 17.2 | 250 | T |
| 75 | J045552.38-662850.9 | 0.5 | $0.16 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 2.2 | 28 | 2.2 | 235 | T |
| 76 | J045553.76-662831.5 | 0.3 | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $0.07 \pm 0.11$ | $0.96 \pm 0.05$ | 1.8 | 126 | 1.7 | 256 | T |
| 77 | J045554.05-662459.2 | 1.0 | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.8 | 6 | 0.4 | 157 | S |
| 78 | J045554.19-662818.9 | 0.3 | $0.68 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.30 \pm 0.12$ | $0.45 \pm 0.12$ | 1.6 | 113 | 1.9 | 232 | T |
| 79 | J045554.52-662954.6 | 0.6 | $0.10 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.2 | 12 | 1.9 | 158 | S |
| 80 | J045554.56-661832.2 | 2.0 | $0.30 \pm 0.06$ | - | - | 8.2 | 89 | 44.5 | 213 | T |
| 81 | J045554.75-662714.7 | 0.2 | $1.69 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.32 \pm 0.07$ | $0.59 \pm 0.06$ | 0.7 | 300 | 1.7 | 251 | T |

Table 2-Continued

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name <br> (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | $\mathrm{CR}\left(\mathrm{ctsks}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{ks})$ <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | J045554.87-661701.0 | 2.4 | $1.69 \pm 0.22$ | - | $-0.07 \pm 0.13$ | 9.7 | 87 | 11.6 | 66 | S |
| 83 | J045555.82-662709.8 | 2.3 | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 0.7 | 6 | 0.4 | 250 | S |
| 84 | J045556.65-662223.0 | 0.6 | $1.22 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.10$ | $0.28 \pm 0.11$ | 4.4 | 173 | 7.4 | 193 | T |
| 85 | J045556.89-663238.7 | 1.1 | $0.43 \pm 0.07$ | $0.12 \pm 0.19$ | - | 6.0 | 74 | 16.4 | 191 | T |
| 86 | J045557.19-663130.5 | 0.8 | $0.57 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.28 \pm 0.14$ | $0.60 \pm 0.14$ | 4.8 | 93 | 8.6 | 210 | T |
| 87 | J045558.10-661856.1 | 1.8 | $0.33 \pm 0.07$ | - | - | 7.8 | 47 | 10.2 | 176 | S |
| 88 | J045601.44-662936.2 | 0.4 | $0.82 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.18 \pm 0.11$ | $0.44 \pm 0.11$ | 3.1 | 137 | 3.7 | 230 | T |
| 89 | J045601.67-661901.8 | 1.9 | $0.28 \pm 0.06$ | - | - | 7.8 | 77 | 36.8 | 205 | T |
| 90 | J045602.02-662616.3 | 1.3 | $0.07 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 1.2 | 6 | 0.3 | 126 | S |
| 91 | J045602.14-662643.8 | 0.7 | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.2 | 11 | 1.7 | 238 | T |
| 92 | J045602.31-662403.2 | 0.6 | $0.05 \pm 0.02$ | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | - | 2.9 | 15 | 2.5 | 290 | H |
| 93 | J045602.53-662738.7 | 1.6 | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.5 | 6 | 0.4 | 194 | S |
| 94 | J045604.12-662338.7 | 0.6 | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.3 | 22 | 4.9 | 228 | T |
| 95 | J045604.18-662126.4 | 1.2 | $0.18 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 5.4 | 22 | 4.3 | 161 | S |
| 96 | J045604.40-662950.2 | 0.5 | $0.16 \pm 0.03$ | - | $0.89 \pm 0.19$ | 3.4 | 32 | 4.9 | 238 | T |
| 97 | J045604.60-662358.0 | 0.7 | $0.10 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.1 | 15 | 0.9 | 190 | S |
| 98 | J045604.89-662638.7 | 1.3 | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 1.4 | 7 | 0.4 | 208 | S |
| 99 | J045605.09-662807.5 | 0.4 | $0.19 \pm 0.03$ | - | $0.92 \pm 0.13$ | 2.0 | 37 | 2.4 | 258 | T |
| 100 | J045605.21-662508.4 | 0.3 | $0.71 \pm 0.06$ | $0.16 \pm 0.11$ | $0.74 \pm 0.11$ | 2.1 | 128 | 2.1 | 253 | T |
| 101 | J045606.08-661537.6 | 4.6 | $0.85 \pm 0.32$ | - | - | 11.2 | 17 | 4.3 | 21 | S |
| 102 | J045606.31-662649.7 | 0.4 | $0.41 \pm 0.05$ | $0.15 \pm 0.15$ | $0.81 \pm 0.14$ | 1.6 | 68 | 1.3 | 231 | T |
| 103 | J045607.45-663331.4 | 1.6 | $0.29 \pm 0.06$ | - | - | 7.0 | 71 | 32.0 | 192 | T |
| 104 | J045608.01-662404.1 | 0.5 | $0.19 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 3.2 | 36 | 3.3 | 243 | T |
| 105 | J045608.50-662608.6 | 0.4 | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ | $0.61 \pm 0.14$ | - | 1.9 | 35 | 1.1 | 223 | H |
| 106 | J045609.29-663455.5 | 2.2 | $0.19 \pm 0.08$ | - | - | 8.4 | 34 | 12.0 | 144 | S |
| 107 | J045610.30-663046.6 | 0.9 | $0.18 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 4.5 | 23 | 2.9 | 160 | S |
| 108 | J045610.72-661938.7 | 2.0 | $0.16 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 7.3 | 30 | 10.6 | 211 | S |

Table 2-Continued

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name <br> (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | CR (ctsks ${ }^{-1}$ ) <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{ks})$ <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109 | J045610.96-662359.5 | 0.7 | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.4 | 21 | 4.4 | 201 | T |
| 110 | J045611.09-662824.6 | 0.6 | $0.04 \pm 0.02$ | - | - | 2.7 | 9 | 1.2 | 213 | S |
| 111 | J045613.10-662123.9 | 1.2 | $0.21 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 5.8 | 52 | 20.4 | 216 | T |
| 112 | J045615.13-662410.9 | 0.5 | $0.32 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.18$ | $0.68 \pm 0.17$ | 3.5 | 55 | 4.1 | 226 | T |
| 113 | J045617.78-662604.0 | 0.4 | $0.45 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.45 \pm 0.14$ | $0.61 \pm 0.13$ | 2.8 | 76 | 3.4 | 229 | T |
| 114 | J045618.12-662721.0 | 0.5 | $0.22 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 2.8 | 38 | 3.1 | 226 | T |
| 115 | J045619.28-662702.3 | 0.7 | $0.09 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 2.9 | 10 | 1.1 | 158 | S |
| 116 | J045619.49-662631.0 | 0.4 | $1.56 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.09$ | $0.37 \pm 0.09$ | 2.9 | 219 | 2.7 | 198 | T |
| 117 | J045620.14-662718.3 | 0.4 | $0.84 \pm 0.07$ | $0.17 \pm 0.10$ | $0.71 \pm 0.11$ | 3.0 | 155 | 3.8 | 258 | T |
| 118 | J045620.37-663010.1 | 0.9 | $0.14 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 4.6 | 34 | 9.7 | 239 | T |
| 119 | J045621.49-662446.1 | 0.9 | $0.08 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.6 | 9 | 1.0 | 188 | S |
| 120 | J045622.48-662837.5 | 0.8 | $0.08 \pm 0.03$ | - | - | 3.7 | 20 | 5.2 | 251 | T |
| 121 | J045622.87-663106.8 | 1.2 | $0.16 \pm 0.04$ | - | - | 5.5 | 22 | 4.7 | 177 | S |
| 122 | J045623.18-662702.8 | 0.5 | $0.18 \pm 0.04$ | $0.62 \pm 0.17$ | - | 3.3 | 37 | 5.0 | 253 | T |
| 123 | J045624.56-662206.7 | 0.9 | $3.78 \pm 0.17$ | $-0.32 \pm 0.06$ | $0.42 \pm 0.05$ | 5.7 | 556 | 17.8 | 203 | T |
| 124 | J045625.33-663029.8 | 0.9 | $0.28 \pm 0.05$ | - | $-0.07 \pm 0.20$ | 5.1 | 35 | 4.6 | 182 | S |
| 125 | J045626.22-662922.8 | 0.7 | $0.36 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.45 \pm 0.19$ | $0.39 \pm 0.17$ | 4.5 | 40 | 2.3 | 187 | S |
| 126 | J045629.66-662138.5 | 1.5 | $0.18 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 6.4 | 23 | 6.8 | 164 | S |
| 127 | J045629.74-662702.7 | 0.6 | $1.41 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.09$ | $0.54 \pm 0.09$ | 3.9 | 212 | 6.0 | 208 | T |
| 128 | J045629.82-662224.0 | 1.0 | $0.41 \pm 0.06$ | - | $0.40 \pm 0.17$ | 5.8 | 82 | 20.3 | 213 | T |
| 129 | J045632.32-661905.4 | 2.1 | $0.53 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.19$ | - | 8.7 | 146 | 64.1 | 220 | T |
| 130 | J045632.41-663012.9 | 1.0 | $0.34 \pm 0.06$ | - | $-0.07 \pm 0.19$ | 5.5 | 53 | 10.8 | 175 | T |
| 131 | J045632.61-663506.2 | 2.8 | $0.46 \pm 0.13$ | - | - | 9.4 | 31 | 10.9 | 82 | S |
| 132 | J045632.69-663100.5 | 1.2 | $0.26 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 6.0 | 55 | 17.7 | 208 | T |
| 133 | J045634.30-663035.3 | 1.1 | $0.43 \pm 0.06$ | $0.10 \pm 0.15$ | $0.88 \pm 0.20$ | 5.8 | 85 | 14.6 | 236 | T |
| 134 | J045634.66-662827.2 | 0.8 | $0.27 \pm 0.05$ | - | $-0.04 \pm 0.20$ | 4.7 | 44 | 9.9 | 188 | S |
| 135 | J045634.87-662623.1 | 0.7 | $0.54 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.15$ | $0.56 \pm 0.14$ | 4.4 | 94 | 8.6 | 227 | T |

Table 2-Continued

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | $\mathrm{CR}\left(\right.$ cts ks $\left.^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{ks})$ <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136 | J045636.28-663123.4 | 1.2 | $0.68 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.41 \pm 0.15$ | $0.43 \pm 0.13$ | 6.5 | 119 | 22.0 | 203 | T |
| 137 | J045637.44-661829.9 | 2.3 | $1.26 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.19 \pm 0.14$ | $0.59 \pm 0.13$ | 9.5 | 184 | 52.6 | 148 | T |
| 138 | J045639.99-663402.3 | 2.4 | $0.56 \pm 0.11$ | - | - | 8.8 | 81 | 34.2 | 119 | T |
| 139 | J045640.74-662232.1 | 1.6 | $0.14 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.19$ | - | 6.5 | 48 | 24.4 | 239 | T |
| 140 | J045640.74-662655.7 | 0.8 | $0.73 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.07 \pm 0.12$ | $0.76 \pm 0.12$ | 5.0 | 119 | 10.2 | 212 | T |
| 141 | J045642.55-662518.1 | 1.1 | $0.20 \pm 0.05$ | - | $-0.42 \pm 0.19$ | 5.4 | 28 | 4.6 | 158 | S |
| 142 | J045643.20-662502.7 | 0.9 | $1.79 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.74 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.16 \pm 0.07$ | 5.5 | 240 | 18.9 | 176 | T |
| 143 | J045643.77-662055.4 | 1.7 | $0.59 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.18$ | $0.53 \pm 0.18$ | 7.9 | 136 | 50.0 | 209 | T |
| 144 | J045644.58-662921.1 | 1.4 | $0.16 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 6.0 | 40 | 18.5 | 196 | T |
| 145 | J045645.00-662858.9 | 1.4 | $0.15 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 5.9 | 44 | 21.5 | 216 | T |
| 146 | J045646.70-662446.6 | 1.1 | $0.37 \pm 0.06$ | - | $-0.03 \pm 0.20$ | 5.9 | 78 | 27.0 | 197 | T |
| 147 | J045647.73-662752.5 | 1.1 | $0.44 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.48 \pm 0.18$ | $0.65 \pm 0.17$ | 5.8 | 82 | 19.3 | 203 | T |
| 148 | J045648.22-662401.0 | 1.1 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $0.15 \pm 0.10$ | $0.73 \pm 0.11$ | 6.4 | 188 | 23.2 | 234 | T |
| 149 | J045649.22-663042.3 | 1.6 | $0.23 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 7.1 | 63 | 27.9 | 222 | T |
| 150 | J045651.35-661905.4 | 3.2 | $0.33 \pm 0.11$ | - | - | 9.7 | 34 | 12.3 | 123 | S |
| 151 | J045655.52-663231.2 | 2.7 | $0.29 \pm 0.09$ | - | - | 8.7 | 66 | 36.4 | 145 | T |
| 152 | J045658.75-662438.9 | 1.4 | $0.84 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.55 \pm 0.19$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.11$ | 7.1 | 136 | 33.0 | 175 | T |
| 153 | J045659.64-662415.3 | 1.8 | $0.20 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 7.3 | 69 | 35.6 | 238 | T |
| 154 | J045700.03-662535.0 | 1.5 | $0.23 \pm 0.05$ | - | - | 7.0 | 66 | 26.6 | 249 | T |
| 155 | J045700.05-662113.5 | 2.3 | $0.30 \pm 0.07$ | - | - | 8.9 | 103 | 57.1 | 222 | T |
| 156 | J045700.53-662508.7 | 1.6 | $0.35 \pm 0.07$ | - | - | 7.2 | 73 | 28.7 | 183 | T |
| 157 | J045700.94-662357.7 | 1.9 | $0.26 \pm 0.06$ | - | - | 7.6 | 42 | 13.1 | 165 | S |
| 158 | J045702.07-662257.1 | 1.9 | $0.33 \pm 0.06$ | $0.42 \pm 0.17$ | - | 8.1 | 107 | 48.1 | 254 | T |
| 159 | J045702.86-663042.3 | 1.9 | $0.45 \pm 0.08$ | - | - | 8.2 | 89 | 36.3 | 166 | T |
| 160 | J045704.01-662131.9 | 2.1 | $0.95 \pm 0.10$ | $0.33 \pm 0.10$ | - | 9.0 | 208 | 58.2 | 225 | T |
| 161 | J045705.85-661928.4 | 3.3 | $0.58 \pm 0.14$ | - | - | 10.4 | 73 | 34.4 | 94 | T |
| 162 | J045706.90-662705.1 | 1.5 | $0.95 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.12$ | $0.65 \pm 0.14$ | 7.6 | 158 | 30.2 | 191 | T |

Table 2-Continued

| Source <br> (1) | CXOU Name (2) | $\delta_{x}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ <br> (3) | CR (ctsks ${ }^{-1}$ ) <br> (4) | HR1 <br> (5) | HR2 <br> (6) | $\theta$ <br> (7) | Cts <br> (8) | Bkgd Cts <br> (9) | Exp (ks) <br> (10) | Flag <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163 | J045714.71-662226.7 | 2.3 | $1.07 \pm 0.11$ | $0.02 \pm 0.13$ | $0.83 \pm 0.13$ | 9.4 | 183 | 52.0 | 174 | T |
| 164 | J045716.45-662322.6 | 2.5 | $0.34 \pm 0.08$ | - | - | 9.2 | 44 | 14.6 | 163 | S |
| 165 | J045728.37-662232.0 | 3.9 | $0.52 \pm 0.17$ | - | - | 10.6 | 23 | 6.7 | 57 | S |

Note. - The energy bands were defined as follows: $0.5-1.0$ (S1), 1.0-2.0 (S2), 2.0-4.0 (H1), and $4.0-8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ (H2); soft band $S=S 1+S 2$, hard band $H=H 1+H 2$, and total band $T=S+H$. Column (1): Running source number. (2): Chandra X-ray Observatory source name, following the Chandra naming convention and the IAU Recommendation for Nomenclature (e.g., http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/iau-spec.html). (3): Position uncertainty ( $1 \sigma$ ) calculated from the maximum likelihood centroiding and an approximate off-axis angle $(r)$ dependent systematic error $0^{\prime \prime} 2+1^{\prime \prime} 4\left(r / 8^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ (an approximation to Fig. 4 of Feigelson et al. (2002)), which are added in quadrature. Note that they may be overestimated, see Getman et al. (2005, in particular Fig. 9). (4): On-axis source total count rate - the sum of the exposure-corrected count rates in the four narrow bands. (5-6): The hardness ratios defined as $\mathrm{HR}_{1}=(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S} 2) /(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{S} 2)$, and $\mathrm{HR}_{2}=(\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{S} 1) / \mathrm{S}$, listed only for values with uncertainties less than 0.2 . (7): Off-axis angle ( $\theta$; in units of arcminutes). (8), (9) and (10): Raw counts, background counts, and effective exposure (ks) in the detection aperture. (11): The label "T", "S", or "H" mark the band in which a source is detected with the most accurate position that is adopted in Column (2).

Table 3. ONLINE MATERIAL Counterparts to the X-ray Sources within 1".

| Src | 2MASS |  | DCMC |  | GSC |  | [HKN2006] |  | IRSF |  | MCPS d | USNO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d | name | d | name | d | name | d | name | d | name |  | d | name |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 | 04544030-6624078 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 0.79 | S1IW031883 |  |  | 0.10 | 04544240-6622276 | 0.25 | 0.78 | 0236-0039098 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 0.89 | S1IW033748 |  |  | 0.59 | 04544661-6620039 | 0.51 | 0.14 | 0236-0039136 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.48 | 04544726-6627588 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.57 | 04544895-6631200 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.76 | 04545253-6626436 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.86 | 0235-0043997 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.85 |  |  |
| 24 | 0.48 | 04545476-6627035 | 0.44 | J045454.69-662704.1 | 0.44 | S1IW027637 |  |  | 0.23 | 04545478-6627038 | 0.69 | 0.21 | 0235-0044040 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.47 | 04545852-6622407 | 0.58 |  |  |
| 28 | 0.72 | 04550187-6631221 | 0.62 | J045501.82-663122.5 | 0.73 | S1IW084526 |  |  | 0.69 | 04550188-6631222 | 0.62 | 0.39 | 0234-0051103 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.53 | 04551006-6633181 | 0.54 |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 | 04551324-6632258 |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.40 | 04551802-6617353 |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.17 | 04551900-6627012 |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.58 | 0235-0044409 |
| 42 |  |  | 0.79 | J045524.04-662154.1 |  | S1IW031973 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.59 | 0236-0039555 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  | $0.22$ | S1IW025569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.70 | 04552424-6625353 | 0.74 |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.42 | 04552445-6622235 |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |
| 48* | 0.14 | 04552941-6623118 | 0.64 | J045529.41-662312.5 | 0.43 | S1IW000197 |  |  | 0.22 | 04552940-6623121 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0236-0039600 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.62 | 04553154-6626393 |  |  |  |
| 54 |  |  |  |  | 0.42 | S1IW146637 |  |  | 0.49 | 04553366-6629329 | 0.20 |  |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.16 | 04553386-6630151 | 0.16 |  |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 | 04553554-6627367 |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 | 04553778-6625173 | 0.82 |  |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.37 | 15 (HAeBe) | 0.36 | 04553899-6625499 |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.35 | 04553970-6629592 | 0.32 | 0.99 | 0235-0044624 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 | 04554018-6620124 |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.79 |  |  |
| $71$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.82$ | 04554390-6624535 | 0.79 |  |  |
| 77* | 0.57 | 04555396-6624593 | 0.67 | J045553.97-662459.7 | 0.52 | S1IW114172 | 0.69 | 83 (OB) | 0.70 | 04555394-6624592 | 0.79 | 0.74 | 0235-0044790 |

Table 3-Continued

| Src | 2MASS |  | DCMC |  | GSC |  | [HKN2006] |  |  |  | MCPS <br> d | USNO <br> d name |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d | name | d | name | d | name | d | name | d | name |  |  |  |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.66 | 04555412-6628184 | 0.58 |  |  |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.94 | 04555683-6632379 |  |  |  |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.75 | 04555725-6631299 | 0.79 |  |  |
| 87 | 0.09 | 04555811-6618561 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.17 | 04555812-6618560 | 0.32 | 0.89 | 0236-0039834 |
| 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.77 |  |  |
| 95 | 0.53 | 04560410-6621266 |  |  | 0.87 | S1IW147534 |  |  | 0.28 | 04560415-6621266 | 0.24 |  |  |
| 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0235-0044909 |
| $97^{*}$ | 0.18 | 04560457-6623580 |  |  | 0.21 | S1IW114345 | 0.12 | 113 (OB) | 0.11 | 04560460-6623579 | 0.20 | 0.71 | 0236-0039905 |
| 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 | 31 (HAeBe) | 0.33 | 04560492-6626385 |  |  |  |
| 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |
| 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 04560930-6634554 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.77 | 04561034-6630459 |  |  |  |
| 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.78 | 04561066-6619381 | 0.81 | 0.66 | 0236-0039961 |
| 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.38 | 04561099-6623592 | 0.54 |  |  |
| 110* | 0.54 | 04561102-6628242 | 0.68 | J045610.98-662824.5 | 0.60 | S1IW113493 | 0.52 | 140 (OB) | 0.51 | 04561102-6628243 | 0.50 | 0.97 | 0235-0044硐9 |
| 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.29 | 04561509-6624111 |  |  |  |
| 115* | 0.55 | 04561931-6627018 | 0.29 | J045619.27-662702.1 | 0.61 | S1IW113790 | 0.56 | 170 (OB) | 0.52 | 04561932-6627019 | 0.77 | 0.55 | 0235-0045088 |
| 117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.28 | 04562013-6627181 |  |  |  |
| 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 04562052-6630097 |  |  |  |
| 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.79 |  |  |
| 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.24 | 04562456-6622065 |  |  |  |
| 126* | 0.37 | 04562970-6621388 | 0.57 | J045629.58-662138.8 | 0.09 | S1IW114755 | 0.33 | 209 (OB) | 0.40 | 04562969-6621389 | 0.20 | 0.72 | 0236-0040096 |
| 130 | 0.42 | 04563242-6630124 | 0.27 | J045632.38-663012.7 | 0.38 | S1IW113097 | 0.41 | 217 (OB) | 0.52 | 04563242-6630124 | 0.52 |  |  |
| 134* | 0.87 | 04563466-6628263 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 | 04563469-6628271 |  |  |  |
| 136 | 0.59 | 04563632-6631228 | 0.34 | J045636.28-663123.1 |  |  | 0.58 | 247 (OB) | 0.57 | 04563632-6631229 | 0.69 |  |  |
| 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.30 | 0236-0040143 |
| 139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.79 | 04564085-6622317 | 0.71 |  |  |
| 141* | 0.57 | 04564246-6625180 | 0.44 | J045642.50-662518.4 |  |  | 0.28 | 278 (OB) | 0.28 | 04564251-6625181 | 0.42 |  |  |
| 142* | 0.16 | 04564320-6625025 | 0.12 | J045643.18-662502.7 | 0.68 | S1IW000213 |  |  | 0.48 | 04564327-6625025 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0235-0045338 |
| 145 |  |  |  |  | 0.89 | S1IW146732 |  |  | 0.49 | 04564492-6628590 | 0.52 |  |  |
| 146* | 0.62 | 04564681-6624467 | 0.40 | J045646.77-662446.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.60 | 04564681-6624467 | 0.57 |  |  |
| 152* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 04565882-6624381 |  |  |  |
| 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.51 | 04570059-6625092 |  |  |  |
| 157* | 0.70 | 04570087-6623572 | 0.63 | J045700.86-662357.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.69 | 04570088-6623572 | 0.77 |  |  |

Table 3-Continued

| Src | 2MASS |  | DCMC |  | GSC |  | [HKN2006] |  | IRSF |  | MCPS d | USNO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d | name | d | name | d | name | d | name | d | name |  | d | name |
| 159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 04570294-6630421 | 0.45 |  |  |
| 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.58 | 04570408-6621324 | 0.57 |  |  |
| 164* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.38 | 04571651-6623225 |  |  |  |

Note. - For each catalog except MCPS, the distance to the X-ray source (d), in arcsec, is first quoted, followed by the name of the counterpart. The type suggested by Hatano et al. (2006) is also given, between parentheses.
A * indicates a source associated with a massive star (see Table 6)
For IRSF, there are additional components within 1 " for $\# 24,36,38,77,106,123,164$ - only the closest source is mentioned above.

Table 4. ONLINE MATERIAL Counterparts to X-ray sources found within 1" of our dedicated data (optical [S II] image and 3.6 and $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Spitzer data), and suggested nature of the sources.

| Src | in [S II]? | Spitzer |  |  |  | Comment | Suggested Nature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ? | $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ? | d | name |  |  |
| 1 | y |  |  |  |  | point source + diffuse X-ray | Galaxy at $\mathrm{z}=0.024$ |
| 4 | y | y | y | 0.56 | 045440.29-662407.9 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 5 | y | y |  | 0.24 | 045442.38-662227.6 |  | Galactic K0V Star at 4.5 kpc |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 11 | y | y | y | 0.39 | 045446.61-662004.1 |  | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 13 |  | y | y | 0.27 | 045447.28-662759.2 | SED peaking at $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | (AGN) |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 15 | y | y | y | 0.50 | 045448.37-662102.9 | SED rises in mid-IR | (AGN) |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 17 | y | y | y | 0.59 | 045448.95-663120.0 | SED peaking at $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | (AGN) |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 21 | y | y | y | 0.31 | 045452.50-662644.0 | SED flat in IR | (AGN) |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N11L SNR |
| 24 | y | y | y | 0.18 | 045454.76-662703.8 | resolved galaxy with a bright nucleus | AGN |
| 25 | y | y |  | 0.61 | 045458.47-662240.3 |  | Galactic K5V+WD at 2.8 kpc |
| 27 | y | y | y | 0.45 | 045501.88-663146.8 | faint in optical, brighter in IR | (AGN) |
| 28 | y | y | y | 0.65 | 045501.86-663122.3 |  | Galactic G5V Star at 1.4 kpc |
| 33 |  | y | y | 0.57 | 045510.07-663318.3 | SED rising in IR | (AGN) |
| 36 | y | y | y | 0.33 | 045518.05-661735.2 | SED rising in IR | (AGN) |
| 37 |  |  |  | 0.10 | 045518.66-662156.3 |  |  |
| 38 | y | y | y | 0.21 | 045519.00-662701.2 |  | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 43 | y | y |  | 1.00 | 045524.15-662830.5 |  | Galactic K7V star at 2.2 kpc |
| 44 | y | y |  | 0.56 | 045524.17-662535.1 | SED and colors don't match stars |  |
| 45 | y | y | y | 0.49 | 045524.46-662223.4 |  | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 47 |  |  |  | 0.69 | 045526.43-662225.8 |  |  |
| 48 | y | y | y | 0.26 | 045529.40-662312.1 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST4 |
| 50 |  | y |  | 0.36 | 045531.55-662639.8 | SED flat in IR | (AGN) |
| 53 |  | y | y |  |  | faint IR source with high background |  |
| 54 | y | y |  | 0.41 | 045533.65-662933.1 |  | Galactic M4V star at 490 pc |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  | faint IR source with high background | (AGN) |

Table 4-Continued

| Src | in $[\mathrm{SII}]$ ? | Spitzer |  |  |  | Comment | Suggested Nature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m} ?$ | $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m} ?$ | d | name |  |  |
| 56 | y | y | y |  |  |  | Galactic K0 star HD 268670 |
| 57 |  | y |  | 0.66 | 045535.47-662736.1 | SED flat in IR | (AGN) |
| 59 |  | y |  | 0.43 | 045536.77-662526.5 |  |  |
| 61 | y | y |  | 0.47 | 045537.76-662517.3 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 63 |  | y | y | 0.34 | 045538.97-662550.0 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 64 | y | y | y | 0.22 | 045539.71-662959.4 |  | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 65 |  | y |  | 0.91 | 045540.15-662012.2 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 68 |  |  |  | 0.84 | 045542.37-662610.1 |  |  |
| 72 |  | y | y | 0.47 | 045549.23-662654.0 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 77 | y | y | y | 0.80 | 045553.93-662459.5 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST92 |
| 78 | y | y |  | 0.51 | 045554.14-662818.5 |  | Galactic K0V star at 4.1 kpc |
| 86 | y | y |  | 0.67 | 045557.28-663130.1 | SED flat in IR | (AGN) |
| 87 | y | y |  | 0.09 | 045558.11-661856.1 |  | Galactic K5V star at 1.5 kpc |
| 89 | y |  |  | 0.97 | 045601.72-661900.9 |  |  |
| 93 | y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | y | y |  | 0.36 | 045604.13-662126.6 |  | Galactic M4V star at 330 pc |
| 97 | y | y |  | 0.18 | 045604.57-662358.0 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST68 |
| 98 | y | y | y | 0.36 | 045604.93-662638.5 | SED rises in IR | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 99 |  | y |  | 0.71 | 045604.98-662807.7 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 100 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 045605.11-662507.8 | SED rises in IR, IR emission extended |  |
| 103 | y | y | y | 0.91 | 045607.36-663330.8 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 105 |  | y |  | 0.88 | 045608.56-662607.8 |  |  |
| 106 | y |  |  |  |  |  | A0 star in LMC |
| 107 | y | y |  | 0.66 | 045610.31-663046.0 |  | Galactic M2V at 820pc |
| 108 | y | y |  |  |  |  | late B dwarf in LMC |
| 109 | y | y |  |  |  |  | Galactic K0V+WD at 4kpc |
| 110 | y | y | y | 0.54 | 045611.02-662824.3 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST33 |
| 111 |  | y |  |  |  | faint, adjacent to bright source |  |
| 115 | y | y |  | 0.45 | 045619.29-662701.9 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST65 |
| 116 |  | y | y | 0.49 | 045619.49-662630.6 |  |  |
| 117 |  | y | y | 0.22 | 045620.15-662718.2 |  | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 118 |  | y |  | 0.34 | 045620.35-663009.8 | SED rises in IR | (AGN) |
| 120 |  | y |  | 0.57 | 045622.41-662837.3 |  |  |
| 121 |  | y |  | 0.72 | 045622.88-663106.1 |  |  |

Table 4-Continued

| Src | in $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}]$ ? | Spitzer |  |  |  | Comment | Suggested Nature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ? | $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ? | d | name |  |  |
| 123 |  | y | y | 0.13 | 045624.58-662206.7 |  | AGN (see Sect. 3.) |
| 126 | y | y | y | 0.34 | 045629.65-662138.9 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST51 |
| 130 | y | y |  | 0.43 | 045632.42-663012.5 | [HKN2006] OB candidate | B2III star in LMC |
| 134 | y | y | y | 0.48 | 045634.64-662826.8 |  | massive group in LMC, HD 32228 |
| 136 | y | y | y | 0.46 | 045636.29-663123.0 | [HKN2006] OB candidate | B2III star in LMC |
| 139 | y | y |  |  |  |  | late B dwarf in LMC |
| 141 | y | y | y | 0.73 | 045642.44-662518.0 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST31 |
| 142 | y | y | y | 0.10 | 045643.19-662502.7 |  | massive star in LMC, PGMW3070 |
| 145 | y |  |  |  |  |  | late B dwarf in LMC, PGMW1356 |
| 146 | y | y |  | 0.56 | 045646.80-662446.5 |  | massive star in LMC, PGMW3120 |
| 152 | y | y |  |  |  |  | massive group in LMC, PGMW3204/9 |
| 157 | y | y |  | 0.71 | 045700.85-662357.3 |  | massive star in LMC, ELST50 |
| 159 | y |  |  |  |  | SED flat in optical, not detected in IR |  |
| 160 | y | y | y | 0.48 | 045704.04-662131.5 | SED flat from optical to IR |  |
| 164 | y | y | y |  |  | off-axis source, star in PSF | massive group in LMC, N11A |
| 165 |  |  |  |  |  | off-axis source, star in PSF | Star? |

[^5]Table 5: Number of counterparts from Table 4 per category.

| Nature | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Galactic objects | 11 |
| massive stars (or st. groups) in LMC | 13 |
| AB stars in LMC | 6 |
| AGNs or galaxies | 9 |
| (AGN) | 19 |

Table 6. Properties of the OB stars detected in the X-ray domain

| Source | ELST | PGMW | Sp. Type | Bin.? | $\begin{gathered} N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{ISM}} \\ \left(10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \log \left(L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right) \\ \left(L_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{\mathrm{X}}^{u n a b s}\left(10^{-15}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L_{\mathrm{X}}\left(10^{32}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 004 | - | OC9.71b | N | 0.48 | $5.80 \dagger \dagger$ | $1.5 \pm 0.4$ | $4.3 \pm 1.2$ | $-6.75 \pm 0.12$ |
| 77 | 092 | - | O7V | Y | 0.91 | 5.17 | $0.8 \pm 0.3$ | $2.5 \pm 1.0$ | $-6.36 \pm 0.17$ |
| 97 | 068 | - | O7V | N | 0.10 | $5.06 \dagger \dagger$ | $0.55 \pm 0.17$ | $1.7 \pm 0.5$ | $-6.42 \pm 0.13$ |
| 110 | 033 | 1005 | B0IIIn | N | 0.23 | $5.07 \dagger \dagger$ | $0.27 \pm 0.14$ | $0.8 \pm 0.4$ | $-6.8 \pm 0.2$ |
| 115 | 065 | 1027 | O6.5V | N | 0.07 | $5.17 \dagger \dagger$ | $0.47 \pm 0.16$ | $1.4 \pm 0.5$ | $-6.60 \pm 0.14$ |
| 126 | 051 | - | O 5 Vn | N | 0.05 | $5.31 \dagger \dagger$ | $0.9 \pm 0.3$ | $2.8 \pm 0.8$ | $-6.45 \pm 0.12$ |
| $134 \dagger$ | - | - | WR+O |  | 0.00 | 6.29 | $2.0 \pm 0.4^{*}$ | $6.0 \pm 1.1$ | $-7.10 \pm 0.08$ |
| 141 | 031 | 3061 | ON2III | N | 0.65 | $5.84 \dagger \dagger$ | $2.4 \pm 0.6$ | $7.2 \pm 1.8$ | $-6.57 \pm 0.11$ |
| 142 | - | 3070 | O6V |  | 0.14 | 5.68 | $6.3 \pm 0.5^{*}$ | $18.9 \pm 1.4$ | $-5.99 \pm 0.03$ |
| 146 | - | 3120 | O5.5 V | C? | 0.50 | 5.88 | $2.8 \pm 0.5^{*}$ | $8.5 \pm 1.4$ | $-6.53 \pm 0.07$ |
| 152** | - | 3204/9 | O |  | 0.66 | 6.25 | $6.3 \pm 0.7^{*}$ | $18.9 \pm 2.0$ | $-6.56 \pm 0.05$ |
| 157 | 050 | 3224 | $\mathrm{O} 4-5+\mathrm{O} 7$ | SB2 | 0.91 | 5.66 | $4.3 \pm 1.0$ | $12.7 \pm 2.9$ | $-6.14 \pm 0.10$ |
| $164 \ddagger$ | 028 | 3264 | O6-8V | N | 0.89 | 5.65 | $4.3 \pm 1.0^{*}$ | $12.8 \pm 3.0$ | $-6.13 \pm 0.10$ |
| - | 038 | 3100 | O5III | N | 0.67 | $5.69 \dagger \dagger$ | $0.9 \pm 0.3$ | $2.6 \pm 0.8$ | $-6.86 \pm 0.12$ |

Note. - Unabsorbed fluxes are in the $0.5-10.0 \mathrm{keV}$ energy band and are corrected for interstellar absorption only. Binary status ' C ?' indicates a possible composite spectrum
Note that ELST92 formally appears at $2.4^{\prime \prime}$ from Src $\# 77$. However, ELST notes that this star is blended, and belongs to Sk- $66^{\circ} 19$. The circle identifying it on their Fig. 15 appears misplaced, so that a coordinate error is possible. In the catalogs used in Sect. 3.1, Src \#77 has both a 12 th and a 15 th magnitude counterpart within $1^{\prime \prime}$. Furthermore, Hatano et al. (2006) classify the 15 th mag star as OB and the colors of the 12 th mag star, showed in Fig. 7 also fits an OB type. We therefore decided to keep the identification of Src \#77 with ELST92.

## Exponents

* The flux was found from a spectral fit (see Table7).
$\dagger$ This source corresponds to the HD32228 compound and comprises ELST25 [w21?,O8.5V], w30 [PGMW1210, O9Ib], w89 [PGMW1180, O8.5II(f)], w44 [PGMW1208, WC4], w62 [PGMW1198, O7.5III], w84 [PGMW1181, O9Vn], w50 [PGMW1212, O8V], w41 [PGMW1208, O9.5V], w51 [O9.5V], w68 [PGMW1198, O8.5V], w72 [PGMW1191, O9.5V] and w36 [O9V] - note that the w numbers are from Walborn et al. (1999). Of these, only w84 is a known SB2. The bolomotric luminosity quoted in the tenth column is the sum of the individual luminosities, whereas the absorbing column (col 9) is the minimum one (see text).
** This source corresponds to the compound PGMW3204/9 which is composed of PGMW3204=ELST48 [O6.5V((f))], PGMW3209a $\left[\mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{III}\left(\mathrm{f}^{*}\right)\right], \mathrm{b}[\mathrm{O} 9 \mathrm{~V}], \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{O} 7 \mathrm{~V}], \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{O} 9.5 \mathrm{~V}], \mathrm{e}[\mathrm{O} 9 \mathrm{~V}]$ and $\mathrm{f}[\mathrm{O} 9.5 \mathrm{~V}]$. None of these objects is known as a binary. The bolometric luminosity quoted in the tenth column is the sum of the individual luminosities, whereas the absorbing column (col 9) is the average column of the PGMW3209 components.
$\ddagger$ This source corresponds to N11A. We list here the main object of N11A (which is formally at $2^{\prime \prime}$ from \#164).
$\dagger$ The bolometric luminosity comes from the fits of Mokiem et al. (2007).

Table 7: Spectral properties of the brightest OB stars.

| Source | $N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {add }}$ <br> $\left(10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $k T$ <br> $(\mathrm{keV})$ | norm <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-5}\right)$ | $\chi^{2}(\mathrm{dof})$ | $F_{\mathrm{X}}$ <br> $\left(10^{-15} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 134 | $0 . ._{0.3}^{\text {unabs }}$ | $1.3_{0.7}^{2.0}$ | $2.1_{1.6}^{6.9}$ | $0.88(6)$ | 1.99 | 1.99 |
| 142 | $0.7_{0.4}^{0.9}$ | $0.67_{0.58}^{0.76}$ | $15.4_{10.8}^{21.9}$ | $1.14(19)$ | 5.39 | 6.32 |
| 146 | $0.9_{0.3}^{1.7}$ | $0.8_{0.6}^{1.0}$ | $7.5_{3.8}^{15.1}$ | $1.88(6)$ | 1.93 | 2.84 |
| 152 | $0.3_{0.8}^{0.8}$ | $1.0_{0.7}^{1.3}$ | $8.0_{5.5}^{14.4}$ | $0.83(10)$ | 3.40 | 6.31 |
| 164 | $0.0_{0 .}^{1.5}$ | $1.3_{0.4}^{2.5}$ | $4.6_{3.2}^{63.7}$ | $1.84(2)$ | 2.16 | 4.27 |

Note. - Fitted models have the form $\operatorname{vphabs}\left(N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{ISM}}\right) \times \operatorname{vphabs}\left(N_{\mathrm{H}}^{a d d}\right) \times a p e c$ with the interstellar absorption $N_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{ISM}}$ listed in Table 6 and the abundances of all components set to 0.3 times solar. The lower and upper limits of the $90 \%$ confidence interval are shown as subscripts and superscripts, respectively; fluxes are given in the $0.5-10 \mathrm{keV}$ band and unabsorbed fluxes are corrected by the interstellar absorption only ; spectra were grouped to achieve a minimum of 10 counts per bin.

Table 8. ONLINE MATERIAL Properties of the undetected O-type stars known in the field.

| ELST | PGMW | Sp. type | Bin.? | $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{BOL}} / L_{\odot}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{\mathrm{H}} \\ \left(10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L_{X}^{\text {unabs }} \\ \left(10^{32} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007 |  | O8 Ib (f) | N | 5.72 | 0.58 | $<2.02$ | $<-7.00$ |
| 010 | 1310 | O9.5 III + B1-2: | SB2 | 5.42 | 0.29 | <3.92 | $<-6.41$ |
| 011 |  | OC9.5 II | SB1 | 5.49 | 0.43 | <0.66 | $<-7.25$ |
| 013 | 3223 | O8 V | SB2 | 5.66 | 0.48 | <2.43 | $<-6.86$ |
| 018 | 3053 | O6 II( $\mathrm{f}^{+}$) | N | 5.64 | 0.43 | <1.42 | $<-7.07$ |
| 019 |  | O8-9 III-V((f)) | SB2 | 5.40 | 0.24 | $<1.68$ | $<-6.76$ |
| 020 |  | O5 I(n)fp | Y | 5.50 | 0.14 | <4.32 | $<-6.45$ |
| 022 |  | O6.5 II(f) var | N | 5.45 | 0.29 | <0.98 | $<-7.04$ |
| 026 |  | $\mathrm{O} 2.5 \mathrm{III}\left(\mathrm{f}^{*}\right)$ | N | 5.92* | 0.26 | $<6.64$ | $<-6.68$ |
| 029 |  | OC9.7 Ib | N | 5.21* | 0.34 | $<0.42$ | $<-7.17$ |
| 032 | 3168 | O7 II(f) | N | 5.43* | 0.38 | <1.69 | $<-6.79$ |
| 041 |  | O6.5 Iaf | Y | 5.17 | 0.19 | $<2.53$ | $<-6.35$ |
| 043 | 1519 | O7 III + B0: | SB2 | 5.12 | 0.14 | <1.69 | $<-6.48$ |
| 045 |  | O9-9.5 III | N | 5.15* | 0.26 | $<1.97$ | $<-6.44$ |
| 046 |  | O9.5 V | Y | 4.91 | 0.05 | <3.94 | $<-5.90$ |
| 049 | 1110 | O7.5 V | SB1 | 5.04 | 0.07 | $<0.70$ | $<-6.78$ |
| 052 |  | O9.5 V | SB2 | 4.95 | 0.19 | $<9.15$ | $<-5.57$ |
| 058 |  | O5.5 V((f)) | N | 5.27* | 0.12 | $<2.11$ | $<-6.53$ |
| 059 | 1125 | O9 V | SB1 | 4.84 | 0.05 | <0.99 | $<-6.43$ |
| 060 | 3058 | O3 V((f*) ) | N | 5.57* | 0.53 | <1.27 | $<-7.05$ |
| 061 |  | O9 V | N | 5.20* | 0.50 | <4.08 | $<-6.17$ |
| 063 |  | O9: Vn | SB2 | 4.88 | 0.22 | $<8.87$ | $<-5.52$ |
| 071 |  | O8: V | SB2 | 4.84 | 0.19 | <4.22 | $<-5.80$ |
| 080 | 3173 | O7: V + O9: | SB2 | 4.91 | 0.29 | $<1.27$ | $<-6.39$ |
| 087 |  | O9.5 Vn | N | 4.91* | 0.38 | $<0.99$ | $<-6.50$ |
| 091 |  | O9 V | Y | 4.78 | 0.41 | <2.82 | $<-5.91$ |
| 108 |  | O9.5 V | N | 4.56 | 0.19 | <2.39 | $<-5.76$ |
| 122 |  | O9.5 V | N | 4.37 | 0.00 | $<0.84$ | $<-6.03$ |
| 123 |  | O9.5 V | N | 4.58* | 0.02 | <1.41 | $<-6.01$ |
|  | 1194 | O9.5:IV |  | 4.92 | 0.29 | $<0.84$ | $<-6.58$ |
|  | 1200 | O6:V:p | C? | 5.65 | 0.89 | <1.13 | $<-7.18$ |
|  | 1239 | O7-O8:V: |  | 4.90 | 0.24 | $<1.27$ | $<-6.38$ |
|  | 1288 | O9 V |  | 4.45 | 0.12 | $<1.13$ | $<-5.98$ |
|  | 1292 | O9:III: |  | 4.67 | 0.77 | <1.13 | $<-6.20$ |
|  | 1363 | O8.5 Iaf |  | 5.63 | 0.41 | $<1.55$ | $<-7.02$ |
|  | 1365 | O9 V |  | 4.47 | 0.05 | $<1.27$ | $<-5.95$ |
|  | 1377 | O8:V |  | 4.73 | 0.12 | <1.41 | $<-6.16$ |
|  | 1388 | O9.7 Iab |  | 5.70 | 0.36 | <1.69 | $<-7.06$ |
|  | 1396 | O7 Vp |  | 5.24 | 0.14 | <1.55 | $<-6.63$ |
|  | 1431 | O9 V |  | 4.58 | 0.14 | $<1.27$ | $<-6.06$ |
|  | 1481 | O7 V((f)) |  | 5.22 | 0.17 | <1.83 | $<-6.54$ |
|  | 1483 | O6.5 III(f) |  | 5.59 | 0.24 | <1.83 | $<-6.91$ |
|  | 1486 | O6.5 V |  | 5.07 | 0.14 | <1.55 | $<-6.46$ |
|  | 3016 | O9.5:V |  | 4.68 | 0.34 | $<1.13$ | $<-6.21$ |
|  | 3045 | O9.5 III |  | 4.55 | 0.36 | $<1.13$ | $<-6.08$ |

Table 8-Continued
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llccccc}\hline \hline \text { ELST } & \text { PGMW } & \text { Sp. type } & \text { Bin.? } & \log \left(L_{\mathrm{BOL}} / L_{\odot}\right) & \begin{array}{c}N_{\mathrm{H}} \\
\left(10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)\end{array}
$$ \& \begin{array}{c}L_{X}^{u n a b s} <br>

\left(10^{32} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)\end{array}\end{array}\right]\)| $\log \left(L_{\mathrm{X}} / L_{\mathrm{BOL}}\right)$ |
| :--- |

*The bolometric luminosity comes from the fits of Mokiem et al. (2007).

Table 9. Definition of regions used for extracting spectra of diffuse X-ray sources.

| Name | RA <br> $($ hh:mm:ss $)$ | DEC <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}::^{\prime}:^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | Semi-axes <br> $\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \times{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | Pos. angle <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Counts | Net count rate <br> $10^{-3} \mathrm{cts} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| north | $04: 55: 53.2$ | $-66: 16: 39.4$ | $84.1 \times 69.4$ | 0 | 1165 | $1.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| middle | $04: 56: 19.9$ | $-66: 21: 46.2$ | $233.2 \times 138.7$ | 0 | 17570 | $18.1 \pm 0.6$ |
| middle-w | $04: 55: 54.6$ | $-66: 22: 21.8$ | $106.6 \times 66.1$ | 58.9 | 4158 | $5.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| middle-se | $04: 56: 45.7$ | $-66: 22: 07.9$ | $124.0 \times 66.4$ | 0 | 4799 | $6.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| middle-ne | $04: 56: 22.6$ | $-66: 20: 32.4$ | circle $(63.7)$ |  | 2314 | $2.4 \pm 0.2$ |
| LH10 | $04: 56: 44.8$ | $-66: 24: 56.2$ | $36.1 \times 28.2$ | 322.9 | 752 | $1.44 \pm 0.12$ |
| east of LH9 | $04: 57: 07.8$ | $-66: 26: 52.2$ | $53.1 \times 73.8$ | 323.7 | 2066 | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ |
| west of LH9 | $04: 56: 02.8$ | $-66: 30: 28.9$ | $118.1 \times 67.9$ | 0 | 5970 | $8.8 \pm 0.3$ |
| LH9* | $04: 56: 35.6$ | $-66: 28: 34.8$ | $178.8 \times 106.2$ | 21.3 | 13985 | $21.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| inside LH9 | $04: 56: 56.3$ | $-66: 28: 53.6$ | circle $(29.5)$ |  | 739 | $0.61 \pm 0.12$ |
| near HD32228* | $04: 56: 34.7$ | $-66: 28: 27.2$ | annulus $(5,15)$ |  | 321 | $0.58 \pm 0.08$ |
| Bkgd 1 | $04: 55: 29.9$ | $-66: 18: 19.7$ | circle $(66.2)$ |  | 1612 | $1.51 \pm 0.16$ |
| Bkgd 2 | $04: 55: 23.3$ | $-66: 29: 38.6$ | circle $(60.7)$ |  | 1556 | $2.16 \pm 0.16$ |
| Bkgd 3 | $04: 55: 48.8$ | $-66: 25: 11.6$ | circle $(54.1)$ |  | 1087 | $2.19 \pm 0.17$ |
| Annulus A | $04: 56: 56.3$ | $-66: 28: 53.6$ | annulus $(30,55)$ |  | 1400 | $2.89 \pm 0.14$ |
| Annulus B | $04: 56: 34.7$ | $-66: 28: 27.2$ | annulus(30,60) |  | 2363 | $5.80 \pm 0.18$ |

Note. - Counts: number of counts in spectra in the $0.3-2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ energy band (without background correction). Net count rate: count rate in the $0.3-2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ energy band for the source region, after correction for the background contributions (non X-ray and local ones) or count rate in the $0.3-2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ energy band for the background region, after correction for the non X-ray background contribution.

* The spectrum of the X-ray point source associated with HD32228, extracted in the $5^{\prime \prime}$ region surrounding the star, was presented in Table 7 while the region "near HD32228" corresponds to an annulus of inner radius $5^{\prime \prime}$ and outer radius $15^{\prime \prime}$, and the spectrum for "LH9" exclude a region of $15^{\prime \prime}$ around HD32228, i.e. those are 3 distinct regions.

Table 10. Fluxes of the diffuse X-ray sources.

| Name | Bkgd | $F_{\mathrm{X}}$ <br> $\left(10^{-14}\right.$ | $F_{\mathrm{X}}^{\text {unabs }}$ <br> erg cm |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Surf. Bgt $\left.\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\left(10^{-18} \mathrm{erg}\right.$ <br> $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{arcsec}^{-2}\right)$ |
| north | 1 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 0.14 |
| middle | $1+3$ | 15.4 | 295.3 | 3.70 |
| middle-w | $1+3$ | 4.15 | 62.4 | 3.55 |
| middle-se | $1+3$ | 4.76 | 73.6 | 3.70 |
| middle-ne | $1+3$ | 1.89 | 52.7 | 5.16 |
| LH10 | 3 | 0.98 | 2.36 | 1.08 |
| east of LH9 | $2+3$ | 1.77 | 26.0 | 2.70 |
| west of LH9 | $2+3$ | 7.62 | 154. | 7.74 |
| LH9 | $2+3$ | 24.3 | 24.4 | 0.53 |
| inside LH9 | A | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.12 |
| near HD32228 | B | 0.23 | 1.35 | 2.71 |

Note. - Fluxes are given in the $0.3-2 \mathrm{keV}$ band and unabsorbed fluxes are corrected by the full absorption ; surface brightnesses correspond to unabsorbed fluxes divided by the actual area of the region ( $B A C K S C A L$ keyword). For details on fitting, see text.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research Associate FRS-FNRS

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ These exposure maps, combined to apply to the $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{H}$, and T bands, were also used in the source detection procedure in a standard way.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The so-called X-ray Eddington bias implies that intrinsically faint sources statistically appear to have higher fluxes than the other way around.

    3 http://asc.harvard.edu/toolkit/colden.jsp

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that 4 O and 9 B of ELST stars are outside the Chandra FOV.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ following the procedure described in http://cxc.harvard.edu/contrib/maxim/acisbg/

[^5]:    Note. - Detections in only two Spitzer channels are noted, but a few named sources have only detections in the other channels. Some sources are quoted with a visual detection ('y' in third and/or fourth columns) without a name listed for the Spitzer counterpart: this happens because the automated detection misses some sources, especially faint ones in high background regions.

