

DEAR AUTHOR:

This file contains the following:

- 1. Author letter
- 2. Reprint order form
- 3. Customer survey form
- 4. Page proofs of your article and list of author queries

After printing the PDF file, please read the page proofs carefully and email a summary of the requested changes to me at cmahon@lww.com.

OR

FAX any pages with corrections to me at 410-361-8040; attention: **Cathy Mahon**

- 1. Clearly indicate changes or corrections in pen in the margins of the page proofs. Please note: Only changes that are essential to the accuracy of the article will be allowed. Excessive or unreasonable changes may be rejected or may result in alteration fees. Additional charges may be assessed for changes to color figures. If there is a correction to be made to a figure, please submit the corrected version electronically to avoid delay.
- 2. Answer all author queries (indicated as AQ:1, AQ:2, AQ:3, etc, in the margins of the proofs and listed on the last page of the PDF proof).
- 3. Complete a reprint order form. Please follow the instructions on the enclosed form; email $\mathbf{reprints@lww.com}$ or call 1-800-341-2258 with any questions.
- 4. You must return your proofs within 48 hours. If you are not making any changes, please email a message stating that there are no corrections or write "no changes" on the first page of your proof, sign and date it, and fax the page to me at the number given below. Failure to respond implies your approval to publish the proofs without additional changes.

PROOFS MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN 48 HOURS TO AVOID ANY DELAYS IN THE PUBLICATION OF YOUR ARTICLE.

Please feel free to contact me if I can be of assistance.

Thank you,

Cathy Mahon Senior Journal Production Editor Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 351 West Camden St. Baltimore, MD 21201 410-528-8564

FAX: 410-361-8040

Email: Cmahon@LWW.COM



Critical Care Medicine

2005 Author Reprint Rates

In addition to using this form to order reprints, it is to be used to calculate any additional publication fees your article may incur. Publication fees include color separation charges and page charges. Prices are subject to change without notice.

Quantities over 500 copies---contact our Healthcare Dept. at 410-528-4426. Outside the U.S. dial 4420-7981-0700.

Fax or mail your order to Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Author Reprints Dept, 351 W. Camden St., Baltimore, MD 21201. Fax: 410-528-4434

Rapid Ordering can be accessed at http://www.lww.com/periodicals/author-reprints. A confirmation of your order will be e-mailed to you.

For questions regarding reprints or publication fees please e-mail us at reprints@lww.com or contact us at 1-800-341-2258.

Reprint Pricing:

100 copies = \$288.00

200 copies = \$315.00

300 copies = \$343.00

400 copies = \$371.00

500 copies = \$398.00

Author(s) Name	
Title of Article	
Article #_162543Publication Mo/Yr	
Payment must be received before reprints can be shipped. Payment is accepted in the form of a check or credit card; purchase orders are accepted for orders billed to a U.S. address.	
☐ MC ☐ VISA ☐ Discover ☐ American Express	
Account #	Exp. Date
Name	
Address	
Dept/Rm	
City State_	
Zip/Postal CodeCountry	
TelephoneSignature	
Reprint Cost Quantity of Reprints =	\$
Covers (Optional) \$108.00 for the first 100 copies \$18.00 each add'l 100 copies	\$ \$
Color Fees (If your article contains color figures, use Rapid Ordering.)	
Publication Color Charge (You may have included color fig	ures in your article.
The costs to publish those figures may be included on the	•
reprint invoice or they may be invoiced separately.)	\$
Reprint Color Cost (\$70.00/100 reprints)	\$
Shipping Add \$5.00 per 100 reprints for orders shipping within the U.S. and \$20.00 per 100 reprints for orders shipping outside the U.S.	\$
T	
Tax U.S. and Canadian residents add the appropriate tax, or submit a tax exempt form.	\$
,	· —————
Shipping Information Ship:copies to:	
Name	
Address	
DeptlRm	
City State_	
Zip/Postal CodeCountry	
Phone #	

The authors reply:

We have been very interested by the comments of Dr. Brimioulle and colleagues regarding our study recently published in this journal (1). It is noteworthy that contractility remains one of the fundamental ventricular mechanical characteristics that cannot be directly assessed and explains the abundance of methods proposed to distinguish between cardiac performance and ventricular contractility, per se. The slope of the end-systolic relationship obtained from pressurevolume loops generated when varying either preload or afterload has obtained some general agreement from the scientific community. However, the transposition of such a method to the clinical setting remains problematic. To significantly simplify the methodology, several authors have proposed assessing contractility with sufficient accuracy by using a single-beat approach (2). The main advantage of this method is that it requires only recording of the ventricular pressure wave while precluding the need for continuous ventricular volume measurement. However, due to the absence of actual validation, in particular regarding the right ventricular dynamics, the prominent goal of our work was to compare computations of ventricular contractility in various experimental conditions based on the single-beat method with those simultaneously provided by using the multiple-beat method, referred to as the gold standard. The comments of Dr. Brimioulle and colleagues are essentially relative to the accuracy of volume measurements, therefore questioning the validity of our conclusions. In particular, Dr. Brimioulle and colleagues pointed out that the isovolumic phase of ventricular contraction was not really observed. Although we agree with their pertinent comments, observation of the lack in strictly isovolumic ventricular contraction is not necessarily due to poor accuracy in volume measurements but also may result from blood regurgitation through the tricuspid valve. Whatever the real mechanism involved to explain the change in ventricular volume during theoretical isovolumic phase, it is important to note that the single-beat method is based on the fitting of the pressure wave with a sinusoidal function, regardless of the quality in assessing the volume change profile. To obtain peak isovolumic ventricular pressure, as an extrapolation of the beating ventricle, we used standard and well-established fitting routines as available in Matlab (Levenberg-Marquardt procedure). In this way, we considered the onset of isovolumic contraction at the point where right ventricular change in pressure reached 10% of peak positive change in pressure over time, as mentioned in the Methods section, although we apologize if this was not properly represented in the corresponding illustration.

By using the single-beat method, we failed unfortunately to observe any significant change in ventricular contractility

during positive pharmacologic manipulations of the right ventricular chamber (1). We hypothesize that, regardless of the fitting method used, the weakness of the single-beat method lies in the assumption that ventricular pressure profile during ejection can be really converted into a symmetrical sinusoidal wave. Our conclusions are similar to those of others who tested such an approach to gain more information about left ventricular characteristics (3). Nevertheless, we remain interested in any protocol aimed at exploring further resources to improve the methods of analyzing ventricular mechanics.

Bernard Lambermont, Patrick Segers, Vincent D'Orio, University Hospital of Liege, Medical Intensive Care Unit, Liege, Belgium

REFERENCES

- Lambermont B, Segers P, Ghuysen A, et al: Comparison between single-beat and multiple-beat methods for estimation of right ventricular contractility. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32: 1886–1890
- Takeuchi M, Igarashi Y, Tomimoto S, et al: Single-beat estimation of the slope of the endsystolic pressure-volume relation in the human left ventricle. *Circulation* 1991; 83: 202–212
- Kjorstad KE, Korvald C, Myrmel T: Pressurevolume-based single-beat estimations cannot predict left ventricular contractility in vivo. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2002; 282:H1739–H1750

DOI: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000159852.03751.37

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

1