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Abstract
Precipitation is the main variable of the water cycle and a driving factor of the water resources availability. However, direct precipitation measurements are still too scarce to quantify the ongoing changes and to provide data for numerical models validation. Recently, Roebeling & Holleman (2009) have presented the Cloud Physical Properties (CPP) algorithm which is based on the solar channel from the SEVIRI instrument on board of the Meteosat Second Generation satellite. In this work, the authors have validated the algorithm over the Netherlands thanks to a 2-month comparison with weather radar data in summertime. The goal of the present study is to extend previous validations of the CPP and verify the algorithm performances throughout yearly and daily cycles over Belgium with the aim of identifying possible uses and applications as model validation. To do so, a seven-years data set of clouds and precipitation data over Western Europe has been generated using the CPP algorithm and analyzed. A comparison with weather radar data from the Belgian network has been performed. Results are encouraging for both precipitation areas delimitation and rain rates assessment. However, the rain rate estimation appears to be affected by the sun zenith angle with significant overestimation when this angle exceeds 60°. Systematic errors also affect the retrieval of cloud properties for thick clouds which leads to an overestimation of extreme precipitation events.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Context

Fallen quantities of precipitation are a driving variable for the water cycle and the amount of water available. Moreover, highlighted within the context of global changes and growing populations, water resources availability is likely to become an important challenge for most societies over the XXI^th century. Indeed, even though there are some uncertainties concerning the pattern of precipitation changes at regional scale, it is admitted that an intensification of the water cycle is projected at middle and high latitudes with more frequent severe droughts and violent floods (Lehner et al., 2006; Alexander et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the lack of reliable and frequent precipitation measurements remains an obstacle for the assessment and quantification of such phenomena (Trenberth et al., 2007).

These uncertainties concerning precipitation changes are also partly explained by the difficulties for the models to simulate the precipitation formation processes. These difficulties are present for both the modelling of trends at the climate scale as well as for short range forecasting. Moreover, cloud and precipitation properties also have influences on the Earth radiation budget by modifying the amount of solar visible radiation received at the surface as well as the downward infrared radiation (Twomey, 1991). The effects of clouds on radiation are dependent of the liquid water cloud thickness, droplet size distribution... Therefore, a better understanding of precipitation formation processes is needed, which requires more numerous data on precipitation and clouds physical properties. As discussed hereafter, such requirements can be partly fulfilled by using a physically-based algorithm for the retrieval of rainfall from satellites data which offers the advantage to cover the whole Earth.

B. Problematic

Numerous instruments and methods have been developed for the measurement of precipitations. Each of them presents their own advantages and weaknesses. The simplest instrument is the well-known rain-gauge which can measure precipitation with a very high precision and accuracy. However, measurements from rain-gauge are punctual and can be spatially unrepresentative of surrounding areas. Moreover, the density of rain-gauge measurements is not satisfactory in inhabited areas, in some developing countries and, of course, over oceans. During last decades, technologies using weather radar data have been widely developed for evaluating precipitation rates. With respect to rain-gauge measurement, they show a lower accuracy but a better spatial representativeness. Main disadvantages of radar technologies are their cost and their limited observation areas (about 200 km for common C-band radar) with respect to satellites derived data. The accuracy of methods using satellites data for rainfall retrieval is lower than the one from radar-based technologies but they are also spatially representative and the areas covered are usually very wide.

Different methods have been developed to retrieve rainfall from satellites data. As it retrieves physical properties of clouds such as Cloud Optical Thickness (COT) or effective radius of cloud particles, the Cloud Physical Properties (CPP) algorithm is one of the few one that is physically-based. The need for such methods is particularly justified in temperate climates where most of precipitation comes from frontal systems. Indeed, the complexity and variability of vertical profiles of frontal systems do not allow direct matching between cloud top temperatures from infrared channels and rain rates from passive microwave measurements as it is sometimes performed for convective systems over tropical regions (Todd et al., 2000 ; Sororoshian et al., 2002). The assessment of rain rates in frontal systems from visible and infrared satellites data requires therefore the retrieval of clouds microphysical properties such as Cloud Water Path (CWP), effective radius of droplets... However, bi-spectral methods that use visible and near-infrared data such as the CPP method cannot be used in night-time or in winter time over temperate areas. As a consequence, an important part of fallen precipitation cannot be measured as shown in the validation section (Figure 6). Independent radar or passive microwave/infrared method development is therefore also particularly desirable.

The CPP algorithm was first validated by Roebeling et al. (2006) using ground measurements of cloud physical properties. The ability of the algorithm for the retrieval of rainfalls has been further evaluated during a 2-month comparison with weather radar from the KNMI (Koninglijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Institute) network (Roebeling & Holleman, 2009). The aim of the present study is to extend the validation over a longer climatic period (seven years) in order to assess the algorithm performances throughout yearly and daily cycle, seasonal shift of precipitation types... using data from the Belgen weather radar network from the Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium (RMIB). Results of the present study are intended to provide a guideline for the use of CPP data for both operational and research purposes as well as to open new perspectives of research with the creation of a seven year data set of parallel-shift corrected clouds and precipitation data.

II. METHODS

A. The CPP algorithm

Developed at the KNMI within the frame of the SAF-NWC activities, the CPP is a physically-based algorithm that uses visible and near-infrared observations from imaging radiometers. It is based on two simple physical principles. The first one assumes that reflectances in the visible channel (e.g. at 0.6 µm or 0.8 µm) do not depend on cloud particle size and are only function of Clouds Optical Thickness (COT). The second assumption is that in a near-infrared (NIR) channel, the single scattering albedo is less than 1 and depends on particle size. Reflectances measured in NIR channels (e.g. at 1.6 µm or 3.7 µm) are thus proxies for the effective radius (Re) of cloud particles. Relations between measured reflectances and COT or Re are stored in Look-Up Tables (LUT) computed with the help of a sophisticated radiative transfer model, the DAK RTM (De Haan et al., 1987; Wolters et al., 2006). The DAK allows to compute corresponding reflectances at 0.6 and 1.6 µm for a large number of realistic COT or Re values and possible geometrical configurations depending on sun and satellite positions. This model also takes into account for different optical behavior of water and ice particles. The inversion of the relationships and the interpolation in the LUT allows then to retrieve COT and Re values from measured VIS and NIR reflectances. LUTs are built for both water and ice clouds. Clouds are considered as made of ice if the Cloud Top Temperature (CTT) is lower than 265°K and if the measured reflectances at 0.6 and 1.6 µm correspond to the simulated values for ice particles. CTT is estimated from the brightness temperature in an infrared window channel (e.g. at 10.8 µm). Once phase, optical thickness, effective radius and CTT are known, the next step consists in the computation of the Cloud Water Path (CWP, in g.m\(^{-2}\)) using

\[
\text{CWP} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \text{COT} \cdot \text{Re} \cdot \rho_v
\]  

(eq. 1)

Where \(\rho_v\) is the volumetric mass of liquid water. The delimitation of precipitation areas is then performed by labeling as precipitating every cloudy pixel with a Rainfall rate (R, mm.h\(^{-1}\)) above a threshold value of 160 g.m\(^{-2}\) and corresponding 240 kilometers useful radius. The cloud top temperature (CTT) is estimated from the brightness temperature in an infrared window channel (e.g. at 10.8 µm). Once phase, optical thickness, effective radius and CTT are known, the next step consists in the computation of the Cloud Water Path (CWP, in g.m\(^{-2}\)) using

\[
R = \frac{\text{CWP} - \text{CWP}_{0}}{\text{CWP}_{0} \cdot \text{H}}
\]  

(eq. 2)

Where C and \(\sigma\) are constant, CWP is the threshold value for cloud water path (160 g.m\(^{-2}\)) and H, the height of the cloud column. The height of the cloud column is retrieved using the following semi-empirical relation

\[
H = \frac{\text{CTT} - \text{CTT}_{\text{opt}}}{6.5} + \text{dH}
\]  

(eq. 3)

Where \(\text{CTT}_{\text{opt}}\) is the cloud top temperature of the current the pixel, \(\text{CTT}_{\text{opt}}\) the highest cloud top temperature in the 100x100 pixels surrounding window (which corresponds to the lowest cloud), \(dH\) is the dry adiabatic lapse rate (in K/km) and \(\text{dH}\) is an offset value of 0.6 kilometer.

B. Satellites and Radar data

As a cloud mask is performed at first step of the CPP algorithm, data of both the visible and the infrared channels are needed for the processing. A 600 by 300 SEVIRI pixels area centered on Belgium was extracted from the RMIB archive of SEVIRI-MSG data. Due to the position of the satellite, a MSG pixel at our latitude (50° North) is about 4 x 7 kilometers. A complete data set (except for some MSG missing data) of cloud and rain properties at 15° latitude over the 2000 - 2011 period has been processed for this area using the CPP-PP algorithm.

Weather radar data archives are also stored at the RMIB. For the comparison, we used composite radar images that gather data from the RMIB weather radar in Wizunomont in southern Belgium as well as data from the radar of Brussels Airport operated by Belgocontrol. From the 1st August 2008 onwards, composite images also incorporate data from a weather radar located in the Avesnois operated by MeteoFrance. Resolution...
of weather radar data is one kilometer and maximum reach is 240 kilometers. Location of the three radars and corresponding reach area can be seen in the first figure (Figure 1). Time mismatch has been handled by taking into account the scanning delay of the SEVIRI instrument which implies that the more suited image for the comparison with a SEVIRI slot taken at a given UTC time is the radar image taken 10 minutes later in Belgium. For validations, data of pixels located at more than 120 kilometers of any radar have not been considered due to the decreased reliability of weather radar measurements above this threshold.

C. Post-processing and parallax-shift correction

In order to be compared with SEVIRI retrieved rainfall, radar images have been converted from digital count to rain rates in mm.h⁻¹ and reprojected from the polar stereographic projection used for radar data to the projection used for MSG data with a consequent decrease in resolution from 1 to 5 kilometers.

For the comparison with weather radar, CPP data have been corrected from the parallax-shift effect that due to the position of the satellite, the parallax-shift towards the North can reach up to 20 kilometers at high sun zenith angle, for very high clouds. Therefore, real positions of clouds have been recomputed by searching the intersection between a line joining the apparent positions of clouds have been recomputed by searching the intersection between a line joining the apparent positions of clouds have been recomputed by searching the intersection between a line joining the apparent positions of clouds having as radius the Earth radius plus the Cloud Top Height (CTH). The CTH, in kilometers, is estimated as

\[ \text{CTH} = \frac{T_{\text{surf}} - \text{CTT}}{5.5} \]  

(eq. 4)

Where \( T_{\text{surf}} \) is the surface temperature (use of a monthly climatological value for Belgium), CTT is the cloud top height in the CPP algorithm and 5.5 K km, the moist adiabatic lapse rate.

By doing such parallax-shift correction, some gaps appear. They have been filled by using information from the surroundings pixels (e.g. by taking the median value of the eight neighbouring pixels). Once these operations have been performed, a direct comparison between weather radar and CPP rain rates is possible.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Delineation of precipitation areas

One of the simplest methods to evaluate the ability of the algorithm to delineate rain areas is to compute the spatial extent of precipitation in percent, per images or over a certain period (hourly, daily, ...). Results of such computation and comparison of derived statistics between spatial extent of precipitations in weather radar and CPP data sets are shown for different seasons (Table 1). In order to take into account the assumed decrease in performances of the CPP algorithm at high sun zenith angle, statistics presented in this table have been computed between 11h and 13h UTC in winter, 10h and 15h UTC in spring and 09h and 16h UTC in summer using data from the years 2009 and 2010. Overall, this comparison shows that the CPP agrees reasonably well with the radar in terms of spatial extent of precipitation. Good correlation and reasonable Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) are observed in spring and summer. Excellent statistics are found in autumn with almost perfect correlation and very low mean error. This fact should be explained by very frequent, widespread and stratiform precipitation during autumn 2009 and 2010 (mainly October and November) which can be noticed from greater mean spatial extents of precipitations and therefore, better signal to noise ratio. During these periods of recurrent of frontal precipitation in autumn, CPP algorithm has been very reliable for the assessment of precipitation areas. However, poor correlations and important errors in winter suggest an important effect of lower zenith angle in winter on CPP algorithm performances rather than the effect of the change in precipitation type.

2. Contingency matrix

Another way to assess satellite-based method performances is to consider events as binary (precipitation or not) in both data set (observations and estimations) and to make the contingency matrix of the four possible events: hits (h), rain in both data sets, misses (m), rain in CPP, no rain in radar, and correct rejections (r), no rain in both. Valuable statistics can be calculated from the frequencies of these events such as the False Alarm Ratios (FAR: f / h + f), the Probability Of Detection (POD: h / h + m), the Critical Success Index which synthesizes information from the first two indicators and can be interpreted as the fraction of all events estimated and/or observed that were correctly diagnosed (Ebert, 2007). The CSI is computed using the following formula, (perfect score for CSI is 1):

\[ \text{CSI} = \frac{h}{h + m + f} \]  

(eq. 5)

The evolution of POD computed over a six years period (2005-2010) per month and per ranges of Sun Zenith Angles (SZA) with corresponding uncertainties is presented in the next table (Table 2). For a same SZA range, the results show few variations between each months which tends to minimize the effect of the change in precipitation types on the algorithm performances for the delimitation of precipitation areas. On the other hand, the decrease of performances SZA higher than 60° is clear for all months. Same statements can be made from the following figure, where Critical Success Index (CSI) is given as function of SZA for different spring and summer months (Figure 2). Once again, for each of these months, the decrease of CSI is significant for SZA higher than 65°.

Validation of the ability of the CPP algorithm to retrieve quantitative rain rate (mm.h⁻¹) has been first performed by verifying whether the method reproduces similar frequency distribution of such rain rates as observed in radar data or not. Next graphs show the comparison of the frequency distribution of rain rates in CPP and RADAR data from very low (0.2 - 1 mm.h⁻¹) to very high rain rates (20 - 50 mm.h⁻¹). They have been built using data from respectively winter (Figure 3) and summer (Figure 4) and 2010. Statistics for winter months have been computed using images taken between 11h and 13h UTC and between 09h and 16h UTC for summer months. A disagreement between the CPP and the radar frequency distributions appears in winter (Figure 3) with a significant underestimation of low rain rates frequency in CPP data while high rain rates (20 - 50 mm.h⁻¹) are significantly over-represented with respect to radar data. These discrepancies lead to an important positive systematic bias in the CPP assessment of rain rates.
Rainfall retrieval using Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI-MSG) and Cloud Physical Properties (CPP) algorithm: Validation over Belgium and applications

The amount of precipitation that falls during so-called ‘dusk period’ (65° < SZA < 90°) is non-negligible. Our results are consistent with the fact that the quality of satellite rainfall retrievals decreases in general at high SZA values. For the measurement of cloud physical properties and, as consequence in this case, rainfalls, this fact can be explained by reduced incoming solar radiations, more important shadow effects from cloud tops and increased horizontal transfer of photons between neighboring pixels for low sun elevation conditions. The overestimation of extreme values in the CPP data set with respect to radar data in early morning and late afternoon (high values of SZA) for application purposes, taking into account a maximum threshold of 65° for SZA value, CPP method limitations are inspected using precipitation data for the year 2012 from Mont Rigi weather station (674 meter a.s.l) in eastern Belgium. Next plot (Figure 6) shows that on a yearly basis, only about 25% of precipitation volume falls during day-time when CPP method is suited (SZA < 65°). There are major seasonal differences with no precipitation measurement possible in winter time over temperate areas while in spring and summer, respectively 35 and 55% of the precipitation are measurable using the CPP method. For each season, the effect of SZA values on rain rates is confirmed.

The effect of SZA values on rain rates is confirmed. Concerning optical thickness, we observe similar spatial patterns as for effective radius (Figure 4). Coastal land areas of Northwestern Europe, Southern Scandinavia and the British Isles experience optically thicker clouds as far as water clouds are considered. This can be partly explained by the trigger of convection in maritime air masses when arriving over land areas (August 2008 has been dominated by moist westerly flows over Northern Europe). More southern or more continental areas have lower COT values for water clouds thanks to more favorable weather and more frequent ice clouds when convection occurs. The effect of updrafts generated by mountains and high grounds is also obvious with increased optical thickness of water clouds over the Massif Central and some parts of the Alps. The effect of updrafts is even sharper over the British Isles with optical thickness peaking at the very location of topography summits. There gain, too obvious contrast over some land features witness an “albedo artifact” as reflection from underlying surfaces is not properly treated.

2. Mean rain rates

Further investigations have been carried out to determine the reasons of the observed biases and errors. For the construction of the next plot (Figure 5), daily mean of rain rates per SZA ranges (therefore computed on few images) have been calculated. On this plot, the ratio of CPP/radar for precipitation volume (Figure 5) shows that it is 65° to 90°. The ratio increases rapidly and becomes > 2, which suggest an important and systematic overestimation of rain rates using CPP at high SZA. Concerning the ratio of the percentiles 95 of rain rates that is significantly > 1.0 for most values of SZA which suggests that the overestimation of extreme values in the CPP retrieved rainfall is present at most of sun elevation conditions. The effect of SZA values on rain rates is confirmed in next table (Table 3). In this table, we can see the good agreement between CPP and radar mean rain rates at midday in summer while there is a significant overestimation in the CPP data set with respect to radar data in early morning and late afternoon (high values of SZA). For application purposes, taking into account a maximum threshold of 65° for SZA value, CPP method limitations are inspected using precipitation data for the year 2012 from Mont Rigi weather station (674 meter a.s.l) in eastern Belgium. Next plot (Figure 6) shows that on a yearly basis, only about 25% of precipitation volume falls during day-time when CPP method is suited (SZA < 65°). There are major seasonal differences with no precipitation measurement possible in winter time over temperate areas while in spring and summer, respectively 35 and 55% of the precipitation are measurable using the CPP method. For each season, the effect of SZA values on rain rates is confirmed.

The effect of SZA values on rain rates is confirmed. Concerning optical thickness, we observe similar spatial patterns as for effective radius (Figure 4). Coastal land areas of Northwestern Europe, Southern Scandinavia and the British Isles experience optically thicker clouds as far as water clouds are considered. This can be partly explained by the trigger of convection in maritime air masses when arriving over land areas (August 2008 has been dominated by moist westerly flows over Northern Europe). More southern or more continental areas have lower COT values for water clouds thanks to more favorable weather and more frequent ice clouds when convection occurs. The effect of updrafts generated by mountains and high grounds is also obvious with increased optical thickness of water clouds over the Massif Central and some parts of the Alps. The effect of updrafts is even sharper over the British Isles with optical thickness peaking at the very location of topography summits. There gain, too obvious contrast over some land features witness an “albedo artifact” as reflection from underlying surfaces is not properly treated.

A. Effective radius and optical thickness of maritime and continental clouds

Thanks to the computation of clouds properties as steps for the assessment of rain rates, interesting plots and graphics can be made in order to study clouds processes as well as their temporal and spatial variations. A first example is given where one can see the mean effective radius, Re, of water clouds for the month of August 2008 (Figure 7): Significant differences can be seen between near-coast inland areas (Western France and Belgium, the British Isles, West coasts of Scandinavia...) where Re of water clouds is greater (between 12 and 15 µm) than for maritime as well as more continental areas (9 -11 µm). This difference might be explained by the aerosol load that clouds experience during their travel over lands. Therefore, over continental areas, cloud optical thickness per pixel is distributed over a greater number of clouds condensation nuclei which express lower Re. On the other hand, over coastal areas, the reduced numbers of aerosols permit more frequently the growth of water particles under the freezing level thanks to warm rain processes (coalescence). However, aside from this climatic effect, cares are to be taken. Indeed, some land features (islands, estuaries...) are too sharp to be explained by differences in cloud properties over land and maritime surfaces. This fact should actually be the consequence of a poor treatment of the surface-reflected shortwave radiation. A better representation of the land and ocean albedo would therefore be introduced to reduce this ocean-land jump.

Concerning optical thickness, we observe similar spatial patterns as for effective radius (Figure 4). Coastal land areas of Northwestern Europe, Southern Scandinavia and the British Isles experience optically thicker clouds as far as water clouds are considered. This can be partly explained by the trigger of convection in maritime air masses when arriving over land areas (August 2008 has been dominated by moist westerly flows over Northern Europe). More southern or more continental areas have lower COT values for water clouds thanks to more favorable weather and more frequent ice clouds when convection occurs. The effect of updrafts generated by mountains and high grounds is also obvious with increased optical thickness of water clouds over the Massif Central and some parts of the Alps. The effect of updrafts is even sharper over the British Isles with optical thickness peaking at the very location of topography summits. There gain, too obvious contrast over some land features witness an “albedo artifact” as reflection from underlying surfaces is not properly treated.

B. Non-precipitating clouds

Another example of research that can be carried out with cloud microphysics data is presented (Figure 6). This figure shows the relative frequency over Western and Central Europe of non-precipitating water clouds in winter (top) and summer 2008 (bottom). According to Roebeling & Holleman (2009), non-precipitating clouds are defined as clouds with an unusually small effective radius (Re < 15 µm) with respect to their high Cloud Water Path (CWP > 160 g/m²). These clouds can either be the result of strong updrafts or strong natural or anthropic aerosol loads possibly due to anthropic pollution. Indeed, as we have seen, aerosol loads distribute condensed water over a greater number of particles which reduce the growth of water particles under the freezing level and therefore cloud clearance which is known as the indirect aerosol effect (Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Strong updrafts, usually produced by the combination of an instable and orographic ascendance, can rapidly bring particles to upper parts of the atmosphere without allowing time for warm rain processes to take place. On the summer plot, we can see that non-precipitating clouds occur mainly over the Alps and the high grounds of the British Isles and Ireland suggesting an effect of strong updrafts. An increased frequency of non-precipitating clouds is also observed over Northern and Western Germany as well as the Netherlands. While this observation might be the results of aerosols load, possibly anthropic pollutions,
this hypothesis does not explain why no increased frequency of non-precipitating clouds is observed in summer over other industrial areas like Germany or Poland. Moreover, due to frequent thermal updrafts over continental areas in summer, and more frequent occurrence of ice processes in aerosol loaded cloud masses, a study of ice clouds should be more interesting for the tracking of aerosol loads effects in summer.

In the winter plot (Figure 9), major differences are noticeable with a strong occurrence of non-precipitating clouds in Eastern Belgium, Germany, Poland and Scandinavia. These non-precipitating clouds might be the result of frequent low cloudiness in winter time in combination with important aerosols emissions trapped under subsidence inversions. However, not much confidence should be accorded to this winter plot given the limited performances of the CPP retrievals at high SZA conditions. The interest of studying water clouds in winter might also be questioned as their representativeness is reduced in some areas like over the Alps where a frequency of non-precipitating water clouds close to zero can be observed on the plot as most clouds over this area in winter should be made of ice.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The study has shown the ability of the CPP algorithm to capture precipitation areas. Thanks to weak sensitivity of the threshold values to instrument calibration and noise, the delineation of precipitation areas remains reliable until SZA up to 65°. Nevertheless, the quantitative assessment of rain rates is more sensitive to SZA. Indeed, an important overestimation using CPP output from SEVIRI data appears at SZA higher than 60° and increases rapidly towards high values of SZA. Therefore the use of this method is seriously compromised for the winter half of the year at mid-latitudes as well as in early morning and late afternoon during summer. Besides, due to greater sensitivity to instrument errors for the retrieval of high value of cloud optical thickness and Cloud Water Path (CWP > 760 g.m⁻²), there is a systematic overestimation of extreme precipitation events.

The study highlights that some improvements are still needed in the algorithm. In particular, a better treatment of land and oceanic surfaces albedo is desirable in order to reduce the effect of surface reflection which seems to contaminate the retrieval of clouds physical properties. Results are however very encouraging and it is important to point out that most of the observed limitations come from instrument radiometric errors, particularly when using instrument on-board geostationary satellites such as SEVIRI. Therefore, it is clearly worth to continue the development of such algorithm as reduced instrument errors, increased spatial resolution and increased number of spectral channels are expected with the next generations of geostationary satellites radiometers (e.g. Flexible Combined Imager on board Meteosat Third Generation).

Current performances of CPP algorithm are however found to be sufficient to allow atmospheric researches, as well as validation or even data assimilation purposes with numerical models, provided the limitations for the application of the algorithm are properly taken into account. Indeed, despite reduced accuracy with respect to other methods, the covered areas, the resolution (3 to 5 kilometers) and the time sampling (every 15 minutes) are undeniable advantages for the acquisition of precipitation data over areas poorly covered by other methods of precipitation measurements. The computation of reliable data on cloud physical properties is also extremely interesting for research purposes given the low availability of such data.
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FLUX DES SEDIMENTS EN SUSPENSION DANS LES RIviERES DU BASsiN DE LA MEUSE : PROPOSITION D’UNE TYPOLOGIE REGIONALE BASEE SUR LA DENUDATION SPECIFIQUE DES BASSiNS VERSANTS
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Résumé
L’erosion des sols peut être appréhendée à l’échelle du bassin versant en quantifiant le transport sédimentaire en suspension à son exutoire. Environ 200 mesures de concentration des matières en suspension ont permis d’estimer le transport sédimentaire annuel au niveau de 80 stations situées en région wallonne, à l’exutoire de bassins versants d’une superficie de 16 à 2 900 km². Des différenciations régionales ont pu être mises en évidence en fonction du substrat et de l’occupation du sol des bassins versants. À l’échelle régionale, les taux de dénudation annuel moyen atteint 20 t.km-2.an-1 en Lorraine, 34 t.km-2.an-1 en Ardenne et 69 t.km-2.an-1 dans l’Entre-Vertu-et-Meuse. La granulométrie des particules transportées et la proportion de matière organique complètent l’analyse et montrent également une différenciation selon les régions agro-géographiques. Des données issues d’autres travaux académiques ou administratifs ont été intégrées à la base de données afin de définir les meilleurs sites de mesure en continu des concentrations des matières en suspension en Wallonie.

Mots-clés
concentration des sédiments en suspension, érosion des sols, analyse granulométrique, matière organique, dénudation spécifique, bassin de la Meuse, Ardenne

Abstract
Soil erosion may be apprehended at the watershed scale by quantifying the transport of suspended sediment at its outlet. Approximately 2000 measurements of suspended sediment concentration were used to estimate the annual sediment discharge at 80 stations located in the Wallon region (Belgium), at the outlet of watershed from 16 to 2900 km². Some regional differences have been highlighted depending on the substrate and the land use in the watershed. At the regional scale, the mean annual sediment yield reaches 20 t.km-2.yr-1 in Lorraine, 34 t.km-2.yr-1 in Ardenne and 69 t.km-2.yr-1 in Entre-Vertu-et-Meuse. The size of suspended particles and the proportion of organic matter also show differences between each agro-geographical area. Data from other academic or administrative works were incorporated into the database to identify the best sites for continuous measuring of the suspended load concentration in Wallonia.

Keywords
suspended load concentration, soil erosion, particle size analysis, organic matter, specific denudation, Meuse basin, Ardennes

I. INTRODUCTION
Les rivières apparaissent comme un intégrateur de la dénudation de leur bassin hydrographique. Lorsque elle est réduite à une surface (la taille du bassin versant), on parle alors de dénudation spécifique et s’exprime en t.km-2.an-1 ou encore en mm/millénaire (ou par an). La dénudation est estimée par la mesure du flux exporté qui se fait selon trois modes de transport : en solution, en suspension et transport de la charge de fond, qui se fait elle-même par progression du matériau par roulage et/ou salutation sur le fond du lit (Tricart, 1961).

L’importance relative de ces trois modes de transport est tout d’abord fonction du système morpho-climatique (c’est-à-dire de l’ensemble des processus qui permettent la production de sédiments et leur arrivée jusqu’aux rivières). La nature et la quantité de sédiments évacués dépend aussi de l’affectation du sol (protection plus ou moins efficace du sol par la végétation), de la lithologie et des caractéristiques géomorphologiques des bassins versants (pente, incision dans des surfaces d’érosion, contact avec les versants, …). De façon générale, la charge de fond, malgré le rôle considérable qu’elle joue dans les problèmes de profil d’équilibre et de stabilité des lits, n’entraîne que pour une part négligeable dans le flux sédimentaire, excepté dans des conditions particulières, en fonction notamment de la disponibilité des matériau et de la proximité