The contribution of sleep to memory processing is being characterized at increasingly detailed levels. At a behavioral level, better performance at retrieval is usually observed after sleep, relative to a period of wakefulness. At a brain-systems level, functional neuroimaging techniques have demonstrated that the distribution of regional brain activity is influenced by previous waking experience. At present, the selective effects of sleep components, such as slow waves or spindles are being characterized. These effects are framed in terms of neural firing patterns and also in terms of the molecular mechanisms underpinning the effects of sleep on brain plasticity. Collectively, the available data indicate a positive influence of sleep on memory consolidation.

A growing body of evidence indicates that sleep promotes memory consolidation; the protracted process by which fresh, labile memories are reorganized into stable memories [1]. Although the first evidence for this effect was collected more than a century ago [2], the potential underlying neural mechanisms are only just beginning to be conceptualized and characterized experimentally.

Memory consolidation is a hackneyed expression that may take different meanings. At the cellular level, consolidation is often framed in terms of long-term experience-dependent changes in neural responsiveness, usually resulting from modifications in synaptic strength (Figure 1) [3–19]. At the systems level, consolidation relates to the progressive reorganization of memories within brain circuits [20–21]. From a behavioral perspective, consolidation refers to an improvement of performance between practice sessions or a reduction of vulnerability of a memory trace after the acquisition of a novel skill [22–23], although other phenomena such as automatization [24–25] or generalization [26] might also be considered as behavioral markers of memory consolidation (Figure 1).

It is therefore not surprising that the effects of sleep on memory consolidation were reported at various levels of description. The early studies assessed the effects of sleep on different memory systems at the behavioral level. They contrasted the influence of non-rapid eye movement sleep (NREMS) with rapid eye movement sleep (REMS) on declarative and procedural memories, respectively. As the understanding of the effects of sleep on memory consolidation progressed, the hypotheses were increasingly framed in terms of the neural processes occurring during NREMS or REMS, and specifically, those associated with phasic events such as slow waves, spindles or phasic REMS.

This paper is organized into five sections. The first section summarizes the key findings of behavioral studies that suggest an effect of sleep on memory. Earlier reviews have already covered these results in detail [27–31]. The present article highlights recent data that call for a reappraisal of these effects that, although indisputable, seem quantitatively modest. The article also emphasizes the higher sensitivity of neuroimaging and neurophysiological measures in characterizing the effects of sleep on memory. The following sections review the two main hypotheses that are currently being tested, namely the homeostatic synaptic down-scaling and the sleep-dependent systems-level consolidation. The final two sections emphasize the intervention of post-training REMs and wakefulness in memory processing.

**Behavioral effects**

The recognition of different memory systems helped to characterize the effects of sleep on memory consolidation (Figure 2). On the procedural side, spontaneous gains in performance in a motor sequence learning task were observed between initial training and later testing, specifically if the interval included sleep rather than wakefulness [32–33]. As these gains in performance emerged without further practice, it suggested that an 'offline' processing of motor-memory was taking place during sleep. The spontaneous enhancement of procedural abilities appeared to depend primarily on REMS. Indeed, the enhanced performance in procedural learning tasks was observed when sleep was allowed...
during the REMS-rich second part of the night rather than during the deep NREMS-rich first half [34–35]. Likewise, perceptual visual learning (e.g., texture discrimination tasks, see Box 1), involving a different procedural learning process, was demonstrated to be sensitive to REMS deprivation [36].

On the declarative side, despite early controversial results [37–40], declarative memory was also shown to be better preserved when sleep occurs immediately after encoding [41], and seems to benefit primarily from NREMS; word-pair associates are better retained if sleep is allowed during the first part of the night (rich in deep NREMS) rather than in the second portion of the night [38]. If olfactory cues are presented during a spatial source memory task and again delivered during subsequent NREMS, memory performance is enhanced during subsequent retrieval [42]. The same protocol has no effect for a procedural task such as the finger-tapping task (FTT) [42].

These results were compatible with the ‘dual process’ hypothesis, which claims that NREMS and REMS are primarily involved in the consolidation of declarative and procedural memories, respectively. However, this theory cannot easily account for data demonstrating, for instance, that procedural learning also benefits from NREMS-rich early night sleep [43–45]. The
alternative possibility, the ‘double-step’ hypothesis, assumes that the succession of NREMS and REMS was required for optimal memory consolidation [46], whatever the memory system considered. Some evidence has supported this hypothesis in animal experiments [47–51]. In humans, the evidence remains scarce. Motor sequence learning is improved to a larger extent by a complete night of sleep including abundant amounts of both NREMS and REMS, compared with late night sleep alone [34]. Likewise, the positive effect of sleep on visual perceptual learning is larger if it includes both NREMS and REMS [44,45].

Sleep is also believed to facilitate fluid thinking and problem solving. Historically, anecdotal evidence exists for the positive influence of sleep on scientific and artistic creativity [52]. Recently, experimental evidence confirmed that sleep not only enhances the verbatim retention of learned material, but can also lead to behavioral modifications during sleep that entail the generation of novel representations based on information extracted from learned exemplars [53–54]. Similarly, sleep has been involved in selectively enhancing false memories (relative to accurate memories) [55]. However, this effect is still controversial. Another study did not report any beneficial effect of sleep while sleep deprivation enhanced false memories at retrieval [56].

Despite all of this evidence, a critical review of the effects of sleep suggests that the behavioral effects of sleep on memory are smaller than usually believed. In the declarative domain, the difference in recall rates between sleep and waking conditions, although significant, usually only amounts to a marginal fraction of the learned material (e.g., [41]). This suggests that most of the learned material can still be retained and consolidated during wakefulness, without the necessary intervention of sleep (refer to the latter section of this article). However, one could argue that the major behavioral effect of sleep on memory consolidation is revealed under conditions of interference. Indeed, sleep provides active protection against potential interferences resulting from encoding novel information on the day following the initial learning [57].

In the procedural domain, the effects of sleep on memory consolidation were also not as simple as originally thought. Significant gains in performance in motor sequence learning have not been reported exclusively after sleep and can occur over a waking period, depending on various experimental factors: post-training interval [33,58–59], circadian rhythms [60] or subject’s awareness of the sequential material [23,34,58] (Table 1). In addition, gains in performance recorded with the FTT are, in fact, overestimated, if the fatigue accrued during initial training is not accounted
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Behavioral studies have also suggested that the effects of sleep on memory consolidation could not be easily framed merely in terms of sleep states, suggesting that neural events associated with specific sleep microstructure might be more relevant for offline processing of recent memories. Accordingly, recent research that aimed to characterize the mnemonic processes associated with slow oscillations, Δ waves, spindles, phasic REMS and sleep neuromodulatory context. Recently, the emphasis was put on the impact of NREMS oscillations on memory processes. Two distinct, equally inspiring and not necessarily exclusive hypotheses came under intense experimental scrutiny: the homeostatic synaptic downscaling and the systems-level consolidation in hippocampo–neocortical circuits. They are reviewed in the following sections.

**Hypothesis of a homeostatic synaptic downscaling during NREM sleep**

This hypothesis claims that wakefulness is associated with a net increase in synaptic strength in the brain, which would become energetically unsustainable in the long term [64–65]. During deep NREMS a slow (<1 Hz) oscillation emerges from cortical or thalamo–cortical populations. Unit recordings have demonstrated that neuronal activity during slow wave sleep (SWS) is characterized by a fundamental oscillation of membrane potential. This slow oscillation is recorded in all major types of neocortical neurons during NREMS and consists of a depolarizing phase, associated with important neuronal firing (the ‘up state’), followed by a hyperpolarizing phase during which cortical neurons remain silent for a few hundred milliseconds (the ‘down state’) [66–67]. The slow oscillation occurs synchronously in large neuronal populations, the
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**Table 1. Examples of factors modulating memory consolidation characteristics.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of learning material</th>
<th>Type of retrieval test</th>
<th>Type of learning</th>
<th>Type of population</th>
<th>Type of sleep surrounding learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declarative/procedural</td>
<td>Recall/recognition</td>
<td>Explicit/implicit</td>
<td>Genetics</td>
<td>Delay, duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional /neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast/slow</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>before/after learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New/old</td>
<td></td>
<td>Motivational factors</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Circadian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult/easy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attention/alertness/vigilance</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Homeostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Non-rapid eye movement sleep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data taken from [90].*
activity of which alternates between so-called ON and OFF states [65], in such a way that it is reflected on EEG recordings as large amplitude low frequency waves [68]. Δ waves (1–4 Hz) are faster waves of smaller amplitude that arise when the synchronization in the network is less marked [65,69]. Slow wave activity (SWA), in other words, the EEG power between 0.75 and 4 Hz, is a compound parameter that quantifies the homeostatic sleep pressure accrued during wakefulness [70]. During NREM sleep, the hypothesis assumes that the slow oscillation would be associated with a downscaling of synaptic strength to a baseline.

---

**Figure 3. Experimental protocol and memory task.** (A) Experimental design. After training on a desktop computer and subsequent testing in the scanner on day 1 (immediate retrieval), subjects were either totally sleep deprived or allowed regular sleep on the first post-training night. They were all retested under identical conditions during a second functional MRI session on day 4 (delayed retrieval). (B) The map depicts an aerial view of the color 3D virtual town in which subjects navigated at the ground level. Snapshots show the three locations used as targets for testing during the fMRI sessions. The ten starting points are represented by numbers, with associated symbols indicating the target location to reach. Reproduced with permission from [25].
Figure 4. Sleep-dependent modulation of brain activity. Contrasts are displayed at p < 0.001 (uncorrected) superimposed on the average T1-weighted MRI scan. Color bars code the value of the t-statistic associated with each voxel. (A) Higher activity elicited by place finding for the regular sleep (RS) compared with the totally sleep-deprived (TSD) group at delayed retrieval bilaterally in the caudate nucleus. Blue crosshair, right caudate nucleus (14, 8 and 18 mm; Z = 3.73). (B) Between-group regression analyses of the average session performance on cerebral activity at delayed retrieval (sagittal and coronal sections). Blue crosshair, right caudate nucleus (8, 22 and 4 mm; Z = 3.45). Scatter plot shows that brain response was correlated positively with performance in the RS group (blue; r = 0.41) but negatively in the TSD group (red; r = −0.80). (C) Psychophysiological interaction analysis using the right caudate nucleus (14, 8 and 18 mm; green crosshair) as seed area. Coupling of activity between the caudate nucleus and the left hippocampus (coronal section) was negative in the RS group (blue), but positive in the TSD group (red). Blue crosshair, left hippocampus (22, 12 and 22 mm; Z = 3.15). Blue and red plots show the size of effect for each group. Error bars are standard deviations. Reproduced with permission from [25].
level, a process that is beneficial for learning and memory. The synaptic downscaling would be a general phenomenon occurring throughout the brain, one that is modulated locally by the amount of neural activity and synaptic strength enhancement accumulated during the day.

In support of this hypothesis, an increase in SWA is selectively observed after training to a visuo–motor adaptation task, over scalp areas that are deemed critical in this type of learning [43]. By contrast, arm immobilization results for a decrease in SWA over contralateral sensorimotor areas during subsequent NREMS [71]. Local increases in SWA were also reported when cortical activity was experimentally induced by a vibratory stimulation of the hand [72], transcranial magnetic stimulation [73] or when spike timing-dependent activity was elicited during waking by transcranial-paired-associative stimulation [74]. Moreover, after learning lists of word-pair associates, during the surface-positive half-waves of NREMS slow waves, coherence is enhanced over widespread scalp areas in the slow-oscillatory and Δ bands [75]. Similar increases in SWA were reported in rats exposed to an enriched environment and appear to be associated with the release of BDNF [76]. At the cellular level, the slope and amplitude of cortical evoked responses, taken as markers of local synaptic strength, increase after waking and decrease after sleep in proportion with changes in SWA [77]. At the cellular level, multunit recordings showed that firing rates and synchrony decrease after sleep. Changes in firing patterns in NREMS correlate with changes in SWA [65].

At the molecular level, in rat cortex and hippocampus, GluR1-containing AMPA receptor (AMPA) levels are high during wakefulness and low during sleep, and changes in the phosphorylation states of AMPARs, CamKII and GSK3β are consistent with synaptic potentiation during wakefulness and depression during sleep [77]. Similar findings were recently reported in the fly, which provides evidence for the generality of this phenomenon [78].

However, for the sake of completeness, it should be mentioned that not all forms of sleep-dependent plasticity seem to follow this general scheme. During neurodevelopment in kittens, the plasticity induced by monocular deprivation can be inhibited by the administration during sleep of NMDA receptor (NMDAR) and cAMP-dependent protein kinase antagonists (PKA) [79]. These findings suggest a synaptic strengthening during sleep in contrast to the downscaling hypothesis.

### Sleep-dependent systems-level memory consolidation & hippocampo-neocortical dialog

The second hypothesis pertains primarily to hippocampus-dependent memories. The classical theory of memory consolidation claims that during consolidation, hippocampus-dependent memories become progressively independent of the limbic structures and are gradually stored in cortical circuits [21,80]. Sleep would participate in this systems-level memory consolidation by promoting the functional interplay between hippocampal neural ensembles and neocortical areas [81].

This hypothesis implies that new experiences modify the activity of hippocampo–neocortical circuits during subsequent NREMS. At the systems level, human brain activity during sleep, as assessed by PET, is indeed significantly modified by previous waking experience. After the exploration of a virtual 3D maze the activity is enhanced during NREMS in occipital, parietal and mesio-temporal areas [82]. Moreover, the increase in hippocampal activity is linearly related to the individual gain in the ability to navigate in the maze the next day, suggesting that the changes in hippocampal activity during NREMS relate to the offline processing of topographical memory.

By contrast, sleep deprivation should hinder the offline processing of memory traces during NREMS and alter the neural correlates of subsequent retrieval. Accordingly, functional neuroimaging studies showed that SD during the first post-training night invariably modifies responses subsequently associated with retrieval. For instance, recall of word-pair associates 48 h after encoding is associated with larger hippocampal responses in subjects allowed to sleep on the post-encoding night compared with SD subjects [41]. Modifications of brain responses during retrieval are also modified by post-training SD for other memory tasks: topographical memory (see above) [25], emotional memory (see above) [63] and motor sequence learning [33–34].

After SD during the first post-training night, changes in brain responses associated with memory retrieval continue to be observed several months after encoding (see Figures 5 & 6) [41,63,83], suggesting that sleep immediately following encoding modulates the early consolidation and conditions the structure of long-term memory at the systems level.

At the cellular level, the slow rhythm organizes other NREMS oscillations in a coalescence of rhythms so that spindles and γ oscillations...
are more likely to occur during the up phase of the slow oscillation [84]. Likewise, hippocampal activity during NREMS is characterized by sharp waves and ripples, which are synchronous to the cortical slow oscillation [85–88], although it is not yet clear which oscillation is driving the other [86,89–91]. Finally, the slow oscillation organizes the neural firing of arousing structures such as the locus coeruleus (LC) [92]. Collectively, these data suggest that the up-state of the cortical slow oscillation constitutes a remarkable period during which the activity of various brain structures, in the cortex (slow waves and spindles), the hippocampus (sharp-wave ripples) and subcortical structures (striatum and LC) is synchronized, thereby fostering functional interactions associated with systems-level memory consolidation.

A key finding supporting this hypothesis is that sequences of neural discharges recorded in neural ensembles during wakefulness were spontaneously repeated during sleep, especially during hippocampal sharp waves and ripples, which are coherent with cortical slow oscillation, was observed in various brain structures such as the hippocampus, neocortical areas [87,93–95], the thalamus [96] or the striatum [97]. Importantly, reactivation of firing patterns in the neocortex is synchronized to hippocampal sharp waves [87,94], a condition favorable to cortico–hippocampal interactions. In addition, these reactivations appear to depend on learning as the replayed firing patterns appear only after the acquisition of new rules [94]. Simultaneous recordings in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex in the rat demonstrated that cells in prefrontal cortex fire consistently within 100 ms after hippocampal cells during NREMS [88]. This result provides the first evidence at the single-cell-pair level for highly consistent directional interactions between these areas within the window of plasticity. Moreover, these interactions were driven by hippocampal sharp-wave/ripple bursts in SWS and are sharply reduced during REMS [88]. Collectively, these data support the hypothesis that during sleep, hippocampo–neocortical interactions may progressively transfer the burden of memory from hippocampal ensembles to long-term neocortical stores.

Sleep spindles are a hallmark of light NREMS (mostly stage 2 sleep) and, in humans, consist of waxing and waning 11–15 Hz oscillations, lasting 0.5–3 s [19]. They are generated by the thalamus, which acts as a pacemaker [98–99], and result from reciprocal rhythmic interactions between reticular and thalamo–cortical cells. Postinhibitory rebound spike bursts in thalamo–cortical cells, induced by a recurrent inhibition by reticular cells, entrain cortical populations in spindle oscillations [100]. In turn, a cortico–thalamic feedback synchronizes spindle oscillations in widespread
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As spindles entrain synchronous firing in large thalamo-cortical neural populations, they are in a good position to allow for the modifications in the neural representations of recent memories. In support of this hypothesis, spindle activity increases after training on declarative [102–104] and procedural [105–107] memory tasks. Enhancing slow oscillations by direct current transcranial stimulation increases the power in the spindle frequency band and leads to a better retention of declarative memory the next morning [108]. At the cellular level, it was shown that repetitive spike bursts mimicking firing patterns observed during spontaneous spindles reliably induced short- and long-term potentiation in cortical neurons of rat brain slices [109]. It has also been suggested that activity in the spindle band would trigger molecular cascades involved in brain plasticity by increasing intracellular calcium levels [110].

Finally, the modifications in neuromodulation associated with sleep might provide a favorable context for memory offline processing. NREMS is associated with a decrease in cholinergic, adrenergic and serotonergic drive [111]. For example, acetylcholine inhibits feedback loops within the hippocampus and between the hippocampus and neocortex [112]. A low cholinergic activity during SWS would be required to promote the spontaneous replay of newly acquired information in the hippocampus. In line with this assumption, augmenting cholinergic tone during NREMS by infusion of physostigmine in humans causes deterioration in later performance on a declarative memory task [113].

REMS & pontine waves

REMS represents a state of consciousness distinct from wakefulness and NREMS, characterized by persistent (‘tonic’) features such as relatively fast-frequency, low-amplitude oscillations on EEG recordings and muscular atonia, but also by phasic characteristics such as bursts of REMS, muscle twitches and swift modifications in autonomic functions [114]. The implication of REMS in memory processing is still a subject of debate [115] and at the very least appears dispensable in adult humans since REMS suppression by administration of selective serotonin or norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors has not demonstrated any detrimental effect on motor skill or word-pair learning [116].

On the other hand, there is also positive evidence for the implication of REMS in memory processing. At the systems level, training on a procedural task (a motor sequence learning task based on probabilistic serial reaction times, Box 1) results in increased activity in premotor and occipital cortices, thalamus and upper brainstem during subsequent REMS. In addition, the functional connectivity between the premotor cortex and posterior parietal cortex and presupplementary motor area is also enhanced during post-training REMS [117]. These changes in regional activity during REMS are observed only if the learned material is structured by hidden rules and not when the material is random, suggesting that they are related to the processing of the underlying higher-order structure [118].

Figure 6. Obtained from the paired associate words task protocol, areas functionally related to the hippocampus during correct word recall on day 2 after sleep or sleep deprivation. At 2 days after learning, if subjects were allowed to sleep, the hippocampus was functionally connected to the precuneus and the medial-prefrontal cortex during correct word recall (blue). However, if subjects were sleep-deprived the night after learning, the prefrontal sites did not relate to hippocampal activation (red). Reproduced with permission from [83].
Within REMS, it appears that phasic-REMS-associated neural activity is involved in memory consolidation. One of the main characteristics of REMS in animals consists of prominent phasic bioelectrical potentials that occur isolated or in bursts during the transition from SWS to REMS or during REMS itself (for a review see 119). These waves can be observed from many parts of the animal brain [120], but are most easily recorded in the pons, the lateral geniculate bodies and the occipital cortex [121] and therefore are termed ponto–geniculo–occipital (PGO) waves in cats [122] or pontine waves in rats [122]. Typically, bursts of pontine waves are associated with REMS. Several observations suggest that PGO waves also occur during human sleep [123–128]. In rats, the density of pontine waves during post-training REMS is proportional to the gain in performance to an avoidance task [129]. Suppressing pontine waves, even without modifying the amounts of REMS, annihilates learning. By contrast, the pharmacological induction of pontine waves (by microinfusion of carbachol) enhanced subsequent performance to the avoidance task. These effects appear to be related to the activation of glutamatergic neurotransmission, gene expression and protein synthesis in the hippocampus and the amygdala [130]. Accordingly, synaptic transmission and late-LTP in the rat hippocampus are positively correlated with REMS quantities [131]. Reversely, REMS deprivation decreases synaptic transmission and long-term potentiation selectively in dorsal hippocampus. Intriguingly, levels in GluR1, NR1 and p-ERK1/2 decrease without changes in GluR1 and NR1 mRNA expression, suggesting sleep-induced modulation of translational, rather than transcriptional mechanisms [133].

**Wakefulness**

The data reviewed above does not mean that memory consolidation occurs exclusively during sleep. Indeed, experimental evidence exists for learning-related changes in spontaneous brain activity during post-training wakefulness. Replays of neural firing sequences from a previous experience are recorded in the rat hippocampus during brief pauses in waking behavior, especially when the rat has recently been in motion, especially when the rat has recently been in motion, especially when the rat has recently been in motion, especially when the rat has recently been in motion, especially when the rat has recently been in motion. Intriguingly, neural firing sequences can be replayed in a temporally reversed order during waking periods relative to previous spatial experience [132]. These reverse replays would have a critical role in support of learning in hippocampus-dependent tasks by propagating valuable information from the rewarded location backwards along incoming trajectories [133].

Likewise, in non-human primates, the correlation structure between somatosensory and parietal cortex induced by reaching and navigation tasks was maintained during post-training resting wakefulness [134]. In humans, the brain activity elicited during a new learning episode (navigation or motor sequence learning) modulates brain responses to an unrelated cognitive task (auditory oddball task), during the waking period following the end of training [135]. Collectively, these data indicate that memory is actively processed during post-training wakefulness.

**Executive summary**

**Behavioral effects**

* Functional neuroimaging techniques show that the distribution of regional brain activity during sleep is influenced by previous waking experience.

**The hypothesis of a homeostatic synaptic downscaling during NREM sleep**

* EEG and molecular data tend to support the hypothesis that NREM sleep, and more specifically slow-wave sleep, is the reflection of both a global and local synaptic downscaling correlated with the amount of synaptic strengthening that has previously taken place during wakefulness.

**The sleep-dependent systems-level memory consolidation & the hippocampo-neocortical dialogue**

* Neuroimaging as well as neurophysiological data support the classical memory consolidation hypothesis stating that during sleep hippocampo–neocortical interactions may progressively transfer the burden of memory from hippocampal ensembles to long-term neocortical stores.

**REMS & pontine waves**

* Often considered as dispensable, REMS is indeed implied in memory processing. Typical REMS phasic events such as the PGO waves are implied in learning, and there is good evidence that REMS promotes synaptic potentiation.

**Wakefulness**

* Experimental evidence exists for learning-related changes in spontaneous brain activity during post-training wakefulness, showing that memory is actively processed during wakefulness and not exclusively during sleep.

**Conclusion**

* Collectively, the available data indicate a positive influence of sleep onto memory consolidation.
Conclusions
Memory consolidation is among the few brain functions that develop over time periods ranging from a few hours to (potentially) several months or years. It necessarily unfolds across states of wakefulness and sleep (and also various circadian phases). It is not surprising that these factors influence memory consolidation.

The respective role of neural mechanisms taking place during wakefulness and during sleep remains determined.

A comprehensive understanding of memory consolidation will eventually lead to its improved characterization at various levels of description, from the molecular to the behavioral level.
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