



Rhizobacterial volatile organic compounds modulate biomass production and root architecture in Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. and Brachypodium distachyon (L.) P. Beauv.

<u>Delaplace Pierre<sup>1\*</sup>, Varin Sébastien<sup>1</sup>, Ormeño-Lafuente Elena<sup>2</sup>, Spaepen Stijn<sup>3</sup>, Saunier de Cazenave Magdalena<sup>1</sup>,</u> Blondiaux-Hendrick Adeline<sup>1</sup>, Wathelet Jean-Paul<sup>4</sup>, du Jardin Patrick<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup> University of Liège, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Plant Biology Unit, Gembloux, Belgium, \* pierre.delaplace@ulg.ac.be <sup>2</sup> Current address : Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, IEE, IMEP, UMR CNRS 6116, Laboratoire DFCV, Marseille, France <sup>3</sup> Centre of Microbial and Plant Genetics, K.U.Leuven, Bio-incubator, Heverlee, Belgium <sup>4</sup> University of Liège, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, General and Organic Chemistry Unit, Gembloux, Belgium

# Introduction and Objectives

The ability of plants to take up water and mineral nutrients from the soil depends on their capacity to develop an extensive root system and on the interactions of roots with their soil environment, the rhizosphere. Studies of the mechanisms involved in the communication between plant growth-promoting soil micro-organisms and the root system is expected to lead to improved fertility management strategies. Up to now, the characterization of such interactions has been mainly focused on liquid diffusates but it has been recently reported that volatile organic compounds (VOC) also play a role as chemical messengers in positive interactions occurring in the rhizosphere and involving plants, bacteria, fungi and insects [1-2]. In this context, this project aims to better understand the ecophysiology of the rhizosphere of Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 and Brachypodium distachyon 21-1, considering the interactions of both model plants with 19 bacterial strains.

# Materials and Methods

## Screening conditions

Surface-sterilized and vernalized seeds and caryopses were co-cultivated with rhizobacteria for 10 days after inoculation of the bacterial compartment with 2 \* 10<sup>6</sup> colony forming units of each of the 19 strains

## **Experimental set-up**

in vitro cocultivation without physical contact between plants and bacteria

Plants were cultivated in a growth chamber [22°C, 65% RH, 20h/4h (D/N), 95 µmol·m<sup>-2</sup> ·s<sup>-1</sup> (LED lighting)]

### **Growth parameters**

- Total fresh biomass, shoot and root biomass, root to shoot ratio
- Leaf area, developmental stage

## **Root architecture parameters (in progress)**

Primary root length, lateral and adventitious root numbers and cumulated lengths

- Interactions through VOC within a shared atmosphere
- VOC analysis by SPME-GC-MS
- Each plant is grown on a specific medium



# Results

# Volatile impacts on biomass production and partitioning

# Total biomass

## Shoot Biomass





## Root to shoot ratio



## Root Biomass

octanoate as internal standard.



Fresh biomass production and partitioning of Arabidopsis (A) and Brachypodium (B) plants grown in the presence of bacterial VOC for 10 days after 3 and 1 day(s) of pre-germination respectively. The presented data are means of four biological replicates (A) and one biological replicate (preliminary data, B), each replicate containing 16 plantlets. The error bars represent the confidence interval ( $\alpha = 5\%$ ) and the \* indicates a value which is significantly different from the control according to the Dunnett's test.

# Volatile Impacts on root architecture





**Bacillus numilus AP281-SE** 

## Rhizobacterial volatile measurements





Brachypodium root architecture (B). Colour code indicates the grouping of strains based on plant responses.



Semi-quantitative rhizobacterial VOC measurements (n = 4 +/- MSE) in 12 ml headspace (HS) after 24 hours of growth at 37°C on Farag et al (2006) medium. A triple DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber was selected for the analysis using methyl-

## **Conclusions and perspectives**

• In our screening conditions, 10 and 9 bacterial strains promote total biomass production in Arabidopsis and Brachypodium respectively. Some strains promote plant growth for only one out of the two species.

• For each model plant, four groups of bacterial strains can be identified based on their effects on biomass production and partitioning.

• The growth promotion effects can be linked to modifications in shoot development (leaf plastochron index) and root architecture (induction of branching and adventitious root production). The quantitative assessment of root architecture changes using EZ-Rhizo software is in progress.

• The plant growth-promoting strains emit different volatile blends that should be further investigated (via principal component analysis) to be linked to their biological effects. Therefore, further work is needed to investigate the whole datasets to raise new scientific hypothesis.

Enterobacter cloacae AP12-JM22 and Serratia marcescens AP4-90-166 promote plant growth without producing 2,3-butanediol which is a wellknown VOC promoting Arabidopsis growth.

• Dose effects and interactions with mineral availability will be investigated on a restricted subset of bacteria using contrasted plant genotypes.

## References

Ryu et al. 2003. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 8: 4927-4932.

- Kai et al. 2007. Arch Microbiol 187: 351-360.
- Farag et al. 2006. Phytochemistry 67: 2262-2268. 3.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRFC project 2.4.591.10. and postdoctoral grant 1808458) and Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech (FSR and post-doc IN grants).