

Combatting the dual burden: therapeutic targeting of common pathways in obesity and type 2 diabetes

André J. Scheen¹ and Luc F. Van Gaal²

SHORT TITLE

Dual therapy of obesity and diabetes

¹ Full Professor, University of Liège, Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders and Clinical Pharmacology Unit, CHU Sart Tilman, Liege, Belgium;

² Full Professor, University of Antwerp, Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Metabolism, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium

Correspondence to: Professor Scheen AJ, Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, Department of Medicine, CHU Sart Tilman (B35), B 4000 Liege, Belgium.
Tel. +3243667238. Fax: +3243667068. E-mail: andre.scheen@chu.ulg.ac.be

Word count: 6201 (including 74 words in one panel)

3 Figures : 1, 2 (A and B) and 3

5 Tables

SUMMARY

The increasing prevalence of obesity markedly triggers the current epidemics of type 2 diabetes (T2DM). Abdominal adiposity, a feature of ectopic fat syndrome, is associated with silent inflammation, abnormal hormone secretion and various metabolic disturbances that

contribute to insulin resistance and insulin secretory defect, resulting in T2DM, and induce a toxic pattern leading to cardiovascular disease, liver pathologies and cancer. Despite the importance of weight control strategies in the prevention and management of T2DM, long-term results are generally disappointing with lifestyle or drug interventions. Furthermore, most of the classical glucose-lowering agents are accompanied by weight gain rendering even more challenging the management of most overweight/obese individuals with T2DM. Many anti-obesity pharmacological agents targeting central control of appetite were withdrawn from the market because of safety concern. The gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor orlistat remained the only anti-obesity medication available until the recent launch (US but not Europe) of phentermine-topiramate CR and lorcaserin. The recent better knowledge of bodyweight regulation opens new perspectives with the potential use of peptides derived from the gut or the adipose tissue. Most probably, combination therapy would be necessary to avoid compensatory mechanisms and potentiate initial weight loss while avoiding weight regain. New glucose-lowering therapies, especially glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors, offer advantages over traditional antidiabetic agents by promoting weight loss while improving glucose control. . The present review will explore the overlapping pathophysiology and also how the various therapies can, alone or in combination, combat the 'dual burden' of obesity and T2DM.

Word count: 249

Key words: obesity, type 2 diabetes, body weight, glucose control, drug therapy, cardiovascular risk

Introduction

The dramatic rise of the twin epidemics, type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and obesity, is associated with increased mortality and morbidity and represents one of the most important public health challenges worldwide¹. T2DM is a complex disease where genetic and epigenetic factors interact with a toxic environment that promotes the development of obesity². Environmental risk factors include the consumption of high-calorie, high-fat foods, inadequate physical activity and recently proposed alternative mechanisms (see panel), creating a chronic energy imbalance³. Especially abdominal adiposity is associated with markedly increasing risk for T2DM, and overall 80% of people with T2DM are overweight or obese. Striking parallel increases in the prevalence of obesity and T2DM reflect the importance of body fatness as a contributing factor to diabetes incidence and complications⁴. Furthermore, adipose tissue is as an active endocrine and immune organ whose dysfunction (adiposopathy or "sick fat") is promoted by excessive caloric balance. Thus, targeting adiposopathy and not only body weight excess should be considered as a main objective in the management of the obese patient with T2DM⁵.

Both obesity and T2DM carry with them many medical complications, especially cardiovascular (CV) disease⁶, substantially increasing global medical costs¹. Aggressive treatment, in particular preventing weight gain and ideally facilitating weight reduction, can minimize and reduce diabetes-associated complications⁷. However, weight loss and maintenance are challenging in the obese population without diabetes, even more in obese people with T2DM⁸.

The close link between T2DM and excess body weight highlights the need to consider the weight effects of different treatment regimens, besides their effects on glucose homeostasis⁹. A paradigm shift from a glucocentric to a weight-centric management of T2DM may be proposed, emphasising the urgent need for new treatment strategies^{10, 11}. Some glucose-lowering drugs cause weight loss and some (albeit fewer) anti-obesity drugs improve glucose tolerance; thus, the present review will explore the overlapping pathophysiology of obesity and T2DM and also how the various therapies can, alone or in combination, combat the "dual burden". We will focus on lifestyle and drug therapy, whereas the marked success of bariatric/metabolic surgery in combating the dual burden obesity-T2DM has been covered elsewhere¹².

Panel

Main contributors to the pathophysiology of obesity and T2DM

- *Genetic predisposition and unhealthy lifestyle (sedentarity, overeating)*
- *Adipose tissue dysfunction leading to ectopic fat deposition*
- *Excess visceral fat (“adiposopathy” associated with silent inflammation)*
- *Insulin resistance leading to β -cell burden and progressive B-cell dysfunction/defect*
- *Dysfunction in neurohumoral pathways (interrelationships between brain, endocrine pancreas, adipose tissue and gastrointestinal tract)*
- *Potential role of environmental pollutants*
- *Potential interference of intestinal microbiome*

Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched Medline, PubMed, the Cochrane library, and Google Scholar for mainly original research articles published between 1973 and November 2013, and focused on the dual treatment of obesity and T2DM. The main search terms used were “obesity”, “type 2 diabetes”, “glucose-lowering”, “antidiabetic”, “anti-obesity”, “weight loss” We identified full-text papers without imposing any language restriction. Reference lists of original studies, narrative reviews and previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses were also carefully examined. Criteria used to include or exclude studies were randomised controlled trials and meta-analyses (see restrictions in the legends of the various tables).

Complex interplay between obesity and T2DM

Impact of weight changes on T2DM

The excess risk for diabetes with even modest weight gain is substantial and absolute weight gain throughout adulthood is a significant independent risk factor for T2DM⁷. Intentional weight loss is associated with reduced insulin resistance and a subsequent reduction in glucolipotoxicity, improving overall glucose homeostasis^{4, 13}. In both the Finnish and US Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), weight loss gradually reduces the risk of

diabetes, and even modest weight loss can significantly reduce the incidence of T2DM, an effect persisting in the long-term^{14, 15}. In the recent Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial in overweight/obese patients with T2DM, weight loss was greater in the intensive lifestyle intervention group than in the control group throughout the study, and this was accompanied by greater reductions in HbA1c, allowing a lower use of insulin in the intervention group than in the control group¹⁶.

Impact of glucose control on body weight regulation

Reaching and maintaining acceptable body weight is more difficult in overweight/obese patients with T2DM¹⁷. Among other factors, hyperinsulinaemia may contribute to this phenomenon via the antilipolytic and anabolic effects of the hormone⁸. For a given weight loss during a very-low calorie diet, the decrease in adiposity per unit weight loss was attenuated in T2DM subjects, possibly due to the anti-lipolytic effect of hyperinsulinaemia¹⁸. Furthermore, improvement in glucose control results in decreased glucosuria and thereby limitation of energy wasting⁸. Thereby, losing weight and fat mass is challenging in patients with T2DM^{7, 19}.

While reduction of hyperglycaemia remains the foremost goal in the pharmacological treatment of T2DM, the avoidance of weight gain may be a clinically important secondary goal²⁰. Except metformin, traditional glucose-lowering medications for T2DM (sulphonylureas, glitazones, insulin) can further increase weight in overweight/obese patients²¹ and this may undermine the benefits of improved glycaemic control⁷. Even if a link between drug-induced weight gain and increased CV risk has not been established yet, pharmacological approaches that do not cause weight gain or promote weight loss, for example those that target the gut (incretin-based therapies)²² or the kidneys (sodium glucose cotransporter-2 or SGLT-2 inhibitors)²³, may represent a valuable alternative in selected patients despite their higher cost²¹.

Comorbidities shared and magnified by both obesity and diabetes

Adipose tissue releases many bioactive mediators that influence body weight homeostasis, insulin resistance, lipids, blood pressure, coagulation, fibrinolysis and inflammation, which together contribute to oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis⁶. Therefore, obesity is not only a major risk factor for the development of T2DM but also predisposes individuals to co-morbidities including hypertension,

dyslipidaemia and sleep apnoea. Together, obesity and its comorbid conditions increase the risk for CV disease, the major cause of morbidity and mortality in T2DM [see below]. Conversely, moderate weight loss (>5% initial bodyweight), which has been associated with improvements in glycaemic parameters, also reduces the presence of other comorbidities, including dyslipidaemia and hypertension, culminating in a reduced risk of CV disease²⁴. For all new medications developed as anti-obesity drugs (or as antidiabetic agents), their impact on CV risk factors (and ideally CV outcomes) is important to analyze, besides their specific weight-loss or glucose-lowering benefits⁵.

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is also commonly associated with (abdominal) adiposity, dyslipidaemia and insulin resistance. T2DM is an additional risk factor for progressive liver disease and liver-related death in patients with NAFLD. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is present in approximately 10% of patients with T2DM and is associated with an increased risk for the development of cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and liver-related death²⁵.

There is a growing body of evidence to support a connection between T2DM, obesity and cancer²⁶. Multiple meta-analyses of epidemiological data show that people with T2DM are at increased risk of developing many different types of cancers, along with an increased risk of cancer mortality. A number of common risk factors, including obesity, may be behind the association between diabetes and cancer. Abdominal adiposity, as part of the ectopic fat syndrome, has been shown to play a role in creating insulin resistance and a systemic pro-inflammatory environment, which could result in the development of both diabetes and cancer²⁶.

Obstructive sleep apnoea is a highly prevalent condition often associated with central obesity, which could contribute to worsen insulin resistance and lead to impaired glucose tolerance and T2DM²⁷. Improvement of sleep apnoea with weight reduction can also improve insulin sensitivity and glucose profile.

As discussed, obesity is well known to worsen CV complications associated with diabetes^{5, 6}, but it may also be the case for microangiopathy. Obesity is an independent risk factor for kidney alterations, since it is associated with an increased risk of albuminuria and glomerulosclerosis, and worsens the course of chronic kidney disease²⁸. T2DM persons with higher body mass index (BMI) and larger neck circumference are more likely to have diabetic

retinopathy and more severe stages of diabetic retinopathy²⁹. Finally, CV autonomic neuropathy is common in persons with diabetes, and central obesity seems to play an important role in the early pathogenesis of this complication too³⁰.

Thus, targeting both obesity and diabetes will reduce the incidence and severity of most of these comorbid conditions, increasing patient's quality of life and lessening strain on health-care systems.

Pathophysiology and common therapeutic pathways

The common pathophysiology of obesity and T2DM is rather complex (Figure 1) and whether there is a “common soil”, or whether obesity simply leads to T2DM, remains an open question. Genetic predisposition, epigenetic factors (programming in early life) and environmental exposure play a major role in the development of both obesity and T2DM^{31, 32}. Even if epigenetic factors raised much interest in recent years, there is limited data to suggest that currently available interventions (lifestyle, drugs) may cause epigenetic modifications³². Overall, T2DM develops in obese people because of inadequate islet β -cell and adipose-tissue responses to chronic fuel excess, which results in so-called nutrient spillover, and metabolic stress that damages multiple organs^{3, 13, 33}. Furthermore, environmental pollutants³⁴ and specific bacterial intestinal colonization³⁵ have recently been proposed as additional risk factors for obesity, β -cell dysfunction and T2DM development. Thus, reversal of overnutrition (contributing to weight loss), lessening of adipose tissue disturbances and protection/ healing of the β cells should be treatment priorities^{5, 31}.

Increasing evidence suggests that dysregulation in complex neurophysiological pathways (including hormonal secretions from adipocytes, pancreatic islets and the gastrointestinal tract) contributes to pathogenesis of obesity and T2DM (Figure 1). For instance, the neurohormonal control of body weight involves a complex interplay between long-term adiposity signals (e.g., leptin), and short-term satiation signals (e.g., amylin)³⁷. The adipocyte-secreted leptin is known as a key appetite-regulating hormone, which effects on food intake, energy expenditure and behavior. Furthermore, leptin is not only a key player in controlling bodyweight but also affects the insulin-glucose axis. Dysfunction of the adipo-insular crosstalk plays a role in the development of hyperinsulinaemia and T2DM³⁶. Amylin, an hormone co-secreted with insulin, exerts effects that complement those of insulin to regulate blood glucose concentrations, while it contributes to avoid weight gain and even promote weight loss³⁷. Incretins, which are gut-derived hormones released in response to nutrient ingestion, may be perturbed in obesity and T2DM³⁸. For example, glucagon-like

peptide-1 (GLP-1) can reduce food intake, via both central (hypothalamus) and peripheral (stomach) actions, but also markedly enhances insulin secretion, an effect that is essential in limiting postprandial hyperglycaemia³⁸. These observations open new prospects for a better integrative management of obesity and T2DM²².

Clinical challenges

The main clinical objectives in tackling the dual burden of obesity and T2DM may be : (i) in normoglycaemic overweight/obese patients, the primary prevention of T2DM, especially in patients at higher risk (those with impaired glucose tolerance for instance); (ii) in overweight/obese patients with T2DM, the reduction in bodyweight to facilitate glucose control (and reduce other risk factors) or at least avoid further weight gain; and (iii) the selection of effective treatments of both obesity and T2DM in the same patient while reducing associated CV risk factors and improving quality of life and life expectancy¹⁹. Similar to lifestyle changes, which positively influence both body weight and glucose control (Figure 2), other therapeutic interventions, especially pharmacological approaches, should ideally target both body weight and glucose regulation in an integrative approach^{8, 19}. However, long-term head-to-head comparisons of the various treatment options on overall patient's prognosis are still lacking for most approaches. In particular, there is an urgent need for more data on young people, in whom the prevalence of obesity and type 2 diabetes is increasing.

Blood glucose control becomes increasingly challenging in the obese patient with T2DM after failure of metformin monotherapy (a glucose-lowering medication that does not induce weight gain or hypoglycaemia)^{11, 19}. Attempts to reach fasting/postprandial glucose or glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) targets with other therapies can lead to a higher risk of hypoglycaemia and/or weight gain, which can worsen overall prognosis⁸. Fear of hypoglycaemia and/or weight gain might favour physician's therapeutic inertia and reduce patient's compliance, contributing to the fact that targets are largely unmet worldwide. Relatively recently developed incretin-based therapies now offer some benefits regarding both weight changes and risk of hypoglycaemia²². Nevertheless, additional new strategies with low risk of hypoglycaemia and weight gain (and if possible with some weight loss) should be developed to better control glucose; ideally, such strategies should also improve also other CV risk factors^{10, 22}.

Worsening trends in obesity and T2DM raise a serious conundrum : how can blood glucose, blood pressure, and lipids be controlled when so many antidiabetic agents cause weight gain and thereby exacerbate CV risk factors ? Thus, a clear need exists for clinicians to

understand the risks and benefits of different therapeutic options in order to minimize CV risk in obese patients with T2DM¹¹. In the next sections, we discuss evidence underlying treatments for obesity and diabetes that can help to inform the clinician as to the most appropriate strategy (lifestyle, monotherapy, or combination therapy) for each individual patient.

Lifestyle intervention in the management of obesity and T2DM

Diet alone

Obesity is mainly caused by an excess of caloric intake in relation to energy expenditure³ so its treatment should primarily focus on healthy diet and increased physical activity, especially in presence of T2DM (Figure 2)³⁹. Implementing and maintaining the lifestyle changes associated with weight loss can, however, be challenging for many patients²⁴. Various weight-loss strategies with follow-up for at least 6 months have been evaluated in people with T2DM with variable results⁴⁰.

Nutritional interventions are most effective in promoting initial weight loss. Furthermore, energy restriction will improve glycaemic control within days of initiation, independent of weight loss. However, the net improvement of glucose profile is usually small 1 year after weight loss. Very-low-calorie diets lead to better initial weight loss and glycaemic control but yield no better long-term results than more moderate energy restriction treatment. Weight loss seems to be mediated via changes in energy intakes rather than diet composition⁴¹. Similarly, diet composition has little effect on glycaemic control independent of total calories⁴⁰. However, recent data suggest that prebiotic and probiotic approaches may target gut microbiome and thereby improve host metabolism⁴².

Physical activity alone

Exercise training that consisted of aerobic exercise, resistance training, or both combined significantly reduced HbA1c in patients with T2DM⁴³, but no significantly greater change in BMI was found when exercise groups were compared with control groups. Importantly, BMI might not be a very relevant measure in exercise studies: exercise can increase muscle mass while decreasing fat mass (a good thing for patient health), such changes are not necessarily reflected by a change in BMI. In another study, a 12-week aerobic exercise programme, without hypocaloric diet, reduced visceral adipose tissue, an effect that may improve overall metabolic profile⁴⁴.

Diet and physical activity combination

Lifestyle combining healthy hypocaloric diet and physical activity is the mainstay of management to improve the metabolic profile of overweight/obese individuals with prediabetes or T2DM. The clinical effectiveness of such an approach has been established from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of structured interventions^{14, 15}. However, translation into routine practice has generally less effect on T2DM risk reduction⁴⁵. In a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials, HbA1c changes in patients with T2DM corresponded to those in bodyweight and both changes were overall rather small⁴⁶. However, while the overall improvements are limited from a population standpoint, some individuals may achieve substantial improvements in multiple metabolic parameters.

It must also be mentioned that lifestyle might not be sufficient to reduce CV risk in patients with T2DM. The recent Look Ahead trial examined whether an intensive lifestyle intervention for weight loss would decrease CV morbidity and mortality among overweight/obese patients with T2DM. After a median follow-up of 9.6 years and despite significant improvements in bodyweight and various CV risk factors and a partial remission of T2DM⁴⁷, there was no difference in the primary outcome, a composite of death from CV causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalization for angina¹⁶. An insufficient weight reduction in the intervention group versus the control group or progressive better medical management of CV risk factors in routine medical care might be possible explanations for the lack of significant difference in the rate of CV events between groups¹⁶.

To date, no controlled study is available showing that lifestyle intervention alone is able to reduce the incidence and the severity of diabetes-related complications.

Anti-obesity agents with positive effects on diabetes

Although the obesity epidemic is constantly expanding at very high costs for health care systems, the currently available options for the pharmacotherapy of obesity are very limited because of a poor efficacy/safety profile of the majority of the antiobesity drugs developed up to now. Nevertheless, several antiobesity drugs have demonstrated potential in the prevention and management of T2DM^{48, 49}, although the long-term health benefits remain unclear.

Most medications used as anti-obesity agents were withdrawn because of safety issues⁵⁰. Nevertheless these drugs supported the proof-of-concept that pharmacological

approaches to promote weight loss may contribute to improve blood glucose control and attenuate other CV risk factors in patients with T2DM, and that part of these metabolic effects occur independent of weight loss. This was first the case for fenfluramine and its stereoisomer dexfenfluramine although these drugs, mainly acting by releasing serotonin, were only evaluated in small-size short-term clinical trials in patients with T2DM,⁴⁸ and are not reviewed here.

In 2012, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved two new medications, lorcaserin and phentermine-topiramate ER, which may help in combating the dual burden of obesity and T2DM^{24, 51}. However, these two medications did not receive approval from the European Medicine Agency (EMA) so that it seems that the overall political environment against anti-obesity drug therapy is different in the US and in Europe⁵². Although head-to-head comparison trials are not available, lorcaserin is likely less effective but better tolerated than phentermine/topiramate ER in obese patients with or without T2DM. CV outcome data will be invaluable in determining the place of both drugs in the therapy in overweight/obese patients with T2DM⁵³.

Sibutramine

Sibutramine inhibits the reuptake of both norepinephrine and serotonin. It induces dose-dependent weight loss in overweight/obese patients, with improvement of some CV risk factors, especially lipid profile (increased HDL cholesterol)⁵⁴ and glucose profile⁵⁵. In obese subjects with T2DM⁵⁶, the reduction in bodyweight, waist circumference, fasting blood glucose and HbA_{1c} levels were significantly greater after sibutramine (10-20 mg/day) than after placebo (see references in Table 1, upper part). However, the overall effect size on HbA_{1c} was rather modest (-0.28% , 95% CI 0.13 to 0.42; P =0.0002), with some heterogeneity among studies⁵⁷. Because sibutramine may increase heart rate and blood pressure, concern has been raised about its CV safety⁵⁸. The Sibutramine Cardiovascular OUTcomes (SCOUT) trial in overweight/obese subjects with preexisting CV disease, T2DM, or both (84% with T2DM) showed a significantly higher risk of a primary CV outcome event in the sibutramine group than in the placebo group⁵⁹. However, greater weight loss was associated with reduced overall CV risk in both groups⁶⁰. Sibutramine was withdrawn from the market due to an uncertain benefit-risk balance, even in patients with T2DM.

Rimonabant

Rimonabant, a selective cannabinoid type 1 receptor blocker, reduces bodyweight and improves CV and metabolic risk factors in non-diabetic overweight/obese patients⁶¹. Rimonabant 20 mg/day produced a clinically meaningful reduction in bodyweight and improved HbA_{1c} and various CV and metabolic risk factors in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled with various glucose-lowering therapies (see references in table 1, lower part). In a pooled efficacy analysis, rimonabant produced weight loss and significant improvements in multiple cardiometabolic risk factors such as waist circumference, HbA_{1c}, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and blood pressure, and some of these effects were partly independent of weight loss⁶². However, rimonabant increased the risk of psychiatric adverse events⁶³. The CV outcome study CRESCENDO (Rimonabant for prevention of cardiovascular events) was stopped prematurely because of a higher risk of suicide in the rimonabant 20 mg group compared to placebo⁶⁴, leading to the withdrawal of the drug from the market.

Orlistat

Following the withdrawal of (dex)fenfluramine, rimonabant and sibutramine, orlistat, which acts via a peripheral rather than central mechanism, remains the only approved anti-obesity drug for long-term therapy in most countries. Orlistat, a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor, has been evaluated in combination with a mildly reduced-calorie reduced-fat diet in overweight/obese patients with⁴⁹ or without T2DM⁶⁵. Orlistat (120 mg 3x/day) has proven its efficacy and tolerability in 6-12-month placebo-controlled RCTs in overweight/obese patients with various background therapies (see references in Table 2). Orlistat is a useful adjunctive treatment for producing weight loss and reduction in waist circumference and improving glycaemic control, β -cell function and insulin resistance indices, serum lipid levels, inflammatory markers, and in some trials, blood pressure. More orlistat- than placebo-treated patients improved from diabetic status to normal or impaired glucose tolerance. In a 4-year RCT, orlistat plus lifestyle changes produced slightly greater weight loss and resulted in a greater reduction in the incidence of T2DM (hazard ratio : 0.627, 95% CI 0.455–0.863; P=0.0032) in obese patients, compared with lifestyle changes alone. The preventive effect was essentially explained by the difference in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance⁶⁶. Orlistat tolerance profile is acceptable although mild to moderate, most often transient, gastrointestinal events were reported.

A retrospective analysis of pooled data suggested that orlistat improves glycaemic control more than would be predicted by weight loss alone⁶⁷, in agreement with an indirect

comparison between orlistat and sibutramine⁵⁷. Postulated mechanisms underlying this intrinsic effect of orlistat on glucose control include improvement of insulin sensitivity, incomplete digestion of dietary fat, reduction of postprandial plasma non-esterified fatty acids, decreased visceral adipose tissue, and partial stimulation of GLP-1 secretion⁶⁷.

Phentermine plus topiramate

Topiramate, a sulfamate-substituted monosaccharide whose complex mechanism of action remains poorly known, is approved as a treatment for migraine headaches, bipolar disease and seizure disorders. Although known to facilitate weight loss, an effect confirmed in RCTs in obese individuals⁶⁸, topiramate monotherapy does not have a regulatory indication as an anti-obesity agent. Nevertheless, RCTs investigated topiramate (96 mg or 192 mg/day) in overweight/obese patients with T2DM (see reference in Table 3). Topiramate significantly reduced bodyweight and HbA_{1c} in a dose-dependent manner, with a HbA_{1c} reduction more than predicted from observed weight loss. However, topiramate 96 mg twice daily did not significantly increase insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, despite reductions in body weight, body fat and HbA_{1c} levels⁶⁹. Using the new controlled release formulation of topiramate ER (extended release [ER]) confirmed significant reductions in bodyweight and HbA_{1c} in patients with T2DM (Table 3). However, the central nervous system and psychiatric adverse event profile of topiramate makes it unsuitable for the treatment of obesity and T2DM as monotherapy.

Phentermine, a well known sympathomimetic amine acting as stimulant and appetite-suppressant, was combined with topiramate ER as a fixed-dose combination (FDC) to further decrease appetite and increase satiety⁷⁰. Several RCTs demonstrated it to be effective in losing weight and improving adiposopathy-associated metabolic diseases, including dysglycaemia⁷¹. The efficacy and safety of two doses of phentermine plus topiramate ER combination (7.5 plus 46 mg and 15 plus 92 mg) as an adjunct to lifestyle for weight loss and metabolic risk reduction were assessed in individuals who were overweight/obese, with two or more risk factors (hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes or prediabetes, or abdominal obesity)⁷². At 56 weeks, changes in bodyweight were significantly greater with both combinations than with placebo. The higher dose was associated with a slight increase in depression- and anxiety-related adverse events⁷². In a subanalysis, metformin-treated T2DM patients reported greater weight loss and reductions in HbA_{1c} with both doses of phentermine plus topiramate than with placebo (Table 3). Among individuals without diabetes at baseline,

fewer patients progressed to T2DM ; the relative risk (vs placebo) was 0.78 (95% CI 0.40–1.50) with phentermine 7.5 mg plus topiramate 46 mg, and 0.47 (95% CI 0.25–0.88) with phentermine 15 mg plus topiramate 92 mg. These positive results were confirmed in a two-year extension period (see reference in Table 3). In subjects with T2DM at baseline, HbA1c did not change in the placebo group (despite net increases in glucose-lowering medications), whereas treatment with low and high strengths of the FDC led to significant HbA1c reductions (Table 3). In subjects without T2DM at baseline, the favourable effects of weight loss on insulin sensitivity and glycaemia were associated with decreased progression to T2DM (-54%, P=0.1514 with the lower dosage and -76%, P=0.0078 with the higher dosage) after 104 weeks. Finally, in overweight subjects with prediabetes and/or metabolic syndrome, phentermine plus topiramate ER produced significant weight loss and markedly reduced progression to T2DM, accompanied by improvements in multiple cardiometabolic disease risk factors⁷³.

Lorcaserin

Oral lorcaserin, a selective serotonin 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist resulting in decreased food intake and increased satiety, is indicated in the US as an adjunct to diet and exercise in the chronic weight management of obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) adults, or overweight (BMI ≥ 27 kg/m²) adults with at least one weight-related comorbidity (e.g. dyslipidaemia, hypertension, T2DM)⁷⁴. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of one-year RCTs in obese adults, weight loss of 3.23 kg was observed with lorcaserin (10 mg once or twice daily) compared with placebo⁷⁵. In comparison to placebo, lorcaserin decreased waist circumference, blood pressure, cholesterol and triglycerides, without affecting heart rate. Adverse events were headache, nausea and dizziness^{74, 75}.

The 1-year BLOOM-DM (Behavioral Modification and Lorcaserin for Obesity and Overweight Management in Diabetes Mellitus) study evaluated lorcaserin in T2DM patients treated with metformin, a sulfonylurea or both. Weight loss was amplified, more patients lost $\geq 5\%$ bodyweight, while HbA1c and fasting glucose reductions were greater with the two doses of lorcaserin (10 mg once or twice daily) than with placebo (see reference in Table 3). Slightly more patients taking lorcaserin than placebo decreased the overall use of oral antidiabetic medications while fewer patients taking lorcaserin increased the total daily dose of glucose-lowering agents. Insulin resistance index was more markedly reduced with lorcaserin compared to placebo, but no significant between-group differences were observed

regarding lipid profile, blood pressure or inflammatory markers. Symptomatic hypoglycaemia occurred slightly more frequently with lorcaserin than with placebo.

Antidiabetic agents with positive effects on obesity

Pramlintide

Pramlintide is an analogue of the pancreatic hormone amylin, which is relatively deficient in patients with T2DM³⁷. Through mechanisms similar to those of amylin, pramlintide (120-240 microg 3 times daily) improves overall glycaemic control and reduces bodyweight in patients with T2DM (Figure 3, Table 4). Besides reducing postprandial glucose levels, pramlintide treatment is also associated with improvements in markers of oxidative stress and CV risk. Pramlintide has been shown to increase satiety and, therefore, decrease caloric intake via a central mechanism³⁷. It is generally well tolerated, with the most frequent treatment-emergent adverse event being transient nausea.

Preliminary trials assessing the use of pramlintide for weight loss in obese patients without diabetes have demonstrated weight loss of up to 8 kg after 1 year. However, current trials were limited by inconsistent study design, dosing, and patient population⁷⁶. Newer research is focusing on weight loss effects with a combined peptide approach in obese individuals (see below)^{37, 77}.

GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors

Incretin-based therapies exploit the insulinotropic actions of GIP (glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide) and GLP-1 for the treatment of T2DM (Figure 3, Table 4). They include GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) and inhibitors of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4), the enzyme that inactivates these two incretin hormones in the body³⁸. Weight neutrality of DPP-4 inhibitors (also called gliptins) while improving glucose control⁷⁸ may be an advantage in the management of overweight/obese patients with T2DM compared with other glucose-lowering agents known to promote weight gain (see above)²¹. Furthermore, these new compounds do not expose patients to hypoglycaemia (except when added to insulin or sulphonylureas)⁷⁸ and may also exert favourable effects on various CV risk factors⁷⁹. Similar positive effects are observed with GLP-1RAs⁸⁰, with the add-on value of promoting weight loss in obese patients with T2DM²². Furthermore, GLP-1RA effects on bodyweight are also apparent in non-diabetic obese individuals, offering a potential for use in the treatment of obesity⁸¹.

According to a systematic review of RCTs in overweight/obese patients with or without T2DM, treatment with GLP-1RAs (as monotherapy or combination therapy : exenatide twice daily, exenatide once weekly, or liraglutide once daily) results in greater weight loss than control groups (placebo, oral antidiabetic drugs, or insulin). Weight loss was recorded in the GLP-1RA groups for patients with diabetes (-2.8 kg, 95% CI -3.4 to -2.3) and without diabetes (-3.2 kg, 95% CI -4.3 to -2.1). In T2DM patients, GLP-1RAs had beneficial effects on blood pressure, plasma cholesterol, and glycaemic control (see reference in Table 4). GLP-1RAs were associated with nausea, diarrhoea, and vomiting, a slight increase in pulse rate, but not with hypoglycaemia. In a post-hoc analysis of 26-week data from seven RCTs assessing liraglutide (1.2-1.8 mg once daily) in T2DM, liraglutide-treated subjects experienced additional HbA1c reduction beyond that which induced by weight loss⁸².

In patients with T2DM not well controlled on oral glucose-lowering therapies (mainly metformin and/or sulfonylurea) and compared with insulin glargine⁸³, the addition of GLP-1RAs showed almost similar (or better) blood glucose control but with a lower incidence of hypoglycaemia and less weight gain (conversely a significant weight loss was recorded). The combination of a GLP-1RA (mainly targeting postprandial hyperglycaemia) and basal insulin (mainly targeting fasting hyperglycaemia) may also be highly effective for optimal glucose control, while limiting weight gain, an adverse effect typically associated with insulin therapy in patients with T2DM⁸⁴.

Liraglutide^{85, 86} appears also to have promising effects on bodyweight in overweight/obese adults without T2DM. Monotherapy with liraglutide at a higher dose of 2.4-3.0 mg once a day induced significant and sustained weight loss, improved certain obesity-related risk factors (especially blood pressure), and reduced the incidence of prediabetes and metabolic syndrome over 1-2 years^{85, 86}. Of interest, results were more favourable with liraglutide than with orlistat (120 mg three times a day orally) used as an active comparator.

Several studies investigated whether weight loss with GLP-1RAs corresponds to fat loss and which mechanisms might be implicated (see references in Table 5). Overall, studies demonstrated significant reductions in waist circumference, total fat and visceral fat, proportional to weight loss; this effect resulted from decreased appetite and reduced energy intake (with some changes in food preference), with almost no or only a slight increase in energy expenditure.

Finally, GLP-1RAs were shown to improve various CV risk factors in overweight/obese T2DM patients, especially blood pressure, postprandial lipid profile,

endothelial dysfunction, inflammatory markers and oxidative stress⁸⁰. All these pleiotropic effects may lead to improvement in CV prognosis, although this hypothesis remained to be confirmed in ongoing large prospective RCTs⁸⁰.

SGLT-2 inhibitors

SGLT-2 inhibitors (dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, empagliflozin) increase glucose excretion, independent of insulin secretion or action, by inhibiting the renal reabsorption of glucose⁸⁷. Dapagliflozin (5 or 10 mg) has been approved in Europe for the treatment of T2DM as an adjunct to diet and exercise, in combination with other glucose-lowering medicinal products, including insulin, and as a monotherapy for metformin-intolerant patients⁸⁸ while canagliflozin was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in April 2013 and is undergoing evaluation by the European Medicines Agency⁸⁹. Other SGLT-2 inhibitors (especially empagliflozin) are currently in late phase of clinical development.

In patients with T2DM, SGLT-2 inhibitors reduced HbA1c (-0.5% to -1.5%) when used as either monotherapy or combined therapy with any other glucose-lowering agent, including insulin, with a weight loss of up to 3 kg (Figure 3) and a low incidence of hypoglycaemia. Cardiometabolic benefits included a reduction in systolic blood pressure and triglycerides (see reference in Table 4). Because of side effects (urinary tract and genital infections), a potential risk of dehydration (elderly patients on diuretics) and a reduced glucose-lowering activity in subjects with renal impairment, appropriate patient selection and close monitoring will be important. Further clinical studies should demonstrate the effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors on diabetic complications and CV events as well as their long-term tolerability/safety profile.

So far, SGLT-2 inhibitors are the only oral glucose-lowering agents also promoting significant weight loss²³. Dapagliflozin induced weight loss, predominantly by reducing fat mass, visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled with metformin⁹⁰. Experimental data in rodents suggested, however, that the persistent urinary glucose excretion induced by dapagliflozin was accompanied by compensatory hyperphagia⁹¹. These animal observations are in agreement with findings in humans showing a lower weight loss than that predicted by the recurrent waste of calorie through sustained increased glucosuria. Therefore, gliflozin-induced weight loss might also require dietary intervention or other adjunct therapies limiting food intake.

The future for pharmacology of T2D and obesity

Novel pharmacological therapies are currently under investigation as potential treatments for obesity and T2DM⁵⁰. There are two main avenues of investigation: the first targets the central nervous system to reduce food intake (with drugs including naltrexone plus bupropion, tesofensine and zonisamide) but again with the risk of frequent adverse events⁹²; the second is more innovative and targets complex interrelated hormonal pathways (brain, gut, adipose tissue) involved in weight regulation and glucose homeostasis (Figure 1)^{93, 94}.

Naltrexone sustained release plus bupropion sustained release

The efficacy of current centrally-acting obesity pharmacotherapies is limited by compensatory mechanisms that mitigate weight loss and by potential side effects, paving the route for combined therapies. Opioid receptor antagonism (naltrexone) plus pro-opiomelanocortin activation (bupropion) causes greater weight loss than monotherapy with either agent or placebo⁹⁵, an effect confirmed in overweight/obese patients with comorbid T2DM, hypertension or hyperlipidaemia^{96, 97}. Overall, placebo-subtracted mean weight loss averaged 4.7% (range 3.2-5.2%) with naltrexone/bupropion after 1 year, with a higher proportion of patients achieving ≥ 5 -10% weight loss and improvement of waist circumference, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein, fasting insulin, and insulin resistance compared with placebo. Reductions in body fat mass and visceral adipose tissue were proportional with weight loss⁹⁸. In patients with T2DM, naltrexone/bupropion therapy significantly decreased HbA1c 0.5% more than placebo (see reference in Table 3). Because systolic blood pressure and pulse rate were higher compared with placebo, further studies are necessary to determine the effect of naltrexone/bupropion on CV outcomes. It is not approved as an anti-obesity therapy yet⁹⁹.

Leptin and leptin-related synthetic peptide analogues

Leptin not only controls food intake and energy expenditure, but also modulates the insulin-glucose axis³⁶. Consequently, leptin replacement therapy raised hope in obesity and T2DM¹⁰⁰. Unfortunately, results with recombinant human leptin were rather disappointing, especially in overweight/obese patients with T2DM¹⁰¹. Metreleptin, an analogue of human leptin, improved insulin sensitivity, hypertriglyceridaemia and hyperglycaemia in patients with lipodystrophy¹⁰². In obese patients with T2DM, however, metreleptin did not alter body weight or circulating inflammatory markers and reduced HbA1c only marginally¹⁰³. New

approaches in the development of anti-obesity and anti-diabetes pharmacophores are now focused on utilizing leptin-related synthetic peptides as leptin receptor antagonists or leptin-related synthetic peptide analogues or mimetics¹⁰⁴.

Peptide hormone combination therapies

Experimental data suggest that leptin and amylin, two hormones involved in satiation control (see above), have additive effects¹⁰⁵. In a proof-of-concept RCT in overweight/obese subjects, combination treatment with pramlintide/metreleptin led to significantly, early and sustained, greater weight loss than treatment with pramlintide or metreleptin alone. Although pramlintide/metreleptin combination was promising as novel, integrated neurohormonal approach to obesity pharmacotherapy with or without T2DM^{106, 107}, the latest RCT was recently stopped due to safety concerns¹⁰⁸.

Finally, instead of using two or more agonists for the treatment of obesity and T2DM, another promising option may be the use of single co-agonists. As examples, GLP-1-glucagon and GLP-1-amylin co-agonists may be of potential interest¹⁰⁹. Although substantial progress has been achieved in preclinical studies, the putative success and safety of co-agonist therapy for the treatment of patients with obesity and T2DM remains uncertain and requires extensive additional clinical validation¹¹⁰. Another potential future option is a SGLT-2 inhibitor/GLP1-RA combination in an attempt to weaken the compensatory “overeating” mechanism observed with SGLT-2 inhibition⁹¹.

Conclusion

The management of the obese diabetic patient remains challenging, but, in any case, weight reduction should be considered as a key objective. Lifestyle intervention to lose weight is recommended in most T2DM patients to improve glycaemic control and reduce associated risk factors for complications. Even modest weight loss can significantly improve glucose homeostasis and lessen cardiometabolic risk factors, although achieving and especially maintaining 5-10% weight reduction remains difficult for many patients, and these surrogate endpoints are not always strengthened by hard outcome data. There is a growing concern that the weight gain induced by some diabetes medications diminishes their clinical benefits. New glucose-lowering agents (incretin-based therapies, SGLT-2 inhibitors) are associated with some weight reduction and improvement of various CV risk factors.

However, the long-term benefit-risk profile of these new compounds remains to be determined in large prospective studies. Finally, the place of anti-obesity agents in the management of overweight/obese patients with T2DM was limited by rather poor efficacy and safety concern. A better understanding of weight-regulating mechanisms has led to the identification of new targets for anti-obesity agents. Especially, peptide hormones control body weight and glucose homeostasis by engaging peripheral and central metabolic signalling pathways responsible for the maintenance of body weight and euglycaemia. Notably, therapeutic attempts to normalize body weight and glycaemia with single agents alone have generally been disappointing paving the route for using combined therapies in the future.

Individualized therapy in the management patients with T2DM will become increasingly important with (and perhaps a consequence of) the increasing number of drugs available and the presence of obesity may certainly influence the physician's choice of the best pharmacological approach.

Author contributions

Both authors contributed to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafted or revised the paper; and approved the final version to be published.

Conflict of interest statement

The Authors declare no conflict of interest.

André Scheen has received lecture/advisor fees from AstraZeneca/Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Novo Nordisk and sanofi-aventis (period 2010-2012). He also received an unrestricted research grant from NovoNordisk and Novartis.

Luc Van Gaal is/has been member of the Advisory Board and Speakers Bureau of Astra Zeneca/BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Janssen J & J, Merck MSD, Novartis, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi (period 2010-2012). He received a grant support from National Research Funds, Belgium. He also received grant support for hepatic research from the EU consortium (Hepadip and Resolve consortia).

Figure 1 : Illustration of the complex pathophysiology of obesity and T2DM, involving genetics, epigenetics and environment burdens interfering with various target organs that are interconnected via complex hormonal pathways (\leftrightarrow). GLP-1 : glucagon-like peptide-1. GIP : glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide. NEFA : non esterified fatty acids.

Figure 2 : Main mechanisms controlling bodyweight (upper panel A) and blood glucose (lower panel B) and crucial role of lifestyle (before considering pharmacological interventions : see figure 2). Bodyweight changes influence glucose control while glucose control may influence bodyweight changes in patients with type 2 diabetes. Besides lifestyle, genetics may also play a role in controlling appetite and energy expenditure (resting metabolic rate, thermogenesis, energy metabolism).

Figure 3 : Multiple pharmacological approaches used to control hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes with special focus on drug's effect on bodyweight. (*) Modest effect. GLP-1 RA : glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist. DPP-4 : dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor. SGLT-2 : sodium glucose cotransporter-2.

Table 1 : Mean effects of sibutramine and rimonabant on bodyweight, HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose, blood pressure and total cholesterol in placebo-controlled trials in overweight/obese patients with T2DM. Only trials that randomised at least 50 patients per arm with a follow-up of at least 16 weeks were considered (no such trials were reported with fenfluramine./dexfenfluramine). * References available in appendix. \$ p-value < 0.05 compared with placebo or control. [A: without p values or CIs, it is not possible for the reader to determine which trials showed a real difference between groups. Thus, for columns highlighted here and in other tables, please note which differences were significant, perhaps just by applying a symbol. I added as example for weight, but please confirm the additions are correct.]

References*	Back-ground therapy	Duration Weeks	Drug : N	BMI kg/m ²	BW kg	Δ Weight kg	HbA1c %	Δ HbA1c %	FPG mmol/l	Δ FPG mmol/l	Δ SBP/DBP mmHg	Δ [OK?]Total cholesterol mmol/l
SIBUTRAMINE												
Kaukua et al 2004 ¹¹¹	Diet	52	Pbo : 122 Sibu 15 mg : 114	35.6 35.7	98.1 100.8	- 2.6 - 7.1\$	NA NA	+ 0.3 - 0.2	NA NA	NA NA	+ 4.1/- 0.2 + 3.6/+ 1.7	NA NA
Serrano-Rios et al 2002 ¹¹²	SU	26	Pbo : 65 Sibu 15 mg : 69	37.3 35.5	94.2 92.0	- 1.7 - 4.5\$	9.5 9.0	- 0.68 - 0.78	9.9 9.3	- 0.3 - 0.8	+ 0.5/NA - 1.1/NA	0 + 0.1
McNulty et al 2003 ¹¹³	Metformin	52	Pbo : 64 Sibu 15 mg : 68 Sibu 20 mg : 62	36.2 36.3 37.5	100.7 103.5 104.3	- 0.2 - 5.5\$ - 8.0\$	9.73 9.75 9.14	- 0.22 - 0.56 - 0.32	9.1 9.5 9.2	+ 0.2 - 0.3 - 0.1	- 0.2/+ 0.5 + 4.4/+ 3.3 - 1.5/+ 0.4	- 0.2 - 0.1 0
Fujioka et al 2000 ¹¹⁴	Met/SU	24	Pbo : 86 Sibu 5-20 mg : 89	33.8 34.1	98.2 99.3	- 0.4 - 3.7\$	8.3 8.4	+ 0.27 + 0.17	9.9 10.2	+ 1.0 + 0.6	+ 2.4/+ 1.4 + 3.9/+ 2.6	+ 0.09 + 0.13
RIMONABANT												
Rosenstock et al 2008 ¹¹⁵	Diet	26	Pbo : 140 Rimo 20 mg : 138	34.6 34.4	96.3 96.6	- 2.8 - 6.7\$	7.9 7.9	- 0.3 - 0.8	8.7 9.0	+ 0.1 - 0.9	NA/NA NA/NA	+ 0.10 - 0.08

Scheen et al 2006 ¹¹⁶	Met or SU	52	Pbo : 348 Rimo 20 mg : 339	34.2 34.1	96.0 95.7	- 1.4 - 5.3\$	7.2 7.3	+ 0.10 - 0.60	8.2 8.5	+ 0.33 - 0.64	+ 1.6/- 0.7 - 0.8/- 1.9	+ 0.10 + 0.04
Hollander et al 2010 ¹¹⁷	Insulin	48	Pbo : 187 Rimo 20 mg : 179	34.25 34.98	95.3 97.6	+ 0.13 - 2.49\$	9.12 9.13	- 0.24 - 0.89	11.03 11.40	- 0.63 - 1.85	NA/NA NA/NA	+ 0.02 + 0.11

SU : sulphonylurea. Met : metformin. Pbo : placebo. Sibutramine. Rimo : rimonabant. BMI : body mass index. BW : body weight. HbA1c : glycated haemoglobin. FPG : fasting plasma glucose. SBP : systolic blood pressure. DBP : diastolic blood pressure. Δ : change versus baseline. NA : not available.

Table 2 : Mean effects of orlistat (120 mg 3x/day) on bodyweight, HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose, blood pressure and total cholesterol in placebo-controlled trials in overweight/obese patients with T2DM. Note that orlistat treatment reduced the requirement for anti-diabetic medication more than placebo. Only trials that randomised at least 50 patients per arm with a follow-up of at least 16 weeks were considered. * References available in appendix.

References*	Back-ground therapy	Duration Weeks	N	BMI kg/m ²	BW kg	Δ Weight kg	HbA1c %	Δ HbA1c %	FPG mmol/l	Δ FPG mmol/l	Δ SBP/DBP mmHg	Δ Total cholesterol mmol/l
Shi et al 2005¹¹⁸	Newly diagnosed	24	P : 124 O : 125	NA NA	78.7 79.4	- 2.4 - 5.4	7.3 7.3	- 0.6 - 1.0	8.0 8.1	- 0.5 - 1.3	- 3.8/- 3.5 - 5.6/- 3.7	+ 1.20 - 6.50
Halpern et al 2003¹¹⁹	Diet	24	P : 174 O : 164	34.5 34.6	89.5 89.7	- 2.58 - 4.24	8.49 8.37	- 0.22 - 0.61	11.50 11.05	- 0.01 - 1.00	NA/NA NA/NA	- 0.03 - 0.40
Hollander et al 1998¹²⁰	SU	57	P : 159 O : 162	34.0 34.5	99.7 99.6	- 4.31 - 6.19	8.20 8.05	+ 0.18 - 0.28	9.09 8.85	+ 0.54 - 0.02	NA/NA NA/NA	+ 0.39 - 0.08
Miles et al 2002¹²¹	Metformin	52	P : 254 O : 249	35.2 35.6	101.1 102.1	- 1.8 - 4.7	8.79 8.87	- 0.41 - 0.75	11.1 11.6	- 0.7 - 2.0	- 0.4/NA - 2.1/NA	+ 0.06 - 0.27
Berne et al 2005¹²²	Met or Met + SU	52	P : 109 O : 111	32.9 32.6	95.7 95.3	- 1.7 - 4.8	7.6 7.6	- 0.2 - 1.1	10.9 11.2	- 0.3 - 1.9	- 3.1/- 1.9 - 3.2/- 2.4	+ 0.10 - 0.20
Kelley et al 2002¹²³	Insulin (\pm Met/SU)	52	P : 269 O : 266	35.6 35.8	101.8 102.0	- 1.27 - 3.89	8.99 9.01	- 0.27 - 0.62	11.16 10.91	- 1.08 - 1.63	- 0.9/- 1.0 - 1.2/- 2.3	+ 0.08 - 0.30

SU : sulphonylurea. Met : metformin. P : placebo. O : orlistat. BMI : body mass index. BW : body weight. HbA1c : glycated haemoglobin. FPG : fasting plasma glucose. SBP : systolic blood pressure. DBP : diastolic blood pressure. Δ : change versus baseline. NA : not available.

Table 3 : Mean effects of topiramate, topiramate/phentermine, lorcaserin and naltrexone/bupropion on bodyweight, HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose, blood pressure and total cholesterol with T2DM in placebo-controlled trials in overweight/obese patients. Only trials that randomised at least 50 patients per arm with a follow-up of at least 16 weeks were considered. * References available in appendix.

References*	Back-ground therapy	Duration Weeks	Drug : N	BMI kg/m ²	BW kg	Δ Weight kg	HbA1c %	Δ HbA1c %	FPG mmol/l	Δ FPG mmol/l	Δ SBP/DBP mmHg	Δ Total cholesterol mmol/l
TOPIRAMATE												
Stenlof et al 2007 ¹²⁴	Diet	40	Pbo : 78	36.1	104.1	- 2.6	6.7	- 0.2	7.8	0	- 2.0/+ 0.8	NA
			Topi 96 mg : 74	36.2	104.9	- 6.9	6.9	- 0.6	8.0	- 0.9	- 6.3/- 3.3	NA
			Topi 192 mg : 77	35.6	101.9	- 9.3	6.8	- 0.7	7.7	- 1.0	- 7.6/- 3.2	NA
Toplak et al 2007 ¹²⁵	Metformin	24	Pbo : 208	36.6	103.2	- 1.8	7.3	- 0.1	8.8	+ 0.11	- 0.4/- 0.4	+ 0.22
			Topi 96 mg : 219	36.2	102.4	- 4.6	7.1	- 0.4	8.6	- 0.45	- 4.8/- 1.4	+ 0.16
			Topi 192 mg : 213	36.0	99.4	- 6.5	7.1	- 0.6	8.7	- 0.92	- 4.4/- 1.4	+ 0.10
Rosenstock et al 2007 ¹²⁶	Diet ± Met	16	Pbo : 57	37.7	109.7	- 2.5	7.4	- 0.4	9.2	- 0.6	- 4.2/- 1.6	- 0.08
			Topi CR 175 mg : 54	38.1	106.0	- 6.0	7.6	- 0.9	9.3	- 1.9	- 10.2/- 5.3	- 0.32
Gadde et al 2011 ¹²⁷ (**)	Diet ± Met	56	Pbo : 157	NA	NA	- 1.9 (*)	6.8	- 0.1	7.6	- 0.31	NA/NA	NA
			Topi 46 mg + Phen 75 mg : 67	NA	NA	- 6.8(*)	6.8	- 0.4	7.5	- 0.54	NA/NA	NA
			Topi 92 mg + Phen 15 mg : 164	NA	NA	- 8.8(*)	6.8	- 0.4	7.3	- 0.66	NA/NA	NA
LORCASERIN												
O'Neil et al 2012 ¹²⁸	Met and/or SU	52	Pbo : 248	35.9	102.3	- 1.6	8.0	- 0.4	8.89	- 0.66	- 0.9/- 0.7	NA
			Lorca 2 x 10 mg : 251	36.1	103.5	- 4.5	8.1	- 0.9	9.09	- 1.52	- 0.8/- 1.1	NA
			Lorca 1 x 10 mg : 96	36.1	106.1	- 4.7	8.1	- 1.0	8.77	- 1.58	+ 0.6/+ 0.3	NA

NALTREXONE/BUPROPION												
Hollander et al 2010¹²⁹	Any OAD	56	Pbo : 159 Nal/Bup : 265	36.0 36.0	105.0 106.4	- 1.9 - 5.3	7.99 7.97	- 0.14 - 0.63	9.11 8.89	- 0.22 - 0.66	NA/NA NA/NA	NA NA

(*) Change expressed in % of initial body weight instead of kg. (**) Analysis in a subgroup of patients with T2DM

SU : sulphonylurea. Met : metformin. OAD : oral antidiabetic drug. Pbo : placebo. Topi : topiramate. Phen : phentermine. Lorca : lorcaserin. Nal/Bup : naltrexone/bupropion. BMI : body mass index. BW : body weight. HbA1c : glycated haemoglobin. FPG : fasting plasma glucose. SBP : systolic blood pressure. DBP : diastolic blood pressure. Δ : change versus baseline. NA : not available.

Table 4 : Meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials with pramlintide, GLP-1 receptor agonists, DPP-4 inhibitors and SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with T2DM : Mean effects on bodyweight, HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose, blood pressure and total cholesterol. Results are expressed as weighted mean differences versus placebo (95% confidence intervals). Results reported with the anti-obesity agent orlistat (the only anti-obesity drug available worldwide) are shown for the purpose of comparison. * References available in appendix.

Drug References*	Trials N	Patients N	Δ Body Weight kg	Δ HbA1c %	Δ FPG mmol/l	Δ SBP mmHg	Δ DBP mmHg	Δ Total cholesterol mmol/l
Anti-obesity agent								
ORLISTAT Norris et al 2005⁴⁹	4	904	- 2.64 (- 3.17, - 2.11)	- 0.38 (- 0.51, - 0.25)	- 0.86 (- 1.32, - 0.40)	- 1.11 (- 2.91, + 0.69)	- 1.30 (- 2.99, + 0.39)	- 0.37 (- 0.47, - 0.29)
Glucose-lowering agents								
PRAMLINTIDE Sing-Franco et al 2011¹³⁰	5	930	- 2.57 (- 3.44, - 1.70)	- 0.33 (- 0.51, - 0.14)	- 0.35 (- 1.39, + 0.68)	NA	NA	NA
GLP-1RAs Vilsboll et al 2012¹³¹	25 (22 in T2DM)	6411 (5817)	- 2.90 (- 3.59, - 2.22)	- 0.63 (- 0.80, - 0.46)	- 1.32 (- 1.35, - 1.29)	- 3.57 (- 5.49, - 1.66)	- 1.38 (- 2.02, - 0.73)	- 0.10 (- 0.16, - 0.04)
DPP-4 INHIBITORS Kim et al 2013¹³²	57	17 191	+ 0.52 (0.37, 0.67)	- 0.72 (- 0.77, - 0.67)	- 1.08 (- 1.18, - 0.98)	NA	NA	NA
Monami et al 2013¹³³	70	41 959	NA	- 0.40 (- 0.5, - 0.3)	NA	- 0.1 (- 1.2, + 1.8)	NA	- 0.28 (- 0.46, - 0.10)
SGLT-2 INHIBITORS	13	4063	- 1.17 (*)	- 0.52	- 1.02	- 4.08	- 1.16	NA

Musso et al 2012¹³⁴			(- 1.41, - 0.92)	(- 0.46, - 0.57)	(- 1.15, - 0.88)	(- 4.91, - 3.24)	(- 1.67, - 0.66)	
---------------------------------------	--	--	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--

(*) Change expressed as percentage of initial body mass index

GLP-1 RA : glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist. DPP-4 : dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor. SGLT-2 : sodium glucose cotransporter-2. BW : body weight.
HbA1c : glycated haemoglobin. FPG : fasting plasma glucose. SBP : systolic blood pressure. DBP : diastolic blood pressure. NA : not available.

Table 5 : Mean effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists on weight loss, reduction in total fat mass and visceral adipose tissue, appetite/energy intake and energy expenditure, vs background therapy [A:OK?]. Mean results reported in completers. All controlled trials of potential interest, whatever the number of randomised subjects and the duration of treatment, were considered in this summary table. * References available in appendix.

References*	Back-ground therapy	GLP-1 RA	Duration Weeks	N	Δ HbA1c %	Δ Body weight kg	Δ Total fat kg	Δ Visceral fat %	Δ appetite/energy intake	Δ energy expenditure %
Bunck et al 2010 ¹³⁵	Metformin	Exe 2x10 μ g	52	69	NA	-3.9	-2.4	-13	NA	NA
Bradley et al 2012 ¹³⁶	No diabetes	Exe 2x10 μ g	14	45	NA	-2.0	-1.3	NA	NA	No change
Jendle et al 2009 ¹³⁷	Metformin	Lira 1.2 mg Lira 1.8 mg	26	31 37	-0.9 -1.0	-2.0 -3.2	-1.6 -2.4	-17 -16	NA NA	NA NA
Jendle et al 2009 ¹³⁷	Diet	Lira 1.2 mg Lira 1.8 mg	52	23 20	-0.5 -0.9	-2.4 -2.3	-2.0 -1.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Inoue et al 2011 ¹³⁸	OAD/insulin	Lira 0.9 mg	26	29	-1.1	-8.2	NA	NA	Reduced	NA
Suzuki et al 2013 ¹³⁹	OAD/insulin	Lira 0.9 mg	26	46	-0.3	-2.0	NA	-6	NA	NA
Horowitz et al 2012 ¹⁴⁰	Diet/OAD	Lira 1.8 mg	4	38	NA	-1.3	NA	NA	Reduced	+7.6%
Flint et al 2013 ¹⁴¹	Diet/OAD	Lira 0.6-1.8 mg	3	18	NA	-0.55	NA	NA	Reduced	NA

OAD : oral antidiabetic drug. GLP-1 RA : GLP-1 receptor agonist. Exe : exenatide. Lira : liraglutide. HbA1c : glycated haemoglobin. Δ : change versus baseline. NA : not available.

References

1. Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T, Gortmaker SL, Brown M. Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet*. 2011; **378**(9793): 815-25.
2. Chen L, Magliano DJ, Zimmet PZ. The worldwide epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus--present and future perspectives. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2012; **8**(4): 228-36.
3. Hall KD, Sacks G, Chandramohan D, Chow CC, Wang YC, Gortmaker SL, et al. Quantification of the effect of energy imbalance on bodyweight. *Lancet*. 2011; **378**(9793): 826-37.
4. Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature*. 2006; **444**(7121): 840-6.
5. Bays HE. Adiposopathy, diabetes mellitus, and primary prevention of atherosclerotic coronary artery disease: treating "sick fat" through improving fat function with antidiabetes therapies. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012; **110**(9 Suppl): 4B-12B.
6. Van Gaal LF, Mertens IL, De Block CE. Mechanisms linking obesity with cardiovascular disease. *Nature*. 2006; **444**(7121): 875-80.
7. Schwartz S, Fabricatore AN, Diamond A. Weight reduction in diabetes. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2012; **771**: 438-58.
8. Mavian AA, Miller S, Henry RR. Managing type 2 diabetes: balancing HbA1c and body weight. *Postgrad Med*. 2010; **122**(3): 106-17.
9. Meneghini LF, Orozco-Beltran D, Khunti K, Caputo S, Damci T, Liebl A, et al. Weight beneficial treatments for type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011; **96**(11): 3337-53.
10. Tahrani AA, Bailey CJ, Del Prato S, Barnett AH. Management of type 2 diabetes: new and future developments in treatment. *Lancet*. 2011; **378**(9786): 182-97.
11. Niswender K. Diabetes and obesity: therapeutic targeting and risk reduction - a complex interplay. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2010; **12**(4): 267-87.
12. Dixon JB, le Roux CW, Rubino F, Zimmet P. Bariatric surgery for type 2 diabetes. *Lancet*. 2012; **379**(9833): 2300-11.
13. DeFronzo RA. Banting Lecture. From the triumvirate to the ominous octet: a new paradigm for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes*. 2009; **58**(4): 773-95.
14. Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Christophi CA, Hoffman HJ, Brenneman AT, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet*. 2009; **374**(9702): 1677-86.
15. Lindstrom J, Ilanne-Parikka P, Peltonen M, Aunola S, Eriksson JG, Hemio K, et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *Lancet*. 2006; **368**(9548): 1673-9.
16. Wing RR, Bolin P, Brancati FL, Bray GA, Clark JM, Coday M, et al. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; **369**(2): 145-54.
17. Wing RR, Marcus MD, Epstein LH, Salata R. Type II diabetic subjects lose less weight than their overweight nondiabetic spouses. *Diabetes Care*. 1987; **10**(5): 563-6.
18. Baker ST, Jerums G, Prendergast LA, Panagiotopoulos S, Strauss BJ, Proietto J. Less fat reduction per unit weight loss in type 2 diabetic compared with nondiabetic obese individuals completing a very-low-calorie diet program. *Metabolism*. 2012; **61**(6): 873-82.
19. Scheen AJ. Current management strategies for coexisting diabetes mellitus and obesity. *Drugs*. 2003; **63**(12): 1165-84.
20. Hermansen K, Mortensen LS. Bodyweight changes associated with antihyperglycaemic agents in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Drug Saf*. 2007; **30**(12): 1127-42.

21. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetologia*. 2012; **55**(6): 1577-96.
22. Barnett A, Allsworth J, Jameson K, Mann R. A review of the effects of antihyperglycaemic agents on body weight: the potential of incretin targeted therapies. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2007; **23**(7): 1493-507.
23. Barnett AH. Impact of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors on weight in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Postgrad Med*. 2013; **125**(5): 92-100.
24. Henry RR, Chilton R, Garvey WT. New options for the treatment of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (narrative review). *J Diabetes Complications*. 2013; **27**(5): 508-18.
25. Smith BW, Adams LA. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes mellitus: pathogenesis and treatment. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2011; **7**(8): 456-65.
26. Garg SK, Maurer H, Reed K, Selagamsetty R. Diabetes and cancer: two diseases with obesity as a common risk factor. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013; May 13. doi: 0.1111/dom.12124. [Epub ahead of print].
27. Bonsignore MR, Borel AL, Machan E, Grunstein R. Sleep apnoea and metabolic dysfunction. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2013; **22**(129): 353-64.
28. Lastra G, Manrique C, Sowers JR. Obesity, cardiometabolic syndrome, and chronic kidney disease: the weight of the evidence. *Adv Chron Kidney Dis*. 2006; **13**(4): 365-73.
29. Dirani M, Xie J, Fenwick E, Benarous R, Rees G, Wong TY, et al. Are obesity and anthropometry risk factors for diabetic retinopathy? The diabetes management project. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011; **52**(7): 4416-21.
30. Laitinen T, Lindstrom J, Eriksson J, Ilanne-Parikka P, Aunola S, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction is associated with central obesity in persons with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabet Med*. 2011; **28**(6): 699-704.
31. Nolan CJ, Damm P, Prentki M. Type 2 diabetes across generations: from pathophysiology to prevention and management. *Lancet*. 2011; **378**(9786): 169-81.
32. Drong AW, Lindgren CM, McCarthy MI. The genetic and epigenetic basis of type 2 diabetes and obesity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2012; **92**(6): 707-15.
33. Garber AJ. Obesity and type 2 diabetes: which patients are at risk? *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2012; **14**(5): 399-408.
34. Hectors TL, Vanparys C, van der Ven K, Martens GA, Jorens PG, Van Gaal LF, et al. Environmental pollutants and type 2 diabetes: a review of mechanisms that can disrupt beta cell function. *Diabetologia*. 2011; **54**(6): 1273-90.
35. Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M. Interactions between gut microbiota and host metabolism predisposing to obesity and diabetes. *Annu Rev Med*. 2011; **62**: 361-80.
36. Amitani M, Asakawa A, Amitani H, Inui A. The role of leptin in the control of insulin-glucose axis. *Front Neurosci*. 2013; **7**: 51.
37. Lutz TA. Effects of amylin on eating and adiposity. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*. 2012; (209): 231-50.
38. Drucker DJ, Nauck MA. The incretin system: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes. *Lancet*. 2006; **368**(9548): 1696-705.
39. Klein S, Sheard NF, Pi-Sunyer X, Daly A, Wylie-Rosett J, Kulkarni K, et al. Weight management through lifestyle modification for the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes: rationale and strategies: a statement of the American Diabetes Association, the North American Association for the Study of Obesity, and the American Society for Clinical Nutrition. *Diabetes Care*. 2004; **27**(8): 2067-73.

40. Ajala O, English P, Pinkney J. Systematic review and meta-analysis of different dietary approaches to the management of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013; **97**(3): 505-16.
41. Te Morenga L, Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ.* 2013; **346**: e7492.
42. Kootte RS, Vrieze A, Holleman F, Dallinga-Thie GM, Zoetendal EG, de Vos WM, et al. The therapeutic potential of manipulating gut microbiota in obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2012; **14**(2): 112-20.
43. Umpierre D, Ribeiro PA, Kramer CK, Leitao CB, Zucatti AT, Azevedo MJ, et al. Physical activity advice only or structured exercise training and association with HbA1c levels in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2011; **305**(17): 1790-9.
44. Vissers D, Hens W, Taeymans J, Baeyens JP, Poortmans J, Van Gaal L. The effect of exercise on visceral adipose tissue in overweight adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2013; **8**(2): e56415.
45. Cardona-Morrell M, Rychetnik L, Morrell SL, Espinel PT, Bauman A. Reduction of diabetes risk in routine clinical practice: are physical activity and nutrition interventions feasible and are the outcomes from reference trials replicable? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health.* 2010; **10**: 653.
46. Norris SL, Zhang X, Avenell A, Gregg E, Brown TJ, Schmid CH, et al. Long-term non-pharmacologic weight loss interventions for adults with type 2 diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005; (2): CD004095.
47. Gregg EW, Chen H, Wagenknecht LE, Clark JM, Delahanty LM, Bantle J, et al. Association of an intensive lifestyle intervention with remission of type 2 diabetes. *JAMA.* 2012; **308**(23): 2489-96.
48. Scheen AJ, Lefebvre PJ. Antiobesity pharmacotherapy in the management of Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2000; **16**(2): 114-24.
49. Norris SL, Zhang X, Avenell A, Gregg E, Schmid CH, Lau J. Pharmacotherapy for weight loss in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005; (1): CD004096.
50. Gouni-Berthold I, Bruning JC, Berthold HK. Novel approaches to the pharmacotherapy of obesity. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2013; **19**(27): 4938-52.
51. Fleming JW, McClendon KS, Riche DM. New obesity agents: lorcaserin and phentermine/topiramate. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2013; **47**(7-8): 1007-16.
52. Astrup A, Rossner S, Finer N, Van Gaal L. Obesity in Europe - does anybody care? *Expert Opin Pharmacother.* 2013; **14**(8): 971-3.
53. Berlie HD, Hurren KM. Evaluation of lorcaserin for the treatment of obesity. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2013; **9**(8): 1053-9.
54. James WP, Astrup A, Finer N, Hilsted J, Kopelman P, Rossner S, et al. Effect of sibutramine on weight maintenance after weight loss: a randomised trial. STORM Study Group. Sibutramine Trial of Obesity Reduction and Maintenance. *Lancet.* 2000; **356**(9248): 2119-25.
55. Van Gaal LF, Peiffer FW. The importance of obesity in diabetes and its treatment with sibutramine. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2001; **25 Suppl 4**: S24-8.
56. Vettor R, Serra R, Fabris R, Pagano C, Federspil G. Effect of sibutramine on weight management and metabolic control in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of clinical studies. *Diabetes Care.* 2005; **28**(4): 942-9.
57. Scheen AJ, Ernest P. New antiobesity agents in type 2 diabetes: overview of clinical trials with sibutramine and orlistat. *Diabetes Metab.* 2002; **28**(6 Pt 1): 437-45.
58. Scheen AJ. Cardiovascular risk-benefit profile of sibutramine. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs.* 2010; **10**(5): 321-34.

59. James WP, Caterson ID, Coutinho W, Finer N, Van Gaal LF, Maggioni AP, et al. Effect of sibutramine on cardiovascular outcomes in overweight and obese subjects. *N Engl J Med*. 2010; **363**(10): 905-17.
60. Caterson ID, Finer N, Coutinho W, Van Gaal LF, Maggioni AP, Torp-Pedersen C, et al. Maintained intentional weight loss reduces cardiovascular outcomes: results from the Sibutramine Cardiovascular OUTcomes (SCOUT) trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2012; **14**(6): 523-30.
61. Van Gaal LF, Rissanen AM, Scheen AJ, Ziegler O, Rossner S, Grp RI-ES. Effects of the cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker rimonabant on weight reduction and cardiovascular risk factors in overweight patients: 1-year experience from the RIO-Europe study. *Lancet*. 2005; **365**(9468): 1389-97.
62. Van Gaal L, Pi-Sunyer X, Despres JP, McCarthy C, Scheen A. Efficacy and safety of rimonabant for improvement of multiple cardiometabolic risk factors in overweight/obese patients: pooled 1-year data from the Rimonabant in Obesity (RIO) program. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; **31** **Suppl 2**: S229-40.
63. Christensen R, Kristensen PK, Bartels EM, Bliddal H, Astrup A. Efficacy and safety of the weight-loss drug rimonabant: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2007; **370**(9600): 1706-13.
64. Topol EJ, Bousser MG, Fox KA, Creager MA, Despres JP, Easton JD, et al. Rimonabant for prevention of cardiovascular events (CRESCENDO): a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010; **376**(9740): 517-23.
65. McClendon KS, Riche DM, Uwaifo GI. Orlistat: current status in clinical therapeutics. *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2009; **8**(6): 727-44.
66. Torgerson JS, Hauptman J, Boldrin MN, Sjostrom L. XENical in the prevention of diabetes in obese subjects (XENDOS) study: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes for the prevention of type 2 diabetes in obese patients. *Diabetes Care*. 2004; **27**(1): 155-61.
67. Jacob S, Rabbia M, Meier MK, Hauptman J. Orlistat 120 mg improves glycaemic control in type 2 diabetic patients with or without concurrent weight loss. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2009; **11**(4): 361-71.
68. Wilding J, Van Gaal L, Rissanen A, Vercruysse F, Fitchet M. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study of the long-term efficacy and safety of topiramate in the treatment of obese subjects. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004; **28**(11): 1399-410.
69. Eliasson B, Gudbjornsdottir S, Cederholm J, Liang Y, Vercruysse F, Smith U. Weight loss and metabolic effects of topiramate in overweight and obese type 2 diabetic patients: randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2007; **31**(7): 1140-7.
70. Cameron F, Whiteside G, McKeage K. Phentermine and topiramate extended release (Qsymia): first global approval. *Drugs*. 2012; **72**(15): 2033-42.
71. Bays H. Phentermine, topiramate and their combination for the treatment of adiposopathy ('sick fat') and metabolic disease. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2010; **8**(12): 1777-801.
72. Gadde KM, Allison DB, Ryan DH, Peterson CA, Troupin B, Schwiens ML, et al. Effects of low-dose, controlled-release, phentermine plus topiramate combination on weight and associated comorbidities in overweight and obese adults (CONQUER): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2011; **377**(9774): 1341-52.
73. Garvey WT, Ryan DH, Henry R, Bohannon NJ, Toplak H, Schwiens M, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes in subjects with prediabetes and metabolic syndrome treated with

- phentermine and topiramate extended-release. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; Oct 8. [Epub ahead of print].
74. Hoy SM. Lorcaserin: a review of its use in chronic weight management. *Drugs*. 2013; **73**(5): 463-73.
 75. Chan EW, He Y, Chui CS, Wong AY, Lau WC, Wong IC. Efficacy and safety of lorcaserin in obese adults: a meta-analysis of 1-year randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and narrative review on short-term RCTs. *Obes Rev*. 2013; **14**(5): 383-92.
 73. Fleming JW, McClendon KS, Riche DM. New obesity agents: lorcaserin and phentermine/topiramate. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2013; **47**(7-8): 1007-16.
 74. Astrup A, Rossner S, Finer N, Van Gaal L. Obesity in Europe - does anybody care? *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2013; **14**(8): 971-3.
 75. Berlic HD, Hurren KM. Evaluation of lorcaserin for the treatment of obesity. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2013; **9**(8): 1053-9.
 76. Dunican KC, Adams NM, Desilets AR. The role of pramlintide for weight loss. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2010; **44**(3): 538-45.
 77. Younk LM, Mikeladze M, Davis SN. Pramlintide and the treatment of diabetes: a review of the data since its introduction. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2011; **12**(9): 1439-51.
 78. Scheen AJ. A review of gliptins in 2011. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2012; **13**(1): 81-99.
 79. Scheen AJ. Cardiovascular effects of gliptins. *Nature Rev Cardiol*. 2013; **10**(2): 73-84.
 80. Ussher JR, Drucker DJ. Cardiovascular biology of the incretin system. *Endocr Rev*. 2012; **33**(2): 187-215.
 81. Holst JJ, Deacon CF. Is there a place for incretin therapies in obesity and prediabetes? *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2013; **24**(3): 145-52.
 82. Niswender K, Pi-Sunyer X, Buse J, Jensen KH, Toft AD, Russell-Jones D, et al. Weight change with liraglutide and comparator therapies: an analysis of seven phase 3 trials from the liraglutide diabetes development programme. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013; **15**(1): 42-54.
 83. Van Gaal LF, Gutkin SW, Nauck MA. Exploiting the antidiabetic properties of incretins to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus: glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists or insulin for patients with inadequate glycemic control? *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2008; **158**(6): 773-84.
 84. Holst JJ, Vilsboll T. Combining GLP-1 receptor agonists with insulin: therapeutic rationales and clinical findings. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013; **15**(1): 3-14.
 85. Astrup A, Rossner S, Van Gaal L, Rissanen A, Niskanen L, Al Hakim M, et al. Effects of liraglutide in the treatment of obesity: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet*. 2009; **374**(9701): 1606-16.
 86. Astrup A, Carraro R, Finer N, Harper A, Kunesova M, Lean ME, et al. Safety, tolerability and sustained weight loss over 2 years with the once-daily human GLP-1 analog, liraglutide. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2012; **36**(6): 843-54.
 87. Abdul-Ghani MA, Norton L, DeFronzo RA. Role of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT 2) inhibitors in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Endocr Rev*. 2011; **32**(4): 515-31.
 88. Plosker GL. Dapagliflozin: a review of its use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Drugs*. 2012; **72**(17): 2289-312.
 89. Lamos EM, Younk LM, Davis SN. Canagliflozin, an inhibitor of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2, for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2013; **9**(6): 763-75.
 90. Bolinder J, Ljunggren O, Kullberg J, Johansson L, Wilding J, Langkilde AM, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on body weight, total fat mass, and regional adipose tissue distribution in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with inadequate glycemic control on metformin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; **97**(3): 1020-31.

91. Devenny JJ, Godonis HE, Harvey SJ, Rooney S, Cullen MJ, Pelleymounter MA. Weight loss induced by chronic dapagliflozin treatment is attenuated by compensatory hyperphagia in diet-induced obese (DIO) rats. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2012; **20**(8): 1645-52.
92. Derosa G, Maffioli P. Anti-obesity drugs: a review about their effects and their safety. *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2012; **11**(3): 459-71.
93. Kesty NC, Roth JD, Maggs D. Hormone-based therapies in the regulation of fuel metabolism and body weight. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2008; **8**(11): 1733-47.
94. Billyard T, McTernan P, Kumar S. Potential therapies based on antidiabetic peptides. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007; **21**(4): 641-55.
95. Katsiki N, Hatzitolios AI, Mikhailidis DP. Naltrexone sustained-release (SR) + bupropion SR combination therapy for the treatment of obesity: 'a new kid on the block'? *Ann Med*. 2011; **43**(4): 249-58.
96. Greenway FL, Fujioka K, Plodkowski RA, Mudaliar S, Guttadauria M, Erickson J, et al. Effect of naltrexone plus bupropion on weight loss in overweight and obese adults (COR-I): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2010; **376**(9741): 595-605.
97. Apovian CM, Aronne L, Rubino D, Still C, Wyatt H, Burns C, et al. A randomized, phase 3 trial of naltrexone SR/bupropion SR on weight and obesity-related risk factors (COR-II). *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013; **21**(5): 935-43.
98. Smith SR, Fujioka K, Gupta AK, Billes SK, Burns C, Kim D, et al. Combination therapy with naltrexone and bupropion for obesity reduces total and visceral adiposity. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013; **15**(9): 863-6.
99. Rueda-Clausen CF, Padwal RS, Sharma AM. New pharmacological approaches for obesity management. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2013; **9**(8): 467-78.
100. Feng H, Zheng L, Feng Z, Zhao Y, Zhang N. The role of leptin in obesity and the potential for leptin replacement therapy. *Endocrine*. 2013; **44**(1): 33-9.
101. Cummings BP. Leptin therapy in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013; **15**(7): 607-12.
102. Chou K, Perry CM. Metreleptin: first global approval. *Drugs*. 2013; **73**(9): 989-97.
103. Moon HS, Matarese G, Brennan AM, Chamberland JP, Liu X, Fiorenza CG, et al. Efficacy of metreleptin in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: cellular and molecular pathways underlying leptin tolerance. *Diabetes*. 2011; **60**(6): 1647-56.
104. Grasso P. Novel approaches to the treatment of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus: bioactive leptin-related synthetic peptide analogs. *Recent Pat Endocr Metab Immune Drug Discov*. 2011; **5**(3): 163-75.
105. Moon HS, Chamberland JP, Mantzoros CS. Amylin and leptin activate overlapping signalling pathways in an additive manner in mouse GT1-7 hypothalamic, C(2)C(1)(2) muscle and AML12 liver cell lines. *Diabetologia*. 2012; **55**(1): 215-25.
106. Ravussin E, Smith SR, Mitchell JA, Shringarpure R, Shan K, Maier H, et al. Enhanced weight loss with pramlintide/metreleptin: an integrated neurohormonal approach to obesity pharmacotherapy. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009; **17**(9): 1736-43.
107. Chan JL, Roth JD, Weyer C. It takes two to tango: combined amylin/leptin agonism as a potential approach to obesity drug development. *J Investig Med*. 2009; **57**(7): 777-83.
108. Tam CS, Lecoultre V, Ravussin E. Novel strategy for the use of leptin for obesity therapy. *Exp Opin Biol Ther*. 2011; **11**(12): 1677-85.
109. Roth JD, Erickson MR, Chen S, Parkes DG. GLP-1R and amylin agonism in metabolic disease: complementary mechanisms and future opportunities. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2012; **166**(1): 121-36.

110. Sadry SA, Drucker DJ. Emerging combinatorial hormone therapies for the treatment of obesity and T2DM. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2013; **9**(7): 425-33.

Other references

(A: please provide the remaining references in a separate file, to be supplied as Appendix. Please also note that these references may not be checked/edited by us.)

[OK : Sarah : However, how I have to quote the references in the tables (???)

111. Kaukua JK, Pekkarinen TA, Rissanen AM. Health-related quality of life in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of sibutramine in obese patients with type II diabetes. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004; **28**(4): 600-5.

112. Serrano-Rios M, Melchionda N, Moreno-Carretero E. Role of sibutramine in the treatment of obese Type 2 diabetic patients receiving sulphonylurea therapy. *Diabet Med*. 2002; **19**(2): 119-24.

113. McNulty SJ, Ur E, Williams G. A randomized trial of sibutramine in the management of obese type 2 diabetic patients treated with metformin. *Diabetes Care*. 2003; **26**(1): 125-31.

114. Fujioka K, Seaton TB, Rowe E, Jelinek CA, Raskin P, Lebovitz HE, et al. Weight loss with sibutramine improves glycaemic control and other metabolic parameters in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2000; **2**(3): 175-87.

115. Rosenstock J, Hollander P, Chevalier S, Iranmanesh A. SERENADE: the Study Evaluating Rimonabant Efficacy in Drug-naive Diabetic Patients: effects of monotherapy with rimonabant, the first selective CB1 receptor antagonist, on glycemic control, body weight, and lipid profile in drug-naive type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; **31**(11): 2169-76.

116. Scheen AJ, Finer N, Hollander P, Jensen MD, Van Gaal LF. Efficacy and tolerability of rimonabant in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled study. *Lancet*. 2006; **368**(9548): 1660-72.

117. Hollander PA, Amod A, Litwak LE, Chaudhari U. Effect of rimonabant on glycemic control in insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: the ARPEGGIO trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2010; **33**(3): 605-7.

118. Shi YF, Pan CY, Hill J, Gao Y. Orlistat in the treatment of overweight or obese Chinese patients with newly diagnosed Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2005; **22**(12): 1737-43.

119. Halpern A, Mancini MC, Suplicy H, Zanella MT, Repetto G, Gross J, et al. Latin-American trial of orlistat for weight loss and improvement in glycaemic profile in obese diabetic patients. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2003; **5**(3): 180-8.

120. Hollander PA, Elbein SC, Hirsch IB, Kelley D, McGill J, Taylor T, et al. Role of orlistat in the treatment of obese patients with type 2 diabetes. A 1-year randomized double-blind study. *Diabetes Care*. 1998; **21**(8): 1288-94.

121. Miles JM, Leiter L, Hollander P, Wadden T, Anderson JW, Doyle M, et al. Effect of orlistat in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. *Diabetes Care*. 2002; **25**(7): 1123-8.

122. Berne C. A randomized study of orlistat in combination with a weight management programme in obese patients with Type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. *Diabet Med*. 2005; **22**(5): 612-8.

123. Kelley DE, Bray GA, Pi-Sunyer FX, Klein S, Hill J, Miles J, et al. Clinical efficacy of orlistat therapy in overweight and obese patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: A 1-year randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2002; **25**(6): 1033-41.

124. Stenlof K, Rossner S, Vercruyse F, Kumar A, Fitchet M, Sjostrom L. Topiramate in the treatment of obese subjects with drug-naive type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2007; **9**(3): 360-8.
125. Toplak H, Hamann A, Moore R, Masson E, Gorska M, Vercruyse F, et al. Efficacy and safety of topiramate in combination with metformin in the treatment of obese subjects with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2007; **31**(1): 138-46.
126. Rosenstock J, Hollander P, Gadde KM, Sun X, Strauss R, Leung A. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study to assess the efficacy and safety of topiramate controlled release in the treatment of obese type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2007; **30**(6): 1480-6.
127. Garvey WT, Ryan DH, Look M, Gadde KM, Allison DB, Peterson CA, et al. Two-year sustained weight loss and metabolic benefits with controlled-release phentermine/topiramate in obese and overweight adults (SEQUEL): a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 extension study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2012; **95**(2): 297-308.
128. O'Neil PM, Smith SR, Weissman NJ, Fidler MC, Sanchez M, Zhang J, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial of lorcaserin for weight loss in type 2 diabetes mellitus: the BLOOM-DM study. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2012; **20**(7): 1426-36.
129. Hollander P, Gupta AK, Plodkowski R, Greenway F, Bays H, Burns C, et al. Effects of naltrexone sustained-release/bupropion sustained release combination therapy on body weight and glycemic parameters in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2013; Oct 21. [Epub ahead of print].
130. Singh-Franco D, Perez A, Harrington C. The effect of pramlintide acetate on glycemic control and weight in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and in obese patients without diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2011; **13**(2): 169-80.
131. Vilsboll T, Christensen M, Junker AE, Knop FK, Gluud LL. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists on weight loss: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ.* 2012; **344**: d7771.
132. Kim YG, Hahn S, Oh TJ, Kwak SH, Park KS, Cho YM. Differences in the glucose-lowering efficacy of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors between Asians and non-Asians: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia.* 2013; **56**(4): 696-708.
133. Monami M, Ahren B, Dicembrini I, Mannucci E. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and cardiovascular risk: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013; **15**(2): 112-20.
134. Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. A novel approach to control hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: sodium glucose co-transport (SGLT) inhibitors: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Ann Med.* 2012; **44**(4): 375-93.
135. Bunck MC, Diamant M, Eliasson B, Corner A, Shaginian RM, Heine RJ, et al. Exenatide affects circulating cardiovascular risk biomarkers independently of changes in body composition. *Diabetes Care.* 2010; **33**(8): 1734-7.
136. Bradley DP, Kulstad R, Racine N, Shenker Y, Meredith M, Schoeller DA. Alterations in energy balance following exenatide administration. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2012; **37**(5): 893-9.
137. Jendle J, Nauck MA, Matthews DR, Frid A, Hermansen K, During M, et al. Weight loss with liraglutide, a once-daily human glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue for type 2 diabetes treatment as monotherapy or added to metformin, is primarily as a result of a reduction in fat tissue. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2009; **11**(12): 1163-72.

138. Inoue K, Maeda N, Kashine S, Fujishima Y, Kozawa J, Hiuge-Shimizu A, et al. Short-term effects of liraglutide on visceral fat adiposity, appetite, and food preference: a pilot study of obese Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2011; **10**: 109.
139. Suzuki D, Toyoda M, Kimura M, Miyauchi M, Yamamoto N, Sato H, et al. Effects of liraglutide, a human glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue, on body weight, body fat area and body fat-related markers in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Intern Med*. 2013; **52**(10): 1029-34.
140. Horowitz M, Flint A, Jones KL, Hindsberger C, Rasmussen MF, Kapitza C, et al. Effect of the once-daily human GLP-1 analogue liraglutide on appetite, energy intake, energy expenditure and gastric emptying in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2012; **97**(2): 258-66.
141. Flint A, Kapitza C, Zdravkovic M. The once-daily human GLP-1 analogue liraglutide impacts appetite and energy intake in patients with type 2 diabetes after short-term treatment. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013; **15**(10): 958-62.