Inferring bounds on the performance of a control policy from a sample of one-step system transitions
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In financial, medical, and engineering sciences, as well as in artificial intelligence, variants (or generalizations) of the following discrete-time optimal control problem arise quite frequently: a system, characterized by its state-transition function \( x_{t+1} = f(x_t, u_t), \ x_t \in X, \ u_t \in U, \ f : X \times U \to X, \) should be controlled by using a policy \( u_t = h(t, x_t), \ h : \{0, \ldots, T - 1\} \times X \to U \) so as to maximize a cumulative reward \( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \rho(x_t, u_t), \) \( \rho : X \times U \to \mathbb{R} \) over a finite horizon \( T \in \mathbb{N}. \)

Different approaches have been proposed for solving this class of problem, such as dynamic programming [1] and model predictive control [2], reinforcement learning approaches [3, 4, 5] or approximate dynamic programming approaches [6]. Whatever the approach used to derive a control policy for a given problem, one major question that remains open today is to ascertain the actual performance of the derived control policy [7] when applied to the real system behind the model or the dataset (or the finger). Indeed, for many applications, even if it is perhaps not paramount to have a policy \( h \) which is very close to the optimal one, it is however crucial to be able to guarantee that the considered policy \( h \) leads for some initial states \( x_0 \) to high-enough cumulated rewards on the real system that is considered.

Motivated by these considerations, we have focused on the evaluation of control policies on the sole basis of the actual behaviour of the concerned real system. This has lead us to develop an approach for computing a lower bound on the actual behaviour of the concerned real system. This has leaded to develop an approach for computing a lower bound on the actual behaviour of the concerned real system. This has lead to develop an approach for computing a lower bound on the actual behaviour of the concerned real system.

The approach, which is fully detailed in [8], works by identifying in \( \mathcal{F} \) a sequence of \( T \) four-tuples \( \{(x^0, u^0, r^0, y^0), (x^1, u^1, r^1, y^1), \ldots , (x^{T-1}, u^{T-1}, r^{T-1}, y^{T-1})\} \) \( t \in \{1, \ldots , |\mathcal{F}|\} \), which maximizes a specific numerical criterion. This criterion is made of the sum of the \( T \) rewards corresponding to these four-tuples \( (\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} r^t) \) and \( T \) negative terms. The negative term corresponding to the four-tuple \( (x^t, u^t, r^t, y^t) \) of the sequence represents an upper bound variation of the cumulated rewards over the remaining time steps that can occur by simulating the system from a state \( x^t \) rather than \( y^{t-1} \) (with \( y^{T-1} = x_0 \)) and by using at time \( t \) the action \( u^t \) rather than \( h(t, y^{t-1}) \). Once this best sequence of tuples has been identified - something that can be achieved by using an algorithm whose complexity is linear with respect to the optimization horizon \( T \) and quadratic with respect to the size \( |\mathcal{F}| \) of the sample of four-tuples - a lower bound on the sum of rewards can be computed in a straightforward way. Furthermore, it can be shown that this lower bound converges at least linearly towards the true value of the return with the density of the sample (measured by the maximal distance of any state-action pair to this sample).
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