Use of Staby® technology for development and production of DNA vaccines free of antibiotic resistance gene
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The appearance of new viruses and the cost of developing certain vaccines require that new vaccination strategies now have to be developed. DNA vaccination seems to be a particularly promising method. For this application, plasmid DNA is injected into the subject (man or animal). This plasmid DNA encodes an antigen that will be expressed by the cells of the subject. In addition to the antigen, the plasmid also encodes a resistance to an antibiotic, which is used during the construction and production steps of the plasmid. However, regulatory agencies (FDA, USDA and EMA) recommend to avoid the use of antibiotics resistance genes. Delphi Genetics developed the Staby® technology to replace the antibiotic-resistance gene by a selection system that relies on two bacterial genes. These genes are small in size (approximately 200 to 300 bases each) and consequently encode two small proteins. They are naturally present in the genomes of bacteria and on plasmids. The technology is already used successfully for production of recombinant proteins to achieve higher yields and without the need of antibiotics. In the field of DNA vaccines, we have now the first data validating the innocuousness of this Staby® technology for eukaryotic cells and the feasibility of an industrial production of an antibiotic-free DNA vaccine. Moreover, as a proof of concept, mice have been successfully vaccinated with our antibiotic-free DNA vaccine against a deadly disease, pseudorabies (induced by Suid herpesvirus 1).

Introduction

Today vaccination is an uncontested way of fighting disease. It has enabled the control of several diseases, including diphtheria, tetanus, poliomyelitis and mumps (at least in certain parts of the world). However, new viruses are appearing, with the characteristic of being able to mutate their genetic composition quickly (AIDS, SARS, Avian Flu H5N1, Swine Flu H1N1, and so on and so forth). In addition, the cost of developing vaccines precludes the possibility of accelerating the development of new vaccines. However, this method then lost its interest because of its low effectiveness in tests on large primates even though it had proved to be very effective in treating smaller animals. In the course of the last ten years, great attention has been paid to the DNA delivery methods. It is indeed this stage that seemed to be limiting. Several avenues have been explored and have enabled new DNA delivery methods to be developed, one of which was electroporation, which seems currently to be the best injection method available. In vivo electrotransfer involves plasmid injection and application of high voltage pulses that, on one hand, transitorily disturb membranes and thus increase cells permeability and, on the other hand, promote electrophoresis of negatively charged DNA. This new injection method has rekindled interest in DNA vaccination, especially considering its multiple advantages compared with the production of protein antigens: stability of the DNA (easier transport and storage), identical production methods to be developed, one of which was electroporation, which seems currently to be the best injection method available. In vivo electrotransfer involves plasmid injection and application of high voltage pulses that, on one hand, transitorily disturb membranes and thus increase cells permeability and, on the other hand, promote electrophoresis of negatively charged DNA. This new injection method has rekindled interest in DNA vaccination, especially considering its multiple advantages compared with the production of protein antigens: stability of the DNA (easier transport and storage), identical production methods to be developed, one of which was electroporation, which seems currently to be the best injection method available.
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development and production time when combating a pandemic, easy vaccine adaptation to a new serotype variant and “design” facility of multivalent vaccines (the presence of several genes is not a problem, unlike the presence of several different proteins with different biochemical characteristics).

Moreover, the use of plasmid DNA is regarded as safe in terms of integration and autoimmune reaction. Several clinical trials for human vaccines are in progress (about a hundred in all) but none of them has yet been approved and marketed. On the other hand, to our knowledge, there are three DNA vaccines in existence that have been approved for animal use and several others are in progress. The first on the market was a vaccine against Egyptian horse fever marketed in 2009 (West Nile virus, Fort Dodge Animal Health). The two others are vaccines for salmon poisoning (2009, Piscine Opercular Septicemia, Merial).

Presently, the resistant gene is used as a selection marker for the construction of the productive strain and the production of the plasmid DNA. However, it is recommended by regulatory agencies (FDA, USDA, EMA) for more than 15 years to avoid the use of antibiotics as selection marker (EMA document, reference CPMP/BWP/3088/99, 2001 and EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/65260/2008, 2008). These recommendations are understandable not only because of the risks of allergic reactions that antibiotics resistances represent, but also because of the risk of selection of antibiotics resistant pathogenic bacteria. In the case of DNA vaccine, the refusal of antibiotic resistance gene use is completely relevant since this resistance gene forms an integral part of the product injected into the subject. We propose to replace this resistance to an antibiotic by the Staby® technology based on natural poison/antidote bacterial genes to insure the plasmid retention during cloning and DNA production.

There is a large number of poison/antidote systems, including in bacteria used industrially for production of proteins used as medical products (antigen vaccines, etc.). Among these systems, there is the ccd system composed of the ccdA (antidote) and ccdB genes (poison). The Staby® technology is based on the use of this ccd system. These bacterial genes are known since the Nineties. They are small in size (approximately 200 to 300 bases each), naturally present in the genomes of the bacteria and on the plasmids, and they encode two small proteins. In a natural state, they are organized as an operon: a promoter followed by the gene of the antidote (ccdA) and then by the gene of the poison (ccdB). The system regulating the expression (absence of RBS upstream of the poison ORF) ensures that the poison is produced only after the antidote. The protein antidote alone or in complex with the poison is able to repress the transcription of both genes. The particular property of the ccd system is that it targets the DNA gyrase, a topoisomerase absent from the cells of higher eukaryotes. The poison is therefore not toxic for mammalian cells. The Staby® technology has already been applied to the production of recombinant proteins in Escherichia coli. This enables the plasmid encoding for the protein of interest to be stabilized in a bacterial population without the use of an antibiotic-resistance gene. Due to this particularly efficient stabilization and to the saving of energy by avoiding the expression of resistance gene, the protein yield is increased significantly.

In order to obtain this stabilization, the ccdB gene is placed in the chromosome of the bacterium and the gene of the antidote is placed on the plasmid. Daughter cells not receiving the plasmid cannot survive (Fig. 1A). Moreover, in the presence of the antidote, the expression of the poison is repressed, thereby preventing the selection of potential spontaneous mutant encoding inactive poison.

This technological base will enable us to build a new generation of DNA vaccines without antibiotic resistance gene. Indeed, the majority of DNA vaccines still encode a gene conferring resistance to an antibiotic, despite the recommendations of regulatory agencies. In order to generate our new constructions, we modified the pStaby1.2 plasmid which contains the ccdA gene by replacing the prokaryotic promoter by the immediate early CMV promoter. The CMV promoter is followed by a thymidine kinase (TK) polyadenylate sequence and separated with the latter by an EcoRV restriction site.

![Figure 1. (A) The Staby® technology. The CcdA protein encoded by the plasmid negatively regulates the transcription of the ccdB gene in the bacterial chromosome. In absence of plasmid, the bacterium died by producing the CcdB protein. (B) Scheme of the pStabyCMV-2 containing the CMV promoter separated to the TK polyadenylate sequence by an EcoRV restriction site.](image-url)
eukaryotic cells; (2) the industrial production of plasmid free of antibiotic resistance gene; and (3) the possibility to develop safe and efficacious DNA vaccine free of antibiotic resistance gene. To address the latter hypothesis, we developed a DNA candidate vaccine against *Suid herpesvirus-1* (SuHV-1), the causative agent of Aujeszky’s disease in pigs.22 We took profit of the ability of this virus to cause a severe and lethal disease in mice (called pseudorabies) to test the efficacy of the candidate vaccine developed. All together, the results of the present study demonstrated the potential of the Staby® technology for the development and the production of DNA vaccines free of antibiotic resistance gene.

**Results**

Since in our stabilization system, the antibiotic resistance gene is replaced by a gene encoding an antidote protein, our first objective was to evaluate the possible toxicity of the plasmid (pStaby-CMV-2) and particularly the putative toxicity of the CcdA antidote protein. To reach this goal, 293T human cells and B16F10 murine cells were co-transfected with the pVAX2-Luc as reporter and either pStabyCMV-2 (Staby; encoding the *ccdA* gene under control of a prokaryotic promoter) or pcDNA3.3-CcdA (CMV; encoding the *ccdA* gene under control of a CMV promoter which is highly active in eukaryotes) or pcDNA3.3-LacZ (LacZ) or pCaspase3-3wt (Caspasewt) or pCaspase3-mut (Caspasemut) (Fig. 2A and 2B). These last four plasmids were used as controls and replaced pStabyCMV-2 (equal molar quantities). 293T and B16F10 cells were efficiently transfected by lipofectamine 2000 as demonstrated by luciferase expression. The expression of luciferase was lower when these cells were transfected with the pCaspase3-wt. This can be explained by the toxicity of the protein encoded by this plasmid, resulting in a marked decrease of the number of living cells. This result was confirmed by the death to live cell ratio estimated using the LDH (lactate dehydrogenase) and MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assays (Fig. 2C–F). Together, the results demonstrated that pStabyCMV-2 is not toxic for eukaryotic cells. It is important to note that pStabyCMV-2 carries the *ccdA* sequence but it does not induce its expression in human or murine cells because there is no eukaryotic promoter that controls its expression. Interestingly, we also demonstrated that the pcDNA3.3-CcdA that encodes the CcdA antidote under control of a CMV promoter did not provoke any toxicity. In order to evaluate the level of *ccdA* mRNA in the transfected cells, qPCR experiments were performed. RNA was isolated from cells (293-T and B16F10) which were transfected with pcDNA3.3-CcdA, pStabyCMV-2, pcDNA3.3-LacZ or pStabyCMV-2-GOI (StabyGOI; pStabyCMV-2 plasmid containing a gene of a human transmembrane protein) and a qPCR analysis was performed. Values for *ccdA* were normalized to values for actin (293-T and B16F10) which were transfected with pcDNA3.3. These results suggest that the use of *ccdA* is safe for eukaryotic cells when artificially overexpressed using the CMV promoter and *a fortiori* when using a prokaryotic promoter.

The second objective was to check the potential impact of Staby® selection system on the plasmid manufacturing process at an industrial scale. For this study, pStabyCMV-2-GOI was transformed into the *E. coli* CYS21 strain and used according to fedbatch fermentation processes. Fermentation yield obtained in this study was up to 1,350 mg plasmid/l (data not shown). This result shows that antibiotic free plasmid DNA containing the *ccdA* gene can be manufactured at large scale.

The third and last objective of the present study was to investigate the possibility to develop safe and efficacious DNA vaccines using Staby® technology. The induction of pseudo-rabies by SuHV-1 in mice was selected as an experimental model. With this goal in mind, a DNA candidate vaccine encoding glycoprotein D (gD) of SuHV-1 was produced. Its safety and efficacy was tested in mice as follow (Fig. 3). Mice were vaccinated by electrotransfer three times at three weeks interval, accompanied by three bleedings at day 7, 28 and 49 (the first electrotransfer being defined as day 0). This protocol did not induced detectable clinical signs, supporting the safety of the vaccination program.

The immune response induced by the vaccine was investigated as follows. First, specific antibodies raised against SuHV-1 gD were quantified by indirect immunofluorescent staining of MAC-T cells transiently expressing gD. The sera of the animals were used as first antibodies. Stained cells were analyzed by flow cytometry and the mean fluorescent intensity channel (MFI) was recorded as a relative measure of specific anti-gD antibody concentration in the serum of vaccinated animals (Fig. 4A). Specific antibodies were detected as early as 1 week after the first DNA immunization. Subsequent boosts drastically increased the concentration of antibodies. After the first boost we observed a 3-fold increased in the MFI and a further 2-fold increase after the second boost (Fig. 4A). Second, neutralization assays were performed to investigate whether the antibodies produced were able to neutralize SuHV-1 infectivity. In the complement independent neutralization assay used, the sera obtained after the first immunization did not neutralize SuHV-1. In contrast, sera collected after the second and the third immunizations exhibited increasing concentrations of neutralizing antibodies for all mice of the group (Fig. 4B). Finally, as the results of the neutralization assays suggested that the immune response conferred by pStabyCMV-2-gD vaccination could be protective, animals were exposed to a lethal challenge. Four weeks after the last immunization, mice were inoculated intramuscularly with the SuHV-1 Phylaxia strain (Fig. 4C). Clinical examinations were performed for 15 days after viral inoculation. While none of the mice immunized with pStabyCMV-2-gD expressed pseudo-rabies clinical signs, all mice immunized with pStabyCMV-2 developed pseudo-rabies in a synchronized manner at the beginning of day 4 post-inoculation. These mice died or were euthanized for bioethic reasons during the same day. All together, the results present above demonstrate the potential of the Staby® technology for the development and the production of safe and efficacious DNA vaccines.
Figure 2. For figure legend, see page 5.
Discussion

The last ten years, DNA vaccination has been a growing field of research. As explained above, the interest to DNA vaccine is linked to all its advantages over conventional vaccines: the stability of the DNA, the ease of production and development, the ability to induce a wider range of immune response types and the assurance to produce the antigen with post-translational modifications. However, conventional DNA vaccines represent a risk for public health as this kind of vaccine contains an antibiotic resistance gene. To avoid the spread of resistance genes in environment we propose to exchange these genes by the Staby® technology. This technology is based on the ccd system (ccdA/ccdB) naturally present in bacteria. The ccdB gene is inserted in the bacterial chromosome and codes for a poison while the ccdA gene is present on the plasmid and codes for the antidote. This system gives a very strong stability to the plasmid during cell growth and plasmid production.

We report in this study the development of a new plasmid vector designed for use in vaccination. This vector, pStabyCMV-2, contains the cytomegalovirus immediate early promoter (CMV) to produce the antigen with a high expression rate in eukaryotic cells and the antidote gene ccdA to produce the DNA vaccine plasmid without the use of antibiotics. As the pStabyCMV-2 will be the final product injected to the patient, it was obvious to test and to prove the safety of the CcdA protein in eukaryotic cells. We effectively showed that the stabilization technology and particularly the ccdA antidote gene present on the plasmid are safe for eukaryotic cells even when it is artificially overexpressed.

The Staby® technology has been proved compatible with any culture medium or process used for production. Here, we demonstrated that high yield of industrial plasmid DNA production is achievable using this plasmid stabilization technology.

As the CcdA protein is not toxic for eukaryotic cells and that the industrial production is feasible, we designed an antibiotic-free DNA vaccine against Aujeszky’s disease. Currently, vaccination against Aujeszky’s disease is performed with different types of vaccines – inactivated, attenuated, subunits and recombinant. From all the glycoproteins of SuHV-1, gD was selected as candidate antigen since it has been shown to play an essential role in viral entry and to represent a major target for neutralizing antibodies, protecting mice and swine from Aujeszky’s disease.23-26

This study confirms that mice can be effectively protected against SuHV-1 infection by electrotransfer of a plasmid encoding gD as the single antigen, in contrast to a combination of plasmids coding for the three major SuHV-1 glycoproteins.27-29 Moreover the immunization program used in the present study relied on a strategy to reduce the quantity of injected DNA by using electroporation as a delivery method. We used 20 μg/mouse to induce complete immune protection as compared with previous studies that did not employ electroporation and used as much as 100 μg plasmid per mouse.27,28 One study that reported the use of gene gun for the delivery of a DNA vaccine used even lower amount of plasmid (3 μg), but even though it was able to induce neutralizing antibodies the titers were low (reciprocal dilution of sera containing neutralizing antibodies was 30 after 2 immunizations, while in our system we observed that a minimum dilution of 120 was needed to induce protection) and no protection was reported.30 A recent study in pigs confirmed that electroporation can improve the performance of DNA vaccine coding for glycoprotein B of SuHV-1.31

The present study demonstrated that electrotransfer of a Staby plasmid encoding SuHV-1 gD gene is effective in inducing a humoral immune response (as revealed by indirect immunofluorescent assay (MFI) and neutralization assay) and more importantly in conferring an immune protection against a lethal challenge.

Materials and Methods

pStabyCMV-2 (2,421 bp) contains the ccdA antidote gene under the control of a weak constitutive prokaryotic promoter while pcDNA3.3-CcdA (5,617 bp) encodes the ccdA gene under the control of the CMV promoter.13 pcDNA3.3-LacZN (8,467 bp) encodes β-galactosidase and was used as a control for the transfection lethality. Two plasmids (6,808 bp each) encoding the caspase-3 wt (highly apoptotic) or mutated (with a less pronounced effect) were used as positive controls of toxicity. These plasmids encoding caspases were a generous gift from Dr Kris Huygen.32

Figure 2 (See opposite page). CcdA in vitro toxicity in 293T cells (A, C, E and G) and B16F10 cells (B, D, F and H). Both cells were cotransfected with the pVAX2-Luc as reporter and either pStabyCMV-2 (Staby) or pStabyCMV-2-GOI (StabyGOI) or pcDNA3.3-CcdA (CMV) or pcDNA3.3-LacZN (LacZ) or pCaspa3-wt (Caspasetw) or pCaspa3-mut (Caspasmut). (A, B) Cells containing the pCaspa3-wt show a lower expression of the Luc reporter gene suggesting toxicity. (C, D) LDH and MTT assays did not revealed any toxicity of the pStabyCMV-2 or pcDNA3.3-CcdA. (E, F) The death to live cell ratio, obtained from results of the LDH and MTT tests showed significant toxicity for the wild-type caspase-3 encoding plasmid only. (G, H) qPCRs show the innocuousness overexpression of the CcdA gene. Statistical analysis: One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test. **p value < 0.01, ***p value < 0.001 compared with LacZ.

Figure 3. Flowchart of the experiments performed to assess the safety and the efficacy of pStabyCMV-2-gD as a DNA candidate vaccine against Aujeszky’s disease. Mice (n = 10) were immunised by DNA electrotransfer of pStabyCMV-2-gD or pStabyCMV-2 (used as negative control). At the indicated times, blood samples were collected and analyzed for detection of anti-gD antibodies (see Fig. 4A and B). Seventy days after the first plasmid electrotransfer, mice were challenged by injection with the Phylaxia strain of SuHV-1 (see Fig. 4C).
pVAX2-Luc (4,626 bp) encoding luciferase under the control of the CMV promoter was used to check the efficacy of each transfection. The pVAX2-Luc and the pVAX2-empty plasmids (2,933 bp) were kindly provided by Dr Pascal Bigey (Paris, France). Plasmids were prepared using Qiagen Endofree Plasmid Maxi or Giga Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All plasmid dilutions were done in PBS and stored at −20°C before use.

Two types of eukaryotic cells were used: 293T human embryonic kidney cells (8,000 cells per well) and B16F10 murine melanoma cells (4,000 cells per well). Cells were plated in 96-well plates 24 h before transfection. Cells were cotransfected with 0.02 pmol of pVAX2-Luc and 0.02 pmol of pcDNA3.3-CcdA, pStabyCMV-2, pcDNA3.3-LacZN, pCaspase3-wt or pCaspase3-mut. A pVAX2-empty plasmid was added to reach the same total plasmid quantities (i.e., 0.2 μg) for each condition. Cotransfection of the three plasmids was performed using lipofectamine 2000 following the manufacturer’s recommendations (Invitrogen). The luciferase expression was first measured using OneGlo (Promega). Then, in parallel, MTT and LDH cytotoxicity assays were performed 72 h after transfection. MTT measures the mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase activity and provides an evaluation of cell viability. LDH measures lactate dehydrogenase which is released by damaged cells and provides therefore an evaluation of cell mortality. For qPCR analysis of ccdA expression, 293T and B16F10 (80,000 and 40,000 cells per well, respectively) were plated using 12-well plates and transfected as described above. Total RNA was isolated and purified using trizol and PureLinkRNA mini kit (Ambion) 72 h after transfection. Total ARN was treated by TURBO DNase (Ambio) and used for reverse transcription with Maxima Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Scientific) and oligo (dT) primer. A quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay was performed using the LightCycler 480 instruments (Roche) with LightCycler 480 Probes Master. For ccdA amplification, we used primers 5’-GTAAGCACAA CCATGCAAGA TGA-3’,
5’-CCTTCTGAG TTTCCGCTTT T-3’ and Taq Man probe 5’-CCCCGCTGCT GCGTGCCG-3’. Values for ccdA were normalized to values for actin. We used Pre-developed TaqMan Assay Reagents control Kit (Applied Biosystems) for human (293T cells) or mouse (B16F10 cells) actin amplification. Values obtained from the pcDNA3.3-CcdA samples were designated as 100%. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

The industrial fermentation process was realized with E. coli CYS21 strain (containing the ccdB gene in its chromosome; Delphi Genetics) transformed with pStabyCMV-2-GOI. SuHV-1 gD ORF (Gene bank sequence ID 2952521) was cloned into pStabyCMV-2 containing the pStabyCMV-2-GOI. Bovine mammary epithelial cells (MAC-T) were used for transfection experiments. SuHV-1 Phylaxia strain was propagated and titrated in Swine testis cells (ST).

DNA immunization was performed as follows. Six weeks old female Balb/c mice were injected with alum (10 mg/ml, 50 μl/mouse; Thermo Scientific) in both tibialis cranialis the day before DNA immunization. The following day, 20 μg of DNA plasmid diluted in 50 μl of PBS was injected into tibialis cranialis (10 μg per leg). Then, we placed the leg between plate electrodes and we delivered 8 square-wave electric pulses (200 V/cm, 20 ms, 2 Hz). Conductive gel was used to ensure electrical contact with the skin (EKO ultrasound transmission gel). The pulses were delivered by a Cliniporator system (IGEA) using 4 mm plate electrodes (IGEA) as previously described. All mice were immunized three times at an interval of three weeks. Mouse blood was collected from the caudal vein one week after each immunization. Blood samples were incubated overnight at 4°C, centrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 g and the supernatants were transferred into sterile tubes and stored at −20°C until use.

Figure 4. Evaluation of the immune response induced by pStabyCMV-2-gD in mice. (A) Specific antibodies raised against SuHV-1 gD were detected by indirect immunofluorescent staining of MAC-T cells transfected with pStabyCMV-2-gD using sample sera as primary antibodies. The MFI of labeled cells was measured by flow cytometry. (B) Neutralizing antibodies were quantified by complement independent neutralization assay. Symbol *** indicates statistical differences (p ≤ 0.01; paired Student’s t test; Graph Pad Software) observed for a specific time point between pStabyCMV-2-gD and pStabyCMV-2 groups (symbol above rectangle), or between different time points within the group of animals vaccinated with the pStabyCMV-2-gD. (C) Immunized animals were challenged with the Phylaxia strain. Time 0 represents the day of challenge.
Anti-gD antibodies were detected by indirect immunofluorescent staining of MAC-T cells transiently expressing gD. MAC-T cells were transfected with 2 μg of plasmid (pStabyCMV-2-gD and pStabyCMV-2) in the presence of polyethyleneimine according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Polysciences). Twenty-four hours post transfection staining with mouse sera at a 1:150 dilution was performed, followed by goat anti-mouse Alexa 488 secondary antibody. The mean fluorescent channel of positive cells was detected by flow cytometry.

Anti-gD neutralizing antibodies were analyzed by a complement independent neutralization assay. Mice sera were inactivated for 30 min at 56°C and then serially diluted 2-fold in 96-well plates, mixed with 7 plaque-forming units (pfu) of SuHV-1 Phylaxia strain and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. After incubation the sera-virus mix was added to 1 x 10⁶ ST cells/well in 96-well plates and incubated for 4 d at 37°C (replicates). After four days, cells monolayers were examined for cytopathic effect. Neutralization titers were calculated as the reciprocal highest serum dilution preventing cytopathic effect.

In order to test the protection induced by immunization, the mice were challenged by intramuscular injection into the quadriceps of 2,800 pfu of SuHV-1 Phylaxia strains. Challenged mice were examined twice daily. According to bioethical rules, mice that expressed pruritus for more than 12 h and/or performed auto mutilation were euthanized. The experiments performed in the present study were approved by the bioethical comity of the Université de Liège (Ethical protocol number 1194).
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