

## Lifetime measurements and transition probabilities in Mo II

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2010 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 43 085004

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-4075/43/8/085004>)

[The Table of Contents](#) and [more related content](#) is available

Download details:

IP Address: 193.190.193.2

The article was downloaded on 07/04/2010 at 08:46

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Lifetime measurements and transition probabilities in Mo II

H Lundberg<sup>1</sup>, L Engström<sup>1</sup>, H Hartman<sup>2</sup>, H Nilsson<sup>2</sup>, P Palmeri<sup>3</sup>,  
P Quinet<sup>3,4</sup> and É Biémont<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Lund University, PO Box 118, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Lund Observatory, Lund University, PO Box 43, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> Astrophysique et Spectroscopie, Université de Mons—UMONS, 20 Place du Parc, B-7000 Mons, Belgium

<sup>4</sup> IPNAS, Université de Liège, B15 Sart Tilman, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

E-mail: [E.Biemont@ulg.ac.be](mailto:E.Biemont@ulg.ac.be)

Received 4 February 2010, in final form 11 March 2010

Published 5 April 2010

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysB/43/085004](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysB/43/085004)

## Abstract

Lifetimes have been measured using time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence for 16 odd levels in the doublet, quartet and sextet systems of Mo II, with energies in the range 48 000–61 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Absolute transition probabilities and oscillator strengths are determined for 110 UV and visible transitions (208 <  $\lambda$  < 485 nm) from a combination of experimental lifetimes and theoretical branching fractions. The theoretical results are obtained using the HFR method including core polarization effects.

## 1. Introduction

Accurate atomic transition probabilities for heavy atoms and ions are needed in different fields of physics, including astrophysics and fusion research. An efficient and well-established approach for obtaining the required data consists of combining lifetime measurements using selective laser excitation with theoretical or experimental branching fraction (BF) determinations. For experimental BFs Fourier transform spectroscopy (FTS) is an appropriate and efficient method.

Experimental transition probabilities in Mo II are scarce. The first results were the arc measurements of Corliss and Bozman (1962), but these results are now known to be affected by large systematic errors and they have been superseded by more recent data. Schnehage *et al* (1983) determined relative  $f$ -values for 174 Mo I and 58 Mo II transitions in the range 24.7–557.0 nm from wall-stabilized arc and hollow cathode measurements. The Mo I data were normalized to an absolute scale using four experimental lifetime values from Kwiatkowski *et al* (1981). However, the oscillator strengths for Mo II were reported on a relative scale only as no Mo II lifetimes were available at that time.

The first lifetime measurements in Mo II are due to Hannaford and Lowe (1983). They measured lifetimes for 15 levels of the  $z^6F^\circ$ ,  $z^4P^\circ$ ,  $z^6P^\circ$  and  $z^6D^\circ$  terms using the

technique of laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) from a sputtered metal vapour.

Experimental BFs in Mo II for transitions from 197 to 437 nm have been measured by Sikström *et al* (2001) from intensity-calibrated spectra recorded with the Lund UV Fourier transform spectrometer. This paper also reported radiative lifetimes for ten levels measured using LIF. Oscillator strengths of 91 transitions were derived by combining the BFs with all available experimental lifetimes.

Theoretical lifetimes and oscillator strengths in Mo II have been calculated by Quinet (2002) using a relativistic Hartree–Fock (HFR) approximation including core-polarization (CPOL) effects. An extensive set of new  $f$ -values was calculated for 386 Mo II lines in the wavelength range extending from 180 to 746 nm.

The lifetimes measured by Hannaford and Lowe (1983) concern levels with energies in the range 45 800–50 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Lifetimes for higher energy levels are missing although transitions from these levels are likely to be observed in astrophysics or in plasma physics. The purpose of the present paper is to extend the measurements of Hannaford and Lowe (1983) to levels of higher energies. Thus, we report lifetime measurements for levels with energies in the range 48 000–61 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Transition probabilities are also deduced for 110 UV and visible transitions depopulating the levels for which new lifetime values have been obtained.

**Table 1.** Mo II levels considered and the corresponding excitation schemes.

Energy <sup>a</sup> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Designation <sup>a</sup>	Excitation $\lambda_{\text{air}}$ (nm)	Laser mode	Observed $\lambda_{\text{air}}$ (nm)
48 022.815	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> P <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	208.17	3 $\omega$	280.8, 324.1
50 302.913	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	263.88	3 $\omega$ + 2S	267.3
51 373.170	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	256.63	3 $\omega$ + 2S	370.3
51 732.690	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	257.44	3 $\omega$ + 2S	274.6, 369.3
52 217.587	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	257.94	3 $\omega$ + 2S	271.7, 368.8
52 843.296	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	253.85	3 $\omega$ + 2S	267.3, 278.5, 363.5
54 238.949	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	235.45	3 $\omega$ + S	334.7, 338.0
54 688.002	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	234.38	3 $\omega$ + S	329.8
55 216.203	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	231.51	3 $\omega$ + S	332.1
55 706.937	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	236.64	3 $\omega$ + S	329.2
57 892.289	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	234.16	3 $\omega$ + S	317.5
58 196.928	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	233.21	3 $\omega$ + S	315.3
58 761.258	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	230.70	3 $\omega$ + S	312.2
59 492.157	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	226.97	3 $\omega$ + S	308.8
59 841.060	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p z <sup>2</sup> D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	223.94	3 $\omega$	424.5
60 992.764	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p z <sup>2</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	218.93	3 $\omega$	412.2

<sup>a</sup> The level energies and designations are from Nilsson and Pickering (2003).

3 $\omega$  designates the third harmonics of the dye laser.

S and 2S represent the first- and second-order Stokes components.

## 2. Classified lines and term analysis in Mo II

The ground level of Mo II is 4d<sup>5</sup> a <sup>6</sup>S<sub>5/2</sub>. The level structure of this ion was first studied by Meggers and Kiess (1926) who established 27 levels. Later on, Schauls and Sawyer (1940) and Rau (1949) extended the analysis. An extensive experimental work on this ion was performed by Kiess (1958) who measured wavelengths of 3800 lines with uncertainties of  $\pm 0.01$  Å. The experimental values of the 238 excited levels reported in the NIST compilation (Sugar and Musgrove 1988) for the 4d<sup>5</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>5s and 4d<sup>4</sup>5p configurations were taken from this analysis.

More recently, an extensive list of classified Mo II transitions between 152.9 and 721.7 nm has been reported by Nilsson (2002). These data were recorded with the Lund UV Fourier transform spectrometer (Sweden) and the Imperial College VUV Fourier transform spectrometer (UK). As a consequence, the term system of Mo II was extended by Nilsson and Pickering (2003) and energy values were reported for 330 levels (153 even and 177 odd levels) belonging to the 4d<sup>5</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>5s, 4d<sup>3</sup>5s<sup>2</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>6s, 4d<sup>4</sup>5d, 4d<sup>4</sup>5p and 4d<sup>3</sup>5s5p configurations.

The energy levels, their designations as well as the wavelengths reported in the present paper are taken from Nilsson (2002) and Nilsson and Pickering (2003).

## 3. Lifetime measurements

In the present work, the lifetimes of 16 odd levels in the doublet, quartet and sextet systems of Mo II have been measured using the time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence (TR-LIF) technique applied to a laser-produced plasma.

The experimental setup used is similar to that described previously (Bergström *et al* 1988, Biémont *et al* 2001, Zhang *et al* 2001, Xu *et al* 2004) and only a brief description is presented here.

Free Mo II ions were obtained from a laser-produced plasma. Laser pulses characterized by a 532 nm wavelength, a 10 Hz repetition rate and a 10 ns duration were obtained from a Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Surelite). The pulses were focused vertically on the surface of a rotating pure molybdenum target in a vacuum chamber creating a plasma containing molybdenum atoms and ions in different ionization stages.

To populate the levels of interest, UV laser pulses were obtained in the following way. The 8 ns long output of an injection-seeded Nd:YAG laser (Continuum NY-82) with a repetition rate of 10 Hz and a single pulse energy of 400 mJ was reduced to 1–2 ns in a stimulated Brillouin scattering compressor. These pulses pumped a dye laser (Continuum Nd-60) with a DCM dye. The dye laser output was frequency doubled or tripled in a nonlinear optical system consisting of a KDP crystal, a retarding plate and a BBO crystal. According to the excitation schemes given in table 1, Stokes stimulated Raman scattering components were produced by focusing the harmonic radiation into a hydrogen conversion cell with a gas pressure of about 10 bar. The excitation laser beam was selected using a quartz Pellin–Broca prism and sent horizontally through the vacuum chamber where it interacted with the created molybdenum ions about 5 mm above the target.

The measured levels belong to the z <sup>4</sup>P<sup>o</sup>, z <sup>6</sup>D<sup>o</sup>, z <sup>4</sup>F<sup>o</sup>, z <sup>4</sup>D<sup>o</sup>, z <sup>4</sup>H<sup>o</sup> and z <sup>2</sup>D<sup>o</sup> odd terms. Of these levels, only z <sup>4</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub><sup>o</sup> (at 48 022 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was excited directly from the ground level. In all other cases we started from either the a <sup>6</sup>D or the a <sup>4</sup>G terms.

The fluorescence from the excited levels was imaged on the entrance slit of a  $f = 1/8$  monochromator and detected by a Hamamatsu R3809U photomultiplier with a risetime of 0.15 ns. The time-resolved signal was averaged over 1000 laser pulses. The experimental lifetimes were extracted by a weighted least-squares fit of a single exponential decay,

**Table 2.** Experimental and theoretical lifetimes (in ns) in Mo II.

Level <sup>a</sup> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Designation <sup>a</sup>	Experiment		Theory	
		Previous	This work	Previous	This work
45 853.395	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> F <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.9(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.9 <sup>d</sup>	4.8
46 148.283	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> F <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.9(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.8 <sup>d</sup>	4.7
46 614.470	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> F <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.9(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.7 <sup>d</sup>	4.6
47 232.275	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> F <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	5.0(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.6 <sup>d</sup>	4.5
47 999.856	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> F <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.4(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.4 <sup>d</sup>	4.4
48 960.043	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> F <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.3(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.2 <sup>d</sup>	4.2
48 022.815	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> P <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.8(4) <sup>b</sup> , 4.9(3) <sup>c</sup>	4.9(2)	5.1 <sup>d</sup>	4.8
50 577.720	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> P <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.7(4) <sup>b</sup> , 4.5(3) <sup>c</sup>		4.6 <sup>d</sup>	4.6
49 041.073	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> P <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	3.0(4) <sup>b</sup> , 2.2(2) <sup>c</sup>		2.1 <sup>d</sup>	2.1
48 860.829	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> P <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	3.3(4) <sup>b</sup> , 2.8(2) <sup>c</sup>		3.0 <sup>d</sup>	2.8
49 481.300	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> P <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	2.9(4) <sup>b</sup> , 2.1(2) <sup>c</sup>		2.1 <sup>d</sup>	2.1
49 949.756	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> D <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.3(4) <sup>b</sup> , 4.3(3) <sup>b</sup>		4.5 <sup>d</sup>	4.3
50 192.337	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.3(3) <sup>c</sup>		4.5 <sup>d</sup>	4.4
49 609.086	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	3.5(4) <sup>b</sup> , 2.9(2) <sup>c</sup>		2.9 <sup>d</sup>	3.1
50 302.913	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.3(3) <sup>b</sup> , 4.0(3) <sup>c</sup>	4.0(3)	4.4 <sup>d</sup>	4.2
50 705.942	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>6</sup> D <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	4.3(3) <sup>b</sup> , 4.4(3) <sup>c</sup>		4.7 <sup>d</sup>	4.5
51 373.170	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.2(3)	4.6 <sup>d</sup>	4.7
51 732.690	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.2(3)	4.5 <sup>d</sup>	4.6
52 217.587	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.0(3)	4.4 <sup>d</sup>	4.4
52 843.296	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> F <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.0(3)	4.3 <sup>d</sup>	4.3
54 238.949	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		5.1(3)	5.8 <sup>d</sup>	5.5
54 687.002	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		5.0(3)	5.5 <sup>d</sup>	5.2
55 216.203	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.6(3)	5.1 <sup>d</sup>	4.9
55 706.937	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p z <sup>4</sup> D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.5(3)	4.8 <sup>d</sup>	4.7
57 892.289	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.9(3)	5.2 <sup>d</sup>	5.2
58 196.928	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.9(3)	5.2 <sup>d</sup>	5.2
58 761.258	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.8(3)	5.0 <sup>d</sup>	5.0
59 492.157	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p z <sup>4</sup> H <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		4.6(3)	4.8 <sup>d</sup>	4.7
59 841.060	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p z <sup>2</sup> D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		7.6(1.0)	9.1 <sup>d</sup>	8.5
60 992.764	4d <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p z <sup>2</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>		6.6(5)	7.4 <sup>d</sup>	7.5

<sup>a</sup> The level energies and designations are from Nilsson and Pickering (2003).

<sup>b</sup> Hannaford and Lowe (1983).

<sup>c</sup> Sikström *et al* (2001).

<sup>d</sup> Quinet (2002) (Calculation *F*).

convoluted with the shape of the laser pulse, to the fluorescence signal. In addition, a polynomial background representation could be added in the fit. About ten curves were recorded and averaged for each level investigated. The 16 lifetimes obtained are given in table 2, where the error bars reflect not only the statistical uncertainties but also a conservative estimate of possible remaining systematic errors.

#### 4. Transition probabilities

For the calculations of branching fractions we adopted the theoretical HFR model (Cowan 1981) previously described by Quinet (2002) (model *F* in that work); see the discussion in the original paper. The computational procedure included the following configurations: 4d<sup>5</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>5s, 4d<sup>4</sup>6s, 4d<sup>4</sup>5d, 4d<sup>3</sup>5s<sup>2</sup>, 4d<sup>3</sup>5p<sup>2</sup>, 4d<sup>3</sup>5d<sup>2</sup> and 4d<sup>3</sup>5s5d (even parity) and 4d<sup>4</sup>5p, 4d<sup>4</sup>6p, 4d<sup>4</sup>4f, 4d<sup>4</sup>5f, 4d<sup>3</sup>5s5p and 4d<sup>3</sup>5p5d (odd parity).

A Mo<sup>3+</sup> ionic core of the type [Ar]3d<sup>10</sup>4s<sup>2</sup>4p<sup>6</sup>4d<sup>3</sup> was considered with a value for the dipole polarizability,  $\alpha_d$ , equal to 5.67 a<sub>0</sub><sup>3</sup> (Fraga *et al* 1976) and a cut-off radius,  $r_c = 1.73 a_0$ . In addition, the final wavefunctions were obtained by

a parametric fit of the Slater parameters using the experimental energy levels.

In the previous work of Quinet (2002), the optimization process was performed using the experimental energies compiled by Sugar and Musgrove (1988) for the 4d<sup>5</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>5s and 4d<sup>4</sup>5p configurations. Moreover, for the odd-parity levels the fit was limited to the lowest experimental values ( $E < 62\,000$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) because some levels, above that limit, had no spectroscopic designations in the NIST compilation.

In the present work, a new fit was carried out using the designations and experimental energy levels published by Nilsson and Pickering (2003). This allowed us to refine the parametric adjustment of the 4d<sup>5</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>5s and 4d<sup>4</sup>5p configurations and to extend it to the 4d<sup>4</sup>6s, 4d<sup>4</sup>5d and 4d<sup>3</sup>5s5p configurations. More precisely, for the even parity, all the levels of Nilsson and Pickering (2003) were used to fit the average energies ( $E_{av}$ ), the direct ( $F^k$ ) and exchange ( $G^k$ ) electrostatic integrals and the spin-orbit ( $\zeta_{nl}$ ) parameters belonging to the 4d<sup>5</sup>, 4d<sup>4</sup>5s, 4d<sup>4</sup>6s and 4d<sup>4</sup>5d configurations. The configuration interaction integral ( $R^k$ ) between 4d<sup>5</sup> and 4d<sup>4</sup>5s, together with effective interaction parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$

**Table 3.** Transition probabilities for Mo II lines depopulating the levels for which the lifetimes have been measured in the present work. Only the most intense transitions ( $\log gf > -1.50$ ) are quoted.  $a(b)$  is written for  $a \cdot 10^b$ .

$E_{Low}^a$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	Designation <sup>a</sup>	$E_{Upp}^a$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	Designation <sup>a</sup>	$\lambda^b$ (nm)	$\log gf^c$	$gA^c$ (s $^{-1}$ )	Int. <sup>b</sup>
12 034.420	( $^5D$ )5s $^6D_{3/2}$	47 208.734 <sup>d</sup>	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{1/2}^o$	284.2148	-0.92	9.86(7)	61
15 699.390	4d $^5$ $^4P_{3/2}$	47 208.734 <sup>d</sup>	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{1/2}^o$	317.2744	-0.90	8.28(7)	169
15 890.422	4d $^5$ $^4P_{1/2}$	47 208.734 <sup>d</sup>	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{1/2}^o$	319.2097	-1.25	3.67(7)	83
16 796.468	4d $^5$ $^4D_{1/2}$	47 208.734 <sup>d</sup>	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{1/2}^o$	328.7200	-1.38	2.56(7)	57
24 372.408	( $^5D$ )5s $^4D_{1/2}$	47 208.734 <sup>d</sup>	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{1/2}^o$	437.7758	-1.08	2.90(7)	93
24 659.500	( $^5D$ )5s $^4D_{3/2}$	47 208.734 <sup>d</sup>	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{1/2}^o$	443.3496	-1.14	2.47(7)	85
0.000	4d $^5$ $^6S_{5/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	208.1681	-1.15	1.10(8)	53
12 417.632	( $^5D$ )5s $^6D_{5/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	280.7753	-0.43	3.13(8)	222
15 691.451	4d $^5$ $^4P_{5/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	309.2074	-0.75	1.25(8)	212
16 796.468	4d $^5$ $^4D_{1/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	320.1499	-1.34	2.97(7)	57
17 174.332	4d $^5$ $^4D_{3/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	324.0715	-0.95	7.13(7)	152
17 344.363	4d $^5$ $^4D_{5/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	325.8677	-1.40	2.49(7)	55
24 659.500	( $^5D$ )5s $^4D_{3/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	427.9011	-1.00	3.67(7)	117
25 112.565	( $^5D$ )5s $^4D_{5/2}$	48 022.815	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4P_{3/2}^o$	436.3632	-0.96	3.87(7)	119
12 417.632	( $^5D$ )5s $^6D_{7/2}$	50 302.913	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^6D_{7/2}^o$	263.8761	-0.07	8.25(8)	948
12 900.680	( $^5D$ )5s $^6D_{7/2}$	50 302.913	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^6D_{7/2}^o$	267.2843	-0.06	8.06(8)	878
13 461.113	( $^5D$ )5s $^6D_{9/2}$	50 302.913	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^6D_{7/2}^o$	271.3504	-0.82	1.38(8)	119
25 112.565	( $^5D$ )5s $^4D_{5/2}$	50 302.913	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^6D_{7/2}^o$	396.8651	-1.32	2.03(7)	59
15 199.383	4d $^5$ $^4G_{5/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	276.3616	-0.61	2.18(8)	182
15 699.390	4d $^5$ $^4P_{3/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	280.2353	-1.33	4.05(7)	27
15 890.422	4d $^5$ $^4P_{1/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	281.7441	-1.11	6.50(7)	39
16 796.468	4d $^5$ $^4D_{1/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	289.1273	-0.73	1.49(8)	33
17 174.332	4d $^5$ $^4D_{3/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	292.3220	-1.13	5.83(7)	43
24 137.912	4d $^5$ $^4F_{3/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	367.0666	-1.11	3.90(7)	49
24 372.408	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{1/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	370.2546	-0.44	1.79(8)	262
24 659.500	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{3/2}$	51 373.170	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{3/2}^o$	374.2338	-0.89	6.23(7)	93
15 330.789	4d $^5$ $^4G_{7/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	274.6297	-0.48	2.94(8)	271
15 691.451	4d $^5$ $^4P_{5/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	277.3780	-1.19	5.58(7)	43
15 699.390	4d $^5$ $^4P_{3/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	277.4392	-0.78	1.43(8)	122
17 174.332	4d $^5$ $^4D_{3/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	289.2808	-0.66	1.75(8)	48
17 344.363	4d $^5$ $^4D_{5/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	290.7112	-0.96	8.82(7)	74
23 934.630	4d $^5$ $^4F_{5/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	359.6347	-0.97	5.56(7)	71
24 659.500	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{3/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	369.2640	-0.24	2.85(8)	446
25 112.565	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{5/2}$	51 732.690	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{5/2}^o$	375.5489	-0.91	5.88(7)	94
12 900.688	4d $^5$ $^6D_{7/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	254.2672	-0.84	1.50(8)	129
15 427.895	4d $^5$ $^4G_{9/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	271.7348	-0.37	3.87(8)	376
15 691.451	4d $^5$ $^4P_{5/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	273.6956	-0.71	1.74(8)	169
16 947.078	4d $^5$ $^4D_{7/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	283.4396	-0.90	1.05(8)	47
17 344.363	4d $^5$ $^4D_{5/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	286.6688	-0.49	2.66(8)	84
23 853.578	4d $^5$ $^4F_{7/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	352.4587	-0.73	9.95(7)	169
23 934.630	4d $^5$ $^4F_{5/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	353.4686	-1.30	2.70(7)	74
25 112.565	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{5/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	368.8303	-0.18	3.30(8)	479
25 341.898	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{7/2}$	52 217.587	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{7/2}^o$	371.9777	-1.13	3.60(7)	57
13 461.113	4d $^5$ $^6D_{9/2}$	52 843.296	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{9/2}^o$	253.8457	-0.37	4.42(8)	300
15 447.043	4d $^5$ $^4G_{11/2}$	52 843.296	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{9/2}^o$	267.3270	-0.29	4.84(8)	433
16 947.078	4d $^5$ $^4D_{7/2}$	52 843.296	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{9/2}^o$	278.4987	-0.19	5.59(8)	327
23 833.154	4d $^5$ $^4F_{9/2}$	52 843.296	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{9/2}^o$	344.6083	-0.54	1.63(8)	176
25 341.898	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{7/2}$	52 843.296	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4F_{9/2}^o$	363.5143	0.04	5.56(8)	579
22 864.466	4d $^5$ $^2D_{3/2}$	54 238.949	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{1/2}^o$	318.6382	-1.22	3.95(7)	51
24 137.912	4d $^5$ $^4F_{3/2}$	54 238.949	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{1/2}^o$	332.1189	-1.20	3.79(7)	46
24 372.408	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{1/2}$	54 238.949	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{1/2}^o$	334.7267	-0.70	1.17(8)	137
24 659.500	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{3/2}$	54 238.949	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{1/2}^o$	337.9755	-0.68	1.21(8)	151
23 934.630	4d $^5$ $^4F_{5/2}$	54 687.002	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{3/2}^o$	325.0738	-0.79	1.01(8)	107
24 372.408	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{1/2}$	54 687.002	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{3/2}^o$	329.7683	-0.81	9.56(7)	97
24 659.500	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{3/2}$	54 687.002	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{3/2}^o$	332.9212	-0.46	2.09(8)	233
25 112.565	( $^5D$ ) 5s $^4D_{5/2}$	54 687.002	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{3/2}^o$	338.0213	-0.57	1.55(8)	165
26 603.864	( $^3P$ ) 5s $^4P_{1/2}$	54 687.002	( $^5D$ ) 5p $^4D_{3/2}^o$	355.9713	-1.38	2.20(7)	22

Table 3. (Continued.)

$E_{\text{Low}}^a$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Designation <sup>a</sup>	$E_{\text{Upp.}}^a$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Designation <sup>a</sup>	$\lambda^b$ (nm)	$\log gf^c$	$gA^c$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	Int. <sup>b</sup>
23 853.578	4d <sup>5</sup> 4F <sub>7/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	318.7587	-0.62	1.56(8)	136
23 934.630	4d <sup>5</sup> 4F <sub>5/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	319.5847	-1.22	3.92(7)	30
24 659.500	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5s 4D <sub>3/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	327.1662	-0.64	1.41(8)	135
24 836.319	4d <sup>5</sup> 2F <sub>5/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	329.0704	-0.74	1.12(8)	127
25 112.565	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5s 4D <sub>5/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	332.0902	-0.23	3.58(8)	329
25 341.898	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5s 4D <sub>7/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	334.6396	-0.43	2.19(8)	206
27 627.858	( <sup>3</sup> P) 5s 4P <sub>3/2</sub>	55 216.203	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	362.3685	-1.19	3.27(7)	26
15 691.451	4d <sup>5</sup> 4P <sub>5/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	249.8279	-1.13	7.79(7)	58
23 833.154	4d <sup>5</sup> 4F <sub>9/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	313.6466	-0.46	2.35(8)	159
23 853.578	4d <sup>5</sup> 4F <sub>7/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	313.8477	-1.10	5.37(7)	31
25 112.565	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5s 4D <sub>5/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	326.7633	-0.81	9.73(7)	77
25 341.898	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5s 4D <sub>7/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	329.2313	0.18	9.28(8)	765
29 022.466	( <sup>3</sup> P) 5s 4P <sub>5/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	374.6433	-1.13	3.53(7)	25
29 034.426	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5s 4F <sub>9/2</sub>	55 706.937	( <sup>5</sup> D) 5p 4D <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	374.8113	-1.07	4.05(7)	38
15 199.383	4d <sup>5</sup> 4G <sub>5/2</sub>	57 892.289	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	234.1592	-0.58	3.19(8)	84
26 041.359	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>7/2</sub>	57 892.289	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	313.8717	-0.14	4.90(8)	82
26 405.839	4d <sup>5</sup> 2G <sub>7/2</sub>	57 892.289	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	317.5051	-0.32	3.20(8)	89
26 488.470	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>9/2</sub>	57 892.289	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	318.3405	-1.04	5.98(7)	11
28 877.238	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5s 4F <sub>5/2</sub>	57 892.289	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	344.5500	-0.63	1.33(8)	38
29 699.468	( <sup>3</sup> G) 5s 4G <sub>5/2</sub>	57 892.289	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>7/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	354.5990	-1.00	5.35(7)	14
15 427.895	4d <sup>5</sup> 4G <sub>9/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	233.7424	-1.29	6.25(7)	17
15 330.789	4d <sup>5</sup> 4G <sub>7/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	233.2128	-0.56	3.35(8)	82
26 068.808	4d <sup>5</sup> 2G <sub>9/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	311.1636	-1.13	5.06(7)	21
26 405.839	4d <sup>5</sup> 2G <sub>7/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	314.4625	-1.29	3.46(7)	10
26 488.470	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>9/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	315.2820	0.18	1.03(9)	197
26 739.737	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>11/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	317.8004	-0.94	7.67(7)	12
30 019.626	( <sup>3</sup> G) 5s 4G <sub>7/2</sub>	58 196.928	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>9/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	354.7942	-1.00	5.26(7)	15
15 447.043	4d <sup>5</sup> 4G <sub>11/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	230.8001	-1.25	7.02(7)	16
15 427.895	4d <sup>5</sup> 4G <sub>9/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	230.6981	-0.44	4.55(8)	93
26 488.470	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>9/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	309.7687	-0.81	1.07(8)	24
26 739.737	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>11/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	312.1995	0.32	1.43(9)	216
27 114.158	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>13/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	315.8932	-1.03	6.17(7)	8
29 034.426	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5s 4F <sub>9/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	336.2998	-0.70	1.19(8)	23
30 213.841	( <sup>3</sup> G) 5s 4G <sub>9/2</sub>	58 761.258	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>11/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	350.1942	-0.72	1.04(8)	25
26 739.737	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>11/2</sub>	59 492.157	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	305.2322	-0.82	1.08(8)	17
27 114.158	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 4H <sub>13/2</sub>	59 492.157	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	308.7621	0.43	1.89(9)	213
30 391.605	( <sup>3</sup> G) 5s 4G <sub>11/2</sub>	59 492.157	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	343.5376	-0.43	2.06(8)	35
33 601.411	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5s 2H <sub>11/2</sub>	59 492.157	( <sup>3</sup> H) 5p 4H <sub>13/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	386.1289	-1.06	3.91(7)	67
22 864.466	4d <sup>5</sup> 2D <sub>3/2</sub>	59 841.060	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	270.3612	-1.21	5.64(7)	19
26 603.864	( <sup>3</sup> P) 5s 4P <sub>1/2</sub>	59 841.060	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	300.7801	-1.29	3.81(7)	10
27 879.033	4d <sup>5</sup> 2F <sub>5/2</sub>	59 841.060	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	312.7806	-1.36	2.97(7)	12
32 124.340	( <sup>3</sup> P) 5s 2P <sub>1/2</sub>	59 841.060	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	360.6902	-0.77	8.55(7)	36
36 289.031	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5s 2F <sub>5/2</sub>	59 841.060	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	424.4724	-0.63	8.79(7)	61
39 243.688	( <sup>1</sup> D) 5s 2D <sub>3/2</sub>	59 841.060	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>3/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	485.3634	-1.17	1.89(7)	773
16 947.078	4d <sup>5</sup> 4D <sub>7/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	226.9668	-1.37	5.55(7)	19
22 444.510	4d <sup>5</sup> 2D <sub>5/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	259.3376	-0.87	1.34(8)	48
27 410.473	4d <sup>5</sup> 2F <sub>7/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	297.6891	-1.08	6.31(7)	18
27 627.858	( <sup>3</sup> P) 5s 4P <sub>3/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	299.6288	-1.03	7.01(7)	19
33 146.559	( <sup>3</sup> G) 5s 2G <sub>7/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	359.0129	-1.22	3.11(7)	50
34 419.517	( <sup>3</sup> P) 5s 2P <sub>3/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	376.2114	-1.17	3.13(7)	39
36 741.555	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5s 2F <sub>7/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	412.2342	-0.55	1.10(8)	66
37 431.722	( <sup>1</sup> G) 5s 2G <sub>7/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	424.3099	-1.19	2.38(7)	17
39 913.210	( <sup>1</sup> D) 5s 2D <sub>5/2</sub>	60 992.764	( <sup>3</sup> F) 5p 2D <sub>5/2</sub> <sup>o</sup>	474.2607	-1.03	2.76(7)	13

<sup>a</sup> Designations and level values from Nilsson and Pickering (2003).<sup>b</sup> Experimental results Nilsson (2002). The intensities have not been normalized.<sup>c</sup> HFR + CPOL calculations: This work.<sup>d</sup> Level retained in this table despite of the fact that it has not been measured in the present work (see the text).

within these two configurations, was also adjusted. For the odd parity, all the experimental levels reported by Nilsson and Pickering (2003) up to  $75\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were used to optimize the  $E_{av}$ ,  $F^k$ ,  $G^k$ ,  $\zeta_{nl}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  parameters belonging to  $4d^45p$  and the average energy of the  $4d^35s5p$  configuration. The odd levels above  $75\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were excluded from the fit because in most cases it was difficult to uniquely relate them to the theoretical values since most of those levels are strongly mixed. The standard deviations of the fits were  $84\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the even parity (153 levels) and  $128\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the odd parity (149 levels).

The weighted transition probabilities ( $gA$ ) and oscillator strengths ( $\log gf$ ) of the transitions depopulating the levels measured in the present work are reported in table 3. The table is restricted to the strongest lines ( $\log gf > -1.50$ ) originating from the 16 odd levels quoted in table 2, for which lifetimes were measured in the present work. We have added the depopulating channel of the level  $(^5D)5p\ z\ ^4P_{1/2}^o$  situated at  $47\,208\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the only level of the  $z\ ^4P^o$  term not measured in the present work. For comparison the last column of table 3 gives the laboratory intensities obtained by Nilsson (2002) without correcting for the instrumental response.

## 5. Results and discussion

The available lifetimes in Mo II are reported in table 2 where the results obtained in the present work are compared to previous values. When comparing the LIF measurements of Sikström *et al* (2001) with the lifetimes reported by Hannaford and Lowe (1983), it is seen that the agreement is good when the lifetimes are longer than about 4 ns. For the shorter values, there is a clear discrepancy (outside the error bars), the values of Sikström *et al* (2001) being shorter. This discrepancy can be explained, as pointed out by Sikström *et al* (2001), by a better time resolution and shorter excitation pulses in the latter experiment. For the two levels common to our work and that of Sikström *et al* (2001) there is perfect agreement.

In the last column of table 2, we report the theoretical HFR+CPOL lifetimes calculated by Quinet (2002) (calculation *F*) as well as the new results obtained in the present work. The differences between the two calculations are only marginal, the mean ratio being  $0.984 \pm 0.030$  for 30 levels (the uncertainty representing the standard deviation around the mean). A very good agreement between the theoretical lifetimes and the experimental results is also observed. In fact, the mean ratio  $\tau(\text{HFR+CPOL})/\tau(\text{Exp})$  is  $0.934 \pm 0.100$  and  $1.011 \pm 0.035$  when considering the measurements of Hannaford and Lowe (1983) and Sikström *et al* (2001), respectively. The same ratio becomes  $1.067 \pm 0.040$  when comparing the 16 experimental lifetimes of the present work with the theoretical HFR+CPOL values. It should also be emphasized that theory favours the shorter lifetimes found by Sikström *et al* (2001) over those of Hannaford and Lowe (1983).

The importance of core-polarization effects in the Mo II spectrum must not be underestimated. They change the calculated lifetimes by about 30% as can be seen by comparing calculations *C* and *F* in Quinet (2002) and from table 2

**Table 4.** Oscillator strengths ( $\log gf$ ) and transition probabilities ( $gA$ ) in Mo II sorted by air wavelengths. The observed wavelengths and laboratory intensities are taken from Nilsson (2002).

$\lambda$ (nm)	$\log gf$	$gA$ ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ )	Int.
208.1681	-1.15	1.10(8)	53
226.9668	-1.37	5.55(7)	19
230.6981	-0.44	4.55(8)	93
230.8001	-1.25	7.02(7)	16
233.2128	-0.56	3.35(8)	82
233.7424	-1.29	6.25(7)	17
234.1592	-0.58	3.19(8)	84
249.8279	-1.13	7.79(7)	58
253.8457	-0.37	4.42(8)	300
254.2672	-0.84	1.50(8)	129
259.3376	-0.87	1.34(8)	48
263.8761	-0.07	8.25(8)	948
267.3270	-0.29	4.84(8)	433
267.2843	-0.06	8.06(8)	878
270.3612	-1.21	5.64(7)	19
271.7348	-0.37	3.87(8)	376
271.3504	-0.82	1.38(8)	119
273.6956	-0.71	1.74(8)	169
274.6297	-0.48	2.94(8)	271
276.3616	-0.61	2.18(8)	182
277.3780	-1.19	5.58(7)	43
277.4392	-0.78	1.43(8)	122
278.4987	-0.19	5.59(8)	327
280.2353	-1.33	4.05(7)	27
280.7753	-0.43	3.13(8)	222
281.7441	-1.11	6.50(7)	39
283.4396	-0.90	1.05(8)	47
284.2148	-0.92	9.86(7)	61
286.6688	-0.49	2.66(8)	84
289.1273	-0.73	1.49(8)	33
289.2808	-0.66	1.75(8)	48
290.7112	-0.96	8.82(7)	74
292.3220	-1.13	5.83(7)	43
297.6891	-1.08	6.31(7)	18
299.6288	-1.03	7.01(7)	19
300.7801	-1.29	3.81(7)	10
305.2322	-0.82	1.08(8)	17
308.7621	0.43	1.89(9)	213
309.7687	-0.81	1.07(8)	24
309.2074	-0.75	1.25(8)	212
311.1636	-1.13	5.06(7)	21
312.1995	0.32	1.43(9)	216
312.7806	-1.36	2.97(7)	12
313.6466	-0.46	2.35(8)	159
313.8477	-1.10	5.37(7)	31
313.8717	-0.14	4.90(8)	82
314.4625	-1.29	3.46(7)	10
315.2820	0.18	1.03(9)	197
315.8932	-1.03	6.17(7)	8
317.5051	-0.32	3.20(8)	89
317.8004	-0.94	7.67(7)	12
317.2744	-0.90	8.28(7)	169
318.3405	-1.04	5.98(7)	11
318.6382	-1.22	3.95(7)	51
318.7587	-0.62	1.56(8)	136
319.5847	-1.22	3.92(7)	30
319.2097	-1.25	3.67(7)	83
320.1499	-1.34	2.97(7)	57
324.0715	-0.95	7.13(7)	152
325.0738	-0.79	1.01(8)	107
325.8677	-1.40	2.49(7)	55
326.7633	-0.81	9.73(7)	77
327.1662	-0.64	1.41(8)	135

**Table 4.** (Continued.)

$\lambda$ (nm)	$\log gf$	$gA$ ( $s^{-1}$ )	Int.
328.7200	-1.38	2.56(7)	57
329.0704	-0.74	1.12(8)	127
329.2313	0.18	9.28(8)	765
329.7683	-0.81	9.56(7)	97
332.0902	-0.23	3.58(8)	329
332.1189	-1.20	3.79(7)	46
332.9212	-0.46	2.09(8)	233
334.6396	-0.43	2.19(8)	206
334.7267	-0.70	1.17(8)	137
336.2998	-0.70	1.19(8)	23
337.9755	-0.68	1.21(8)	151
338.0213	-0.57	1.55(8)	165
343.5376	-0.43	2.06(8)	35
344.5500	-0.63	1.33(8)	38
344.6083	-0.54	1.63(8)	176
350.1942	-0.72	1.04(8)	25
352.4587	-0.73	9.95(7)	169
353.4686	-1.30	2.70(7)	74
354.5990	-1.00	5.35(7)	14
354.7942	-1.00	5.26(7)	15
355.9713	-1.38	2.20(7)	22
359.0129	-1.22	3.11(7)	50
359.6347	-0.97	5.56(7)	71
360.6902	-0.77	8.55(7)	36
362.3685	-1.19	3.27(7)	26
363.5143	0.04	5.56(8)	579
367.0666	-1.11	3.90(7)	49
368.8303	-0.18	3.30(8)	479
369.2640	-0.24	2.85(8)	446
370.2546	-0.44	1.79(8)	262
371.9777	-1.13	3.60(7)	57
374.2338	-0.89	6.23(7)	93
374.6433	-1.13	3.53(7)	25
374.8113	-1.07	4.05(7)	38
375.5489	-0.91	5.88(7)	94
376.2114	-1.17	3.13(7)	39
386.1289	-1.06	3.91(7)	67
396.8651	-1.32	2.03(7)	59
412.2342	-0.55	1.10(8)	66
424.3099	-1.19	2.38(7)	17
424.4724	-0.63	8.79(7)	61
427.9011	-1.00	3.67(7)	117
436.3632	-0.96	3.87(7)	119
437.7758	-1.08	2.90(7)	93
443.3496	-1.14	2.47(7)	85
474.2607	-1.03	2.76(7)	13
485.3634	-1.17	1.89(7)	773

in Nilsson and Pickering (2003). Their inclusion in the calculations is thus essential for obtaining an accurate scale for the transition probabilities.

Weighted transition probabilities ( $gA$ ) and oscillator strengths ( $\log gf$ ) are reported in table 3 for 110 UV transitions ( $208 < \lambda < 490$  nm). They have been obtained by a combination of the experimental lifetimes and the theoretical

BFs. No experimental BFs are available for these high-excitation levels. There are no other results available for comparison, but the absolute scale is well established on the basis of the available lifetimes as discussed above. For the convenience of the user, table 4 lists the oscillator strengths and transition probabilities sorted by air wavelengths.

The new results for Mo II reported in this paper fill in some gaps in the existing data for this ion of astrophysical interest and it is anticipated that they will help astrophysicists in quantitative investigations of stellar spectra, particularly for investigating the chemical composition of Ap stars.

## Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Integrated Initiative of Infrastructure Project LASERLAB-EUROPE, contract RII3-CT-2003-506350, the Swedish Research Council through the Linnaeus grant, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and the Belgian FRS-FNRS. EB, PQ and PP are, respectively, Research Director, Senior Research Associate and Research Associate of the FRS-FNRS. They are greatly indebted to the Swedish team for the warm hospitality enjoyed at the Lund Laser Center during the measurements.

## References

- Bergström H, Faris H, Hallstadius G W, Lundberg H, Persson A and Wahlström C G 1988 *Z. Phys. D* **8** 17
- Biémont É, Palmeri P, Quinet P, Paquin G, Zhang Z G, Somesfalean G and Svanberg S 2001 *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **328** 1085
- Corliss C H and Bozman W R 1962 *Natl Bur. Stand. Monogr.* vol 53 (Washington, DC: US Govt Printing Office)
- Cowan R D 1981 *The Theory of Atomic Structure and Spectra* (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press)
- Fraga S, Karwowski J and Saxena K M S 1976 *Handbook of Atomic Data* (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- Hannaford P and Lowe R M 1983 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **16** 4539
- Kiess C C 1958 *J. Res. Natl Bur. Stand.* **60** 375
- Kwiatkowski M, Micali G, Werner K and Zimmermann P 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **85A** 273
- Meggers W F and Kiess C C 1926 *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **12** 417
- Nilsson H 2002 *PhD Thesis* University of Lund, Sweden
- Nilsson H and Pickering J C 2003 *Phys. Scr.* **67** 223
- Quinet P 2002 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **35** 19
- Rau V R 1949 *Indian J. Phys.* **23** 387
- Schauls R and Sawyer R A 1940 *Phys. Rev.* **58** 781
- Schnehaage S E, Danzmann K, Kuennemeyer R and Kock M 1983 *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **29** 507
- Sikström C M, Pihlemark H, Nilsson H, Litzén U, Johansson S, Li Z S and Lundberg H 2001 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **34** 477
- Sugar J and Musgrove A 1988 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **17** 155
- Xu H L, Persson A, Svanberg S, Blagoev K, Malcheva G, Pentchev V, Biémont É, Campos J, Ortiz M and Mayo R 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 042508
- Zhang Z G, Li Z S, Svanberg S, Palmeri P, Quinet P and Biémont É 2001 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **15** 301