Self-Shuffling Words

Émilie Charlier

(Joint work with Teturo Kamae, Svetlana Puzynina and Luca Zamboni)

Département de mathématiques, Université de Liège

Challenges in Combinatorics on Words, Toronto, April 2013

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

A finite word w is obtained as a shuffle of two words x and y if it can be factorized as

$$w=\prod_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$$

with

$$x=\prod_{i=1}^n a_i$$
 and $y=\prod_{i=1}^n b_i$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Can x be obtained as a shuffle of a word y with itself?

Definition

An infinite word $x \in \mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is self-shuffling if it admits the factorizations:

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} U_i V_i = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} U_i = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} V_i$$

▲□▶ ▲課▶ ▲理▶ ★理▶ = 目 - の��

for some $U_i, V_i \in \mathbb{A}^+$.

Self-shuffling and periodicity

• Every purely periodic word $x = u^{\omega}$ is self-shuffling:

$$x=\prod_{i=1}^{+\infty}(uu)=\prod_{i=1}^{+\infty}u.$$

- Every letter of a self-shuffling word must occur an infinite number of times.
- Hence the word 01^ω is not self-shuffling.
- The word $0110(0011)^{\omega}$ is self-shuffling but $011(10)^{\omega}$ is not.

Fibonacci word

► The Fibonacci word F = F₁F₂F₃···, where F_i ∈ {0,1}, is self-shuffling:

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} \sigma(F_i) F_i = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} \sigma(F_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} F_i$$

where $\sigma: \mathbf{0} \mapsto \mathbf{01}; \mathbf{1} \mapsto \mathbf{0}$.

It suffices to observe that $\sigma^2(0) = \sigma(0)0$ and $\sigma^2(1) = \sigma(1)1$.

$$F = \underbrace{010}_{\sigma^{2}(0)} \underbrace{01}_{\sigma^{2}(1)} \underbrace{010}_{\sigma^{2}(0)} \underbrace{010}_{\sigma^{2}(0)} \underbrace{01}_{\sigma^{2}(1)} \underbrace{010}_{\sigma^{2}(0)} \underbrace{01}_{\sigma^{2}(1)} \underbrace{010}_{\sigma^{2}(0)} \underbrace{010}_{\sigma^{2}(0)} \cdots$$

The morphic image of a self-shuffling word is again self-shuffling:

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} U_i V_i = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} U_i = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} V_i$$

then

$$\mu(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu(U_i) \mu(V_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu(U_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu(V_i).$$

 Can be used as a tool for showing that a word is not the morphic image of another word. A finite word is Abelian border-free if no proper suffix is Abelian equivalent to a proper prefix.

 A self-shuffling word must begin in only finitely many Abelian border-free prefixes.

$$\cdot x = 1$$

A finite word is Abelian border-free if no proper suffix is Abelian equivalent to a proper prefix.

 A self-shuffling word must begin in only finitely many Abelian border-free prefixes.



(日) (圖) (臣) (臣)

э

A finite word is Abelian border-free if no proper suffix is Abelian equivalent to a proper prefix.

 A self-shuffling word must begin in only finitely many Abelian border-free prefixes.



(日) (圖) (臣) (臣)

The word OF is not self-shuffling:

We know F begins in arbitrarily large palindromes B followed by 1.

Hence 0F begins in arbitrarily large words of the form 0B1.

▲□▶ ▲課▶ ▲理▶ ★理▶ = 目 - の��

Those words 0B1 are all Abelian border-free.

The paper-folding word is not self-shuffling:

One can check that all prefixes of length $2^j - 1$ are Abelian border-free:

 $0, \ 001, \ 0010011, \ 001001100011011, \ldots$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

An infinite word x is Lyndon if $x <_{\text{lex}} T^n(x)$ for all $n \ge 1$.

Theorem 1

Let x and y be in the shift orbit closure of an infinite word z, and suppose that x is Lyndon. Then, if w can be obtained as a shuffle of x and y, then $w <_{\text{lex}} y$.

In particular, Lyndon infinite words are never self-shuffling.

Consequences:

- This gives another proof that 0F is not self-shuffling since it is Lyndon.
- It is well known that the first shift

 $x = 110100110010110 \cdots$

of the Thue-Morse word is Lyndon, and hence is not self-shuffling. Yet it can be verified that x begins in only a finite number of Abelian border-free words.

Theorem 2 The Thue-Morse word $\mathbf{T} = 011010011001\cdots$ fixed by the morphism $\tau: 0 \mapsto 01; 1 \mapsto 10$ is self-shuffling.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ の Q @

Sturmian words

Here $\rho(x)$ designates the intercept of the Sturmian word x.

Theorem 3

Let S, M and L be Sturmian words of the same slope satisfying $S \leq_{\text{lex}} M \leq_{\text{lex}} L$. Then M can be obtained as a shuffle of S and L iff the following conditions are verified:

• If
$$ho(M) =
ho(S)$$
 then $ho(L)
eq 0$

• If
$$\rho(M) = \rho(L)$$
) then $\rho(S) \neq 0$.

In particular (taking S = M = L), we obtain

Corollary

A Sturmian word $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is self-shuffling iff x is not of the form aC where $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and C is a characteristic Sturmian word.

As an application, we recover the following result:

Theorem (Yasutomi 1999, Berthé-Ei-Ito-Rao 2007) Let $x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a characteristic Sturmian word. If y is a pure morphic word in the orbit of x, then $y \in \{x, 0x, 1x, 01x, 10x\}$.

References

- Dane Henshall, Narad Rampersad and Jeffrey Shallit, Shuffling and unshuffling, BEATCS, 107 (2012), 131-142.
- Émilie Charlier, Teturo Kamae, Svetlana Puzynina and Luca Zamboni, Self-shuffling words, to appear in LNCS (ICALP 2013), preprint on arxiv:1302.3844.
- Romeo Rizzi and Stéphane Vialette, On recognizing words that are squares for the shuffle product, to appear in LNCS (CSR 2013)

(日) (中) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)