Revised version Submitted to Expert Opinion on Drug Safety

Efficacy and safety of Jentadueto (linagliptin plus metformin).

Running title : Linagliptin plus metformin

Word count : 5362

André J. Scheen

Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders and Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, CHU Sart Tilman, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

Running title : Gliptins and acute pancreatitis

Word count: 4825

Address for correspondence :

Pr André J. SCHEEN Department of Medicine CHU Sart Tilman (B35) B-4000 LIEGE 1 BELGIUM Phone : 32-4-3667238 FAX : 32-4-3667068 Email : andre.scheen @ chu.ulg.ac.be

SUMMARY

Introduction : Metformin is the first-choice drug in the management of type 2 diabetes. However, most patients require a combined therapy to reach and/or maintain targets of glucose control. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors offer new options for combined therapy with metformin. Linagliptin shares a similar pharmacodynamic (PD) profile with other gliptins, but has a unique pharmacokinetic (PK) profile characterized by negligible renal excretion.

Areas covered : An extensive literature search was performed to analyze the potential PK/PD interactions between linagliptin and metformin. They are not prone to PK drug-drug interactions. The two compounds may be administered together, either separately or using a fixed-dose combination (FDC) as shown by bioequivalence studies. The addition of linagliptin in patients not well controlled with metformin alone has proven its efficacy in improving glucose levels with a good safety profile. Initial co-administration of linagliptin plus metformin improves glucose control more potently than either compound separately, without hypoglycaemia, weight gain or increased metformin-related gastrointestinal side effects.

Expert opinion : The linagliptin plus metformin combination may may offer some advantages over the classical sulfonylurea-metformin combination. Even if linagliptin is safe in patients with renal impairment, the use of metformin (and thus of the linagliptin plus metformin FDC) is still controversial in this population.

Key-words : DPP-4 inhibitor – Fixed-dose combination – Linagliptin – Metformin – Pharmacokinetics – Type 2 diabetes mellitus

1. Introduction

Even if metformin is unanimously considered as the first-line drug therapy in type 2 diabetes (T2DM), which treatment to be added after metformin failure remains a quite challenging issue^{1,2}. Indeed, what ever the mode of action, almost all second-drug therapies offer quite similar efficacy regarding glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) lowering effect^{1, 3}. However, T2DM is often accompanied by other conditions and risk factors, on which the various glucose-lowering agents may exert different effects that may have an impact on long-term clinical outcomes and, thereby, may influence physician's drug choice^{4, 5}. Classical insulin secretagogues include sulfonylureas (still recommended because of their low cost),² but these glucose-lowering agents expose to a risk of potentially severe hypoglycemia, weight gain and drug-drug interactions (DDIs), which may worsen outcomes⁵. In this regard, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, which inhibit the inactivation of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP), two gastrointestinal incretin hormones, may offer new opportunities in the management of T2DM⁶⁻⁸. Indeed, GLP-1 stimulates insulin secretion and inhibits glucagon secretion in a glucose-dependent manner, which explains the minimal risk of hypoglycemia associated with such incretin-based therapies⁸. Furthermore, GLP-1 contributes to appetite regulation and thereby may avoid or at least limit weight gain despite improvement of glucose control in T2DM patients, in contrast to what is generally observed with other glucose-lowering therapies^{6,9}. However, the positioning of DPP-4 inhibitors in the management of T2DM, especially their place compared with sulfonylureas after metformin failure¹⁰⁻¹², is still a matter of debate.

Several DPP-4 inhibitors are currently available (sitagliptin, saxagliptin, vildagliptin except in United States, alogliptin only in Japan, more recently linagliptin)^{7, 8, 13}. They share a similar mode of action (pharmacodynamics or PD, i.e. selective inhibition of DPP-4), but they differ by some pharmacokinetics (PK) properties^{14, 15}. Because they have been mostly studied as add-on therapy to metformin^{10, 16, 17}, most DPP-4 inhibitors are already available as fixed dose combination (FDC) with metformin, especially vildagliptin¹⁸, sitagliptin^{19, 20} and saxagliptin²¹. FDC can offer convenience, reduce the pill burden and simplify administration regimens for the patient, all conditions that may improve adherence to therapy^{22, 23}.

Linagliptin is the newest DPP-4 inhibitor and is characterized by specific PK properties²⁴⁻²⁷. The most clinically relevant specificity, relative to other already available DPP-4 inhibitors, is that linagliptin has a minimal renal excretion and a predominant biliary excretion (without previous hepatic metabolism)^{14, 28}. Thus, it may be used in patients with

chronic kidney disease (CKD) without dose adjustment²⁸. This contrasts with other DPP-4 inhibitors, for which an appropriate dose reduction is recommended according to the decrease of the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)²⁹. Therefore, linagliptin may be considered as a valuable alternative in T2DM patients with impaired renal function in whom metformin may be contraindicated^{30, 31}. Furthermore, in T2DM patients who may receive metformin (because of acceptable renal function and good gastrointestinal tolerance), linagliptin may also be added to the biguanide to reach HbA1c targets in case of failure of monotherapy. Linagliptin has also proven its efficacy and safety as a triple therapy in addition to a metformin plus sulfonylurea combination³². Consequently, numerous patients with T2DM are in a position to receive both metformin and linagliptin²⁷. Thereby, a linagliptin plus metformin hydrochloride FDC (Jentadueto®) appears attractive for clinical use (see drug summary box).

The present paper provides a PK/PD evaluation of linagliptin plus metformin and an updated review of the randomized clinical trials and of the bioequivalence studies that have assessed both the efficacy and tolerability/safety of this linagliptin-metformin combination. We will particularly focus our analysis on the safety profile of the combination and what might be its potential use in patients with mild to moderate CKD and in elderly subjects despite the classical limitations of use of metformin in such clinical conditions. To identify relevant studies, an extensive literature search of MEDLINE was performed from January 2008 to December 2012, with the two key-words "metformin" and "linagliptin". No language restrictions were imposed. Reference lists of original studies, narrative reviews, previous systematic reviews, the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR) of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) of the linagliptin plus metformin FDC³³ and the approval document of this FDC by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)³⁴ have been also carefully examined.

2. Mechanism of action of linagliptin plus metformin

2.1. Pharmacokinetic evaluation

The individual PK characteristics of metformin^{35, 36} and linagliptin^{24, 37} have been reviewed elsewhere. Metformin is mainly excreted by the kidneys whereas linagliptin has a negligible renal excretion. This part of the present review will focus on the PK interactions

between linagliptin and metformin³⁸. Only limited DDIs have been described with metformin and with linagliptin both in healthy volunteers and in T2DM patients^{35, 39, 40}. Metformin is a substrate for organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) and OCT2³⁶ and linagliptin showed inhibitory potency to OCT1 and OCT2⁴¹. However, considering the low therapeutic plasma concentration of linagliptin, available *in vitro* data clearly suggest a very low risk for transporter-mediated DDIs on comedications, including metformin, in clinical practice⁴¹.

The potential PK/PD interactions between linagliptin and metformin were investigated in a randomised, two-way crossover design study in 16 healthy male subjects^{42.} Linagliptin (10 mg/day) and metformin (850 mg three times daily) were each administered alone and concomitantly (3-9 days to steady-state). Co-administration of linagliptin had no apparent effect on metformin exposure (area under the plasma concentration-time curve or AUC), with no significant changes in metformin AUC $_{\tau,ss}$ or C_{max,ss}. While co-administration of metformin did not significantly affect C_{max,ss} of linagliptin, it slightly increased linagliptin AUC $_{\tau,ss}$ by 20% (Table 1). Metformin alone had no effect on DPP-4 activity, and the inhibition of DPP-4 caused by linagliptin was not affected by concomitant administration of metformin. Thus, in this study, co-administration of linagliptin with metformin did not have a clinically relevant effect on the PK or PD of either agent⁴².

Three bioequivalence studies with a similar design (open-label, randomized, single dose, two-way crossover, trials, in 94-96 healthy volunteers each) were performed with three different linagliptin/metformin FDC tablet strengths (2.5 mg/500 mg, 2.5 mg/850 mg, and 2.5 mg/1000 mg). The results regarding AUC and C_{max} demonstrated that linagliptin/metformin hydrochloride FDC tablets are bioequivalent to coadministration of corresponding doses of linagliptin and metformin as individual tablets (Table 2)³³.

Food may influence the bioavailability of metformin, linagliptin or linagliptin/metformin FDC (Table 3). Even if the bioavailability of metformin is slightly reduced by food^{43, 44}, it is generally recommended to take metformin with a meal in order to improve gastrointestinal tolerance. Intake of a high-fat meal reduced the rate of linagliptin absorption (increase of T_{max} by about 2 hours), but had no influence on the extent of absorption⁴⁵. These findings suggest that linagliptin can be given together with metformin during a meal. The effect of food on bioavailability of linagliptin/metformin FDC was evaluated with the higher strength of metformin. Administration of linagliptin 2.5 mg/metformin hydrochloride 1000 mg FDC with a fat meal resulted in no change in overall exposure of linagliptin. There was no change in metformin AUC; however, mean peak serum concentration of metformin was decreased by 18% when administered with food, confirming previous observations when metformin was tested alone^{43, 44}. Furthermore, a delayed time-to-peak serum concentrations by 2 hours was observed for metformin under fed conditions. These changes are not likely to be clinically significant³³.

2.2. Pharmacodynamic evaluation

The two antidiabetic agents exert their glucose-lowering effects via different mechanisms, metformin essentially independently of insulin secretion whereas linagliptin primarily (although not exclusively) via its incretin action on insulin secretion^{38, 46}. The antihyperglycemic effect of metformin is already marked in the fasting state, by inhibiting overnight gluconeogenesis⁴⁷ whereas the DPP-4 inhibitor generally exerts a greater glucose-lowering effect in the postprandial state than after an overnight fast⁴⁸.

The mechanism of action of metformin mainly involves suppression of hepatic glucose output and modestly reduction of insulin resistance^{49, 50}. The inhibition of hepatic glucose production occurs mostly through a mild and transient inhibition of the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I. The resulting decrease in hepatic energy status activates AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase), a cellular metabolic sensor, providing a generally accepted mechanism for the action of metformin on hepatic gluconeogenesis⁵¹.

Linagliptin effectively inhibited plasma DPP-4 activity in patients with T2DM, producing immediate improvements in incretin levels (both GLP-1 and GIP), glucagon suppression, and better glycemic control^{52, 53}. The improvement in glycemic control was associated with enhancement of markers of B-cell function, such as proinsulin/insulin ratio, Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA)-%B, and disposition index, mostly attributed to a GLP-1 effect⁴⁸.

Interestingly, almost 10 years ago already, metformin was shown to increase GLP-1 release in obese patients without or with T2DM^{54, 55}. A more recent study confirmed that metformin monotherapy in obese patients with T2DM was associated with increased postprandial GLP-1 levels, including its active form, over a 6-h period following a standard mixed meal, without changes in DPP-4 activity⁵⁶. These data confirmed that metformin-induced increase in GLP-1 levels is independent of DPP-4 inhibition after a meal^{44, 57}. The mechanisms of action explaining why metformin may promote GLP-1 secretion from L cells are rather complex⁵⁸, ⁵⁹. Recent experimental studies in rats suggested that metformin enhances circulating levels

of GLP-1 through a peripheral muscarinic (M3) and gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) receptor-dependent mechanism⁵⁸. It has also been shown that metformin protects against lipoapoptosis (possibly by blocking JNK2 activation), and enhances GLP-1 secretion from GLP-1-producing cells in vitro⁵⁹. Finally, in a recent study in T2DM patients, whose results contrast with some previous observations^{42, 56}, the use of metformin was associated with a significantly lower DPP-4 activity, independently of age, sex, body mass index and HbA1c⁶⁰. All together, the combination of a DPP-4 inhibitor and metformin led to greater increases in active GLP-1 than either treatment alone⁵⁷, which may represent a further argument in favor of this combination and the commercialization of a gliptin-metformin FDC⁶¹.

3. Clinical applications

3.1. Addition of linagliptin to metformin monotherapy (Table 4)

All clinical trials having tested the addition of linagliptin to metformin were performed in T2DM patients not well controlled (HbA1c 6.5-7% to 10-11%) with a dose of metformin \geq 1500 mg/day or maximally tolerated dose. The follow up was rather short (12-24 weeks in placebo-controlled studies), except in one trial comparing linagliptin with glimepiride (104 weeks).

3.1.1. Comparison versus placebo

The efficacy and safety of linagliptin, added to ongoing metformin therapy, were assessed in 333 patients with T2DM who had inadequate glycemic control with metformin alone⁶². Patients were randomized to receive double-blind linagliptin (1, 5 or 10 mg once daily) or placebo or open-label glimepiride (1-3 mg once daily). Twelve weeks of treatment resulted in a mean placebo-corrected lowering in HbA1c levels of -0.73% for 5 mg and - 0.67% for 10 mg, compared with -0.90% for glimepiride. There were no hypoglycemic events for linagliptin or placebo, whereas three patients (5%) receiving glimepiride experienced hypoglycemia.

The addition of linagliptin 5 mg once daily (n=524) to metformin in T2DM patients with inadequate glycemic control (mean baseline HbA1c of 8.1 % and mean fasting plasma glucose (FPG) of 9.4 mmol/l) showed significant reductions vs. placebo (n=177) in adjusted mean changes (24 weeks versus baseline) of HbA1c (-0.49 vs. 0.15%), FPG (-0.59 vs. 0.58

mmol/l) and 2h postprandial glucose $(-2.7 \text{ vs. } 1.0 \text{ mmol/l})^{63}$. Rescue glycemic therapy was less used in patients treated with linagliptin 5 mg than in those receiving placebo (7.8% vs.18.9%) and no increased risk of hypoglycemia was observed.

As metformin is administered twice daily, a FDC of these compounds would require twice-daily administration of linagliptin. Therefore, a study evaluated whether 2.5 mg twicedaily dosing of linagliptin has comparable efficacy and safety to 5 mg once-daily dosing when given in addition to metformin twice daily in patients with inadequate glycemic control⁶⁴. A total of 491 T2DM patients were randomized to double-blind treatment with linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily, 5 mg once daily or placebo, respectively, in addition to continuing metformin twice daily. After 12 weeks, linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily and 5 mg once daily both significantly reduced HbA1c [placebo-adjusted changes from baseline (mean level : 7.97%) -0.74% (95% CI -0.97, -0.52) and -0.80% (95% CI -1.02, -0.58), respectively, both p < 0.0001]. The treatment difference (twice daily - once daily) between the linagliptin regimens was 0.06 (95% CI -0.07, 0.19), the upper bound of which was less than the predefined noninferiority margin (0.35%). Hypoglycemia was rare and similar to placebo, with no severe episodes.

3.1.2. Comparison versus a sulfonylurea

In a 2-year double-blind trial, T2DM patients on stable metformin alone or with one additional oral antidiabetic drug (washed out during screening) were randomly assigned to linagliptin (5 mg; n=777) or glimepiride (1-4 mg; n=775) orally once daily in addition to metformin (daily dose \geq 1500 mg in 93% of patients)⁶⁵. Reductions in adjusted mean HbA1c from baseline (7.69% in both groups) to week 104 were similar in the linagliptin (-0.16%) and glimepiride groups (-0.36%; difference 0.20%, 97.5% CI 0.09-0.30), meeting the predefined non-inferiority criterion of 0.35%. Fewer participants had hypoglycemia or severe hypoglycemia with linagliptin compared with glimepiride (see below : 4.3).

3.2. Initial linagliptin-metformin combined therapy

A potential benefit of early combined therapy in the management of T2DM may be explained by the complex pathophysiology of the disease and the numerous organ defects that may be targeted by various pharmacological interventions⁶⁶. Because of the complementary mode of action between metformin and a DPP-4 inhibitor, it may sound clinically appealing to initiate a gliptin-metformin combination^{38, 61}.

The efficacy and safety of initial combination therapy with linagliptin plus metformin versus linagliptin or metformin monotherapy in patients with T2DM were evaluated in a large (n=791) 24-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase III trial⁶⁷. Two free combination therapy arms received linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily + either low (500 mg) or high (1000 mg) dose metformin twice daily. Four monotherapy arms received linagliptin 5 mg once daily, metformin 500 mg or 1000 mg twice daily or placebo. Patients with HbA1c \geq 11.0% were not eligible for randomization and received open-label linagliptin + high-dose metformin. The placebo-corrected mean change in HbA1c was superior with the two initial combined therapies compared to either monotherapy or placebo (Table 5). In the open-label arm, the mean change in HbA1c from baseline (11.8%) was -3.7%⁶⁸. Hypoglycemia occurred at a similar low rate with linagliptin+metformin as with metformin alone.

4. Safety evaluation

The safety profiles of each separate glucose-lowering agent, the biguanide compound metformin^{4, 49, 50} or the DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin^{24, 69, 70}, are well documented. The most commonly reported adverse effects associated with metformin therapy are gastrointestinal, and include abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, and anorexia. Generally these digestive adverse events may be minimized by initiating a low dose of metformin, gradually uptitrating the metformin dose, and administering the medication with meals. Using this approach, digestive symptoms occurred in around 10 % of the patients receiving metformin as monotherapy in the US multicenter metformin study, and diarrhea and nausea were characterized as severe in only 8% and 4% of patients, respectively⁷¹. The most critical adverse event related to metformin therapy is lactic acidosis, but it is a rare complication provided that contra-indications of metformin use are respected, including CKD (see below)⁷².

The safety profile of linagliptin has been analyzed in detail in previous dedicated reviews^{24, 69, 70} and is generally good and quite similar to that previously reported with other DPP-4 inhibitors (i.e. almost comparable to that of placebo)^{8, 73, 74}. The long-term (52 weeks) safety and tolerability of oral linagliptin at either 5 or 10 mg were recently confirmed in an extension study of a phase III trial with T2DM Japanese patients with T2DM⁷⁵. Overall the safety/tolerance profile of linagliptin-metformin coadministration is similar to that of metformin alone (Table 5).

4.1. General tolerance profile

The safety of concomitantly administered linagliptin (daily dose 5 mg) and metformin (mean daily dose of approximately 1800 mg) has been evaluated in 2816 patients with T2DM treated for \geq 12 weeks in clinical trials. Three placebo-controlled studies with linagliptin + metformin were conducted : 2 studies were 24 weeks in duration, 1 study was 12 weeks in duration. In the 3 placebo-controlled clinical studies, adverse events which occurred in \geq 5% of patients receiving linagliptin + metformin (n=875) and were more common than in patients given placebo + metformin (n=539) included nasopharyngitis (5.7% vs 4.3%)³³. In a 24-week factorial design study, adverse events reported in \geq 5% of patients receiving linagliptin + metformin were more common than in patients given placebo (nasopharyngitis : 6.3 vs. 1.4%; diarrhea : 6.3 vs. 2.8%) and only slightly higher than with either monotherapy⁶⁷. Of note, because of the physiological (instead of supraphysiological or pharmacological) levels of GLP-1 reached with the DPP-4 inhibitor, the addition of linagliptin to metformin therapy does not appear to cause a greater incidence of gastrointestinal side effects than does metformin alone.

4.2. Effects on body weight

Overall, no clinically relevant changes in body weight were observed with linagliptin added to metformin, contrasting with the weight gain commonly observed with other glucose-lowering agents⁶. In a pooled analysis of 24-week phase III trials followed by a 78-week open-label extension, treatment with linagliptin was not associated with a clinically relevant change in body weight (-0.03 kg change in subjects previously treated with linagliptin during the initial 24-week period, 0.47 kg in those switched from placebo)⁷⁶. In a recent two-year head-to-head comparative trial in T2DM patients treated with metformin, body weight decreased with linagliptin (-1.4 kg) but increased with glimepiride (+1.3 kg) from similar mean baseline values; the treatment difference was -2.7 kg (97.5% CI -3.2 to -2.2, p<0.0001).

4.3. Effects on hypoglycemia

As already mentioned, the likelihood of treatment-related hypoglycemia is very low with the dual metformin plus linagliptin comnibation. This is explained by the absence of insulin-secreting effect of metformin (in contrast metformin is commonly associated with an insulin-sparing effect)^{49, 50} and by the glucose-dependent potentiation of insulin secretion of linagliptin (a property shared by all DPP-4 inhibitors leading to increased GLP-1 levels)⁷⁷. The risk of hypoglycemia only increased when linagliptin or linaglipin-metformin are

coprescribed with a sulfonylurea⁷⁶. In a large (n=1058 T2DM patients) multi-centre, 24-week, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group study comparing linagliptin (5 mg once daily) and placebo when added to metformin plus sulfonylurea, symptomatic hypoglycemia occurred in 16.7 and 10.3% of the linagliptin and placebo groups, respectively⁷⁸. Hypoglycemia was generally mild or moderate and no more severe hypoglycemia was reported with linagliptin than with placebo. In a 2-year head-to-head trial comparing linagliptin 5 mg or glimepiride 1-4 mg orally once daily in patients with T2DM insufficiently controlled with metformin, fewer participants had hypoglycaemia (7% vs 36%, p<0.0001) or severe hypoglycaemia (1 episode vs. 12 episodes) with linagliptin compared with glimepiride⁶⁵.

4.4. Effects on pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer

Because patients with T2DM exhibit significantly increased rates of acute pancreatitis, large case control studies are required to ascertain whether a specific antidiabetic therapy independently modifies the risk of developing pancreatitis⁷⁹. DPP-4 inhibitors, by increasing GLP-1 levels, were suspected to be associated with an increased risk of pancreatitis and even pancreatic cancer⁸⁰. In a controversial paper that examined the US FDA database of reported adverse events, the use of sitagliptin was shown to increase the odds ratio for reported pancreatitis 6-fold (an increase almost similar to that noticed for the GLP-1 receptor agonist exenatide) as compared with other glucose-lowering therapies⁸¹. However, reporting bias may be suspected to explain these observations. In a general review on the tolerability of DPP-4 inhibitors, pancreatitis was reported at lower rates with gliptins compared with other oral antihyperglycemic agents⁷³. In the large database on linagliptin, no case of acute pancreatitis (1 chronic pancreatitis among 2523 patients) and no case of pancreatic cancer have been described⁶⁹. The conclusion of a recent review was that the available data set from multiple independent sources does not currently support a mechanistic or epidemiological link between incretin therapies and the development of acute pancreatitis; however, longer studies with greater numbers of patients are needed for more robust conclusions to be drawn⁷⁹.

Indeed, various experimental data in animal models suggested that there are grounds for concern that the GLP-1 class of drugs may induce asymptomatic pancreatitis and, perhaps over time in some individuals, induce pancreatic cancer⁸⁰. Of potential interest, pancreatic ductal proliferation induced by GLP-1 could be prevented by coadministration of metformin in a rat model⁸². Whether such protection may also be expected in humans remains to be demonstrated, even if some experimental and clinical data have already suggested that metformin may reduce the risk of pancreatic cancer⁸³.

4.5. Effects on cardiovascular events

T2DM is associated with a higher risk of CV events and some glucose-lowering agents may be associated with an increased rather a decreased risk of CV events. Therefore, especially since the rosiglitazone story, the FDA now requests that new glucose-lowering agents demonstrate CV safety⁸⁴. Metformin may be associated with a lower incidence of CV events when the drug is used as monotherapy⁸⁵. However, the level of evidence of the CV protection of metformin has been challenged recently, perhaps partially because of the pollution by the coprescription with sulfonylureas⁸⁶.

Post-hoc analyses of phase II-III trials with DPP-4 inhibitors showed that this incretinbased class of pharmacological compounds may be associated with a reduced incidence of CV events⁸⁷. In a a pre-specified, prospective and adjudicated meta-analysis of CV events in linagliptin or comparator-treated patients with T2DM from eight Phase 3 studies, primary CV events occurred in 11 (0.3%) patients receiving linagliptin and 23 (1.2%) receiving comparators; the hazard ratio (HR) for the primary endpoint showed significantly lower risk with linagliptin than comparators (HR 0.34; 95% CI 0.16-0.70). These preliminary results support the hypothesis that linagliptin may have CV benefits in patients with T2DM⁸⁸. Whether the combination of linagliptin (or another DPP-4 inhibitor) and metformin would result in a significant reduction of the incidence of CV events in T2DM patients at high CV risk remains to be demonstrated. Of potential interest, recent data from a 104-week trial showed a lower incidence of CV events with linagliptin added to metformin compared with the addition of a sulfonylurea (glimepiride) to metformin (12 vs. 26 patients; relative risk 0.46, 95% CI 0.23-0.91, p=0.0213)⁶⁵. This finding was mainly attributable to a significantly lower number of non-fatal strokes in patients on linagliptin compared with glimepiride (RR 0.27, 95% CI 0.08–0.97; p=0.0315) rather than to a reduction in mortality or acute coronary infarctions. Further information on the CV safety and efficacy of linagliptin versus glimepiride will be provided by the ongoing prospective CAROLINA ("CARdiovascular Outcome study of LINAagliptin versus glimepiride in patients with T2DM") study in which most probably numerous patients will receive metformin as baseline therapy⁸⁹. The results of this trial are waited with interest because of the controversy concerning the CV safety of the metformin-sulfonylurea combination⁸⁶.

4.6. Safety concern in patients with CKD

Because patients with T2DM are better protected against CV disease and thereby live longer, more and more patients are exposed to the development of CKD⁹⁰. Thus, the efficacy and more especially the safety of a gliptin plus metformin combination in patients with CKD deserve much attention⁹¹.

4.6.1. Linagliptin in patients with CKD

The influence of various degrees of renal impairment on the exposure of linagliptin was assessed in subjects with and without T2DM²⁸. Linagliptin PK (5 mg once daily) was studied under single-dose and steady-state conditions (administration for 7-10 days) in subjects with mild (creatinine clearance > 50 to \leq 80 ml/min), moderate (> 30 to \leq 50 ml/min), and severe (< 30 ml/min) CKD and end-stage renal disease (< 30 ml/min on hemodialysis), and compared with the PK in subjects with normal renal function. Renal excretion of unchanged linagliptin was <7% in all groups. Although there was a tendency towards slightly higher (20-60%) exposure in subjects with CKD compared with subjects with normal renal function, the steady-state AUC and C_{max} values showed a large overlap and were not affected by the degree of renal impairment (in contrast to what was observed with other DPP-4 inhibitors). Thus, CKD has a minor effect on linagliptin PK, a finding that has been confirmed in post-hoc analyses of the trough plasma levels of linagliptin in the global Phase III program investigating linagliptin 5 mg once daily for 24-52 weeks in patients with T2DM and various degress of renal impairment²⁴.

Another pooled analysis of 3 randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 clinical trials evaluated the effect of renal function on the efficacy and safety of linagliptin. Data were available for 2141 patients with T2DM who were grouped by renal function as normal (GFR \geq 80 mL/min, n=1684), mild CKD (GFR, 50 to <80 mL/min, n=418), or moderate CKD (GFR, 30 to <50 mL/min, n=39). Linagliptin showed consistent placebo-corrected adjusted mean HbA_{1c} changes after 24 weeks across all 3 groups: normal renal function (-0.63%), mild CKD (-0.69%), and moderate CKD (-0.69%), with no significant inter-group difference. Linagliptin was generally well tolerated, with an incidence rate of serious adverse events with linagliptin similar to placebo⁹². Finally, a recent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 3 trial evaluated the efficacy and safety of linagliptin in patients with T2DM and severe CKD (GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²; average level at inclusion : 23.5 ± 6.7 mL/min/1.73 m²)⁹³. Patients were treated with either linagliptin 5 mg once daily (n=68) or placebo (n=65); antidiabetic background therapy remained unchanged. Linagliptin induced significantly greater HbA_{1c} reductions at week 12 compared to baseline in the full analysis set (-0.8% versus -0.2% with placebo) and in the subgroup of poorly controlled patients (baseline HbA_{1c} ≥9%) (-1.5% vs. -0.3%). Hypoglycemia occurred more frequently in linagliptin-treated patients than in placebotreated patients, an observation that may be explained by unchanged doses of insulin and/or sulfonylurea background therapy. Other adverse event rates were similar for linagliptin and placebo.

Because metformin is classically contraindicated in patients with renal impairment, GFR < 60/min was considered an exclusion criterion in all these trials and thereby the linagliptin plus metformin combination has not been evaluated in patients with moderate to severe CKD.

4.6.2. Metformin in patients with CKD

The use of metformin is classically contraindicated in patients with CKD, as stated in the labeling of the drug. However, the biological criteria used to define CKD may vary between countries. Today's U.S. Food and Drug Administration prescribing guidelines for metformin contraindicate its use in men and women with serum creatinine concentrations \geq 1.5 and \geq 1.4 mg/dL (\geq 132 and \geq 123 mmol/L), respectively. According to the EU label, metformin is contraindicated in patients with a creatinine clearance < 60 ml/min and this parameter should be determined before initiating treatment and regularly thereafter. There is an ongoing debate, however, as to whether these thresholds are too restrictive and that those T2DM patients with mild-moderate renal impairment would gain more benefit than harm from using metformin.³⁰ As an example, in patients having T2DM with established atherothrombosis participating in the Reduction of Atherothrombosis for Continued Health (REACH) Registry, the 2-year mortality rate associated with metformin vs. other glucoselowering agents was significantly lower in patients with an estimated creatinine clearance of 30 to 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (adjusted hazard ratio 0.64; 95% CI, 0.48-0.86; P=0.003)⁹⁴. In the UK, the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines are less proscriptive and more evidence-based than those in the USA, generally allowing use of

metformin down to a GFR of 30 ml/min, with dose reduction advised at 45 ml/min.⁹⁵ Given the current widespread reporting of estimated GFR and the available evidence of the safety profile of metformin in patients with mild-moderate CKD³⁰, these guidelines appear very reasonable as stated in the recent ADA-EASD position statement². If this extension of use of metformin is accepted in the future, this will result in an extension of the opportunities of prescribing a linagliptin plus metformin combination in patients with T2DM.

4.7. Safety concern in the elderly T2DM patients

Because of their overall safety profile, especially the negligible risk of hypoglycemia, DDP-4 inhibitors are attractive for the management of elderly patients with T2DM^{96, 97}. A large cohort study of elderly (> 65 years) patients with T2DM uncontrolled on metformin alone showed that the incidence of hypoglycemia was three times higher in patients prescribed a conventional oral antidiabetic drug (a sulfonylurea in most instances) versus a DPP4 inhibitor after 6 months (incidence of hypoglycemia/severe hypoglycemia : 20.1%/2.4% versus 6.4%/0.1%; P<0.001) while both treatments induced satisfactory glycemic control⁹⁸. No study was published regarding the use of linagliptin in the elderly population⁹⁷, but a specific trial in this population is ongoing⁹⁹. In the large database from eight randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase III clinical trials lasting ≥ 24 weeks, 21.2% among 2523 patients were aged between 65 and 75 years and only 3.1% were ≥ 75 years⁶⁹.

During many years, metformin has been contraindicated in elderly patients because a higher risk of lactic acidosis⁷². However, in T2DM patients of the REACH Registry, the 2-year mortality rate associated with metformin vs. other glucose-lowering agents was significantly lower in patients older than 65 years (adjusted HR : 0.77; 0.62-0.95; P = 0.02), as it was in younger individuals. Mortality was also decreased among metformin users older than 80 years but not significantly (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.66-1.28)⁹⁴. A recent study in Poland indicated a relatively good tolerability of metformin by elderly patients (mean age 67 years) with the traditional contraindications to this drug¹⁰⁰. These findings support the suggestion that indications and contraindications to metformin should be re-evaluated².

5. Linagliptin plus metformin FDC

The linagliptin plus metformin FDC has been developed as tablets with three different dosage forms and strengths to be administered twice daily : linagliptin 2.5 mg plus metformin hydrochloride 500 mg, linagliptin 2.5 mg plus metformin hydrochloride 850 mg and linagliptin 2.5 mg plus metformin hydrochloride 1000 mg. This FDC may be prescribed when treatment with both linagliptin and metformin are appropriate. The dosage should be individualized on the basis of both effectiveness and tolerability, while not exceeding the maximum recommended dose of 2.5 mg linagliptin/1000 mg metformin hydrochloride twice daily. Dose escalation should be gradual to reduce the gastrointestinal side effects associated with metformin use. Because of the presence of metformin, the FDC is not recommended in hepatic impairment or hypoxic states and is contraindicated in renal impairment, although such later restriction might be dampened in a near future provided that patient's careful supervision of renal function is warranted.

Linagliptin plus metformin combination, given separately, has proven its superiority as compared to either monotherapy, with a good safety profile⁶⁷. However, there is no study having evaluated the long-term effect of the FDC linagliptin plus metformin so far.

6. Conclusion

The combination of metformin, the first choice glucose-lowering drug, and a DPP-4 inhibitor such as linagliptin sounds as a valuable option in the management of patients with T2DM. Indeed, the two compounds act via different, albeit complementary, mechanisms leading to an additive glucose-lowering activity. Together, the two compounds tackle various main defects in the pathophysiology of T2DM, i.e. impaired insulin secretion, increased glucagon levels, increased hepatic glucose production and decreased insulin sensitivity, resulting in significant reductions in both fasting and posprandial glucose plasma concentrations. Statistically significant and clinically relevant reductions in HbA1c levels have been described when linagliptin 5 mg was added in patients not reaching individually selected HbA1c targets with metformin alone or even with metformin plus sulfonylurea therapy. There are no clinically relevant PK DDIs between linagliptin and metformin reported so far and no major influence of food on PK of either compound has been described. Linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily had non-inferior HbA1c-lowering effects compared to 5 mg once daily, with comparable safety and tolerability, in T2DM patients inadequately controlled

with metformin. These observations open the door to the launch of a linagliptin plus metformin FDC to be given twice daily with a meal.

Overall, the safety profile of linagliptin is comparable to that of placebo, even when the DPP-4 inhibitor is combined with metformin; in particular, there is no worsening of the well known gastrointestinal side effects of the biguanide present in some patients. No special adverse effects have been reported, especially no weight gain and no severe hypoglycemia, so that this combination may be used safely in a large proportion of patients with T2DM, including elderly people, even if some concern remains in presence of CKD.

Linagliptin may be used in T2DM patients with any degree of renal impairment, because of its negligible renal excretion, a characteristic that differentates this compound from other DPP-4 inhibitors. In contrast, caution is recommended with metformin use in patients with CKD because of the risk of drug accumulation and lactic acidosis, a rare but potentially fatal complication. Thus, linagliptin plus metformin, either separately or as FDC, can only be prescribed when treatment with both pharmacological agents is appropriate. Recent observations suggest that metformin may be used in patients with mild to moderate CKD under strict medical supervision. Further studies are expected to prove the long-term benefit of the linagliptin plus metformin, especially with the demonstration of a reduced incidence of vascular complications as currently investigated in the prospective trial CAROLINA.

Funding and conflict of interest

No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this manuscript. No conflicts of interest are directly relevant to the content of this manuscript.

A.J. Scheen has received lecture/advisor fees from AstraZeneca/BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, NovoNordisk, and Sanofi-Aventis.

Table 1 : Pharmacokinetics interactions between linagliptin (10 mg/day) and metformin (850 mg three times daily) in healthy volunteers. Results are expressed as geometric mean ratio [GMR] co-administration:individual administration (90% confidence interval)⁴². AUC(tau,ss) : area under the concentration-time curve at steady state. $C(\max,ss)$: maximum observed concentration during a dosing interval.

	Metformin plasma levels				
Effect of linagliptin on metformin exposure	AUC(tau,ss)	C(max,ss)			
	101	89			
	(89-114)	(78-100)			
	Linagliptin plasma levels				
Effect of metformin on linagliptin exposure	AUC(tau,ss)	C(max,ss)			
	120	103			
	(107-134)	(86-124)			

Table 2 : Results from open-label, randomised, single dose, two-way crossover, trials studying the bioequivalence between fixed-dose combinations (FDC) and separate tablets (reference) of linagliptin (2.5 mg) and three different strengths of metformin hydrochloride (1000 mg 500 mg and 850 mg) in 94-96 healthy volunteers. Results are expressed as geometric mean ratio [GMR] FDC : reference with separate tablets (90% confidence interval). Adapted from reference³³.

	LINA/MET 2.5 mg/1000 mg FDC		LINA/MET 2.5	mg/500 mg FDC	LINA/MET 2.5 mg/850 mg FDC	
Treatment	VS.		v	S.	VS.	
	LINA 2.5 mg +	MET 1000 mg	LINA 2.5 mg -	+ MET 500 mg	LINA 2.5 mg + MET 850 mg	
	AUC ₀₋₇₂	C _{max}	AUC ₀₋₇₂	C _{max}	AUC ₀₋₇₂	C _{max}
	nmol.h/L	nmol/L	nmol.h/L	nmol/L	nmol.h/L	nmol/L
Linagliptin plasma l	evels			1	1	
Ratio	106.4	103.4	100.0	98.2	104.5	106.2
FDC/reference						
(90% CI)	(102.7-110.2)	(100.3-106.7)	(96.7-103.4)	(94.5-102.1)	(100.6-108.5)	(102.9-109.7)
	AUC _{0-Z}	C _{max}	AUC _{0-Z}	C _{max}	AUC _{0-Z}	C _{max}
	ng.h/ml	ng/ml	ng.h/ml	ng/ml	ng.h/ml	ng/ml
Metformin plasma le	evels					
Ratio	103.6	104.3	99.4	97.9	101.0	100.1
FDC/reference						
(90% CI)	(100.0-107.4)	(99.8-108.9)	(96.5-102.3)	(94.4-101.5)	(98.1-103.9)	(96.5-104.0)

LINA = linagliptin. MET = metformin. FDC : fixed-dose combination. AUC_{0-72} : area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to 72 hours. AUC_{0-Z} : area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to last timepoint with a plasma concentration above the quantification limit. C_{max} : maximum plasma concentration. Table 3 : Effects of food (high-fat meal) on the bioavailability of metformin and linagliptin. Results are expressed as geometric mean ratio [GMR] fed:fasted state (90% confidence interval). AUC ; Area under the concentration-time curve. Cmax : maximum measured plasma concentration. NA : not available.

	Changes in plasma levels				
Effect of food on metformin exposure	AUC	Cmax			
(metformin tablet 850 mg; 24 healthy volunteers) ⁴³	- 24 %	- 39 %			
	(NA)	(NA)			
Effect of food on metformin exposure	- 23%	NA			
(metformin tablet 1000 mg; 6 healthy volunteers) ⁴⁴	(NA)	(NA)			
	GMR (fed/	fasted state)			
Effect of food on linagliptin exposure	AUC (0-72h)	Cmax			
(linagliptin tablet 5 mg; 32 healthy volunteers) ⁴⁵	103.5	84.7			
	(98.1-109.2)	(75.9-94.6)			
	GMR (fed/fasted state)				
Effect of food on metformin exposure	AUC	Cmax			
(linagliptin 2.5 mg + metformin hydrochloride 1000 mg FDC; 32 healthy volunteers) ³³	95.2	81.9			
1000 mg FDC, 52 heating volunteers)	(88.5-102.3)	(76.8-87.3)			
	GMR (fed/fasted state)				
Effect of food on linagliptin exposure	AUC	Стах			
(linagliptin 2.5 mg + metformin hydrochloride 1000 mg FDC; 32 healthy volunteers) ³³	98.7	91.4			
1000 mg FDC, 52 nearmy volunteers)	(94.5-103.0)	(86.2-96.9)			

Table 4 : Main randomized controlled trials assessing the efficacy of adding linagliptin versus placebo or glimepiride in patients with T2DM not well controlled with metformin alone ($\geq 1500 \text{ mg/day}$).

		Number of patients	Study Duration (weeks)	HbA _{1c} Baseline (%/ mmol/mol)	HbA _{1c} Change from baseline (%)	FPG Change from baseline (mmol/l)	Body weight Change from baseline (kg)	Hypoglyce mia (% patients)
(2	Linagliptin 5 mg od	66	12	8.50/69.4	-0.48	-1.22	-0.57	0
Forst et al 2010 ⁶²	Linagliptin 10 mg od	66	12	8.40/68.3	-0.42	-0.90	-1.27	0
	Placebo od	71	12	8.40/68.3	+0.25	+0.70	-0.84	0
Taskinen et al 2011 ⁶³	Linagliptin 5 mg od	523	24	8.09/64.9	-0.49	-0.60	-0.50	0.6
ruskillen et ur 2011	Placebo od	177	24	8.02/64.2	+0.15	+0.60	-0.40	2.8
	Linagliptin 5 mg od	224	12	7.98/63.7	-0.52	-0.99*	-1.0	0.9
Ross et al 2012 ⁶⁴	Linagliptin 2.5 mg bid	223	12	7.96/63.5	-0.46	-0.76*	-0.4	3.1
	Placebo	44	12	7.92/63.1	+0.28	-	-1.1	2.3
Forst et al 2010 ⁶²	Linagliptin 5 mg od	66	12	8.50/69.4	-0.48	-1.22	-0.57	0
1 015t Vt u 1 2010	Glimepiride 1-3 mg od	65	12	8.20/66.1	-0.68	NA	+0.73	4.6
Gallwitz et al 2012 ⁶⁵	Linagliptin 5 mg od	776	104	7.70/60.7	-0.16	-0.13	-1.4	7
	Glimepiride 1-4 mg od	775	104	7.70/60.7	-0.36	-0.48	+1.3	36 **

FPG : fasting plasma glucose. NA : not available. Od : once daily. Bid : twice daily. *Adjusted mean difference from placebo instead of change versus baseline. ** Severe hypoglycemia : 12 (2%) with glimepiride versus 1 (<1%) with linagliptin

Table 5 : Efficacy of the initial linagliptin-metformin combination therapy versus either monotherapy or placebo in patients with T2DM treated with diet alone (24-week randomized double-blind trial)⁶⁷.

		HbA _{1c}	HbA _{1c}	FPG	Body weight	Нуро-	GI adverse
	Number	Baseline	Change from	Change	Change	glycemia*	events **
Treatment	of patients	(%/	baseline	from	from	(% patients)	(% patients
		mmol/mol)	(%)	baseline	baseline		
Linagliptin 5mg od	135	8.70/71.6	-0.50	-0.50	+0.2	0	12.0
Metformin 500mg bid	141	8.70/71.6	-0.60	-0.90	-0.7	1.4	9.7
Metformin 1000 mg bid	138	8.50/69.4	-1.10	-1.80	-0.5	3.4	15.6
Linagliptin 2.5 mg +	127	8.70/71.6	-0.20	-1.80	-0.1	3.5	14.0
Metformin 500 bid	137	0.70771.0	0.20	1.00	0.1		
Linagliptin 2.5 mg +	140	8.70/71.6	-1.60	-2.70	-0.8	0	19.6
Metformin 1000 mg bid	140	0.70/71.0	-1.00	-2.70	-0.0		
Placebo	65	8.70/71.6	+0.10	+0.60	-0.7	1.4	13.9
Linagliptin 2.5 mg + Metformin 1000 mg bid***	66	11.80	-3.70	-4.10	NA	1.5	19.7

FPG : fasting plasma glucose. od : once daily. bid : twice daily. NA : not available * Investigator-reported hypoglycemic events. ** GI adverse events : gastrointestinal adverse events (constipation, diarrhoea, gastritis, hyperchlorhydria, nausea, vomiting). *** Open-label arm in patients with HbA1c \geq 11.0% not eligible for randomization.

1. Bennett WL, Maruthur NM, Singh S, et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of medications for type 2 diabetes: an update including new drugs and 2-drug combinations. Ann Intern Med 2011;154:602-13.

2. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetologia 2012;55:1577-96.

3. Phung OJ, Scholle JM, Talwar M, et al. Effect of noninsulin antidiabetic drugs added to metformin therapy on glycemic control, weight gain, and hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetes. JAMA 2010;303:1410-8.

4. Setter SM, Iltz JL, Thams J, et al. Metformin hydrochloride in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a clinical review with a focus on dual therapy. Clin Ther 2003;25:2991-3026.

5. Morgan CL, Poole CD, Evans M, et al. What next after metformin? A retrospective evaluation of the outcome of second-line, glucose-lowering therapies in people with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97:4605-12.

6. Bonora E. Antidiabetic medications in overweight/obese patients with type 2 diabetes: drawbacks of current drugs and potential advantages of incretin-based treatment on body weight. Int J Clin Pract Suppl 2007;154:19-28.

7. Karagiannis T, Paschos P, Paletas K, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the clinical setting: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2012;344:e1369.

8. Scheen AJ. A review of gliptins in 2011. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2012;13:81-99.

9. Hermansen K, Mortensen LS. Bodyweight changes associated with antihyperglycaemic agents in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drug Saf 2007;30:1127-42.

10. Scheen AJ. DPP-4 inhibitors in the management of type 2 diabetes: a critical review of head-to-head trials. Diabetes Metab 2012;38:89-101.

Scheen AJ. Controversy about the relative efficacy of DPP-4 inhibitors. Diabetologia 2012;55:2848 9.

Scheen AJ, Paquot N. Gliptin versus a sulphonylurea as add-on to metformin. Lancet 2012;380:450 2.

13. Baetta R, Corsini A. Pharmacology of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: similarities and differences. Drugs 2011;71:1441-67.

14. Scheen AJ. Pharmacokinetics of dipeptidylpeptidase-4 inhibitors. Diabetes Obes Metab 2010;12:648-58.

15. Golightly LK, Drayna CC, McDermott MT. Comparative clinical pharmacokinetics of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors. Clin Pharmacokinet 2012;51:501-14.

16. Scheen AJ, Radermecker RP. Addition of incretin therapy to metformin in type 2 diabetes. Lancet 2010;375:1410-12.

17. Lajara R. Use of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor linagliptin in combination therapy for type 2 diabetes. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2012;13:2663-71.

18. Guarino E, Nigi L, Patti A, et al. Combination therapy with metformin plus vildagliptin in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2012;13:1377-84.

19. Scheen AJ. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation of sitagliptin plus metformin. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2010;6:1265-76.

20. Chwieduk CM. Sitagliptin/metformin fixed-dose combination: in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs 2011;71:349-61.

21. Scheen AJ. Metformin + saxagliptin for type 2 diabetes. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2012;13:139-46.

22. Bailey CJ, Day C. Fixed-dose single tablet antidiabetic combinations. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:527-33.

23. Hutchins V, Zhang B, Fleurence RL, et al. A systematic review of adherence, treatment satisfaction and costs, in fixed-dose combination regimens in type 2 diabetes. Curr Med Res Opin 2011;27:1157-68.

24. Scheen AJ. Linagliptin for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (pharmacokinetic evaluation). Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2011;7:1561-76.

25. Scott LJ. Linagliptin: in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs 2011;71:611-24.

26. Neumiller JJ, Setter SM. Review of linagliptin for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Ther 2012;34:993-1005.

27. Deeks ED. Linagliptin: a review of its use in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs 2012;72:1793-824.

28. Graefe-Mody U, Friedrich C, Port A, et al. Effect of renal impairment on the pharmacokinetics of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor linagliptin. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:939-46.

29. Mikhail N. Use of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease. Postgrad Med 2012;124:138-44.

30. Lipska KJ, Bailey CJ, Inzucchi SE. Use of metformin in the setting of mild-to-moderate renal insufficiency. Diabetes Care 2011;34:1431-7.

31. Barnett AH, Patel S, Harper R, et al. Linagliptin monotherapy in type 2 diabetes patients for whom metformin is inappropriate: an 18-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial with a 34-week active-controlled extension. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;published on line 2012/09/15;doi: 10.1111/dom.12011.

32. Owens DR, Swallow R, Dugi KA, et al. Efficacy and safety of linagliptin in persons with Type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by a combination of metformin and sulphonylurea: a 24-week randomized study. Diabet Med 2011;28:1352-61.

33. Agency EM. Jentadueto, INN-linagliptin/metformin HCl. CHMP assessment report. 2012 [cited published on line;doi; Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/002279/WC500130972.pdf

34. Administration FaD. Jentadueto (linagliptin plus metformin). 2012 [cited 2012 06/11/2012]; Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/201281s000lbl.pdf

35. Scheen AJ. Clinical pharmacokinetics of metformin. Clin Pharmacokinet 1996;30:359-71.

36. Graham GG, Punt J, Arora M, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of metformin. Clin Pharmacokinet 2011;50:81-98.

37. Graefe-Mody U, Retlich S, Friedrich C. Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of linagliptin. Clin Pharmacokinet 2012;51:411-27.

38. Scheen AJ. Linagliptin plus metformin : pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation. Exp Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2013;published on line;doi:In press.

39. Tornio A, Niemi M, Neuvonen PJ, et al. Drug interactions with oral antidiabetic agents: pharmacokinetic mechanisms and clinical implications. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2012;33:312-22.

40. Scheen AJ. Drug interactions of clinical importance with antihyperglycaemic agents - An update. Drug Safety 2005;28:601-31.

41. Ishiguro N, Shimizu H, Kishimoto W, et al. Evaluation and prediction of potential drug-drug interactions of linagliptin using in vitro cell culture methods. Drug Metab Dispos 2012;41:149-58.

42. Graefe-Mody EU, Padula S, Ring A, et al. Evaluation of the potential for steady-state pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions between the DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin and metformin in healthy subjects. Curr Med Res Opin 2009;25:1963-72.

43. Sambol NC, Brookes LG, Chiang J, et al. Food intake and dosage level, but not tablet vs solution dosage form, affect the absorption of metformin HCl in man. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1996;42:510-2.

44. Cuthbertson J, Patterson S, O'Harte FP, et al. Investigation of the effect of oral metformin on dipeptidylpeptidase-4 (DPP-4) activity in Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2009;26:649-54.

45. Graefe-Mody U, Giessmann T, Ring A, et al. A randomized, open-label, crossover study evaluating the effect of food on the relative bioavailability of linagliptin in healthy subjects. Clin Ther 2011;33:1096-103.

46. Koliaki C, Doupis J. Linagliptin/metformin fixed-dose combination treatment: a dual attack to type 2 diabetes pathophysiology. Adv Ther 2012;29:993-1004.

47. Hundal RS, Krssak M, Dufour S, et al. Mechanism by which metformin reduces glucose production in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2000;49:2063-9.

48. Del Prato S, Barnett AH, Huisman H, et al. Effect of linagliptin monotherapy on glycaemic control and markers of β -cell function in patients with inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:258-67.

49. Stumvoll M, Haring HU, Matthaei S. Metformin. Endocr Res 2007;32:39-57.

50. Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR. Metformin: an update. Ann Intern Med 2002;137:25-33.

51. Viollet B, Guigas B, Sanz Garcia N, et al. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of metformin: an overview. Clin Sci (Lond) 2012;122:253-70.

52. Rauch T, Graefe-Mody U, Deacon CF, et al. Linagliptin increases incretin levels, lowers glucagon, and improves glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Ther 2012;3:10. doi: 10.1007/s13300-012-0010-y. Epub 2012 Sep 18.

53. Forst T, Uhlig-Laske B, Ring A, et al. The oral DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin significantly lowers HbA1c after 4 weeks of treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:542-50.

54. Mannucci E, Ognibene A, Cremasco F, et al. Effect of metformin on glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and leptin levels in obese nondiabetic subjects. Diabetes Care 2001;24:489-94.

55. Mannucci E, Tesi F, Bardini G, et al. Effects of metformin on glucagon-like peptide-1 levels in obese patients with and without Type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Nutr Metab 2004;17:336-42.

56. Thondam SK, Cross A, Cuthbertson DJ, et al. Effects of chronic treatment with metformin on dipeptidyl peptidase-4 activity, glucagon-like peptide 1 and ghrelin in obese patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 2012;29:e205-10.

57. Hinke SA, Kuhn-Wache K, Hoffmann T, et al. Metformin effects on dipeptidylpeptidase IV degradation of glucagon-like peptide-1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002;291:1302-8.

58. Mulherin AJ, Oh AH, Kim H, et al. Mechanisms underlying metformin-induced secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 from the intestinal L cell. Endocrinology 2011;152:4610-9.

59. Kappe C, Patrone C, Holst JJ, et al. Metformin protects against lipoapoptosis and enhances GLP-1 secretion from GLP-1-producing cells. J Gastroenterol 2012;published on line 2012/08/02;doi: 10.1007/s00535-012-0637-5.

60. Fadini GP, Albiero M, Menegazzo L, et al. The increased dipeptidyl peptidase-4 activity is not counteracted by optimized glucose control in type 2 diabetes, but is lower in metformin-treated patients. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:518-22.

61. Ahren B. Novel combination treatment of type 2 diabetes DPP-4 inhibition + metformin. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2008;4:383-94.

62. Forst T, Uhlig-Laske B, Ring A, et al. Linagliptin (BI 1356), a potent and selective DPP-4 inhibitor, is safe and efficacious in combination with metformin in patients with inadequately controlled Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2010;27:1409-19.

63. Taskinen MR, Rosenstock J, Tamminen I, et al. Safety and efficacy of linagliptin as add-on therapy to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:65-74.

64. Ross SA, Rafeiro E, Meinicke T, et al. Efficacy and safety of linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily versus 5 mg once daily in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Curr Med Res Opin 2012;28:1464-75.

65. Gallwitz B, Rosenstock J, Rauch T, et al. 2-year efficacy and safety of linagliptin compared with glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2012;380:475-83.

66. DeFronzo RA. Banting Lecture. From the triumvirate to the ominous octet: a new paradigm for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 2009;58:773-95.

67. Haak T, Meinicke T, Jones R, et al. Initial combination of linagliptin and metformin improves glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:565-74.

68. Haak T. Initial combination with linagliptin and metformin in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes and severe hyperglycemia. Adv Ther 2012;29:1005-15.

69. Schernthaner G, Barnett AH, Emser A, et al. Safety and tolerability of linagliptin: a pooled analysis of data from randomized controlled trials in 3572 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:470-8.

70. Singh-Franco D, McLaughlin-Middlekauff J, Elrod S, et al. The effect of linagliptin on glycaemic control and tolerability in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:694-708.

71. DeFronzo RA, Goodman AM. Efficacy of metformin in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Multicenter Metformin Study Group. N Engl J Med 1995;333:541-9.

72. Scheen AJ. Metformin and lactic acidosis. Acta Clin Belg 2011;66:329-31.

73. Richard KR, Shelburne JS, Kirk JK. Tolerability of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: a review. Clin Ther 2011;33:1609-29.

74. Goossen K, Graber S. Longer term safety of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;published on line 2012/04/24;doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2012.01610.x.

75. Araki E, Kawamori R, Inagaki N, et al. Long-term safety of linagliptin monotherapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;published on line 2012/11/21;doi: 10.1111/dom.12039.

76. Gomis R, Owens DR, Taskinen MR, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of linagliptin as monotherapy or in combination with other oral glucose-lowering agents in 2121 subjects with type 2 diabetes: up to 2 years exposure in 24-week phase III trials followed by a 78-week open-label extension. Int J Clin Pract 2012;66:731-40.

77. Meloni AR, Deyoung MB, Lowe C, et al. GLP-1 receptor activated insulin secretion from pancreatic beta-cells: mechanism and glucose dependence. Diabetes Obes Metab 2013;15:15-27.

78. Owens DR, Swallow R, Dugi KA, et al. Efficacy and safety of linagliptin in persons with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by a combination of metformin and sulphonylurea: a 24-week randomized study. Diabet Med 2011;28:1352-61.

79. Drucker DJ, Sherman SI, Bergenstal RM, et al. The safety of incretin-based therapies--review of the scientific evidence. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:2027-31.

80. Butler PC, Matveyenko AV, Dry S, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 therapy and the exocrine pancreas: innocent bystander or friendly fire? Diabetologia 2010;53:1-6.

81. Elashoff M, Matveyenko AV, Gier B, et al. Pancreatitis, pancreatic, and thyroid cancer with glucagon-like peptide-1-based therapies. Gastroenterology 2011;141:150-6.

82. Matveyenko AV, Dry S, Cox HI, et al. Beneficial endocrine but adverse exocrine effects of sitagliptin in the human islet amyloid polypeptide transgenic rat model of type 2 diabetes: interactions with metformin. Diabetes 2009;58:1604-15.

83. Sinnett-Smith J, Kisfalvi K, Kui R, et al. Metformin inhibition of mTORC1 activation, DNA synthesis and proliferation in pancreatic cancer cells: Dependence on glucose concentration and role of AMPK. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2013;430:352-7.

84. Goldfine AB. Assessing the cardiovascular safety of diabetes therapies. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1092-5.

85. Lamanna C, Monami M, Marchionni N, et al. Effect of metformin on cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:221-8.

86. Boussageon R, Supper I, Bejan-Angoulvant T, et al. Reappraisal of metformin efficacy in the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. PLoS medicine 2012;9:e1001204.

87. Scheen AJ. Cardiovascular effects of gliptins. Nature Rev Cardiology Dec 21;13(2):73-84. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2012.183. Epub 2013 Jan 8.

88. Johansen OE, Neubacher D, von Eynatten M, et al. Cardiovascular safety with linagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a pre-specified, prospective, and adjudicated meta-analysis of a phase 3 programme. Cardiovascular diabetology 2012;11:3.

89. Rosenstock J, Marx N, Kahn SE, et al. Rationale and design of the CAROLINA trial: An active comparator CARdiovascular Outcome study of the DPP-4 Inhibitor LINAgliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk (Abstract). Diabetes 2011;60 (Suppl 1): A303. Poster: 1103-P (71st Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association, San Diego, California, June 24–28, 2011).

90. Scheen AJ. Pharmacokinetic considerations for the treatment of diabetes in patients with chronic kidney disease. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2013;Submitted.

91. Scheen AJ. Saxagliptin plus metformin combination in patients with type 2 diabetes and renal impairment. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2012;8:383-94.

92. Cooper M VEM, Emser A, Patel S, Wörle HJ Efficacy and safety of linagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes with or without renal impairment: results from a global Phase 3 program. Diabetes 2011;60:Abstract 1068-P.

93. McGill JB, Sloan L, Newman J, et al. Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Linagliptin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Severe Renal Impairment: A 1-year, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Care 2013;36:237-44.

94. Roussel R, Travert F, Pasquet B, et al. Metformin use and mortality among patients with diabetes and atherothrombosis. Arch Intern Med 2010;170:1892-9.

95. NICE. Type 2 diabetes: the management of type 2 diabetes: NICE Clinical Guideline 87: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. 2009

96. Schwartz SL. Treatment of elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review of the benefits and risks of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother 2010;8:405-18.

97. Paolisso G, Monami M, Marfella R, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in the elderly: more benefits or risks? Adv Ther 2012;29:218-33.

98. Penfornis A, Bourdel-Marchasson I, Quere S, et al. Real-life comparison of DPP4-inhibitors with conventional oral antidiabetics as add-on therapy to metformin in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes: The HYPOCRAS study. Diabetes Metab 2012;38:550-7.

99. ClinicalTrials.gov. Efficacy and safety of linagliptin in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes. Available at: http://clinicaltrialsgov/ct2/show/ NCT01084005 Accessed Jan 12 2011 2011

100. Kosmalski M, Drozdowska A, Sliwinska A, et al. Inappropriate metformin prescribing in elderly type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients. Adv Med Sci 2012;57:65-70.

Pivotal trials

- . Forst, T. et al. Linagliptin (BI 1356), a potent and selective DPP-4 inhibitor, is safe and efficacious in combination with metformin in patients with inadequately controlled Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* **27**, 1409-19 (2010).
- . Taskinen, M.R. et al. Safety and efficacy of linagliptin as add-on therapy to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* **13**, 65-74 (2011).
- . Ross, S.A. et al. Efficacy and safety of linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily versus 5 mg once daily in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Curr Med Res Opin* (2012).
- . Gallwitz, B. et al. 2-year efficacy and safety of linagliptin compared with glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* **380**, 475-83 (2012).
- . Haak, T. et al. Initial combination of linagliptin and metformin improves glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* **14**, 565-74 (2012).

Drug name (generic)	Linagliptin plus metformin (Jentadueto®)
Drug name (generie)	
Phase	Approval by FDA and EMA
Indication	1) as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adult
	patients inadequately controlled on their maximal tolerated dose of metformin
	alone; 2) in those already being treated with the combination of linagliptin and
	metformin; and 3) in combination with a sulfonylurea (i.e. triple combination
	therapy) as an adjunct to diet and exercise in adult patients inadequately
	controlled on their maximal tolerated dose of metformin and a sulfonylurea.
Pharmacology	Linagliptin = Inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) = incretin-based therapy

Drug summary box

description/mechanism of	Metformin = AMPK activator = inhibition of hepatic glucose output
action	
Route of administration	Oral
Chemical structure	Linagliptin
	N N N N N N N N N N
	Metformin NH NH
	H ₃ C N NH ₂ · HCI CH ₃
Pivota trial (s)	 Forst, T. et al. Linagliptin (BI 1356), a potent and selective DPP-4 inhibitor, is safe and efficacious in combination with metformin in patients with inadequately controlled Type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabet Med</i> 27, 1409-19 (2010). Taskinen, M.R. et al. Safety and efficacy of linagliptin as add-on therapy to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. <i>Diabetes Obes Metab</i> 13, 65-74 (2011). Ross, S.A. et al. Efficacy and safety of linagliptin 2.5 mg twice daily versus 5 mg once daily in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. <i>Controlled</i> 21, 2010. Gallwitz, B. et al. 2-year efficacy and safety of linagliptin compared with glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. <i>Lancet</i> 380, 475-83 (2012).
	Haak, T. et al. Initial combination of linagliptin and metformin improves glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Obes Metab 14, 565-74 (2012).

EXPERT OPINION

All diabetes guidelines recommend metformin, if not contraindicated and if well tolerated, as the preferred and most cost-effective first pharmacological agent for the management of type 2 diabetes (T2DM). If monotherapy does not achieve/maintain glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) target, adjusted to patient's individual pattern, the next step would be to add a second glucose-lowering agent. Choice is based on patient characteristics and drug properties, with the overriding goal of improving glycemic control while minimising side effects. In this regard, the addition of a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor may offer potential benefits over classical sulfonylureas in T2DM patients, despite a higher cost. A lower risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain is already well demonstrated with a gliptin as compared to a sulfonylurea, despite an almost comparable reduction in HbA1c level. This advantage was confirmed with linagliptin compared to glimepiride. The negligible risk of hypoglycemia associated with DPP-4 inhibition is of major interest, especially in subjects at higher risk such as elderly people and patients with renal insufficiency.

Another potential advantage of DPP-4 inhibitors may consist in a better B-cell protection, which should result in a longer durability of the glucose-lowering activity. Indeed, sulfonylureas are generally associated with a progressive, and sometimes quite rapid, deterioration of glucose control, even when added to metformin. This failure requires increased complexity of the glucose-lowering therapy with the progression to a strategy including a triple oral treatment or the use of injections (GLP-1 receptor agonists or insulin). However, clinical evidence remains scarce in humans and further studies should demonstrate whether gliptins really offer a better durability of glucose control compared to sulfonylureas. The recent 2-year data of a controlled trial comparing linagliptin with glimepiride in patients with T2DM not well controlled with metformin monotherapy are insufficient to draw any firm conclusion in this regard.

A further potential benefit of a DPP-4 inhibitor compared to a sulfonylurea might be a better cardiovascular (CV) protection. Indeed, since the University Group Diabetes Program (UGDP) in the seventies, the CV safety of sulfonylureas remains questionable. The results of the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) in the late nineties were not completely reassuring with the demonstration of an unexplained higher risk in T2DM patients receiving a metformin-sulfonylurea combination. In contrast, all available data with DPP-4 inhibitors support the CV safety of this incretin-based therapy and suggest a CV protection versus placebo or an active comparator. Considering the high CV risk commonly associated with T2DM, the fact that gliptins could offer a better CV protection than sulfonylureas in patients not well controlled with metformin would be of major interest in clinical practice. This hypothesis is currently evaluated in the CAROLINA ("CARdiovascular Outcome study of LINAagliptin versus glimepiride in patients with T2DM") prospective trial comparing linagliptin with glimepiride. This is the only prospective trial comparing a DPP-4 inhibitor with an active compound as all other ongoing trials are comparing alogliptin, saxagliptin or sitagliptin versus a placebo.

In the recent ADA-EASD position statement, initial combination drug therapy is limited to patients with a high baseline HbA1c (e.g. \geq 9.0% [\geq 75 mmol/mol]) who have a low probability of achieving a near-normal target with monotherapy. Introducing initial combination therapy (instead of metformin alone) when pharmacological treatment is required makes sense considering the complexity of the pathophysiology of T2DM, in order to reach therapeutic goal at an earlier stage, have a better stabilisation of this evolving disease and avoid or delay subsequent more complex therapies. Because the complementary effects of linagliptin and metformin lead to robust and sustained improvements in glycemic control, initial combination of the two agents may be considered as a useful treatment option for patients with T2DM as it reulst in better glucose control than either monotherapy, with a similar good tolerance profile.

By increasing convenience and thereby patient's adherence, a fixed-dose combination (FDC) may offer some advantages in clinical practice. That is the reason why several gliptin plus metformin FDCs are now available, the most recently proposed one being Jentadueto® (linagliptin plus metformin). Adherence

to therapy is, indeed, a major concern in patients with T2DM who generally share several chronic comorbidities and thus should receive multiple medications. However, as the classical formulation of metformin hydrochloride should be given twice daily, the linagliptin plus metformin FDC has also to be given twice daily while once daily administration of linagliptin is enough to maintain sustained DPP-4 inhibition over 24 hours. Because metformin have been developed. If the use of metformin would be extended to patients with mild to moderate renal impairment in the future, this may also allow the prudent use of such combination, with a reduced metformin dosage, in these circumstances.

Linagliptin has a unique pharmacokinetic profile compared to other DPP-4 inhibitors, with a very low renal excretion. This characteristic allows use linagliptin in T2DM patients with chronic kidney disease (from mild to severe) without contra-indication and any dose adjustment. Considering the large number of patients with some degree of renal impairment (especially in the elderly population), this may represent an advantage over other DPP-4 inhibitors whose daily dose should be adjusted according to the reduction of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). However, the situation of Juntadueto®) is less clear because of the presence of metformin. Indeed, renal impairment (eGFR < 60 ml/min) is considered as an official contraindication of metformin because of the potential risk of lactic acidosis in case of biguanide accumulation. Nevertheless, there is an ongoing debate as to whether these thresholds are too restrictive and thereby not allow patients with mild-moderate renal impairment gain more benefit than harm from using metformin. In the recent ADA-EASD position statement, it is considered that metformin may be used down to a GFR of 30 ml/min, with dose reduction advised below 45 ml/min. If so, the use of linagliptin plus metformin, either separately or as FDC, would be extended to a larger population of patients with T2DM and the specific advantage of linagliptin regarding its use independent of renal function would even be better appreciated in clinical practice.