Do all negative images similarly retain attention? Time course of attentional disengagement from disgust- and fear-evoking stimuli



Christel Devue, Johanna C. van Hooff,

Paula E. Vieweg & Jan Theeuwes



Background

- An attention bias towards threatening or fearful information has often been shown, particularly in high-anxious individuals (for review see e.g. Bar-Haim et al., 2007).
- Literature in the field seldom distinguish between different types of negative emotions (for exceptions see Carretié et al., 2011, Charash & McKay, 2002; Cisler et al., 2008) while many stimuli that are used might elicit feelings of fear and/or disgust.
- Disgust and fear are both negative emotions but they are characterized by different physiology and action tendencies which might elicit different attentional biases. If so, treating fear- and disgust-evoking stimuli as one single category is unjustified and could account for some inconsistent results previously reported.

Aim

Investigate whether fear- and disgust-evoking images produce different attentional disengagement patterns.





10 fear-evoking IAPS pictures (mean arousal = 5.40, mean valence = 2.76 mean fear = 3.24, mean disgust = 2.08)

> Similar valence and arousal ratings!



10 disgust-evoking IAPS pictures (mean arousal = 5.64, mean valence = 2.52; mean fear = 1.57; mean digust = 4.06)



20 neutral IAPS pictures (mean arousal =1.86, mean valence = 6.54; mean fear = 1.00; mean disgust = 1.00)

Stimulus set (based on ratings from 15 independent female judges)

Discussion

- Only disgust-evoking pictures produced significant delayed attentional disengagement and this effect was shortlived.
- More time and attention resources may be necessary to fully assess the potential risk implied in these images while fear-evoking images might be sufficiently clearly hazardous at an early stage of processing, allowing fast disengagement and quick responding.
- The lack of an overall effect for the fearevoking pictures can not be explained by a faster habituation rate towards these stimuli.
- Additional checks showed that the emotion effect was not driven by individual images.
- Therefore, one should look beyond the dimensions of valence and arousal and take the type of negative emotion into when studying attentional biases towards negative emotions.

Results



- Main effects of Emotion and of Interval Slower RTs with the shortest interval
- Slower RTs with disgust-evoking pics
- Emotion X Interval interaction, p < 0.001Longer RTs for disgust with 200 ms
- interval
- Similar pattern with Accuracy

References

- Bar-Haim et al. (2007). Threat-related attentional bias in anxious and nonanxious individuals: A meta-analytic study. *Psychological Bulletin, 133, 1-24.*Carretié et al. (2011). Decomposing unpleasantness; Differential exogenous attention to disgusting and fearful stimuli. *Biological Psychology, 86, 247-253.*Charash & McKay (2002). Attention bias for disgust. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 16, 529-41.*

- Cisler et al. (2008). Attentional bias differences between fear and disgust: Implications for the role of disgust in disgust-related anxiety disorders. Cognition & Emotion, 23, 675-687.
 Fox et al. (2001). Do threatening stimuli draw or hold visual attention in subclinical anxiety? Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 130, 681-700.

Contact information: cdevue@ulg.ac.be

Habituation pattern with 200 ms interval:



- Main effects of Block
- Block 1-4 > Block 5-8 > Block 9-12 // Block 13-16
- Block X Emotion interaction, p < 0.001 Longer RTs for disgust in blocks 1-4, 5-8, and 9-12
- No significant effect on Accuracy