Application of a three-fields natural neighbour method in elastoplasticity
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ABSTRACT

The natural neighbour method can be considered as one of the many variants of the meshless methods. Classically, the development of these methods is based on the virtual work principle: a set of nodes are distributed over the domain to be studied and the displacement field is discretized with the help of interpolation functions that are not based on the finite element concept but only based on the nodes. In the present paper, we use a new approach based on the Fraeijs de Veubeke (FdV) functional [1] and initially developed for linear elasticity [2]. It uses separate discretizations of the displacements, stresses and strains. The method of [2] is extended to the case of geometrically linear but materially non-linear solids and it is shown that, in the absence of body forces, the calculation of integrals over the area of the domain is avoided and that the derivatives of the nodal shape functions are not required, which constitutes an advantage over classical meshless and finite elements methods.

The Fraeijs de Veubeke functional for linear elasticity

For a 2D elastic solid occupying a domain \( A \) with a boundary \( S = S_i \cup S_u \), the Fraeijs de Veubeke (FdV) functional writes:

\[
\Pi(u_i, \varepsilon_{ij}, \Sigma_{ij}, r_i) = \int_{A} [W(\varepsilon_{ij}) \, dA] + \int_{\Sigma_{ij}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial X_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial X_i} \right) - \varepsilon_{ij} \right] \, dA - \int_{A} F_i \, u_i \, dA - \int_{S_i} T_i \, u_i \, dS + \int_{S_u} r_i (\tilde{u}_i - u_i) \, dS
\]  \quad (1)

where \( u_i \) is the displacement field, \( \Sigma_{ij} \) is the stress field, \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the strain field, \( \tilde{u}_i \) are displacements imposed on the part \( S_u \) of the solid boundary, \( r_i \) are the surface support reactions on \( S_u \), \( T_i \) are the surface tractions imposed on \( S_i \), \( F_i \) are the body forces and \( W(\varepsilon_{ij}) \) is the strain energy density.

The basic idea [2] is to start with the Fraeijs de Veubeke variational principle and to discretize not only the displacement field but also the stress field, the strain field and the support reactions.

In the natural neighbour method [3], the domain contains \( N \) nodes (including nodes on the domain contour) and the \( N \) Voronoi cells corresponding these nodes are built.

The discretization is based on those Voronoi cells and not on finite elements.

The position of this approach with respect to the meshless methods has been analysed in [2].

The approach leads to a new method to solve linear elastic problems. It is shown that it has the following characteristics:

1. In the absence of body forces, the calculation of integrals over the area of the domain is avoided: only integrations on the edges of the Voronoi cells are required.
2. The derivatives of the nodal shape functions are not required.
3. Despite of the rich initial discretization, the method eventually leads to a system of equations of the classical type \( [\mathbf{M}] \{q\} = \{\mathbf{Q}\} \) with \( [\mathbf{M}] \) a symmetric matrix, \( \{q\} \) the set of unknown nodal displacements and \( \{\mathbf{Q}\} \) equivalent nodal forces.

Although no formal proof is given, a number of patch tests and other numerical examples tend to show that the method allows solving problems involving nearly incompressible materials without locking.

**Extension to non linear materials**

In order to extend the previous method to materially non linear problems, we start from the following variational equation.

\[
\delta \Pi(\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_i, \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}, \Sigma_q, r_i) = \int_A \delta \varepsilon_{ij} \mathbf{d}A + \int_A \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \delta \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} \right] \mathbf{d}A + \int A \delta \mathbf{e}_{ij} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{u}}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} \right] \mathbf{d}A
- \int_A \int_{s_i} \delta \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i \mathbf{d}A - \int_{s_i} \int \delta r_i (\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_i - \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i) \mathbf{d}S - \int_{s_i} \delta \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i \mathbf{d}S = 0
\]

where \( \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_i, \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}, \Sigma_q \) and \( r_i \) are the assumed velocities, strain rates, stresses and support reactions and \( \sigma_q \) are the constitutive stresses at the considered material point.

These stresses are obtained by integration of a system of equations of the type:

\[
\dot{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} = f_j(\sigma_{ij}, q_{ij}, \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}) ; \quad \dot{q}_{ij} = h_j(\sigma_{ij}, q_{ij}) \quad \text{with} \quad q_{ij} \text{ a set of internal variables.}
\]

The most important result of this development is that the 3 advantages mentioned in the linear case (no integration over the domain area, no need for the derivatives of the Laplace interpolant, final equation system of the classical type) are preserved throughout the iteration process. This is true no matter the non linear constitutive equation (elasto-plastic, elasto-visco-plastic, ...) and no matter the time integration scheme used to integrate this constitutive equation. It remains also valid if the consistent tangent iteration matrix is computed analytically.

Examples of applications illustrating the approach will be given in the elasto-plastic domain.
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