## SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

## Figure S1: Time-course of co-activator production after induction with Cephalosporin C

BS995 induced cellular extracts (ICE) were prepared from samples withdrawn at 15 min intervals after the addition of the inducer $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ cephalosporin C$)$ as described in Experimental Procedures. $250 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of proteins coming from each withdrawal were then added to a preformed $\mathrm{BlaI}_{2}$.OP complex ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 13.5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ of OP and BlaI, respectively). Mixtures were incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and thereafter for an additional hour at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The band shift assay was carried out with an ALF express DNA sequencer as described in Experimental Procedures. Free- and bound-OP represent the operator and the repressor-operator complex, respectively. The coactivator activity was estimated as the ratio of bound OP versus the sum of free and bound operator. The higher coactivator activities were obtained between 75 and 105 min after induction with Cephalosporin C.
NICE: non-induced cell extract.


## Figure S2: Fractionation of large scale-induced cellular extract by molecular sieving.

A cellular extract was obtained from a 21 culture as described in experimental procedures. One milliliter of $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \mathrm{pH} 7.8$ (Buffer B) was added to the freeze-dried sample and then the sample was loaded onto a Sephadex G25 column ( $1 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) equilibrated in buffer B. Elution of the sample was performed in the same buffer at $12 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h} .2 \mathrm{ml}$ fractions were collected.
(A) Elution profile obtained by measuring the absorbance at 215 nm . Eight major peaks were obtained ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{F}_{8}$ ). Fractions corresponding to the different peaks were pooled, freeze-dried and resuspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water.
(B) Detection of coactivator activity in different fractions. An aliquot $(4 \mu \mathrm{l})$ of each peak was tested by fluorescent EMSA for their ability to destabilize $\mathrm{BlaI}_{2}$-OP complex as described in material and methods. The $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ peak showed an ability to destabilize the $\mathrm{BlaI}_{2}$-OP complex. F 7 and F 8 yielded also the same result. However, the $K_{a v}$ values calculated for $F_{7}$ and $F_{8}$ peaks were equal or higher than 1 , meaning that only very small molecules could be present in these fractions. These fractions probably contain high concentration of salts that could be responsible for the destabilisation of the BlaI-operator complex during fluorescent EMSA (V. Duval, unpublished data)
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## Figure S3: Identification of the coactivator in a cellular extract.

(A) Enrichment of the active fraction obtained after molecular sieving fractionation.

The $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ peak from molecular sieving chromatography was treated to capture and to concentrate the coactivator: experiments involved a His-tagged BlaI $\left(\mathrm{BlaI}_{\mathrm{His}}\right)_{2}$ and Ni-NTA magnetic beads. First, the coactivator present in $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ was captured by incubating the $\left(\mathrm{BlaI}_{\mathrm{His}}\right)_{2}$. OP complex with $\mathrm{F}_{5}$. Then, the potentially present complexes, $\left(\mathrm{BlaI}_{\mathrm{His}}\right)_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{BlaI}_{\mathrm{His}}\right)_{2}$.DNA and $\left(\mathrm{BlaI}_{\mathrm{His}}\right)_{2}$.coactivator were adsorbed onto Ni-NTA magnetic beads. Beads were pulled down with a magnet and the supernatant was collected ( $\mathrm{F}_{5}-1$ fraction). The beads were incubated for 30 min at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in phosphate buffer to release the coactivator and the supernatant was collected again ( $\mathrm{F}_{5}-2$ fraction). The last step was repeated by resuspending beads in 5 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 5.0 ) and the supernatant was collected as previously ( $\mathrm{F}_{5}-3$ fraction).
(B) Fractions $\mathrm{F}_{5}-1, \mathrm{~F}_{5}-2$, and $\mathrm{F}_{5}-3$ were then resuspended in 50 mM sodium borate ( pH 9.5 ) for further 2,4,6-Trinitrobenzene Sulfonic Acid (TNBS) modification of peptides (Gevaert et al (2003)). Each TNBS modified fraction was freeze-dried resuspended in $50 \mu 1$ of $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and injected to a 100-5C$18 \mathrm{ec}(250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ column (Macherey-Nagel) for HPLC analysis. The column was eluted at a flow rate of $0.7 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA in water Milli-Q ( 2 min ) followed by a linear gradient from 0 to $70 \%$ acetonitrile over 60 min. Chromatograms were obtained by following the absorbance at 335 nm . As expected, in the $\mathrm{F}_{5}-2$ fraction, a peak corresponding to the elution time of the dipeptide 1 (labelled by a cross) increased when compared with the $\mathrm{F}_{5}-1$ fraction. The same peak increased in the $\mathrm{F}_{5}-3$ fraction.
(C) To demonstrate that the peak of interest effectively corresponds to dipeptide 1 , a small quantity of TNP-dipeptide 1 has been added to the TNBSA-modified $\mathrm{F}_{5}$-2
fraction. As expected, the TNP-dipeptide 1 co-eluted with the enriched peak in fraction $\mathrm{F}_{5}-2$.

## Reference

Gevaert, K. et al. Exploring proteomes and analyzing protein processing by mass spectrometric identification of sorted N-terminal peptides. Nat Biotechnol 21, 566-569 (2003)
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Figure S4 BlaI/MecI repressors and the dipeptide using STD methods and chemical shift

## mapping by NMR

(A) Full STD ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum performed on the of $\gamma$-D-Glu- $m$ - $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{pm}$ after the addition of BlaI repressor at a [Ligand]/[Protein] ratio of 50. Due to the residual water signal, saturation transfer from the protein to the peptide is pointed out by the presence of resonances in the region between 0 and 4 ppm corresponding to the side chain proton of the dipeptide.
(B and C) ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift variations observed on MecI in presence of two different dipeptides $\gamma$-L-Glu-L-Lys and $\gamma$-D-Glu-L-Lys.

The panel (B) shows the Sofast-HMQC experiments performed on MecI repressor with the control dipeptide addition. Spectrum of free MecI is plotted in red. Spectrum of MecI in presence of $\gamma$-L-Glu-L-Lys at a [Dipeptide]/[Protein] ratio of 50 is plotted in blue. The absence of chemical shift variation between the two spectra reveals that the control dipeptide does not interact significantly with the protein at this ratio.

The panel (C) shows a resolved region of the Sofast-HMQC experiments performed on MecI repressor upon ligand dipeptide addition. Spectrum of free MecI is plotted in red. Spectrum of MecI in presence of $\gamma$-D-Glu-L-Lys at a [Dipeptide]/[Protein] ratio of 50 is plotted in blue


## Figure S5: Increased susceptibility to proteolysis of the Mecl.dipeptide 2 complex

 showed by Mass spectra.(A) Mass spectra of MecI after a few hours of incubation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 75 mM $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$ buffer at pH 7.6 .
(B) Mass spectra of MecI after a few hours of incubation with the dipeptide 2 at
$25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $75 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$ buffer at pH 7.6 .
$([$ Dipeptide 2$] /[\mathrm{MecI}]$ ratio $=50)$

In the case of MecI alone, the repressor integrity is maintained during few hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (native MecI: $15,895 \mathrm{Da}$ ). On the contrary, dipeptide 2 addition mediates MecI destabilization that leads to increase repressor susceptibility to contaminant proteases present in the mixture (native MecI: 15,895 Da and fragments generated: 10,182 and 10,797 Da).

Mass spectra were acquired on a MALDI-TOF instrument (Autoflex, Bruker Daltonics). The samples ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ at $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MecI}$ ) were mixed on the target with $0.5 \mu 1$ sinapinic acid solution. Spectra were acquired in a linear mode over the 9000-30000 m/z range and processed using flexAnalysis (3.0) (Bruker Daltonics) An external mass calibration was applied using a mixture of insulin (5,733.5 Da), ubiquitin $\mathrm{I}(8,564.8 \mathrm{Da})$, cytochrome $\mathrm{C}(12,360.0 \mathrm{Da})$, myoglobin (19,651.3 Da) corresponding to the Protein Calibration Standard I from Bruker Daltonics.


## Figure S6: Peptidoglycan hydrolases found in Eubacteria.



Figure S7: Effect of the inactivation of $\boldsymbol{y k f} \mathbf{A B C D}$ operon genes on the BlaP $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-lactamase 1 induction.

The Bacillus subtilis mutants BFS1807 (ykfA-), BFS1808 (ykf ${ }^{-}$), BFS1809 $\left(y k f \mathrm{C}^{-}\right)$and BFS1810 $\left(y k f \mathrm{D}^{-}\right)$present in the MICADO database were provided by Dr Kevin Devine from Trinity College, Dublin (Kobayashi et al (2003), Biaudet et al (1997)). The inactivation of each of the $y k f A B C D$ genes was performed using a pMUTIN plasmid The integration of pMUTIN1 vector into the target gene has three consequences: (1) the targeted gene is inactivated; (2) lacZ becomes transcriptionally fused to the gene, allowing its expression pattern to be monitored; (3) the Pspac promoter controls the transcription of downstream genes in an IPTG-dependent fashion. The potential polar effects generated by the integration of the vectors can be alleviated by addition of 1 mM IPTG (Vagner et al (1998)). The presence of the insertions has been confirmed by PCR by using one primer complementary to the pSpac promoter and one complementary to the sequence downstream of the inactivated gene (see panel A).The wild-type strain was used as negative control. The four verified mutants were then transformed with plasmid pDML995 (Filée et al (2002)) to evaluate the effect of gene inactivation on BlaP $\beta$-lactamase induction. The transformants were respectively named BS995-ykfÁ, BS995-ykfBㄹ, BS995-ykfC ${ }^{-}$and BS995-ykfD‥ They were grown in LB medium supplemented with $7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of chloramphenicol at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{A}^{600}$ reached 0.8 . Then, cephalosporin C was added at a final concentration of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The same experiment was performed in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. After $0,1,2$ and 3 hours of induction, samples were taken and $A^{600}$ was measured. Beta-lactamase activity was determined by measuring nitrocefin hydrolysis $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ at 482 nm . The BlaP quantity $\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right]$ was calculated with
following equations: $\mathrm{v}_{0}=\left(\Delta \mathrm{Ax} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{A}^{600-1}\right) / \varepsilon$ and $\mathrm{v}_{0}=\left(k_{\mathrm{cat}} \times\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right] \times[\mathrm{S}]\right) /\left(K_{\mathrm{m}}+\right.$ $[\mathrm{S}])$ where $\mathrm{v}_{0}=$ first rate; $\Delta \mathrm{A}=$ absorbance variation; $k_{\text {cat }}=$ catalytic constant $\left(470 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) ;[\mathrm{S}]=$ substrate concentration $(100 \mu \mathrm{M}) ; K_{\mathrm{m}}=40 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \varepsilon=$ nitrocefin molar extinction coefficient $\left(15000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.
(A) Integration of pMUTIN1 into the $y k f A B C D$ operon. pMUTIN1 (red box) was integrated in the target gene by a single crossing-over event. Broken arrows denote the promoter of Pspac induced by IPTG. Pspac promoter is strongly repressed by the lacI gene product carried on pMUTIN1. However, some residual expression can be accounted from this promoter. Arrows indicate PCR primers used in this study to verify the presence and the orientation of the different pMUTIN1 integrations.
(B, C, D and E) Induction of the BlaP $\beta$-lactamase by cephalosporin $C(2.5 \mu / \mathrm{ml})$ for the different B. subtilis mutants: BS995-ykfA ${ }^{-}$(B), BS995-ykfB ${ }^{-}$(C), BS995-ykfC ${ }^{-}$(D) and BS995-ykfD ${ }^{-}$(E) with or without IPTG (1 mM). (○) non-induced BS995 (B. subtilis + pDML995, control strain); ( $\bullet$ ) induced BS995; (ロ) non-induced BS995 mutant; (■) induced BS995 mutant. In presence of IPTG, the genes under the control of Pspac promoter are fully expressed $\left(y k f A^{-} B C D, y k f A B^{-} C D, y k f A B C^{-} D, y k f A B C D^{-}\right)$. On the contrary, without IPTG, the genes under the control of Pspac promoter are repressed $\left(y k f A^{-} x B C D, y k f A B^{-} x C D, y k f A B C x D\right)$. However, some residual expression from this promoter can be accounted for some extent (Vagner et al (1998); Kobayashi et al (2003)). This promoter leakage could explain that no

10 Biaudet, V., Samson, F. \& Bessieres, P. Micado--a network-oriented database for microbial 11 genomes. Comput Appl Biosci 13, 431-438 (1997). Kobayashi, K. et al. Essential Bacillus subtilis genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100, 46784683 (2003).

Vagner, V., Dervyn, E. \& Ehrlich, S. D. A vector for systematic gene inactivation in Bacillus subtilis. Microbiology 144, 3097-3104 (1998).

Filée, P. et al. The fate of the BlaI repressor during the induction of the Bacillus licheniformis BlaP beta-lactamase. Mol Microbiol 44, 685-694 (2002).
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BFS 1810 ykfA

| Pspac FW | 5'-GGTGTGGCATAATGTGTGGAATTGTGAGC-3' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ykfB-5'RP | 5'-TTGGATCCGATTCGGCTTGTTTCGATTC-3' |
| ykfC-5'RP | 5'-TTGGATCCGACAGTGTGCATCATTGCTC-3' |
| ykfC-3'RP | 5'-AATTCATCAGCCATAATCGGCGGAATTCTTATGAATCTCCATCGGCTC-3' |
| ykfD-3'RP | 5'-AACTTAGCCTGATCTCCCGCATGAATTCGCTGGCTTTCGTAGAAAGAG-3' |

1 Figure S7 B, C, D and E


Organic synthesis of dipeptide coactivators
$\gamma$-D-Glu-mA $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{pm}$
NMR $1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ESI MS have been recorded.
NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) d $4.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76-1.90$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$

Mass spectrum was recorded with a Finnigan TSQ7000 mass spectrometer
(ThermoElectronCorp.) operating in full-scan MS mode with an ESI+ source: $320(\mathrm{M}+1)$
The NMR spectrum is similar to the one described by A. Chowdhury and G.-J. Boons in their
Tetrahedron Letters, 46, 1675-1678 (2005) paper.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 4.32$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{DAP}$ ), $3.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Glu}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{q}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{DAP}), 2.47\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Glu}\right), 2.10-2.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Glu}\right), 2.04-2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \beta-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Glu), $1.78-1.96,1.65-1.69,1.45-1.57\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \beta, \gamma, \delta-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, DAP $)$

## $\gamma$-D-Glu-L-Lys

NMR $1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ESI MS have been recorded.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Lys}), 3.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Glu}), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Lys $), 2.45$ (2H, $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Glu), 2.10-2.19 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Glu), 1.80-1.88, 1.68-1.74 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Lys), $1.58-1.66$, ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \delta-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Lys), $1.36-1.42$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, Lys). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100MHz, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): 178.4, 177, 174.5, 55.3, 51.6, 41.9, 33.4, 32.6, 28.9, 28.224 .7 Mass spectrum was recorded with a Finnigan TSQ7000 mass spectrometer (ThermoElectronCorp.) operating in full-scan MS mode with an ESI+ source: 276 (M+1) Furthermore, three different sources of dipeptide were utilised for this study: the natural one, obtained from peptidoglycan digestion $\left(\gamma-\mathrm{DGlu}-m \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{p}\right)$, chemically synthesised by N . Teller $\gamma$-DGlu- $m \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{p}$ and $\gamma$-D-Glu-L-Lys, and finally, a customer synthesised $\gamma$-D-Glu-L-Lys (Genecust, Luxembourg). In all the cases, the result was the same.

