## ALTERNATIVE SUPPLEMENTARY CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS FOR CONCRETE

**CHARACTERIZATION CHALLENGES** 

## Maria Juenger

The University of Texas at Austin

## **RILEM Technical Committee-SCM**

Working Group 1: SCM Characterization

Jan Elsen, John Provis, Josée Duchesne, Doug Hooton, Luc Courard, Guangju He





















SCM Physical Characterization Designation: C 618 – 08a			
	N	E	0
Financea		1	0
Amount retained when wet-sieved on 45 µm (No. 325) sieve, max. %	34	34	34
Strength activity index: A			
With portland cement, at 7 days, min, percent of	75 <sup>B</sup>	75 <sup>B</sup>	75 <sup>B</sup>
control	-	_	_
With portland cement, at 28 days, min, percent of	75 <sup>8</sup>	75 <sup>B</sup>	75 <sup>8</sup>
control	445	105	105
soundness: C	115	105	105
Autoclave expansion or contraction, max. %	0.8	0.8	0.8
Uniformity requirements:			
The density and fineness of individual samples			
shall not vary from the average established by the			
ten preceding tests, or by all preceding tests if the			
number is less than ten, by more than:		-	-
Density, max variation from average, %	5	5	5
Fercent retained on 45-pm (No. 325), max variation,	5	5	5
percentage points from average			









8

## Summary and Conclusions

- SCMs, both traditional and alternative, are valuable components of modern concrete mixtures and their use will only increase
- We need to characterize SCMs thoroughly before use in order to:
  - · Determine if they are appropriate for use
  - Determine optimal replacement levels
- Characterization tests in the standards are inadequate and new thought must be given to the best means of characterizing this diverse group of materials