Ageing low-mass stars: from red giants to white dwarfs 40<sup>th</sup> Liege International Astrophysical Colloquium



The mass distribution of sdB stars from asteroseismology and other means: Implications for stellar evolution theory

Valerie Van Grootel<sup>(1)</sup>

G. Fontaine<sup>(2)</sup>, P. Brassard<sup>(2)</sup>, S. Charpinet<sup>(3)</sup>, E.M. Green<sup>(4)</sup>, S.K. Randall<sup>(5)</sup>

- (1) Institut d'Astrophysique, Université de Liège, Belgium
- (2) Université de Montréal, Canada
- (3) IRAP, Toulouse, France
- (4) University of Arizona, USA
- (5) European Southern Observatory, Germany

#### I. Introduction to sdB stars

# Hot ( $T_{eff}$ = 20 000 - 40 000 K) and compact (log g = 5.2 - 6.2) stars belonging to Extreme Horizontal Branch (EHB)

- convective He-burning core (I), radiative He mantle (II) and very thin H-rich envelope (III)
- lifetime of ~ 10<sup>8</sup> yr (100 Myr) on EHB, then evolve directly as low-mass white dwarfs
- ~50% of sdB stars reside in binary systems, generally in close orbit ( $P_{orb} \le 10$  days)

#### Two classes of multi-periodic sdB pulsators:

- > short-periods (P ~ 80 600 s), A  $\leq$  1%, p-modes (envelope)
- > long-periods (P ~ 45 min 2 h), A  $\leq$  0.1%, g-modes (core). Space observations required !



#### How such stars form has been a long standing problem

• For sdB in binaries (~50%)



in the red giant phase: Common envelope ejection (CE), stable mass transfer by Roche lobe overflow (RLOF)

The red giant lose its envelope at tip of RGB, when He-burning ignites (He flash)

Remains the stripped core of the former red giant, which is the sdB star, with a close stellar companion

• For single sdB stars (~50%)

2 main scenarios:

#### 1. Single star evolution:

enhanced mass loss at tip of RGB, at He-burning ignition (He-flash) mechanism quite unclear (cf later)



#### 2. The merger scenario:

Two low mass helium white dwarfs merge to form a He core burning sdB

star



#### The formation of sdB stars

• Single star evolution: Mass range in 0.40 - 0.43  $\leq$  M<sub>\*</sub>/Ms  $\leq$  0.52 (Dorman et al. 1993) • Binary star evolution: numerical simulations on binary population synthesis (Han et al. 2002, 2003) 5 Figures from Han et al. (2003) 80 Weighted mean distribution 9 for binary evolution: (including selection effects)  $0.30 \le M_*/Ms \le 0.70$ peak ~ 0.46 Ms (CE, RLOF) 2 high masses (mergers) 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8  $M (M_{\odot})$ 

Number

Search the star model(s) whose theoretical periods best fit all the observed ones, in order to minimize

$$S^2 = \sum \frac{1}{\sigma} (P_{\rm obs} - P_{\rm th})^2$$

- Static models including detailed envelope microscopic diffusion (nonuniform envelope Fe abundance)
- Efficient optimization codes (based on *Genetic Algorithms*) are used to find the minima of S<sup>2</sup>, i.e. the potential asteroseismic solutions
- > Example: PG 1336-018, pulsating sdB + dM eclipsing binary

- III.  $M_{tot} = 0.530 \pm 0.007 \ M_s \ et \ R = 0.15 \pm 0.01 \ R_s$
- ✓ Seismic analysis (Charpinet et al. 2008):  $M_{tot} = 0.459 \pm 0.005 M_s$  et R = 0.151 ± 0.001 R<sub>s</sub>



#### $\Rightarrow$ Our asteroseismic method is sound and free of significant systematic effects

II. The empirical mass distribution of sdB stars (from asteroseismology and light curve modeling)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•
 h o	<b>ooto</b> r		$\infty$ i $\alpha$		
				Sann	
	asu	USUS		Samo	
 		000101			

Name	$\log g (\mathrm{cm}\mathrm{s}^{-2})$	$T_{\rm eff}$ (K)	M (M <sub>O</sub> )	$\log M_{\rm env}/M$	References
PG 0014+067	$5.780 \pm 0.008$	33550±380	0.490±0.019	$-4.31\pm0.22$	Brassard et al. (2001)
	$5.775 \pm 0.009$	$34130 \pm 370$	$0.477 \pm 0.024$	$-4.32\pm0.23$	Charpinet et al. (2005a)
	5.772	$34130 \pm 370$	0.478	-4.13	Brassard & Fontaine (2008)
PG 1047+003	$5.800 \pm 0.006$	$33150 \pm 200$	$0.490 \pm 0.014$	$-3.72\pm0.11$	Charpinet et al. (2003)
PG 1219+534	$5.807 \pm 0.006$	33600±370	$0.457 \pm 0.012$	$-4.25\pm0.15$	Charpinet et al. (2005b)
Feige 48	$5.437 \pm 0.006$	$29580 \pm 370$	$0.460 \pm 0.008$	$-2.97 \pm 0.09$	Charpinet et al. (2005c)
	$5.462 \pm 0.006$	$29580 \pm 370$	$0.519 \pm 0.009$	$-2.52 \pm 0.06$	Van Grootel et al. (2008a)
EC05217-3914	5.730	32000	0.490	-3.00	Billères & Fontaine (2005)
PG 1325+101	5.811±0.004	$35050 \pm 220$	$0.499 \pm 0.011$	$-4.18 \pm 0.10$	Charpinet et al. (2006a)
PG 0048+092	5.711±0.010	33300±1700	$0.447 \pm 0.027$	$-4.92 \pm 0.20$	Charpinet et al. (2006b)
EC 20117-4014	$5.856 \pm 0.008$	$34800 \pm 2000$	$0.540 \pm 0.040$	$-4.17 \pm 0.08$	Randall et al. (2006b)
PG 0911+456	$5.777 \pm 0.002$	31940±220	$0.390 \pm 0.010$	$-4.69 \pm 0.07$	Randall et al. (2007)
BAL 090100001	$5.383 \pm 0.004$	$28000 \pm 1200$	$0.432 \pm 0.015$	$-4.89 \pm 0.14$	Van Grootel et al. (2008b)
PG 1336-018	$5.739 \pm 0.002$	$32780 \pm 200$	$0.459 \pm 0.005$	$-4.54 \pm 0.07$	Charpinet et al. (2008)
PG 1605+072	5.248	$32300 \pm 300$	0.707	-5.78	van Spaandonk et al. (2008)
	5.217	$32300 \pm 300$	0.561	-6.22	_
	$5.226 \pm 0.004$	$32300 \pm 300$	$0.528 \pm 0.002$	$-5.88 \pm 0.04$	Van Grootel (2008)
	5.276	$32630 \pm 600$	0.731	-2.83	Van Grootel et al. (2010a)
	5.278	$32630 \pm 600$	0.769	-2.71	
EC09582-1137	$5.788 \pm 0.004$	$34805 \pm 230$	$0.485 \pm 0.011$	$-4.39\pm0.10$	Randall et al. (2009)
KPD 1943+4058	$5.520 \pm 0.030$	$27730 \pm 270$	$0.496 \pm 0.002$	$-2.55 \pm 0.07$	Van Grootel et al. (2010b)
KPD 0629-0016	$5.450 \pm 0.034$	26485±195	$0.471 \pm 0.002$	$-2.42\pm0.07$	Van Grootel et al. (2010c)
KIC02697388	$5.489 \pm 0.033$	$25395 \pm 225$	$0.463 \pm 0.009$	$-2.30\pm0.05$	Charpinet et al. (2011)
	5.499±0.049	$25395 \pm 225$	$0.452 \pm 0.012$	$-2.35\pm0.05$	_

#### 15 sdB stars modeled by asteroseismology

(we took the most recent value in case of several analyses)

#### **Available samples**

# II. The extended sample (sdB + WD or dM star)

Name	$\log g$	$T_{\rm eff}$	$M_1$	Nature	Eclipses	References
	(cm s -)	(K)	(M <sub>☉</sub> )			
KPD 0422+5421	$5.565 \pm 0.009$	$25000 \pm 1500$	$0.511 \pm 0.049^a$	sdB+WD	yes	Orosz & Wade (1999)
PG 1241-084	$5.63 \pm 0.03$	$28490\pm210$	$0.48 \pm 0.09$	sdB+dM	yes	Wood & Saffer (1999)
	$5.60 \pm 0.12$	$28490\pm210$	$0.485 \pm 0.013^{a}$			Lee et al. (2009)
HS 0705+6700	$5.40 \pm 0.10$	$28800 \pm 900$	0.48	sdB+dM	yes	Drechsel et al. (2001)
HS 2333+3927	$5.70 \pm 0.10$	$36500 \pm 1000$	0.38	sdB+dM	no	Heber et al. (2005)
NSVS 14256825	$5.50 \pm 0.02$	$35000 \pm 5000$	0.46	sdB+dM	yes	Wils et al. (2007)
KPD 1930+2752	$5.61 \pm 0.06$	$35200\pm500$	$0.485 \pm 0.035^{a}$	sdB+WD	yes	Geier et al. (2007)
PG 1336-018	$5.74 \pm 0.05$	$31300\pm300$	$0.389 \pm 0.005$	sdB+dM	yes	Vuckovic et al. (2007)
	$5.77 \pm 0.06$	$31300\pm300$	$0.466 \pm 0.006$		-	
	$5.79 \pm 0.07$	$31300\pm300$	$0.530 \pm 0.007$			
2M 1533+3759	$5.57 \pm 0.07$	$29230\pm125$	$0.376 \pm 0.055^{a}$	sdB+dM	yes	For et al. (2010)
2M 1938+4603	$5.425 \pm 0.009$	$29565 \pm 105$	$0.48 \pm 0.03^{a}$	sdB+dM	yes	Østensen et al. (2010)
KPD 1946+4340	$5.452 \pm 0.006$	$34500\pm400$	$0.47 \pm 0.03^{a}$	sdB+WD	yes	Bloemen et al. (2011)
AA Dor	$5.46 \pm 0.05$	$42000\pm1000$	$0.471 \pm 0.005^{a}$	sdB+dM?	no	Klepp & Rauch (2011)

#### Light curve modeling + spectroscopy $\Rightarrow$ mass of the sdB component

Need uncertainties to build a mass distribution

 $\Rightarrow$  7 sdB stars retained in this subsample

Extended sample: 15+7 = 22 sdB stars with accurate mass estimates

- 11 (apparently) single stars
- 11 in binaries (including 4 pulsators)

#### I. Assumption of a normal distribution

$$L(\mu, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[ 2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2) \right]^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{(m_i - \mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)}\} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mu: \text{ mean mass} \\ \sigma: \text{ standard deviation} \end{array}$$

Extended sample (N=22):  $\mu$  = 0.469 Ms and  $\sigma$  = 0.024 Ms Asteroseismic sample (N=15):  $\mu$  = 0.467 Ms and  $\sigma$  = 0.027 Ms



Valerie Van Grootel - Liege, July 2012

#### II. Model-free distribution

(only  $\sigma_i$ 's are assumed to obey normal distribution law)

Red curve: addition of all sdBs (mass with uncertainties) in extended sample Blue curve: normal distribution ( $\mu = 0.469$  Ms and  $\sigma = 0.024$  Ms)



Binning the distribution in the form of an histogram (bin width =  $\sigma$  = 0.024 Ms)



No detectable significant differences between distributions (especially between singles and binaries) III. Implications for stellar evolution theory (the formation of sdB stars)

#### **Comparison with theoretical distributions**



#### The formation of sdB stars

• Single star evolution: Mass range in 0.40 - 0.43  $\leq$  M<sub>\*</sub>/Ms  $\leq$  0.52 (Dorman et al. 1993) • Binary star evolution: numerical simulations on binary population synthesis (Han et al. 2002, 2003) 5 Figures from Han et al. (2003) 80 Weighted mean distribution 9 for binary evolution: (including selection effects)  $0.30 \le M_*/Ms \le 0.70$ peak ~ 0.46 Ms (CE, RLOF) 2 high masses (mergers) 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8  $M (M_{\odot})$ 

Number



- A word of caution: still small number statistics (need ~30 stars for a significant sample)
- ✓ Distribution strongly peaked near 0.47 Ms
- No differences between subsamples (eg, binaries vs single sdB stars)
- ✓ It seems to have a deficit of high mass sdB stars, i.e. from the merger channel. Especially, the single sdBs distribution ≠ merger distribution.

# The single sdBs distribution *≠* merger channel distribution



Moreover, Geier & Heber (2012): 105 single or in wide binaries sdB stars: all are slow rotators (Vsin i < 10 km s-1)

# (the majority of) sdB stars are post-RGB stars

# (the majority of) sdB stars <u>are</u> post-RGB stars, and even post He-flash stars

# What does it imply ?

The star has removed all but a small fraction of its envelope and has reached the minimum mass to trigger He-flash

• at tip of RGB, as a classic RGB-tip flasher ? (classic way for HB stars)

-> It's rather unlikely that the 2 events occur at the same time !

• an alternative (old and somewhat forgotten) idea:

Hot He-flashers (Castellani&Castellani 1993; D'Cruz et al. 1996)

 i.e., stars that experience a delayed He-flash during contraction, at higher T<sub>eff</sub>, <u>after</u> leaving the RGB before tip (H-burning shell stops due to strong mass loss on RGB)

D'Cruz et al. (1996) showed that such stars populate the EHB, with similar (core) masses

#### There is a gap between EHB and classic blue HB (BHB)



This suggests something "different" for the formation of EHB and HB stars

If delayed-flash scenario holds true, the star has experienced strong mass loss on RGB (which stopped H-burning shell and forced the star to collapse)

What could cause extreme mass loss on RGB?

- For binary stars: ok, thanks to stellar companion
- For single stars, it's more difficult:
- Internal rotation => mixing of He => enhanced mass loss on RGB (Sweigart 1997)
- Dynamical interactions: Substellar companions (Soker 1998)

Indeed, Charpinet et al. discovered two close planets orbiting an sdB star (Nature, 480, 496)

### KPD 1943+4058 aka KOI55, a pulsating sdB star observed by Kepler



# Possible interpretations for these modulations:

- ✓ Stellar pulsations?  $\rightarrow$  rejected (beyond period cutoff )
- ✓ Modulations of stellar origin: spots? → rejected (pulsations: star rotation ~ 39.23 d)
- ✓ Contamination from a fainter nearby star? → rejected based on pixel data analysis
- ✓ Modulations of orbital origin?

# What sizes should these objects have to produce the observed variations?

Two effects: light reflection + thermal re-emission, both modulated along the orbit

$$R_{j} = \left(\frac{A_{j}}{\sin i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{j}}{8a_{j}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2R_{*}^{2}}\frac{F_{R}(T_{j}) - F_{R}(\beta T_{j})}{F_{R}(T_{*})}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

(see details in Nature paper, supplementary information)

#### Substellar companions for sdB stars

Inclination of 65 deg log (R/Re) 1.00 +1.50+1.45+1.40+1.35 +1.30+1.25 +1.20 0.80 +1.15 +1.10+1.05 +1.00+0.95 +0.90Kepler-10b +0.85 0.60 CoRoT-7b +0.80Earth albedo +0.75+0.70+0.65+0.60+0.550.40 +0.50+0.45+0.40Earth +0.35 Kepler-10b CoRoT-+0.30+0.25+0.200.20 +0.15+0.10+0.05+0.00-0.05 -0.10 0.00 -0.15 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00  $\beta = \langle T_{night} \rangle / \langle T_{dav} \rangle$ 

From pulsations: i ~ 65°

- Assuming orbits aligned with equatorial plane
- Most relevant parameter range: low values for the albedo and β

-> The estimated radii are comparable to Earth radius

We have two small planets, orbiting very close (0.006 and 0.008 AU) to their host star

Valerie Van Grootel - Liege, July 2012

#### A consistent scenario



- ✓ Former close-in giant planets were deeply engulfed in the red giant envelope
- The planets' volatile layers were removed and only the dense cores survived and migrated where they are now seen
- The star probably left RGB when envelope was too thin to sustain H-burning shell and experienced a delayed He-flash (or, less likely, He-flash at tip of RGB)
- Planets are responsible of strong mass loss <u>and</u> kinetic energy loss of the star along the RGB
- ✓ As a bonus: this scenario explains why "single" sdB stars are all slow rotators

#### **IV. Conclusions and Prospects**

✓ No significant differences between distributions of various samples (asteroseismic, light curve modeling, single, binaries, etc.)

✓ Single star evolution scenario <u>does</u> exist; importance of the merger scenario? (single stars with presumably fast rotation)

#### ✓ A consistent scenario to form single sdB stars: delayed He-flasher + strong mass loss on RGB due to planets?

 $\checkmark \sim 7$  % of MS stars have closein giant planets that will be engulfed during the red giant phase  $\rightarrow$  such formation from **star/planet(s) interaction(s)** may be fairly common

# But:

✓ Currently only 22 objects: 11 single stars and 11 in binaries

✓ Among > 2000 known sdB, ~100 pulsators are now known (e.g. thanks to Kepler)

 ✓ Both light curve modeling and asteroseismology are a challenge (accurate spectroscopic and photometric observations, stellar models, etc.)