

Analysis of Stresses in Vehicle Driveline Systems using a Flexible Multibody Approach

Geoffrey VIRLEZ PhD Student – FRIA Fellowship

Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering (LTAS) University of Liège, Belgium



2012-07-10



Driveline modeling



 Complex phenomena involved: backlash, stick-slip, contact, discontinuities, hysteresis, non linearities

 Numerical problems

Outline

- Description of the application: TORSEN differentials
- Gear pair model
- Contact element + lubricating squeeze film formulations
- Model description & numerical results
- Differential included in a full vehicle model
- Conclusion

TORSEN differential

- Limited slip differential
 - Allow a variable torque distribution between the output shafts
 avoid spinning when ground adherence is not sufficient on one driving wheel
- Torque transfer before differentiation (torque sensing)
- Full mechanical system



Type B & C TORSEN differentials

- Housing, helical gear pairs and thrust washers
- Locking due to relative friction gears ↔ washers & gears ↔ housing
- 4 working modes
- Front/rear differential (type B) & central differential (type C)



Evolution of vitual prototyping



• Multibody system: mechanism of rigid bodies





FE coordinates

 Many interactions between transmission components are due to flexibility

➔ nonlinear finite element method based on the absolute nodal coordinates

Software: Samcef Field/MECANO



- Rigid and flexible bodies
- Parametrization of rotations with the cartesian rotation vector + updated Lagrangian approach

• Equations of motion

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + g^{gyr}(q,\dot{q}) + g^{int}(q) + \Phi_q^T (p\Phi + k\lambda) = g^{ext}(t)$$

$$k \Phi(q,\dot{q},t) = 0$$
Constraints: joints, rigidity

Gear pair element

- Flexible joint between two physical nodes: one at the center of each wheel (rigid body).
- Any kind of gear pairs : spur gear, bevel gear, helical gear, worm gears...



Gear pair element

- Flexibility : spring (KR) and damper (C)
- Time fluctuation of mesh stiffness due to variation of number of teeth in contact (ISO 6336)

'12

•73

- Backlash (GAP)
- Load transmission error (ERR)
- Misalignment

rariation KR MHH GAP ERR ERR

Deformation of the dear

• 15 variables

$$q = \{ \underbrace{x_A^T \ \Theta_A^T \ x_B^T \ \Theta_B^T}_{12 \text{ dof}} \underbrace{\psi_A \ \psi_B \ u_m} \}^{\text{mesh in the hoop direction}}$$

$$3 \text{ redundant coordinates}$$

$$3 \text{ constraints}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = k\lambda_1 \quad \longleftarrow \quad \phi_1 = (-\psi_A \ z_A + \psi_B \ z_B) \frac{m_n \ \cos \alpha_n}{2} + u_m \ \cos \alpha_n = 0$$

$$\phi_2 = (x_C^A - x_C^B) \cdot \eta_3''^A = 0$$

$$\phi_2 = n''^A \ n''^B = 0$$

Contact condition

- Augmented lagrangian method
- Flexible/rigid or flexible/flexible contact
- 2 steps : projection of slave nodes on master surface(s)





 $\sigma_{n} = k\lambda_{n} + pd_{n}$ $\sigma_{t_{1}} = k\lambda_{1} + p\Delta u_{1}$ $\sigma_{t_{2}} = k\lambda_{2} + p\Delta u_{2}$

(k = scaling factor, p=regularisation parameter)

Contact condition

Friction

$$F_{fr} = \mu_R |F_{norm}|$$



- Contact formulation not adapted in case of high relative axial velocity at contact establishment → impacts problems
 - Solutions:
 - Penalty method to allow a small penetration between the two contacting bodies and relax slightly the discontinuity
 - ✓ Squeeze film modelling of the lubricating oil

Squeeze film modelling

- Assumptions:
 - 2 plates (2h<<R)
 - Newtonian fluid
 - Isothermic fluid in steady state
 - No sliding on walls
 - Axisymmetric (cylindrical coordinates)
- Continuity equation

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r \ v_r) + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = 0$$

• Momentum equation (radial component): inertia terms, elongation gradient and $\frac{v_r}{r^2}$ neglected)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial z^2}$$



Boundary and initial conditions

$$v_r = 0, \ z = 0, h \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} = 0, \ z = 0$$
$$p = p_{atm}, \ r = R \qquad \qquad h = h_0, \ t = 0$$

Momentum equation
 velocity profile

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial z^2} \qquad \underbrace{\int \int dz^2}_{\longrightarrow} \quad v_r = -\frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \left[h \ z - z^2 \right]$$

Continuity equation → pressure profile

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r \ v_r) + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\int \int dr dz} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = 6\mu \frac{\dot{h}}{h^3} \ r$$

Force applied on plates

$$F(h,\dot{h}) = -\frac{3\pi\mu R^4 \dot{h}}{2h^3}$$

Squeeze film modelling

Thrust washers of TORSEN differentials are rough
 → contact between the two metallic bodies when h < R_a



• Remark: avoid the bad numerical conditioning of the squeeze film model when $h, \dot{h} \cong 0$

Model description

- <u>Assumptions</u>: joints between Planet gears and housing modeled as cylindrical joints
 - contact SG/washer 3 and CPL/washer 4 neglected
- 18 bodies:
 - > 9 rigid: gear wheels, housing
 - > 9 flexible: thrust washers, case, driveshafts
- ≈ 43000 generalized coordinates
- Constraints :



- 8 gear pair elements
- 5 contact relations
- 4 hinges
- 1 screw joint



TDR computation for the 4 locking modes

• TDR : Torque Distribution Ratio



Configuration on vehicle





Axial displacements of gear wheels



Contact pressure





Model validation

• TDR comparison for each mode with experimental data

Type C (center diff)

| TDR | Mode 1 | Mode 2 | Mode 3 | Mode 4 |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Drive | Coast | Drive | Coast |
| | bias to rear | bias to rear | bias to front | bias to front |
| experimental | 4,02 | 2,82 | 1,57 | 1,62 |
| simulation | 3,9 | 2,94 | 1,56 | 1,65 |
| error (%) | 2,98 | 4,25 | 0,64 | 1,85 |

| Туре В |
|--------------|
| (front diff) |

| TDR | Mode 1 | Mode 2 | Mode 3 | Mode 4 |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Drive | Coast | Drive | Coast |
| | bias to right | bias to right | bias to left | bias to left |
| experimental | 1,6 | 1,7 | 1,6 | 1,7 |
| simulation | 1,58 | 1,66 | 1,61 | 1,64 |
| error (%) | 3,20 | 2,35 | 0,62 | 3,53 |

Flexibility of driveshafts and case



Time evolution of deformations (highly amplified) and equivalent stresses in the case and driveshafts



Differential in vehicle model

- Rear differential included in full vehicle model with:
 - Rigid driveshafts
 - Flexible chassi (beams)
 - Suspensions fixed on chassi with bushings
 - Tyre models (Pacejka)

TORSEN Type B

- 20 rigid bodies
- 20 gear pairs
- 26 contacts
- 10 cylindric joints
- 12730 generalized coordinates









Vehicle model



• Torque transfer of TORSEN differential when a vehicle accelerates on a slippery surface

Torque on right and left rear wheel



24

Conclusion & outlook

- Dynamic TORSEN differentials modelling:
 - Gear pairs and contact condition (+ squeeze film model)
 - Global validation :comparison with experimental data (TDR)
 - Included in a full protype vehicule model (RWD)
- Specific kinematic joint to model the link between planet gears and housing
- Optimisation of differential case to reduce the weight:

Topology Optimization of Flexible Components in Multibody Systems: Application to the Housing of an Automotive Differential, Emmanuel Tromme Session MS-34,2: Optimisation in Nonlinear Solid Mechanics, Wednesday, 10:49 room K6

Thank you for your attention !

Analysis of Stresses in Vehicle Driveline Systems using a Flexible Multibody Approach

Geoffrey VIRLEZ Email: geoffrey.virlez@ulg.ac.be

Acknowledgements:

- Co-authors: O. Brüls, A. Cardona, P.Duysinx, N. Poulet, E. Tromme
- The Belgian National Fund for Scientific research (FRIA) for its financial support
- The industrial partners: LMS-SAMTECH, JTEKT TORSEN EUROPE



