Diet and food preference of the waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus defassa*) in the Pendjari National Park, Benin
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Abstract

This study investigated composition and selectivity in diet for waterbuck in the Pendjari National Park in northwestern Benin, through the use of micrographic analysis of faecal samples. Three plant species (*Panicum anabaptistum*, *Echinochloa stagnina* and *Andropogon gayanus*) were regularly consumed all year round. Meanwhile, three other species (i.e., *Hyparrhenia involucrata*, *Acroceras amplectens* and *Oryza barthii*) are mostly found in its diet during the beginning of the rainy season. During the dry season, long life grasses (>40%) and tree forage (about 35%) were the most dominant life form in the diet. On the contrary at the beginning of the rainy season, annual species (> 50%) were dominant. In conclusion, the waterbuck has a grazer regime when plant species are abundant and a mixed diet during the dry season. Waterbuck’s food niche breadth, defined by Hespenheide [Ecology and Evolution of communities, Harvard Univ. Press, 1975], was lower than 1, implying this antelope does not eat all food categories in a proportional way. Shannon diversity index showed that the diet was more diversified during the rainy season and less diversified at the end of the dry season. Based on [Ecology, 64 (1983), 1297] diet selectivity index, waterbuck exerted a positive selection on the major graminaceous species.
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Résumé

Une étude a été réalisée sur le régime et la sélectivité alimentaire du waterbuck dans le Parc National de la Pendjari au Nord-Ouest Bénin à partir d’une analyse micrographique des échantillons de crottes. Trois espèces végétales (*Panicum anabaptistum*, *Echinochloa stagnina* et *Andropogon gayanus*) sont particulièrement consommées en toutes saisons. La consommation d’espèces annuelles comme *Hyparrhenia involucrata*, *Acroceras amplectens* et *Oryza barthii*, est observée en saison humide. Durant la saison sèche, l’alimentation du waterbuck est un régime mixte de graminées vivaces (> 40%) et de fourrage ligneux (environ 35%), alors que pendant la saison des pluies ce sont les herbacées annuelle (> 50%) qui dominent. Le waterbuck est donc un animal païseur en période d’abondance alimentaire. La largeur de la niche alimentaire du waterbuck est inférieure à l’unité. Donc, le waterbuck ne consomme pas toutes ces catégories alimentaires dans les mêmes proportions. L’indice de diversité de Shannon indique que le régime alimentaire du waterbuck est plus diversifié en saison humide qu’en fin de saison sèche. Les valeurs de l’indice de sélectivité alimentaire de Chesson (1983), indiquent que le waterbuck exerce une sélection positive sur la plupart des graminées consommées.

Introduction

The Pendjari Biosphere reserve in the Republic of Benin is one of the most important and better preserved natural areas in West Africa (Bousquet, 1992; Delvingt, Heymans & Sinsin, 1989; Lamarque, 2004). Many authors were involved in the study of its fauna, mainly birds (Green & Sayer, 1979) and mammals (PNUD/FAO, 1981; Delvingt, 1987; Sinsin et al., 2001, 2002, 2004), pointing out its high number of species, its diversity and scientific interest. However, in the past few years, Sinsin et al. (2001, 2002, 2004) and di Silvestre, Sinsin & Daouda (2003) have noticed a progressive reduction in the waterbuck...
population (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus defassa*), from 3000 individuals in 1976 to 120 in 2004.

Despite important conservation measures (against poaching) recently implemented by the National Centre for the Fauna Reserves Management, the demographic condition of this antelope remains a subject of great concern (MAEP, 2004).

The quality and availability of food resources is undoubtedly one of the constraints to the population dynamics of ungulates (Sinclair, 1977; Boyce, 1992; Mwangi & Western, 1998). In African savannah ecosystems, the mortality induced either by food shortage or by a significant decrease in food quality can even exceed the deaths caused by the various predators (Sinclair, 1977; Bousquet, 1984).

This study aimed at gathering information on the diet of the waterbuck during the dry season, i.e., during a period of severe reduction of food availability and quality (Fournier, 1987, 1994). Hopefully, information about the grazed species and the antelope’s diet selectivity will help to understand and prevent the above-mentioned decline.

**Study area**

The Pendjari biosphere reserve (National Park–PNP) is located in the dry Sudanese region of Benin, at the boundary with Burkina-Faso (in the north-western part of the country). It covers an area of 4711.4 km², extending from 10°30’ to 11°30’ lat N and from 0°50’ to 2°00’ long E (Fig. 1).

Mean monthly temperature varies from 19°C during the cold dry season (end of October to mid February) to 34°C during the hot dry season (mid February to mid May). At this time, the temperature rises to 43°C during the day. The rainy season runs from mid May to mid October when the wind regime changes from the ‘harmattan’ (cold and dry northern wind) to the maritime trade-winds. The mean yearly rainfall is around 1100 mm. The monthly average relative humidity varies between 25% and 85%.

The PNP is limited by the foothills of the Atacora massif in the East and by the river Pendjari in the North and the West. Large hills (hills of Buem) and floodplains are also present. Therefore, during the rainy season, nearly 60% of its area is covered with water.

Under the pressure of the ‘Harmattan winds’ the Park gradually dries up from the end of the rainy season in October, with a peak in February and March. Meanwhile there are points of large ponds near the river (Tiabiga, Fogou, Mondri, Diwouni and Yangouali) or in the centre of the park (Bali). During the dry season, the ponds attract a variety of animal population, especially large mammals in search for water.

The vegetation cover of the PNP is made up of a mosaic of grasses, shrubs, trees and woodland savannas which are burnt every year. In the floodplains, *Mitragyna inermis*, *Panicum anabaptistum*, *Schyzochirum rupestre*, *Andropogon canaliculatus*, *Vetiveria nigritana*, *Andropogon spp.* and *Hyparrhenia spp.* are the most dominant. In drier areas, the main grass species found belong to the *Andropogon* and *Hyparrhenia*, whereas in wetter areas species such as *V. nigritana*, *P. anabaptistum*, *S. rupestre*, *A. canaliculatus* are found.

**Methods**

**Material**

Waterbuck dropping samples were collected every month (40 samples per month), except in March and in May, from January to July 2004, covering three climatic periods: the cold-dry season (January–February), the hot-dry season (April) and the rain season at its beginning (June–July). Simultaneously, a reference collection of plant species likely to be eaten by waterbucks was made: green parts of
grasses, leaves of trees, bark fragments were collected in the forest, identified to species, air dried and then finely chopped (mixer with 250 μ meshes).

Droppings sample and referential plant species were conditioned in the lab for 30 min and at 90°C into a mixture of 225 ml water, 525 ml acetic, 21 g trichloracetic and 51 ml nitric acids. After two centrifugation runs (2000 rpm, during 10 min), the acids are removed and the sample is stored in denaturized alcohol.

The identification of plant fragments in droppings is based on the observation of epidermal features which can discriminate between the different species (Norris & Bukovac, 1968; Stewart & Stewart, 1971; Maizeret, Boutin & Sempéré, 1986).

At the beginning of this study on waterbuck droppings, reference elements were prepared by mounting a small drop of the alcoholic suspension on a microscopic slide and taking photographs of the cytological structures characterizing each species (Metcalfe & Chalk, 1950; Metcalfe, 1960).

The samples of waterbuck droppings were prepared in the same way, and three different slides were prepared for each dropping.

The slides were screened and the different fragments identified by comparison with the photographs of the reference collection. All the fragments were counted and the relative occurrence of each plant species calculated. A few pieces were discarded as they did not show any interesting features. No consideration was given to thick fragments as their opacity hinders observation.

Data analysis

All the slides prepared from droppings of a particular month were pooled in a monthly sample. For every month, the Shannon diversity index ($H' = -\sum_{i} p_i \log_2(p_i)$: Shannon, 1948) and the Food Niche Breadth (FNB = $(\sum p_i^2)^{-1} - 1$) (/n-1): Hespenheide, 1975) were evaluated (n = number of species in the diet; $P_i$ = relative number of fragments of the ith species). FNB varies from 0 when a single food category is dominant to 1 when all the food categories are equally represented in the diet.

Monthly frequency distributions were compared using a G-test (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). Food selectivity was also studied, computing the Chesson (1983) $S_i$ index, comparing the ratio of a food category in the diet ($R_i$) with its ratio in the habitat ($P_i$) using the following formula, where $n$ is the total number of species in the diet:

$$S_i = \frac{R_i}{P_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{R_i}{P_i}$$

$S_i$ varies between 0 and 1 and there is a preference (selectivity) when $S_i > 1/n$ or an avoidance when $S_i < 1/n$.

To test the statistical significance of the differences between $S_i$ and 1/n, 1000 $S_i$ values were computed for each food category by a bootstrap procedure (Manly, 1990; Efron & Tibshirani, 1993). The average $S_i$ obtained was then compared with the 1/n value using Student’s t-test (Palm, 2002).

$P_i$ ratios were obtained from Gbédjinon (2003) and Kassa (2003).

Results

To check the accuracy of the results, a graphic test was done to show the progress of potential food species as the screening of the slides goes on (Fig. 2). From this graph, the relative frequencies of occurrences are nearly stable after the examination of 60–65 slides. Such a graph was drawn for each month and the analysis continued until the frequencies became stable. The results as presented in this study should therefore be considered as representative of the diet of the waterbuck in the study area.

In PNP, 27 plant categories were identified in the diet of the waterbuck (Table 1). The study period revealed that three species (Panicum anabaptistum, Echinochloa stagnina and Andropogon gayanus) are commonly eaten by the waterbuck whereas the consumption of the other species occurs at specific periods:

- Vetiveria nigritana and, to a lesser extent, Cyperus quadrangularis and Acacia sieberiana are important throughout the dry season. Although the relative occurrence of the two latter species decreased through the time.
- Grewia mollis, S. rupestre and A. canaliculatus are eaten only during the cold-dry season and in low proportions.
- At the end of the hot-dry season Pteleopsis suberosa, Cymbopogon giganteus and Terminalia avicennioides are eaten, but their importance remains rather marginal.
- During the rainy season, Hyparrhenia involucrata, Acroceras amplexilens, Orgya barthii, Tephrosia bracteolata, Brachiaria jubata, Diheteropogon amplexilens and some other species of minor importance appeared and replaced nearly all the food categories eaten during the dry season.
Table 1 List and diet category occurrences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TB</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Panicum anabaptistum</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>Echinochloa stagnina</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Andropogon gayanus</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Acacia sieberiana</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Mitragyna inermis</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Vetiveria nigritana</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>Cyperus quadrangularis</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Grewia molis</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Schizachyrium rupestre</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Andropogon canaliculatus</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Khaya senegalensis</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Hyparrhenia rufa</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Dichrostachys cinerea</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>Cissus gracilis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Pteleopsis suberosa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Cymbopogon giganteus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Terminalia avicennoides</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Hyparrhenia involucrata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Acroceras amplexensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Orcza barthii</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Commelina sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Tephrosia bracteolata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Brasliaria jubata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>Diheteropogon amplexensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Rotboella cochinchinensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>Andropogon fastigiatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Melochia corchorifolia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TB (Biological type); Th (Therophyte); He (Hemicryptophyte); Ch (Chamephyte); Ge (Geophyte); Ph (Phanerophyte).
These seasonal differences are highly significant \((G = 14.767.85; P < 0.001)\). In January and February, only four grasses (\(E. stagnina\), \(Panicum anabaptismum\), \(V. nigritana\) and \(A. gayanus\)) make up the bulk of the waterbuck’s diet. In January, a tree (\(A. sieberiana\)) is significantly included in the diet as well as \(C. quadrangularis\). In April, the diet is largely dominated by \(A. gayanus\) alone, the only most frequent perennial grass to be found in June and July. During the rainy season, the waterbucks eat mostly three annual grasses (\(H. involucrata\), \(A. amplectens\) and \(O. barthii\)) and a leguminous plant (\(T. bracteolata\)). Likewise certain trees such as \(Khaya senegalensis\), \(T. avicennioides\), \(Mitragyna inermis\) are of marginal importance in the diet.

In conclusion, during the dry season, the major part of the diet is made up of perennial (hemicyrptophytes) and geophyte species with more than 50 and 10–30% of the occurrences respectively. After the first rain, notwithstanding the important part (30%) still taken by the hemicyrptophytes, the situation changes completely as annual species (therophytes) represented more than 60% of the diet. These annual species are mostly grasses. Trees and chamephytes appeared only during the dry season, as a minor but significant part of the diet.

Food niche breadth and Shannon diversity index vary accordingly, decreasing from January to April, and then increasing to a maximum value in July. For January, February, April, June and July, the food niche breadth values are 0.42, 0.39, 0.21, 0.4 and 0.55 respectively. For the same period, Shannon diversity index’s value is 2.96, 2.72, 2.5, 2.98 and 3.15 bits respectively. This indicates a rather monotonous diet at the end of the dry season on the one hand, and a more diversified diet after the first rain on the other hand.

Selectivity indexes are presented in Fig. 3. The zero corresponds to the inverse of the number of food categories \((1/n)\). Therefore, the positive values indicate a food preference, whereas the negative ones show food avoidance. Confidence intervals (95%) are also shown to allow the detection of significant deviation from the null hypothesis (no selectivity).

In January, waterbuck clearly preferred \(E. stagnina\) and to a lesser extent, \(A. sieberiana\), \(Panicum anabaptismum\) and \(C. quadrangularis\), whereas other species were avoided. \(V. nigritana\) and \(Dicrostachys cinerea\) are consumed in the same proportion as they occur in the bush. In February, waterbuck preference is \(A. gayanus\), \(Panicum anabaptismum\), \(V. nigritana\) and \(E. stagnina\), while \(A. canaliculatus\), \(Mitragyna inermis\) and \(Cissus gracilis\) were avoided.

\(A. gayanus\) and \(V. nigritana\) were still preferred in April whereas other available species (e.g. \(E. stagnina\), \(C. quadrangularis\)) were equally selected and eaten. At the beginning of and during the rainy season, the antelopes dietary preferences considerably change, as they grazed preferentially annual grasses and forbs such as \(O. barthii\), \(H. involucrata\), \(A. amplectens\) and \(T. bracteolata\).

Discussion

Several authors have shown that it is important to assess the quantity and quality of the most and the least eaten plant species making up the bulk of an herbivore’s diet (Cavender & Hansen, 1970; Laitat, 1982; Maizeret et al., 1986; Maillard & Picard, 1987; Ego, Mbuvi & Kibet, 2003). The present results are therefore probably not exhaustive but the dietary importance of the possible ‘missing categories’ is certainly insignificant, at least in a quantitative point of view.

During this study, 27 plant species were found in the faeces of the waterbuck. A comparable figure (26 species) was reported by Herbert (1972) in South Africa (Sabi-Sand natural reserve). Nevertheless, in the W National Park (Republic of Benin), i.e. in the same phytogeographical conditions, Ayegnon (2004) found only thirteen species. However, his samples were exclusively collected in February and are therefore very close to the figure (eleven species in February) found in this study. It clearly appears that the number of species found in the waterbuck’s diet each month does not vary significantly, i.e., between eleven and thirteen. \(Pennisetum pedicelatum\), \(H. involucrata\), \(E. stagnina\), \(V. nigritana\) and \(A. gayanus\) are the common species found in the waterbuck diet in the W National Park (Ayegnon, 2004).

The samples collected concerned three different periods. The first two months relate to the onset of the dry season. The second period starts from April which is the most critical month as most grasses and forbs faded and only available as straw. The third period starts with the rain in June and July when the vegetation recovers. The diet of the waterbuck changes according to those environmental conditions: its species composition varies as well as its diversity.

During the dry season, when conditions are at the worst, the antelopes mainly feed on a few species whereas in the rainy season, the diet is more diversified. In other areas, similar observations were made about the waterbuck’s diet (Child & Richter, 1969; Herbert, 1972) and also about the
diet of other large herbivores: the black rhino, *Diceros bicornis* (Waweru, 1985; Muya, 1993; Muya & Oguge, 2000), lesser kudu (*Tragelaphus imberbis*) (Owen-Smith, 1994), eland (*Taurotragus oryx*) (Watson & Owen-Smith, 2000), hartebeest (*Alcelaphus buselaphus*), and wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*) in Kenya (Ego et al., 2003). As demonstrated on the buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and the wildebeest in the Serengeti National Park, the dry season is a period of severe food shortage and of a sharp reduction in food quality (e.g., low protein content). When shortages persist, as was the case in the 1960s in the Serengeti, the consequences for the herbivores might be very severe (Sinclair, 1977).

Most of the grass species positively selected by the waterbuck in all seasons have relatively high protein content. *Panicum anabaptistum, A. gayanus* and *E. stagnina* are the most interesting from this point of view during the most critical times of the dry season (Kassa & Gnagnon, 2006). At the same time, *V. nigritana* with its high level of digestible cellulose (i.e., energy content) is particularly appreciated by the antelope. In the Kenyan savannahs of the Nakuru N.P., Mwangi & Western (1998) have noticed
starvation often leading to death of the waterbuck, compared with the buffalo or to the impala when the food quality is dropping.

Of course, the diet composition is highly dependant on vegetation phenology. Some species are eaten and preferred in special circumstances. Several grasses appear to be selected during more than one period all over the study area: *E. stagnina* and *Panicum anabaptistum* during the cold dry season and *A. gayanus* from February to June. The only phanerophyte which is positively selected is *A. sieberiana*. Because the waterbuck is a grazer, this could be viewed as exceptional. However, this preference is observed in January while the tree is in fructification, and the nice flowers’ smell attracts the baboons and a number of herbivores (Baumer, 1995). For the waterbuck, green husks left by the baboons under the trees are a valuable sweet food. *Vetiveria nigritana* is selected from February i.e. just when young stems sprout after fires events. Annual grasses (*O. barthii, A. amplectens, H. involucrata*) are only eaten after the first rains, when they become available again.

During the rainy season when food is abundant, several herbivores become more selective (Muya & Oguge, 2000; Ego et al., 2003), their choice being particularly guided by a higher protein content (Sinclair, 1977; Gartlan et al., 1980; Holechek, Martin & Pieper, 1982) and this may explain why the waterbuck prefers the hard stems of *A. gayanus* to the young soft sprouts of annual grasses.

Food choice by animals also depends on vegetation condition (Fournier, 1994; Kassa, 1998). In Nazinga ranch, Ouédraogo (1992) noted that fire and rain are the most important factors affecting the diet composition of waterbuck. In Pendjari National Park, Gbédjinon (2003) found that perennial grasses (e.g. *V. nigritana, A. gayanus* and *Panicum anabaptistum*) are widely browsed by herbivores after fire. This is certainly related to the abundance of the straw at the end of the wet season.
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