Coping with mild inflammatory catamenial acne
A clinical and bioinstrumental split-face assessment
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Background: Acne is a multifactorial disease exhibiting distinct clinical presentations. Among them, the catamenial type is a matter of concern for young women. Some oral contraceptives may help without, however, clearing the skin condition.

Aim: The present open study aimed at evaluating the effect of overnight applications of a paste made of petrolatum, 15% zinc oxide and 0.25% miconazole nitrate.

Method: The split-face trial was conducted in 35 women. A non-medicated cream was used as control. Clinical evaluations and biometrological assessments on cyanoacrylate follicular biopsies were performed monthly for 3 months. Comedometry and the density in autofluorescent follicular casts were used as analytical parameters. In addition, the five most severe cases at inclusion were tested at the completion of the study for follicular bacterial viability using dual flow cytometry.

Results: Compared with baseline and to the control hemisphere, the medicated paste brought significant improvement of acne. The number of papules and their redness were reduced beginning with the first treatment phase. A reduction in the follicular fluorescence was yielded beginning with the second treatment phase. The ratios between injured and dead bacteria, on the one hand, and live bacteria, on the other hand were significantly increased at completion of the study.

Conclusion: A miconazole paste applied for 1 week at the end of the ovarian cycle has a beneficial effect on catamenial acne.
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Acne vulgaris is one of the most common skin diseases. It has a multifactorial origin affecting some of the pilosebaceous follicles of the face and trunk, particularly in adolescents and young adults. Increased sebum production, Propionibacterium acnes hypercolonization, comedo formation and follicular inflammation are obviously involved in the lesions (1). However, the precise inflammatory pathogenesis is not entirely understood (2). This is particularly the case for recurrent catamenial acne, which cannot be explained by sudden changes in the recognized factors underlying acne vulgaris. There is much variation in the age of onset and the age of resolution of catamenial acne. Most often, it remains mild in severity. However, the perceived psychosocial impairment, which is highly subjective (3), is not infrequently influenced by overrating acne severity by the affected young women.

Currently, there is a variety of topical and systemic drugs that counteract the main aspects of acne pathology. However, none of them targets specifically catamenial acne with the exception of some oral contraceptives. Usually, these pharmacological agents only bring slow improvement without completely clearing the disease (4–7). There is also much variation in the response of women to acne therapy. The success of the treatment depends on both the selection of the appropriate medications and the compliance of the subject. Oral isotretinoin is contra-indicated in women with catamenial acne. Continuous cures of oral antibiotics show efficacy (8, 9), but they are not recommended due to bacterial resistance that may occur during long-term treatment (8–10). Most of the classical topical treatments bring no satisfactory inhibition of the crops of catamenial acne, most probably because they are applied for a too short period of time. In addition, they target pathomechanisms that are not directly responsible for the specific presentation of catamenial acne. Hence, it would be advisable to look for safe topical formulations that could bring a fast relief of inflammatory acne papules without
necessarily acting upon the underlying chronic acne-prone status.

The present clinical and bioinstrumental study aimed at assessing the effect of a non-steroidal, antibiotic-free anti-inflammatory paste on catamenial acne resistant to oral contraceptives.

Patients and Methods

This open, single center, outpatient study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was reviewed by the local Ethics Committee. A total of 35 students and qualified nurses aged from 19 to 25 years were enrolled after giving their written informed consent. All the patients complained from mild acne corresponding to grades 2 or 3 according to the Leeds revised acne grading system (11). The medical history was reviewed for each volunteer and did not reveal any other relevant finding. All the volunteers suffered from acne lesions recurring in catamenial crops for at least 2 years. They were on oral contraceptive for 10 months to 7 years. The current pills contained the 19-nortestosterone derivatives desogestrel or gestodene, which had been shown to improve acne in previous clinical studies (4, 5).

The oral contraceptive was kept unchanged while the volunteers entered a 3-month split-face assessment of the effect of a medicated paste on the inflammatory acne papules. The paste made of petrolatum, 15% zinc oxide and 0.25% miconazole nitrate (Zimycan®, Barrier Therapeutics, Geel, Belgium). Concomitant treatments targeting acne were prohibited. The test medication was applied once daily in the evening for 1 week on a randomized hemiface; the treatment started from day 24 of the ovarian cycle to end on day 2 of the following one. The symmetrical facial area received an unmedicated formulation (Eucerin®, Beiersdorf, Brussels, Belgium) to serve as control for any placebo effect. The ingredients are listed in Table 1. Clinical and bioinstrumental assessments were performed monthly at day 3 of each cycle.

Acne papules were counted. Their redness was measured using an advanced version of the Visi-Chroma (Biophotonics, Lessines, Belgium). As shown using an older version (12), this device allows to measure skin colors, in particular parameter $a^*$ on small spots. The median value of all the papules was calculated. Cyanoacrylate skin surface strippings (CSSS) were used to collect follicular casts as previously described (4, 8, 13–17). In short, they were collected from both cheeks using plastic strips (Melinex O®, Imperial Chemical Industries, London, UK) coated with cyanoacrylate adhesive (Superglue®, Loctite, Brussels, Belgium). Image analysis was performed on these CSSS following the comedometry procedure (4, 8, 13–17) in order to assess the microcomedo density and their cumulative size/cm² of skin surface. An indication of the amount of $P. \text{acnes}$ was also determined on the same CSSS by detecting the porphyrin-induced follicular fluorescence (18, 19). The percentage of fluorescent follicular casts seen under fluorescence microscopy was calculated. In addition, the five most severe acne cases at inclusion (i.e. exhibiting more than 20 papules on the face) were selected for quantitative microbiology. This procedure was applied as previously described (4, 8) to the CSSS harvested at the last evaluation session. In short, the follicular casts were scraped from the CSSS using a sterile scalpel. The collected material was dispersed in sterile PBS before filtering through a gauze to remove clumps of corneocytes. Equal volumes of the two fluorescent reagents of the live/dead® Baclight bacterial viability kit (L-7012, Molecular Probe Europe, Leiden, The Netherlands) were mixed thoroughly. An aliquot of 3μL/mL of this solution was added to the suspension of bacteria and small debris of cornified material. After incubation at room temperature for 15 min, the material was submitted to dual flow cytometry.

Fluorescence data from both live (green fluorescence) and dead (red fluorescence) bacteria were analyzed by a FACStar flow cell sorter coupled with the Ýysys II software for data analysis (Becton-Dickinson, London, UK). Green
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TABLE 1. Eucerin® ingredients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraffinum liquidum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cera microcristallina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oenothera biennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceresin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceteareth 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenated castor oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearyl alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanolin alcohol (Eucerit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum stearates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamomilla recutita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethoxydiglycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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fluorescence values measured at 530 nm were plotted against red fluorescence values measured at 620 nm. Data were analyzed for the percentages of live bacteria, dead bacteria and injured bacteria. The ratios of injured-to-live bacteria, and of dead-to-live bacteria were evaluated.

Missing evaluations were replaced using the ‘Last Observation Carried Forward’ (LOCF) method. The data distributions were skewed. Hence, the medians and percentiles 20 (P20) and 80 (P80) were determined. Proportions were calculated to describe the changes from baseline. For both test sites and each parameter, the differences between median values calculated at each cycle were tested for significance using the paired non-parametric Friedman and Dunn tests. At each evaluation time, the differences and the Wilcoxon-matched paired test were used to compare parameters between the two topically treated sites. All results were considered to be significant at the 5% critical level ($P < 0.05$).

**Results**

At inclusion in the study, no difference was present in any of the evaluation parameters between the hemifaces ascribed for receiving the given products. All the 35 volunteers completed the study, but a total of six visits were missing because the defined days of assessment was not respected by the volunteers. The tolerance of both products was excellent with any sign of intolerance and any complaint of discomfort.

Some significant changes were yielded in time in the values of the evaluation parameters.

Compared with baseline, the number of papules was already decreased after the first treatment cycle ($P < 0.05$), and the improvement was further increased ($P < 0.01$) after the second and third cycles (Fig. 1). No significant changes were yielded at the control sites. The difference between the two hemifaces reached significance ($P < 0.01$) since the first treatment period.

Compared with baseline $a^*$ values, the erythema was significantly decreased after one treatment period ($P < 0.01$) and further on ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2). No significant changes were present on the control hemiface. The reduction in the parameter $a^*$ at the treated sites compared with the control site was highly significant ($P < 0.001$) after each of the three treatment phases.

The Friedman test indicated a significant change in both the number ($P < 0.05$) and cumulative size ($P < 0.01$) of follicular casts during treatment, but the Dunn post-test failed to identify a specific time of efficacy. No variations in time of these parameters were disclosed on the control site. The comparison between the two hemifaces indicated a significant decrease ($P < 0.01$) in both the number and cumulative size of follicular casts beginning at the second treatment phase (Figs 3 a, b).

Compared with baseline values, the percentage of fluorescent follicular casts was significantly reduced after two ($P < 0.05$) and three ($P < 0.001$) treatment phases (Fig. 4). By contrast, the control area showed an increase in the percentage of fluorescent follicles between baseline and the second month ($P < 0.01$), and between the first and the second month ($P < 0.05$). The difference between the two hemifaces was significant ($P < 0.0001$) beginning the second month of treatment.

Data on flow cytometry assessments are presented in Table 2. The median value in the injured-to-live bacteria ratio reached 66% and
differences between each of these two assessments reached significance ($P < 0.01$).

**Discussion**

Compliance is undoubtedly one important reason for treatment failures of acne. The presently tested formulations were well tolerated and the overnight applications did not interfere significantly with the individual life-styles of the patients. Compliance was much better than that experienced with many other topical anti-acne therapies.

It is accepted that a placebo or vehicle effect in acne trials can be as high as 40% (20, 21). There is also a natural background variation in the therapeutic response of milder acne forms including catamenial acne. Psychological factors independent of other aggravating or precipitating factors are also operative in the disease evolution. Indeed, some improvement may occur in individuals when they are seeking treatment, and when they expect to get better (20). Such a complexity in the acne process incited us to conduct an intra-individual comparison of two topical products using the split-face method. Thus we expected to minimize many of the intercurrent uncontrolled confounding factors.

Some reports (20) and our own experience suggest that the average duration of inflammatory papules in young women run over about 10 days. The severity of catamenial acne seems to peak in the very first days of the ovarian cycle in parallel with variation in the sebum excretion (22, 23). Hence, the trial planned a 1-week topical treatment followed by assessments early in the course of the ovarian cycle.

The medicated paste contained miconazole nitrate, which is a time-honored compound active against many fungi including *Malassezia* yeasts, and against many gram-positive bacteria including *Staphylococcus* spp. and *P. acnes* (24, 25).

**TABLE 2. Dual flow cytometry assessment of intrafollicular bacterial viability at completion of the study in the five most severely affected patients at inclusion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Injured/live bacteria (%)</th>
<th>Dead/live bacteria (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40.1/23.3 (172)</td>
<td>28.4/37 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.9/45.4 (66)</td>
<td>13.5/73.3 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.7/66.7 (13)</td>
<td>10.1/81.2 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.0/33.4 (165)</td>
<td>31.2/37.2 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.9/30.4 (52)</td>
<td>17.4/68.4 (25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25% on the treated and control hemifaces, respectively. The median value in the dead-to-living bacteria ratio reached 84% and 14% on the treated and control hemifaces, respectively. The
All these microorganisms may be involved in acne and acne-related disorders (2, 26, 27). The present study shows that the paste was significantly more effective in acne than the non-medicated cream. A similar paste formulation was previously tested with success in other inflammatory dermatoses (28). In this study, the anti-inflammatory properties of the paste appeared to be one important facet involved in the rapid resolution of papular lesions. The seemingly comedolytic effect is more puzzling because no specific and direct effect can be expected in intercorneocyte binding from the components of the medicated paste. This is at variance with other products (16, 17). An indirect and yet undisclosed effect might be operative.
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